

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Medical-Legal Partnerships to Support Continuity of Care for Immigrants Impacted by HIV: Lessons Learned from California.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1qm4307v>

Journal

Journal of immigrant and minority health, 22(1)

ISSN

1557-1912

Authors

Fuller, Shannon M
Steward, Wayne T
Martinez, Omar
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2020-02-01

DOI

10.1007/s10903-019-00919-0

Peer reviewed

1 **Medical-Legal Partnerships to Support Continuity of Care for**
2 **Immigrants Impacted by HIV: Lessons Learned from California**

3

4 **Abstract:**

5

6 **Background:** The United States (US) has experienced a surge of anti-
7 immigrant policies and rhetoric, raising concerns about the influence on
8 health outcomes for immigrants living in the US.

9 **Methods:** We conducted qualitative interviews (n=20) with health care and
10 social service providers, attorneys, and legal/policy experts in California to
11 understand how agencies were maintaining access to HIV care and
12 prevention for immigrant clients. We conducted a thematic analysis to
13 describe the role of medical-legal partnerships (MLPs) and document best
14 practices.

15 **Results:** Informants reported high demand for legal services. Referrals were
16 facilitated by case managers, medical providers, and pre-existing
17 relationships between clinics and legal agencies. Informants identified a
18 need for additional funding and further guidance on screening for and
19 supporting patients with legal needs.

20 **Discussion:** MLPs have the capacity to create sustainable, efficient,
21 comprehensive structural changes that minimize barriers to HIV prevention
22 and treatment and improve health outcomes among immigrant populations.
23

24 **Keywords:** medical-legal partnerships, HIV care and prevention, immigrant,
25 qualitative research, United States

26 **INTRODUCTION**

27 Anti-immigrant policies directly and indirectly impact the health of
28immigrants [1]. Health and social service providers across the United States
29(US) have recently observed increased no-show rates among their immigrant
30patients and reduced enrollment in public assistance programs, in light of a
31more restrictive era of immigration policy [2]. Similar trends were reported in
32HIV clinics in California, which is home to the largest number of immigrants
33[3] and one of the highest prevalences of HIV in the country [4]. Even before
34the recent changes in immigration policy, studies have found that foreign-
35born individuals in the US are at heightened risk for HIV infection and late
36diagnosis [5]. In response to concerns among health and social service
37providers in California, we conducted qualitative interviews to document best
38practices for maintaining access to HIV care and prevention services for
39immigrant communities. In this brief report, we describe the value of
40medical-legal partnerships (MLPs), which integrate legal assistance into
41health care settings, to address needs related to immigration for people
42living with and at risk for HIV, as well as the necessary ingredients for
43developing and harnessing these partnerships.

44 **METHODS**

45 Our university's Institutional Review Board approved all procedures.
46We conducted semi-structured, in-depth interviews with health care and
47social service providers, attorneys, and legal/policy experts from May 2018
48to January 2019. We recruited key informants by telephone or email, using

49snowball sampling and our team’s knowledge of California’s HIV care and
50services landscape. Informants verbally consented and received a \$100
51honorarium for participation. Interviews lasted between 60-90 minutes, and
52were audio recorded and transcribed. Three analysts developed the
53codebook and conducted initial coding to establish inter-coder agreement.
54Authors SMF and EAA coded the remaining transcripts. We conducted a
55thematic analysis [6] of the narratives about formal and informal MLPs.

56**RESULTS**

57 We conducted 20 interviews in three counties in Northern and Central
58California from May 2018 to January 2019 with medical providers (n=6), case
59managers and patient navigators (n=7), attorneys and other legal/policy
60experts (n=5), and clinic or program administrators (n=2). To protect
61confidentiality, we attribute quotes to the participant’s role, but blind
62organizational and county affiliations. Representative quotes are available in
63Table 1 and noted in the text (e.g., “[Q1]”).

64***Increased demand for legal services***

65 Since the 2016 federal election, informants described a “heightened
66sense of urgency” for clients to seek legal advice and obtain citizenship,
67asylum, or other lawful permanent resident status [Q1]. Under current rules,
68individuals who have fled persecution because of their sexual orientation,
69gender identity, and/or HIV status can petition for asylum. Yet, clients were
70concerned that the rules would change.

71 Clinics also sought to better understand issues related to immigration
72policy by organizing trainings, developing procedures for interactions with
73immigration authorities, and providing guidance to patients. Clinics often
74relied on expertise from legal partners to conduct these informational
75sessions with their staff and patients, and found that patients benefited from
76and appreciated the guidance. [Q2]

77Facilitating partnerships between medical and legal agencies

78 Clinics played a crucial role in facilitating referrals to legal services.
79Staff often recognized clients who had needs related to immigration in the
80course of helping them navigate the health care system and access HIV care
81and prevention services [Q3]. In addition, several clinicians had personal
82experiences with immigration, which was helpful in supporting patients
83through the asylum process [Q4]. For patients expressing interest in legal
84counsel, clinics were well positioned to provide a trusted, warm handoff [Q5].
85Case managers often accompanied clients or facilitated telephone
86appointments with legal services, alleviating any fears or language barriers.

87 Referral processes could be formalized or ad hoc, but were often
88mediated by prior relationships between medical and legal entities. MLPs for
89people living with HIV have existed since the early days of the epidemic, so
90medical providers referred patients to trusted legal partners [Q6]. In fact, a
91number of lawyers were well known within the HIV community, with some
92specializing in legal assistance for people living with HIV.

93 Clinic staff and providers reported remaining involved throughout the
94client's work with legal services. For example, case managers often had
95current contact information or other knowledge about the client that could
96help a client's legal case, provided that the client had given consent to share
97the information. Ongoing partnership was seen as mutually beneficial for
98legal and medical teams [Q7]. One provider attributed an influx of new
99patients to their clinic's success in connecting patients with effective legal
100services [Q8].

101***Room for improvement: Additional training, guidance and funding to***
102***support MLPs***

103 Clinic-based informants expressed interest in further training and
104guidance related to immigration. Several providers had been asked to write
105letters of support for asylum cases, a challenging task because there were
106no guidelines on what to include [Q9]. Elsewhere, a case manager sought
107recommendations for screening patients for immigration needs to avoid
108unnecessary referrals [Q10].

109 Legal informants also perceived wide variation in screening practices
110at clinics. Some providers would ask directly about immigration needs, while
111others would wait for the patient to raise the issue. One lawyer suggested
112having standard procedures in place to screen for immigration needs so that
113clinic staff could offer referrals and other assistance [Q11].

114 Both legal and medical informants also called for increased funding
115and resources to support effective MLPs [Q12].

116 **DISCUSSION**

117 This report adds to the growing body of literature on the value of MLPs
118 in addressing structural barriers to care [7, 8]. MLPs address social
119 determinants relevant to immigrant communities highly impacted by HIV,
120 including immigration status, delays in HIV prevention and treatment,
121 insurance coverage, and access to transportation and housing. However,
122 MLPs are rarely acknowledged in discussion of HIV structural interventions.

123 We identified a number of barriers and facilitators to utilizing MLPs.
124 Strong referral networks and personal connections between medical
125 providers and lawyers were valuable, and could promote formal and informal
126 partnerships that expanded the range of services available to support
127 clients. Referrals were also facilitated by case managers, echoing other
128 literature on the important role of case managers and social workers in the
129 MLP model [9]. Legal consults via telephone reduced barriers, especially for
130 clients who were concerned about leaving home. Having template letters
131 available for providers and helping case managers screen for immigration
132 needs could also enhance the effectiveness of MLPs. For instance, a
133 screening tool called I-HELP can allow clinicians to identify issues that may
134 have legal remedies including: income/insurance (I), housing (H),
135 education/employment (E), legal status (L), and personal/family stability (P)
136 [8]. However, additional funding and resources may be needed to support
137 screenings, referrals, and provision of legal services. Furthermore, facilitating
138 communication and information sharing between medical and legal

139institutions may be helpful, though this recommendation comes with
140important ethical and professional considerations [10]. Even the screenings
141themselves would need to be conducted carefully so as not to create fear or
142distrust among patients. For instance, before asking any questions, providers
143should clarify why questions are being asked, how the information will be
144kept private, and that patients can answer to the extent that they feel
145comfortable. We recommend that MLPs consult with local community
146stakeholders and advocates to assess and further refine screening questions
147related to immigrant legal needs. Clinics should also consult with attorneys
148to ensure that information cannot be used against patients should it be
149subpoenaed (e.g., by avoiding any explicit documentation of immigration
150status).

151 Our study has several limitations. Interviews were conducted in three
152counties and focused on access to HIV care and prevention services, so
153findings may not be representative of all MLPs across the state or country.
154Although our key informants could provide stories about clients who had
155disengaged from care, most of their experiences were with people who were
156actively seeking medical and legal services. Additional research is needed to
157understand how MLPs can be fully utilized and promoted for difficult-to-reach
158populations. Furthermore, California has progressive state-level immigration
159policy compared to other states in the US. Practices presented here may be
160more difficult to implement in states or countries with more restrictive
161policies.

162 Despite these limitations, our findings underscore the benefits of using
163MLPs to identify immigration-related needs and connect patients to legal
164support to address structural barriers that may contribute to poor health. We
165also highlight factors that can promote engagement in MLPs, such as
166providing culturally competent services and using case managers to support
167clients as they navigate between medical and legal entities. In this current
168political environment, providing access to legal aid is critical in protecting
169the health and safety of immigrants. Although our paper focused on the
170provision of care and services for people living in the community,
171immigration attorneys can also help to advocate for access to care and
172treatment for the growing numbers of people in detention facilities. Thus, the
173potential areas for intervention through the MLP model are broad and
174comprehensive. By alleviating barriers to care, MLPs not only have potential
175to improve the wellbeing of those impacted by HIV, but also to address other
176health disparities affecting immigrant communities, including support for
177their basic human rights.

178ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

179 This research was supported by a grant from the California HIV/AIDS
180Research Program, Office of the President, University of California, Grant
181Number RP15-SF-096. The authors wish to thank all study participants who
182generously put their time and thought into the interviews. We are also
183grateful for the support from colleagues Emma Bohannon, who assisted with
184data collection in San Francisco, and Emma Wilde Botta, who assisted the
185team with codebook development.

186 **REFERENCES**

- 187 1. Perreira KM, Pedroza JM. Policies of exclusion: implications for the
188 health of immigrants and their children. *Annu Rev Public Health*.
189 2019;40:147-166.
- 190 2. Artiga S, Ubri P. Living in an immigrant family in America: how fear and
191 toxic stress are affecting daily life, well-being, & health. Kaiser Family
192 Foundation. [http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Living-in-an-](http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Living-in-an-Immigrant-Family-in-America)
193 [Immigrant-Family-in-America](http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Living-in-an-Immigrant-Family-in-America). Published December 2017. Accessed
194 June 11, 2019.
- 195 3. Public Policy Institute of California. Just the Facts: Immigrants in
196 California. <https://www.ppic.org/publication/immigrants-in-california/>.
197 Published May 2019. Accessed June 11, 2019.
- 198 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV Surveillance Report,
199 2017; vol 29.
200 [https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hiv-](https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hiv-surveillance-report-2017-vol-29.pdf)
201 [surveillance-report-2017-vol-29.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hiv-surveillance-report-2017-vol-29.pdf). Published November 2018.
202 Accessed June 11, 2019.
- 203 5. Schulden JD, Painter TM, Song B, et al. HIV testing histories and risk
204 factors among migrants and recent immigrants who received rapid HIV
205 testing from three community-based organizations. *J Immigr Minor*
206 *Health*. 2014;16(5):798-810.
- 207 6. Guest G, MacQueen KM, Namey EE. Applied thematic analysis.
208 Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2012.

- 209 7. Martinez O, Boles J, Muñoz-Laboy M, et al. Bridging health disparity
210 gaps through the use of medical legal partnerships in patient care: a
211 systematic review. *J Law Med Ethics*. 2017;45:260-273.
- 212 8. Sandel M, Hansen M, Kahn R, et al. Medical-legal partnerships:
213 transforming primary care by addressing the legal needs of vulnerable
214 populations. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2010;29(9):1697-705.
- 215 9. Colvin JD, Nelson B, Cronin K. Integrating social workers into medical-
216 legal partnerships: comprehensive problem solving for patients. *Soc*
217 *Work*. 2012;57(4):333-41.
- 218 10. Boumil MM, Freitas DF, Freitas CF. Multidisciplinary
219 representation of patients: the potential for ethical issues and
220 professional duty conflicts in the medical-legal partnership model. *J*
221 *Health Care Law Policy*. 2010;13:107-138.