UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Replication study of SNP associations for colorectal cancer in Hong Kong Chinese

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/19z7b4cg

Journal British Journal of Cancer, 104(2)

ISSN 0007-0920

Authors

Ho, JW Choi, S-C Lee, Y-F <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2011

DOI

10.1038/sj.bjc.6605977

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

www.bjcancer.com

Replication study of SNP associations for colorectal cancer in Hong Kong Chinese

JW Ho¹, S-c Choi², Y-f Lee², TC Hui², SS Cherny², M-M Garcia-Barceló¹, L Carvajal-Carmona³, R Liu⁴, S-h To⁵, T-k Yau⁶, CC Chung⁷, CC Yau⁸, SM Hui⁹, PY Lau¹⁰, C-h Yuen¹¹, Y-w Wong¹², S Ho¹, SS Fung¹, IP Tomlinson³, RS Houlston¹³, KK Cheng¹⁴ and PC Sham^{*,2}

¹Department of Surgery, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong; ²Department of Psychiatry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong; ³Nuffield Department of Medicine, Molecular and Population Genetics, University of Oxford, Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK; ⁴Department of Clinical Oncology, Queen Mary Hospital, Pokfulam, Hong Kong; ⁵Department of Surgery, Ruttonjee Hospital, 266 Queen's Road East, Wai Chai, Hong Kong, ⁶Department of Clinical Oncology, Pamela Youde Nethersole Eastern Hospital, 3 Lok Man Road, Chai Wan, Hong Kong: ⁷Department of Surgery, Pamela Youde Nethersole Eastern Hospital, 3 Lok Man Road, Chai Wan, Hong Kong: ⁸Department of Clinical Oncology, Princess Margaret Hospital, 2-10 Princess Margaret Hospital Road, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon, Hong Kong; ⁹Department of Surgery, Princess Margaret Hospital, 2-10 Princess Margaret Hospital Road, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon, Hong Kong; ¹⁰Department of Surgery, Kwong Wah Hospital, 25 Waterloo Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong, ¹¹Department of Surgery, Tseung Kwan O Hospital, 2 Po Ning Lane, Hang Hau, Tseung Kwan O, New Territories, Hong Kong, ¹²Department of Surgery, Tuen Mun Hospital, Tsing Chung Koon Road, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong; ¹³Section of Cancer Genetics, The Institute of Cancer Research, Brookes Lawley Building, Haddow Laboratories, Sutton, Surrey SM2 5 NG, UK, ¹⁴Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Biostatistics, The University of Birmingham, Public Health Building, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

BACKGROUND: Recent genome-wide association studies of colorectal cancer (CRC) have identified common single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) mapping to 10 independent loci that confer modest increased risk. These studies have been conducted in European populations and it is unclear whether these observations generalise to populations with different ethnicities and rates of CRC.

METHODS: An association study was performed on 892 CRC cases and 890 controls recruited from the Hong Kong Chinese population, genotyping 32 SNPs, which were either associated with CRC in previous studies or are in close proximity to previously reported risk SNPs.

RESULTS: Twelve of the SNPs showed evidence of an association. The strongest associations were provided by rs10795668 on 10p14, rs4779584 on 15q14 and rs12953717 on 18q21.2. There was significant linear association between CRC risk and the number of independent risk variants possessed by an individual ($P = 2.29 \times 10^{-5}$).

CONCLUSION: These results indicate that some previously reported SNP associations also impact on CRC risk in the Chinese population. Possible reasons for failure of replication for some loci include inadequate study power, differences in allele frequency, linkage disequilibrium structure or effect size between populations. Our results suggest that many associations for CRC are likely to generalise across populations.

British Journal of Cancer (2011) 104, 369–375. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605977 www.bjcancer.com Published online 21 December 2010 © 2011 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: colorectal cancer; genetic; association; replication; Chinese

Colorectal cancer (CRC) affects over one million people each year worldwide (Tenesa and Dunlop, 2009). It is currently the third commonest malignancy and the fourth commonest cause of cancer-related mortality in the world (Stewart et al, 2003). The overall burden of the disease is set to increase further from the increasing incidence rates in Asian and African populations associated with the adoption of western diets (Tenesa and Dunlop, 2009). In Hong Kong, CRC is now the second commonest cancer (with 4084 cases in 2007) and the second commonest cause of cancer death (1690 deaths in 2007) (Hong Kong Cancer Registry, Hospital Authority, 2009).

Although dietary and lifestyle risk factors undoubtedly are major risk factors for CRC, twin studies have shown that $\sim 30\%$ of the variation in susceptibility to CRC involves inherited genetic differences (Lichtenstein et al, 2000). However, high-penetrance susceptibility mutations account for <6% of CRC cases; the majority of inherited variance appearing to be a consequence of the co-inheritance of multiple low-risk variants (Lichtenstein et al, 2000; Bost et al, 2001).

Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have provided statistically robust evidence for common susceptibility loci for CRC. These studies have so far identified common singlenucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) at 10 independent loci that confer modest increased risk to CRC (odds ratios (OR) $\sim 1.1-1.3$) at 8q23.3, 8q24.21, 10p14, 11q23.1, 14q22.3, 15q13.3, 16q22.1, 18q21.1, 19q13.11 and 20p12.3 (Easton and Eeles, 2008; Le Marchand, 2009). These GWAS have been performed almost

^{*}Correspondence: Professor PC Sham; E-mail: pcsham@hku.hk Received 2 August 2010; accepted 11 October 2010; published online 21 December 2010

exclusively in populations of European ancestry, and the effects of these risk alleles in other populations are as yet unknown.

Understanding the effects of these variants in different populations is extremely important in terms of inferring the causality and mechanisms of colorectal tumourigenesis, as well as for the translation of these results to risk prediction in different populations. Colorectal cancer is a disease with very different incidence rates between populations (Curado *et al*, 2007). The risk variants may confer different magnitudes of increased risk in different populations for a variety of reasons, including differences in allele frequency and linkage disequilibrium (LD) structure, and difference in genetic and environmental backgrounds that interact with the variants (Sawyer *et al*, 2005; Weir *et al*, 2005; Ireland *et al*, 2006; Ioannidis, 2007).

To further our knowledge of the role of common genetic predisposition to CRC, we have examined the impact of the 10 known low-penetrance CRC risk loci in the Han Chinese population in Hong Kong using a case-control study design. We first examined variants, which were previously reported to have reached genome-wide significance (Broderick et al, 2007; Tomlinson et al, 2007, 2008; Houlston et al, 2008; Jaeger et al, 2008; Tenesa et al, 2008) for association with CRC risk, in an initial case-control sample. We then examined in an extended casecontrol series 22 additional SNPs, which have been associated with CRC risk in unpublished studies on European populations. Some of these SNPs were located close to SNPs genotyped in the first part of the study. Phase 1 can be regarded as a replication study of established associations in European populations, whereas Phase 2 is a replication study of more tentative associations as well as a more comprehensive screening of the risk loci evaluated in Phase 1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Since October 2006, subjects (CRC cases and controls) have been recruited from seven departments of surgery and three departments of oncology in seven public hospitals in Hong Kong. The CRC cases were adults with histologically proven adenocarcinoma of the colon or rectum (international diseases 9 codes 153 and 154) diagnosed either (1) within 18 months before recruitment commencement date (prevalent cases) or (2) within the recruitment period (incident cases), treated at the seven participating hospitals. The controls were sex- and age-matched hospital inpatients or outpatients without a personal history of cancer or a family history of CRC in first-degree relatives treated at the participating hospitals.

Informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study protocol was approved by the Institution Review Boards of the seven participating hospitals in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki.

Genotyping

Variation at 8q24.21, 10p14, 11q23.1, 14q22.3, 15q14, 16q22.1, 18q21.2, 19q12 and 20p12.3 loci was evaluated by genotyping cases and controls for rs6983267, rs7014346, rs706771, rs827401, rs7894531, rs7898455, rs4474353, rs10795668, rs3802842, rs11623717, rs17563, rs2071047, rs2761887, rs8014363, rs4444235, rs6494587, rs16969681, rs16970016, rs1554865, rs11632717, rs1406389, rs1919360, rs7165427, rs10318, rs4779584, rs9929218, rs12953717, rs4464148, rs4939827, rs10411210, rs961253 and rs355527.

DNA was extracted from EDTA-venous blood samples using standard methodology. The SNP genotyping was conducted using the Sequenom MassARRAY system (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). Genotyping assays were designed using SpectroDESIGNER software version 2.0.0.17 (Sequenom). Quality control was monitored by including duplicate and four negative controls in each 384-well plate. Further quality control included the exclusion of SNPs with genotype call rates <95%, minor allele frequency (MAF) <5% and those that deviated significantly from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in the controls (P<0.01).

Statistical and bioinformatic analysis

Haploview version 4.1 (Barrett et al, 2005) and HapMap CHP+JPT data (release 22; http://hapmap.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) was used to generate LD plots. The PLINK (Purcell et al, 2007) and R (Version 2.8.1; http://www.r-project.org/) were used for association analyses. The Cochran-Armitage trend test was used to examine association between CRC and SNP genotype (Armitage, 1995). In addition, logistic regression analysis of CRC on allele dosage (0, 1, 2) was performed, with adjustment for sex as covariate. Statistical significance was assessed on the basis of twosided P-values, and allowance for multiple testing was made by using Bonferroni's correction and false discovery rates (FDR) methodology. Heterogeneity between the ORs in this study and those of previous studies was assessed by the Breslow-Day's test. Association between clinico-pathological variables and SNP genotype was analysed by the Armitage trend test or by logistic regression with sex as covariate, on the cases only. A composite score of genetic susceptibility was created from nine independent SNPs in Part 1, choosing only one SNP (the most significant) from each group of tightly linked SNPs. The composite score in an individual was calculated as the total number of high-risk alleles present in the individual (possible range 0-18). The association between the composite score and CRC risk was assessed by χ^2 tests and by a Cochran-Armitage trend test.

RESULTS

In the first phase, we genotyped 716 CRC cases and 714 controls. The cases comprised 445 males and 271 females. In the second phase, an additional 176 cases and 180 controls were genotyped yielding a total of 892 cases and 890 controls. The clinical characteristics of the cases and controls are detailed in Table 1.

 Table I
 Characteristics of the colorectal cancer cases studied

Case subject characteristics	Phase I	Phase 2			
Number	716	892			
Age at diagnosis (year) Median (range, interquartile range) Mean (range, s.d.)	68 (58–76, 18) 66.75 (31–96, 12.25)	68 (58–76, 18) 66.43 (31–96, 12.21)			
Sex (%) Male Female	445 (62.2) 271 (37.8)	519 (58.2) 373 (41.8)			
Tumour site (%) Colon Rectum Both sites (synchronous)	444 (62.0) 265 (37.0) 7 (1.0)	549 (61.5) 338 (37.9) 5 (0.6)			
AJCC cancer stage (%) Stage I Stage II Stage III Stage IV Not defined	90 (12.6) 220 (30.7) 227 (31.7) 171 (23.8) 8 (1.1)	112 (12.6) 276 (30.9) 287 (32.2) 207 (23.2) 10 (1.1)			

Abbreviation: AJCC = American Joint Committee on Cancer.

Genetics and Genomics

The 14 SNPs included in the first phase (rs6983267, rs7014346, rs10795668, rs3802842, rs4444235, rs4779584, rs10318, rs9929218, rs4939827, rs12953717, rs4464148, rs10411210 and rs355527) had an average genotyping call rate of 99.9% (Supplementary Table 1). One SNP, rs16893766, was monomorphic in this cohort and was thus not analysed. For the 22 SNPs included in the second phase, the overall genotyping call rates were 95.3%. Three SNPs were excluded from analysis because they had genotyping call rates <95% (rs133344771) or MAF <5% (rs11986063 and rs10424333). A total of 32 SNPs (13 from Phase 1 and 19 from Phase 2) annotating nine distinct loci provided data for the complete analysis. Ten SNPs were mapped to 15q, six SNPs each to 10p and 14q, three SNPs to 18q, two SNPs each to 8q and 20p and one SNP each to 11q, 16q and 19q.

Five of the 13 SNPs genotyped in Phase 1 were significantly associated with CRC risk (Table 2A). Although only the most significant SNP (rs10795668, P = 0.0018) would be significant after Bonferroni's adjustment, all five nominally significant SNPs would be considered significant on a basis of an FDR of 0.1 (rs10795668, rs7014346, rs12953717, rs4779584 and rs4939827). For all five SNPs, the risk-increasing allele in this study is the same as in the original report of association. Two of the significant SNPs, rs4939827 and rs12953717 on Chromosome 18q21.2, are in strong LD with each other.

Seven of the 19 SNPs in Phase 2 were significant, but none were significant after Bonferroni's adjustment (Table 2B). All seven nominally significant SNPs would be considered significant at an FDR of 0.1 (rs7898455, rs4474353, rs7894531, rs1554865, rs16970016, rs706771 and rs827401). However, these significant SNPs are all in strong LD with SNPs significant in Part 1: rs7898455, rs4474353, rs7894531 rs706771 and rs827401 are in LD with rs10795668 on Chromosome 10p14, whereas rs16970016 and rs1554865 are in LD with rs4779584 on Chromosome 15q14.

In logistic regression analyses of SNPs within each LD region, the inclusion of additional SNPs to a model containing the most strongly associated SNP in each (i.e. rs10795668 on 10p14, rs4779584 on 15q14 and rs12953717 on 18q21.2) did not significantly improve the fit of the model, thus providing no evidence for more than one disease locus in each of these regions (Supplementary Table 2).

Collectively, these data are consistent with four independent CRC loci defined by SNPs rs10795668, rs12953717, rs4779584 and rs7014346.

In order to avoid bias, a composite index was calculated from all nine independent SNPs from Phase 1. This index was significantly associated with CRC risk ($P_{trend} = 2.29 \times 10^{-5}$) with an OR of over 2 for individuals with 12 or more high-risk alleles compared with individuals with 6 or fewer high-risk alleles (Table 3). The difference in composite index between cases and controls is shown graphically in Figure 1.

We assessed the associations between SNP genotype and various clinico-pathological variables through case-only logistic regression analyses. The clinico-pathological variables evaluated were sex, age at CRC diagnosis (above or below the median age), tumour site (colon or rectum), stage at diagnosis (stage I/II or III/IV; presence or absence of distant metastasis) and family history of CRC (Table 4). No association was found between genotype and age at cancer diagnosis, site of cancer or a family history of CRC. The high-risk alleles of rs10795668 and rs4779584 were found to be significantly associated with male gender (P = 0.03 and 0.01, respectively). Stratified analysis under additive model provided evidence that the association with CRC risk was limited to men for rs10795668, rs1295371 and rs4779584 (P=0.002, 0.002 and 0.002, respectively), whereas the association was limited to females for rs7014346 (P = 0.011). A test for interaction of rs4779584 (using the additive model) with sex was statistically significant (P = 0.038). There was no significant interaction of the other three SNPs with sex. Both rs12953717 and rs7014346 were associated with tumour stage. The high-risk allele of rs12953717 was significantly associated with stage IV at presentation (P = 0.04), whereas the high-risk allele of rs7014346 was significantly associated with stage III or IV disease (P = 0.01).

Of the four independent risk variants examined, none demonstrated statistically significant difference in effect size between the Hong Kong Han Chinese and the Caucasian European populations (Table 5). For rs7014346, there was also no difference in effect sizes among four populations (i.e. Hong Kong Han Chinese, Japanese, English and Scottish).

DISCUSSION

Although a number of CRC risk variants have now been identified, almost all have been through analyses based on European Caucasian populations. As the incidence of CRC and the allele frequencies of SNPs differ across populations, it is important to understand the effects of these markers in other populations. We, therefore, comprehensively examined the association between 32 SNPs and CRC risk and clinico-pathological variables in Chinese CRC patients recruited from hospitals across Hong Kong. Twelve SNPs from four independent susceptibility loci (at 8q24.21, 10p14, 15q14 and 18q21.2) were found to be significantly associated with CRC in the Han Chinese population in Hong Kong. A composite index of nine independent SNPs was significantly associated with CRC risk, which provides support for the CRC association findings in European populations. Although we recommend caution in implementing genetic models for predicting individual risk, approaches incorporating multilocus genotypes could help identify high-risk subgroups within a population. This underscores the potential for future risk profiling, even without identification of the causative variant (Wray et al, 2007). However, large multinational cohort studies will be needed to validate such genetic risk predictive models.

The rs10795668 provided the strongest evidence for an association in the Han Chinese population. This SNP maps to an 82-kb block of LD (8.73-8.81 Mb) within 10p14. All five additional SNPs, rs706771, rs827401, rs7894531, rs7898455 and rs4474353, mapping to this LD block showed evidence of association with CRC risk. The inclusion of each of these additional SNPs did not significantly improve the fit of the model compared with rs10795668 alone, providing no evidence for more than one disease locus at 10p14.

There are no proven protein-coding transcripts in the vicinity of the marker SNPs that we tested, and there is no predicted gene within 0.4 Mb of rs10795668. The nearest predicted genes are BC031880, located 0.4 Mb proximal to rs10795668, and LOC389935, located 0.7 Mb distally. Although loss of heterozygosity involving Chromosome 10p14 is seen in CRC (Shima *et al*, 2005), the underlying basis of the association identified at rs10795668 is presently unclear, but there is no evidence to implicate the predicted gene FLJ3802842 (Tomlinson *et al*, 2008). In the CEU population, there was some evidence that the effect of rs10795668 on CRC risk varied by the site of the tumour, with the susceptibility allele more common in rectal cancers (Tomlinson *et al*, 2008). This was not seen in the Han Chinese population we studied.

The SNP rs4779584 maps to Chr15:30782048, that is the CRAC (HMPS) locus. Although the risk allele in our population is the same as the European population, T is a major allele (0.83) in our population, whereas it is a minor allele in the CEU population (0.19). A previous meta-analysis by Jaeger *et al* (2008) showed a very strong association of rs4779584 with CRC risk. Two out of nine additional SNPs tested in this region were also statistically significant (rs16970016 and rs1554865) in our population; rs10318, which maps 31 kb distal to rs4779584, was one of the two most strongly associated SNPs in the CEU population; yet, such finding

JW Ho et al

Table 2 Allele frequency in CRC cases and controls and OR for SNPs studied

SNP	Chromosome region ^ª	Position (bp) ^b	Alleles ^c	High-risk allele in previous studies	MAF cases	MAF controls	OR heterozygous (95% CI)	OR homozygous (95% Cl)	P-value*
(A) SNPs analys	sed in Phase I								
rs6983267	8q24.21	128 482 487	G, T	G	0.46	0.43	0.98 (0.77-1.24)	1.31 (0.97–1.77)	0.127
rs7014346	8q24.21	128 493 974	Ā, G	A	0.34	0.3	1.15 (0.92-1.43)	1.7 (1.17-2.49)	0.0091
rs10795668	10p14	8741225	Ā,G	G	0.32	0.38	0.74 (0.59-0.92)	0.65 (0.47-0.90)	0.0018
rs3802842	q23.	110676919	C, A	С	0.46	0.44	1.29 (1.02-1.64)	1.16 (0.86-1.56)	0.225
rs4444235	I 4q22.3	53 480 669	T, C	С	0.48	0.48	1.03 (0.81-1.32)	1.03 (0.77-1.38)	0.822
rs4779584	15q14	30 782 048	<u>с</u> , т	Т	0.17	0.21	0.76 (0.60-0.95)	0.74 (0.43 - 1.26)	0.017
rs10318	15q14	30813271	C, T	Т	0.46	0.44	1.11 (0.88-1.42)	1.21 (0.89-1.64)	0.213
rs9929218	16q22.1	67 378 447	Ā, G	G	0.24	0.24	0.97 (0.78-1.21)	1.05 (0.65 - 1.67)	0.965
rs4939827	18g21.2	44 707 461	Т, С	Т	0.38	0.35	1.12 (0.90-1.40)	1.45 (1.03-2.04)	0.037
rs12953717	18g21.2	44 707 929	T, C	Т	0.38	0.33	1.1 (0.88 – 1.37)	1.64 (1.15-2.34)	0.015
rs4464148	18g21.2	44713030	<u>с</u> , т	С	0.09	0.07	1.21 (0.90-1.62)	4.11 (0.46-36.19)	0.108
rs10411210	19g12	38 224 1 40	T, C	С	0.18	0.18	0.99 (0.78 - 1.25)	0.92 (0.52-1.62)	0.809
rs355527	20p12.3	6 336 068	A, G	A	0.08	0.07	1.25 (0.93 – 1.69)	0.38 (0.10-1.46)	0.49
(B) SNPs analys	sed in Phase 2								
rs706771	10p14	8736452	A, G	_	0.45	0.49	0.89 (0.72-1.11)	0.73 (0.56-0.95)	0.022
rs827401	10p14	8738836	A, G	_	0.45	0.49	0.89 (0.72-1.11)	0.74 (0.57-0.96)	0.028
rs7894531	10p14	8774767	т, <u>G</u>	_	0.34	0.38	0.76 (0.62-0.93)	0.72 (0.54-0.96)	0.006
rs7898455	10p14	8778914	т, <u>G</u>	_	0.34	0.38	0.77 (0.63-0.94)	0.71 (0.53-0.95)	0.005
rs4474353	10p14	8783319	A, G	_	0.33	0.38	0.76 (0.62-0.94)	0.71 (0.54-0.95)	0.005
rs11623717	14g22.3	53 483 882	G, A	_	0.43	0.44	1.02 (0.83 - 1.26)	0.93 (0.71 - 1.21)	0.679
rs17563	14g22.3	53 487 272	C, T	_	0.29	0.27	1.08 (0.89 - 1.31)	1.32 (0.92 - 1.89)	0.142
rs2071047	14g22.3	53 488 161	T, C	_	0.41	0.41	1.03 (0.84 - 1.27)	0.97 (0.74 – 1.27)	0.9
rs2761887	14q22.3	53 494 802	C, A	_	0.44	0.44	1.0 (0.81 – 1.24)	0.99 (0.76-1.29)	0.961
rs8014363	14g22.3	53 501 325	С, Т	_	0.1	0.11	0.99 (0.78 - 1.26)	0.61 (0.25-1.48)	0.584
rs6494587	15g14	30 768 935	A, G	_	0.42	0.4	1.17 (0.95 – 1.43)	1.16 (0.88 - 1.52)	0.194
rs16969681	15g14	30 780 403	T, C	_	0.36	0.34	1.15 (0.94 - 1.40)	1.16 (0.85 – 1.59)	0.185
rs16970016	15a14	30 782 590	C. A	—	0.22	0.25	0.81 (0.67-0.99)	0.72 (0.48 - 1.07)	0.017
rs1554865	15a14	30 787 098	A. G	—	0.21	0.25	0.83 (0.68 - 1.01)	0.68 (0.45 - 1.02)	0.015
rs11632717	15a14	30791539	G. A	—	0.23	0.23	1.0(0.82 - 1.21)	1.22 (0.76 - 1.94)	0.644
rs1406389	15g14	30 796 770	T, A	_	0.28	0.3	0.94 (0.77 – 1.15)	0.78 (0.56-1.09)	0.173
rs1919360	15a14	30 830 747	Ċ. T	_	0.38	0.37	0.96 (0.79 - 1.18	1.05 (0.78 - 1.41)	0.91
rs7165427	15a14	30 947 552	T, C	_	0.5	0.5	0.89 (0.71 – 1.12)	0.99 (0.76 - 1.29)	0.946
rs961253	20p12.3	6 352 281	Ā, C	—	0.08	0.074	1.28 (0.98 – 1.67)	0.34 (0.09 – 1.26)	0.337

Abbreviations: CI = confidence intervals; CRC = colorectal cancer; MAF = minor allele frequency; OR = odds ratio; SNP = single-nucleotide polymorphism. ^aChromosomal regions were obtained from NCBI map viewer, Ideogram section. ^bAll base pairs were according to the NCBI build 36.3 data. ^cOrder of allele: minor allele, major allele; the allele underlined denoted high-risk allele in our study. OR heterozygous – OR in heterozygotes, relative to common homozygotes. OR homozygous – OR in rare homozygotes, relative to common homozygotes. **P*-values were obtained by Cochrane–Armitage trend test with one degree of freedom; *P*-values obtained from logistic regression models were similar (data not shown). In Phase I, if an OR had the same direction as previously reported, one-tailed *P*-values were calculated, i.e. *P*/2, conversely for ORs in the opposite direction P = 1 - P/2.

 Table 3
 Association between total number of risk alleles and colorectal cancer risk

Total no. of risk alleles	Cases No. (%)	Controls No. (%)	OR (95% CI)	
4-6	25 (3.6)	42 (5.9)	0.54 (0.32-0.94)	
7	39 (5.6)	76 (10.7)	0.47 (0.30-0.74)	
8	101 (14.4)	131 (18.5)	0.70 (0.50 - 1.00)	
9	160 (22.8)	128 (18.1)	1.14 (0.82-1.59)	
10	151 (21.4)	138 (19.5)	I.0 (reference)	
11	110 (15.7)	104 (14.7)	0.97 (0.68 - 1.38)	
12	77 (11.0)	61 (8.5)	1.15 (0.77 – 1.73)	
13-15	39 (5.5)	29 (4.I)	1.23 (0.72-2.09)	
		. ,	. ,	$P_{\rm trend} = 2.29 \times 10^{-5}$

Abbreviations: CI = confidence intervals; OR = odds ratio; SNP = single-nucleotide polymorphism. SNPs used to calculate number of risk alleles: rs7014346, rs10795668, rs3802842, rs4444235, rs4779584, rs9929218, rs12953717, rs10411210 and rs355527. High-risk allele: more frequent allele observed in cases from reference study. *P* – obtained from χ^2 test. *P*_{trend} – obtained from Cochrane – Armitage trend test.

could not be replicated in our study. One of the possible reasons for this disparity is that there are differences at this locus between the CEU and CHB population in terms of LD structure (Supplementary Table 3a and b). For example, there are vast differences in the MAFs for rs10318 (CEU 0.18, CHB 0.49 and HK



Figure I Composite index distribution in cases and controls.

control 0.46). Differences in MAFs between the two populations and the nature of the minor alleles were also found for other SNPs tested in this study (MAF: rs6494587, rs1696968, rs11632715 and rs1406387; nature of minor alleles: rs1554865, rs16970016, rs1406389, rs1919360 and rs7165427).

In the European studies, no association was found between the genotypes of rs4779584 and any of the clinico-pathological variables tested. In the Han Chinese population, the risk allele of rs4779584 was significantly associated with the male gender. Moreover, there was significant interaction between this SNP and the gender. This SNP rs4779584 lies between GREM1 and

Genetics and Genomi

Table 4 Association of independent SNPs with various clinico-pathological variables

SNP	Genotype	Sex: male (M), female (F)		Age: <median, ≥median</median, 		Cancer stage: stage I/II, stage III/IV		Metastatic disease: not stage IV, stage IVs		Family history of CRC			Site of cancer colon (C) rectum (R)						
		м	F	Р	<68	≥68	Р	I and II	III and IV	Р	Not IV	IV	Р	Yes	No	Р	с	R	Р
rs10795668 Risk allele: G	AA AG GG	54 209 244	55 161 156	0.03	49 192 185	60 178 215	0.57	42 157 184	64 210 213	0.17	84 278 308	22 89 89	0.99	3 36 36	106 334 364	0.21	73 222 247	33 145 151	0.50
rs4779584 Risk allele: T	CC CT TT	14 107 324	12 87 172	0.01	9 87 248	17 107 248	0.12	15 80 215	 276	0.64	22 142 373	4 49 118	0.88	4 16 42	22 178 454	0.39	15 126 303	 65 89	0.71
rs12953717 Risk allele: T	TT TC CC	60 220 165	37 23 	0.48	51 168 125	46 175 151	0.21	45 145 120	51 194 153	0.77	66 255 216	30 84 57	0.04	5 43 14	92 300 262	0.22	65 231 166	32 125 108	0.24
rs7014346 Risk allele: A	AA AG GG	50 199 196	31 132 108	0.39	39 162 143	42 169 161	0.65	32 128 150	49 199 150	0.01	60 237 240	21 90 60	0.06	7 33 22	74 298 282	0.35	56 205 183	25 123 117	0.26

Abbreviations: CRC = colorectal cancer; SNP = single-nucleotide polymorphism. P - P-values obtained from logistic regression model.

 Table 5
 Heterogeneity of associations between Hong Kong Han Chinese population and other populations

	OR (95	% CI), high-risk allele ref allele: low-risk	frequency in control s allele in this study	Breslow – Da wit				
SNP, chromosome	нк	England/CEU	Japan	Scotland	England/CEU	Japan	Scotland	Reference
rs7014346, 8q24.21 rs10795668, 10p14 rs4779584, 15q14 rs12953717, 18q21.2 rs4939827, 18q21.2 rs10318, 15q14 rs4464148, 18q21.2 rs12953717, 15q14 rs4983267, 8q24.21 rs4983267, 8q24.21 rs4444235, 14q22.3 rs9929218, 16q22.1 rs355527, 20p12.3	$\begin{array}{c} 1.23 & (1.05 - 1.44), \ 30\% \\ 1.28 & (1.1 - 1.5), \ 62\% \\ 1.26 & (1.04 - 1.52), \ 79\% \\ 1.20 & (1.03 - 1.40), \ 33\% \\ 1.17 & (1.01 - 1.37), \ 35\% \\ 1.10 & (0.95 - 1.27), \ 44\% \\ 1.20 & (1.03 - 1.4), \ 33\% \\ 1.2 & (1.03 - 1.4), \ 33\% \\ 1.2 & (1.03 - 1.4), \ 33\% \\ 1.2 & (0.97 - 1.3), \ 43\% \\ 1.02 & (0.88 - 1.18), \ 48\% \\ 1.00 & (0.84 - 1.18), \ 76\% \\ 1.10 & (0.84 - 1.45), \ 7\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.29 \ (1.18-1.40), 36\% \\ 1.12 \ (1.00-1.25), 67\% \\ 1.21 \ (1.12-1.31), 19\%^a \\ 1.38 \ (1.21-1.56), 42\% \\ 1.40 \ (1.23-1.59), 51\%^a \\ 0.79 \ (0.67-0.94), 82\%^a \\ 1.35 \ (1.18-1.55), 29\% \\ 1.38 \ (1.21-1.56), 42\% \\ 1.38 \ (1.21-1.56), 42\% \\ 0.89 \ (0.85-0.93), 54\%^a \\ 1.14 \ (1.08-1.21), 70\% \\ 1.13 \ (1.08-1.19), 33\% \\ 1.37 \ (1.08-1.19), 33\% \\ 1.38 \ (1.08-1.19), 33\% \\ 1.3$	0.85 (0.79–0.92), 77% ^a — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	1.23 (1.15–1.33), 37%	0.60 0.17 0.72 0.19 0.08 0.005 0.29 0.19 0.02 0.21 0.15 0.85	3.67 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.95	Tenesa et al (2008) Tomlinson et al (2008) Jaeger et al (2008) Broderick et al (2007) Broderick et al (2007) Broderick et al (2007) Broderick et al (2007) Tomlinson et al (2007) Houlston et al (2008) Houlston et al (2008)

Abbreviations: CEU = Caucasian European; CI = confidence interval; CRC = colorectal cancer; HK = Hong Kong; OR = odds ratio; SNP = single-nucleotide polymorphism. ^aHigh-risk allele different in this and reference study.

SCG5. Jaeger *et al* (2008) have previously reported no association between SCG5 or GREM1 expression and the genotype of rs47795684. The GREM1 encodes a secreted bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) antagonist. The TGF- β /BMP pathway is known to have an important role in colorectal tumourigenesis. It is, therefore, plausible that GREM1 may increase tumour proliferation, for example, through its expression in the stroma (Sneddon *et al*, 2006). Although SCG5 is genetically and functionally slightly worse candidate than GREM1, neuroendocrine signalling involving SCG5 (Seidah and Chretien, 1999) could influence cellular proliferation in the large bowel through, for example, signalling of nutrient availability or systemic hormonal effect.

The SNP rs12953717 is located at intron 3 of the SMAD7 gene on 18q21. One of the other two SNPs (rs4939827) tested in this region was also statistically significant. Yet, the inclusion of rs4939827 did not improve the fit of the model compared with rs12953717 alone; such result was compatible with there being a single risk locus in the SMAD7 region. The risk allele, C, was a major allele in our study, whereas it was a minor allele in the CEU studies. Although 18q21.1 contains another protein-coding gene (CR621005) and a predicted gene of unknown function (KIA0427), the decay in LD away from SMAD7 intron 3 incorporating all three SNPs as shown by Broderick *et al* (2007) did not support these genes as the location of a causative variant.

Loss of chromosome 18q is very common in individuals with CRC. Broderick et al (2007) observed that lower median

SMAD7 mRNA expression was associated with CRC risk allele at rs12953717. The SMAD7 acts as an intracellular antagonist of TGF- β signalling by binding stably to the receptor complex and blocking activation of downstream signalling events. Pertubation of SMAD7 expression has been documented to influence CRC progression (Levy and Hill, 2006) and SMAD7 has also been shown to induce hepatic metastasis in CRC (Halder et al, 2008). Our finding of significant association of rs129753717 with metastatic disease supports the observations that SMAD7 influences CRC progression and induces distant metastasis. In a recent study, Thompson et al (2009) had shown gender-specific association of SMAD7 with colon cancer risk (i.e. risk association in women only). However, in our study, stratified analysis revealed significant association of rs12975717 with CRC risk in man only, while the interaction between rs12975717 and gender was not significant. There is no obvious explanation for the disparity in these study findings.

Located on 8q24, rs7014346 is in strong LD with rs6983267; rs7014346 is 3 kb upstream of POU5F1P1 and maps within intron 6 of the gene DQ515897. Although this is close to genes encoding POU transcription factors, recent data suggest that the causal basis of the 8q24 association is rs6983267, which impacts on the differential expression of c.MYC through a long range *cis*-effect (Pomerantz *et al*, 2009; Tuupanen *et al*, 2009). Previous European studies did not find any interaction between various clinical variables with rs7014346 (Tenesa *et al*, 2008). The locus at 8q24.21

374

has been previously reported to influence the risk of adenomas as well as CRC (Tomlinson *et al*, 2007), suggesting that the 8q24.21 locus was involved in tumour initiation rather than progression. In our study, we found an association of rs7014346 with aggressive-advanced cancer raising the possibility that the 8q24.21 locus is also involved in tumour progression.

Twenty previously identified risk SNPs were not associated with CRC risk in the Chinese population. Although rs3802842 was significantly associated with CRC risk in various Caucasian populations, this association has not been replicated in the Japanese and Hong Kong Han Chinese populations. Several reasons exist for a failure to replicate findings. First, it could be that this study had insufficient power to detect the modest effect sizes of these SNPs. Second, for some non-replicated SNPs, there are differences in terms of the allele frequencies and LD patterns between the CEU and HCB/HK data. Third, the magnitude of the effect of a risk allele may differ between populations because of gene–gene or gene–environment interactions.

REFERENCES

- Armitage P (1995) Tests for linear trends in proportions and frequencies. Biometrics 11(3): 375-386
- Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ (2005) Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* 21: 263-265
- Bost B, de Vienne D, Hospital F, Moreau L, Dillmann C (2001) Genetic and nongenetic bases for the L-shaped distribution of quantitative trait loci effects. *Genetics* 157(4): 1773 – 1787
- Broderick P, Carvajal-Carmona L, Pittman AM, Webb E, Howarth K, Rowan A, Lubbe S, Spain S, Sullivan K, Fielding S, Jaeger E, Vijayakrishnan J, Kemp Z, Gorman M, Chandler I, Papaemmanuil E, Penegar S, Wood W, Sellick G, Qureshi M, Teixeira A, Domingo E, Barclay E, Martin L, Sieber O, Consortium C, Kerr D, Gray R, Peto J, Cazier JB, Tomlinson I, Houlston RS (2007) A genome-wide association study shows that common alleles of SMAD7 influence colorectal cancer risk. Nat Genet **39**(11): 1315-1317
- Curado MP, Edwards B, Shin HR (2007) Cancer incidence in five continents, Vol. IX, pp 1-837. IARC Scientific Publication No. 160: Lyon
- Easton DF, Eeles RA (2008) Genome-wide association studies in cancer. Hum Mol Genets 17(R2): R109-R115
- Halder SK, Rachakonda G, Deane NG, Datta PK (2008) SMAD7 induces hepatic metastasis in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer **99**(6): 957-965
- Hong Kong Cancer Registry, Hospital Authority (2009) Fast Stats for Colorectal Cancer 2007. (http://www3.ha.org.hk/cancereg/e_stat.asp)
- Houlston RS, Webb E, Broderick P, Pittman AM, Di Bernardo MC, Lubbe S, Chandler I, Vijayakrishnan J, Sullivan K, Penegar S, Colorectal Cancer Association Study C, Carvajal-Carmona L, Howarth K, Jaeger E, Spain SL, Walther A, Barclay E, Martin L, Gorman M, Domingo E, Teixeira AS, Co RGIC, Kerr D, Cazier JB, Niittymaki I, Tuupanen S, Karhu A, Aaltonen LA, Tomlinson IP, Farrington SM, Tenesa A, Prendergast JG, Barnetson RA, Cetnarskyj R, Porteous ME, Pharoah PD, Koessler T, Hampe J, Buch S, Schafmayer C, Tepel J, Schreiber S, Volzke H, Chang-Claude J, Hoffmeister M, Brenner H, Zanke BW, Montpetit A, Hudson TJ, Gallinger S, International Colorectal Cancer Genetic Association C, Campbell H, Dunlop MG (2008) Meta-analysis of genome-wide association data identifies four new susceptibility loci for colorectal cancer. Nat Genet 40(12): 1426-1435
- Ioannidis JP (2007) Non-replication and inconsistency in the genome-wide association setting. *Hum Hered* **64**(4): 203-213
- Ireland J, Carlton VE, Falkowski M, Moorhead M, Tran K, Useche F, Hardenbol P, Erbilgin A, Fitzgerald R, Willis TD, Faham M (2006) Largescale characterization of public database SNPs causing non-synonymous changes in three ethnic groups. *Hum Genet* **119**(1-2): 75-83
- Jaeger E, Webb E, Howarth K, Carvajal-Carmona L, Rowan A, Broderick P, Walther A, Spain S, Pittman A, Kemp Z, Sullivan K, Heinimann K, Lubbe S, Domingo E, Barclay E, Martin L, Gorman M, Chandler I, Vijayakrishnan J, Wood W, Papaemmanuil E, Penegar S, Qureshi M, Consortium C, Farrington S, Tenesa A, Cazier JB, Kerr D, Gray R, Peto J, Dunlop M, Campbell H, Thomas H, Houlston R, Tomlinson I (2008) Common genetic variants at the CRAC1 (HMPS) locus on chromosome 15q13.3 influence colorectal cancer risk. Nat Genet 40(1): 26-28

The study provides replication of four independent SNPs and suggests that there is a great deal of commonality in the aetiology of CRC across populations. This may not be entirely surprising for such high-frequency variants as these are likely to have quite ancient origins before ethnic diversification.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grants from Cancer Research UK (C1298/A9511) and the Michael and Betty Kadoorie Cancer Genetic Research Programme II. The work also received support from the LKS Faculty of Medicine, the Genome Research Centre and the Genomics Strategic Research Theme of The University of Hong Kong. We are grateful to all individuals who participated in this study.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on British Journal of Cancer website (http://www.nature.com/bjc)

- Le Marchand L (2009) Genome-wide association studies and colorectal cancer. Surg Oncol Clin N Am 18(4): 663-668
- Levy L, Hill CS (2006) Alterations in components of the TGF-beta superfamily signaling pathways in human cancer. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 17(1-2): 41-58
- Lichtenstein P, Holm NV, Verkasalo PK, Iliadou A, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Pukkala E, Skytthe A, Hemminki K (2000) Environmental and heritable factors in the causation of cancer – analyses of cohorts of twins from Sweden, Denmark, and Finland. *N Engl J Med* **343**(2): 78–85
- Pomerantz MM, Ahmadiyeh N, Jia L, Herman P, Verzi MP, Doddapaneni H, Beckwith CA, Chan JA, Hills A, Davis M, Yao K, Kehoe SM, Lenz HJ, Haiman CA, Yan C, Henderson BE, Frenkel B, Barretina J, Bass A, Tabernero J, Baselga J, Regan MM, Manak JR, Shivdasani R, Coetzee GA, Freedman ML (2009) The 8q24 cancer risk variant rs6983267 shows longrange interaction with MYC in colorectal cancer. Nat Genet 41(8): 882-884
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker PI, Daly MJ, Sham PC (2007) PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* 81(3): 559–575
- Sawyer SL, Mukherjee N, Pakstis AJ, Feuk L, Kidd JR, Brookes AJ, Kidd KK (2005) Linkage disequilibrium patterns vary substantially among populations. *Eur J Hum Genet* 13(5): 677-686
- Seidah NG, Chretien M (1999) Proprotein and prohormone convertases: a family of subtilases generating diverse bioactive polypeptides. Brain Res 848(1-2): 45-62
- Shima H, Hiyama T, Tanaka S, Ito M, Kitadai Y, Yoshihara M, Arihiro K, Chayama K (2005) Loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 10p14-p15 in colorectal carcinoma. *Pathobiology* **72**(4): 220–224
- Sneddon JB, Zhen HH, Montgomery K, van de Rijn M, Tward AD, West R, Gladstone H, Chang HY, Morganroth GS, Oro AE, Brown PO (2006) Bone morphogenetic protein antagonist gremlin 1 is widely expressed by cancer-associated stromal cells and can promote tumor cell proliferation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **103**(40): 14842–14847
- Stewart BW, Kleihues P, International Agency for Research on Cancer (2003) World Cancer Report. IARC Press: Lyon
- Tenesa A, Dunlop MG (2009) New insights into the aetiology of colorectal cancer from genome-wide association studies. Nat Rev Genet 10(6): 353-358
- Tenesa A, Farrington SM, Prendergast JG, Porteous ME, Walker M, Haq N, Barnetson RA, Theodoratou E, Cetnarskyj R, Cartwright N, Semple C, Clark AJ, Reid FJ, Smith LA, Kavoussanakis K, Koessler T, Pharoah PD, Buch S, Schafmayer C, Tepel J, Schreiber S, Volzke H, Schmidt CO, Hampe J, Chang-Claude J, Hoffmeister M, Brenner H, Wilkening S, Canzian F, Capella G, Moreno V, Deary IJ, Starr JM, Tomlinson IP, Kemp Z, Howarth K, Carvajal-Carmona L, Webb E, Broderick P, Vijayakrishnan J, Houlston RS, Rennert G, Ballinger D, Rozek L, Gruber SB, Matsuda K, Kidokoro T, Nakamura Y, Zanke BW, Greenwood CM, Rangrej J, Kustra R, Montpetit A, Hudson TJ, Gallinger S, Campbell H, Dunlop MG (2008) Genome-wide association



scan identifies a colorectal cancer susceptibility locus on 11q23 and replicates risk loci at 8q24 and 18q21. *Nat Genet* **40**(5): 631-637

- Thompson CL, Plummer SJ, Acheson LS, Tucker TC, Casey G, Li L (2009) Association of common genetic variants in SMAD7 and risk of colon cancer. *Carcinogenesis* **30**(6): 982-986
- Tomlinson I, Webb E, Carvajal-Carmona L, Broderick P, Kemp Z, Spain S, Penegar S, Chandler I, Gorman M, Wood W, Barclay E, Lubbe S, Martin L, Sellick G, Jaeger E, Hubner R, Wild R, Rowan A, Fielding S, Howarth K, Consortium C, Silver A, Atkin W, Muir K, Logan R, Kerr D, Johnstone E, Sieber O, Gray R, Thomas H, Peto J, Cazier JB, Houlston R (2007) A genome-wide association scan of tag SNPs identifies a susceptibility variant for colorectal cancer at 8q24.21. *Nat Genet* **39**(8): 984–988
- Tomlinson IP, Webb E, Carvajal-Carmona L, Broderick P, Howarth K, Pittman AM, Spain S, Lubbe S, Walther A, Sullivan K, Jaeger E, Fielding S, Rowan A, Vijayakrishnan J, Domingo E, Chandler I, Kemp Z, Qureshi M, Farrington SM, Tenesa A, Prendergast JG, Barnetson RA, Penegar S, Barclay E, Wood W, Martin L, Gorman M, Thomas H, Peto J, Bishop DT, Gray R, Maher ER, Lucassen A, Kerr D, Evans DG, Consortium C, Schafmayer C, Buch S, Volzke H, Hampe J, Schreiber S, John U, Koessler T, Pharoah P, van Wezel T, Morreau H, Wijnen JT, Hopper JL, Southey MC,

Giles GG, Severi G, Castellvi-Bel S, Ruiz-Ponte C, Carracedo A, Castells A, Consortium E, Forsti A, Hemminki K, Vodicka P, Naccarati A, Lipton L, Ho JW, Cheng KK, Sham PC, Luk J, Agundez JA, Ladero JM, de la Hoya M, Caldes T, Niittymaki I, Tuupanen S, Karhu A, Aaltonen L, Cazier JB, Campbell H, Dunlop MG, Houlston RS (2008) A genome-wide association study identifies colorectal cancer susceptibility loci on chromosomes 10p14 and 8q23.3. *Nat Genet* **40**(5): 623–630

- Tuupanen S, Turunen M, Lehtonen R, Hallikas O, Vanharanta S, Kivioja T, Bjorklund M, Wei G, Yan J, Niittymaki I, Mecklin JP, Jarvinen H, Ristimaki A, Di-Bernardo M, East P, Carvajal-Carmona L, Houlston RS, Tomlinson I, Palin K, Ukkonen E, Karhu A, Taipale J, Aaltonen LA (2009) The common colorectal cancer predisposition SNP rs6983267 at chromosome 8q24 confers potential to enhanced Wnt signaling. Nat Genet 41(8): 885-890
- Weir BS, Cardon LR, Anderson AD, Nielsen DM, Hill WG (2005) Measures of human population structure show heterogeneity among genomic regions. *Genome Res* 15(11): 1468-1476
- Wray NR, Goddard ME, Visscher PM (2007) Prediction of individual genetic risk to disease from genome-wide association studies. *Genome Res* 17(10): 1520-1528