

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

Seismic Array Imaging of UXO-Contaminated Underwater Sites

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1cm109p3>

### Authors

Gritto, Roland  
Korneev, Valeri  
Nihei, Kurt  
[et al.](#)

### Publication Date

2004-11-30

## Seismic Array Imaging of UXO-Contaminated Underwater Sites

Roland Gritto, Ph.D.,  
UC Berkeley, Institute for Environmental Sciences and Engineering and  
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Earth Sciences Division,  
One Cyclotron Road, MS 90-1116  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
Phone: 510.486.7118  
E-mail: [rgritto@lbl.gov](mailto:rgritto@lbl.gov)

Valeri Korneev, Ph.D., Kurt Nihei, Ph.D., Prof. Lane Johnson, Ph.D.

We investigate the application of seismic array techniques to increase the energy radiation and resolution of seismic waves in littoral areas to improve the success rate of detecting UXO in contaminated underwater sites. The investigation is carried out based on numerical modeling, including 2-D finite difference modeling and 3-D analytical solutions of the problem. The physical parameters for the modeling were acquired at the former Mare Island Naval Shipyard in Vallejo (CA) and supplemented by estimates taken from the literature that are typical for the type of bay mud present at Mare Island (clayey silts, and silty clays). The 2-D finite model consisted of a 3 m water layer covering a half space of bay mud. The UXO were 0.3 m by 0.1 m in dimensions, while the central frequency of the source signal was 5 kHz generating a dominant wavelength of 0.3 m in water. In addition to various UXO orientations, we also modeled the presence of clutter in the subsurface. An array of 31 source and receiver elements was located floating in the water as well as sited on the seafloor, which allowed the comparison between single source-receiver combinations and beam-forming techniques. The numerical forward modeling involved noise-free and noisy data as well as interferences by free surface reflections (off the water-air interface), which produced the strongest phases on the seismograms. The inversion of the scattered seismic energy was performed using a 2-D eikonal solver (curved rays), which stacked and located the recorded amplitudes in space to determine the location of the UXO. The inversion also included the determination of the best fitting velocity model for the bay mud. The results of the 2-D modeling indicated that a single, horizontally oriented, UXO could be well detected as a function of depth and horizontal location. In the case of the source-receiver array being placed on the seafloor, the edges of the UXO were resolved indicating its horizontal extent, while the top of the UXO was correctly located. The cases of a second, vertically oriented, UXO and clutter located 0.1 m next to the first UXO, produced similar results. In each case the two objects produced slight interference in the backscattered seismic signal, yet the resolution of the seismic wave was still good enough to resolve the two objects from each other. The introduction of a rippled water-seafloor interface during the forward modeling didn't change the results even though a flat bottom was assumed in the inversion approach, which showed the robustness of the inversion approach. Results of the 3-D modeling are currently not available, but will be presented at the meeting.

This work is funded by SERDP as a SEED project.