# UC Santa Cruz UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

## Title

Using Satellite Tracking and Isotopic Information to Characterize the Impact of South American Sea Lions on Salmonid Aquaculture in Southern Chile

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/19f16928

Journal PLOS ONE, 10(8)

ISSN

1932-6203

### Authors

Sepúlveda, Maritza Newsome, Seth D Pavez, Guido <u>et al.</u>

## **Publication Date**

2015

## DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0134926

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



# 

**Citation:** Sepúlveda M, Newsome SD, Pavez G, Oliva D, Costa DP, Hückstädt LA (2015) Using Satellite Tracking and Isotopic Information to Characterize the Impact of South American Sea Lions on Salmonid Aquaculture in Southern Chile. PLoS ONE 10(8): e0134926. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0134926

**Editor:** Yan Ropert-Coudert, Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, FRANCE

Received: July 8, 2014

Accepted: July 15, 2015

Published: August 26, 2015

**Copyright:** © 2015 Sepúlveda et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This study was supported by funding from Fondecyt Grant number 11110081 to MS, INNOVA-CORFO Grant number 07CN13IPM-170 to MS and D0 and Office of Naval Research Grant number N00014-08-1-1195 to DPC.

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Using Satellite Tracking and Isotopic Information to Characterize the Impact of South American Sea Lions on Salmonid Aquaculture in Southern Chile

Maritza Sepúlveda<sup>1</sup>\*, Seth D. Newsome<sup>2</sup>, Guido Pavez<sup>1</sup>, Doris Oliva<sup>1</sup>, Daniel P. Costa<sup>3</sup>, Luis A. Hückstädt<sup>3</sup>

1 Centro de Investigación y Gestión de Recursos Naturales (CIGREN), Instituto de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile, 2 Biology Department, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, United States of America, 3 University of California Santa Cruz, Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Santa Cruz, California, United States of America

\* maritza.sepulveda@uv.cl

# Abstract

Apex marine predators alter their foraging behavior in response to spatial and/or seasonal changes in natural prey distribution and abundance. However, few studies have identified the impacts of aquaculture that represents a spatially and temporally predictable and abundant resource on their foraging behavior. Using satellite telemetry and stable isotope analysis we examined the degree of spatial overlap between the South American sea lion (SASL) and salmon farms, and quantify the amount of native prey versus farmed salmonids in SASL diets. We instrumented eight SASL individuals with SRDL-GPS tags. Vibrissae, hair and skin samples were collected for  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N analyses from five of the tagged individuals and from four males captured in a haul-out located adjacent to salmon farms. Tracking results showed that almost all the foraging areas of SASL are within close proximity to salmon farms. The most important prey for the individuals analyzed was farmed salmonids, with an estimated median (±SD) contribution of 19.7 ± 13.5‰ and 15.3 ± 9.6‰ for hair and skin, respectively. Using vibrissae as a temporal record of diet for each individual, we observed a remarkable switch in diet composition in two SASL, from farmed salmonids to pelagic fishes, which coincided with the decrease of salmon production due to the infectious salmon anemia virus that affected salmon farms in Chile at the end of 2008. Our study demonstrates the usefulness of integrating stable isotope derived dietary data with movement patterns to characterize the impacts of a non-native prey on the foraging ecology of an apex marine predator, providing important applied implications in situations where interactions between aquaculture and wildlife are common.

#### Introduction

The diet composition of generalist and opportunistic predators is expected to shift temporally and/or geographically in response to changes in prey distribution and availability [1], [2], allowing predators to exploit prey aggregations that are presumably easier to capture when they occur at high density [3], or switching among prey in accordance with its abundance [4-6]. However, if prey can predictably be found and captured in a particular location, it is anticipated that predators will show corresponding changes in foraging behavior and spatial distribution in response to that predictability [1], [7-9]. This is particularly evident in the interaction between domestic animals and terrestrial predators, where the high density of livestock, whose predictability, vulnerability and containment in enclosures stimulate changes in foraging behavior and spatial distribution of predators [10-12].

For pinnipeds (seals, sea lions, and walrus), the acquisition of food is a major challenge because many species move between breeding or hauling-out sites on land that can be 100s or 1000s of kilometers from their aquatic foraging grounds. In dynamic, heterogeneous environments such as ocean ecosystems, several studies have shown how individuals change their foraging behavior in response to spatial and/or seasonal changes in natural prey distribution and abundance [13–15], although for Australian sea lions (*Neophoca cinerea*) a similar foraging pattern was previously observed despite spatial and temporal variability in oceanographic conditions [16]. There are only a few studies of pinniped foraging ecology, however, that identify the impacts of prey species that are confined to small enclosures in the ocean, such as the conditions associated with salmonid aquaculture [17–18].

The presence of cultivated salmon in small pens at high density inevitably constitutes a powerful food attractant to opportunistic coastal marine mammals, seabirds, and fish that normally feed on native fish stocks [19]. Among these predators, pinnipeds are among the most troublesome because they have plastic feeding strategies and individuals can learn to exploit situations where salmon are concentrated and vulnerable, which may result in significant economic losses to fish farm operators [17–20]. In Chile, a strong operational interaction between the South American sea lion (SASL, *Otaria byronia*) and the salmon farming industry has been previously described [18], [20]. This high interaction could be explained by a combination of high abundance of SASL in southern Chile (~44,000 individuals) [21], its generalist and opportunistic diet primarily based on fish [22], and the presence of >300 salmon farming installations in a relatively small region. However, little is known about whether, and how, SASL modify their foraging behavior in response to the high predictability and availability of this non-native prey source.

The recent development and adoption of two complimentary technologies–GPS telemetry and stable isotope analysis–has enabled ecologists to link high-resolution movement data with dietary information. With the development of Fastloc-GPS telemetry tags, the location and movement patterns of marine mammals can be tracked at sea with high accuracy (<40 m) and for extended periods of time [23], thereby allowing for an assessment of spatial overlap between prey and predator [24]. The use of cost-effective stable isotope analysis (SIA) has provided greater insights into foraging ecology across a range of elusive marine mammals [16], [25–26] for which dietary information gleaned from traditional techniques (stomach or scat contents) is limited. Thus, the study of individual foraging strategies in pinnipeds may greatly benefit from combining telemetry data with dietary information derived from stable isotope analysis [27, 28]. In contrast to traditional proxies used to study marine mammal diet, SIA can quantify variation in diet composition and habitat use at the individual and population level [26], [29– 31]. Carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C) and nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) isotope values of a consumer are related to that of its diet, but are offset by a predictable amount due to isotopic discrimination that occurs during resource assimilation and metabolism [32-33].  $\delta^{15}$ N values increase by ~3-5‰ per trophic step and are typically used to quantify trophic level, while  $\delta^{13}$ C values are generally used to assess habitat use in marine contexts [26]. Since isotopic incorporation rates vary among metabolically active tissues (*e.g.*, muscle or blood), this method is ideal for identifying dietary variation over a range of time scales [34–36]. Isotopic analysis of metabolically inert but continuously growing tissues (*e.g.*, vibrissae or nails) can be serially sub-sampled to provide a longitudinal record of foraging information at the individual level [28–30], [37]. Specifically, SIA of vibrissae has been used to identify broad temporal and ontogenetic variation in foraging location and trophic level in several species of pinnipeds [15], [28–29], [37–42].

The objective of this study is to analyze how the foraging behavior of a generalist and opportunistic marine predator is influenced by a novel and abundant prey source in the form of farmed salmonids. To do this we combined satellite telemetry data with  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N stable isotope analysis of hair, skin, and vibrissae to examine the degree of spatial overlap between SASL and salmon farms, and quantify the amount of native prey versus farmed salmonids in SASL diets. If salmonids are an important prey item for SASL we predicted that: (1) SASL feeding areas would frequently overlap with the locations of salmon farms, and (2) that farmed salmonids would be well represented in the diet of SASL. Because salmonid escapes have detrimental impacts on native fishes due to predation and competition [43], our results represent an important step in understanding the potential role of SASL as a natural regulator of this non-native fish in Chile.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Ethics statement

All SASL handling and tagging procedures were authorized by Subsecretaría de Pesca Permits N° 2799/2008 and 1737/2010, and approved by the Bioethical Committee at the Universidad de Valparaíso and by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at University of California Santa Cruz.

#### Field site and general procedure

We captured eight SASL (2 males and 6 females) in July 2009 (n = 4) and June 2010 (n = 4) on the coast in front of Calbuco (41°48'S; 73°08'W) (n = 7, CA-01 to CA-07) and Pichicolo (42°01'S; 72°35'W) (n = 1, PI-01), southern Chile (Fig\_1). SASL were captured as they



Fig 1. Sites of captures and locations used by eight South American sea lions (Otaria byronia) instrumented in southern Chile during their foraging trips.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134926.g001

approached commercial purse-seine vessels to forage during sardine fishing using a hoop net in the water, brought on board the vessel and then transported to shore as described in [44]. During capture and transit to the handling site animals were constantly monitored by a veterinarian. Once on shore, sea lions were anaesthetized with isofluorane gas (0.5 to 2.5%) and oxygen via a portable field gas anesthesia machine. The gas was administered through a cone shaped mask and then with an endotracheal tube. Breathing rate and tactile response, as well as blood  $O_2$  concentration and  $CO_2$  saturation were monitored continually and used to assess animal condition during anesthesia. Individuals were instrumented with Sea Mammal Research Unit-Satellite Relay Data Logger (SRDL) GPS tags (University of St. Andrews, Scotland), glued to the dorsal pelage between the shoulder blades using 5-min marine epoxy. Standard length and axillary girth measurements were recorded, and animals were weighed before release using a 500 kg (±0.1 kg) digital scale.

For stable isotope analysis, we collected vibrissa samples from five of the eight individuals (CA-01 to CA-04, and PI-01) that were instrumented with satellite tags. We complemented this dataset with vibrissae from other four males (three subadults and one juvenile; CH-01 to CH-04) captured in the Chullec haul-out (42°28'S; 73°33'W) on the inner (eastern) coast of Chiloe Island (Fig 1). During capture, the longest vibrissa of each animal was selected and collected for analysis by plucking it from the root with a pair of pliers or forceps. Samples were washed with distilled water and detergent and allowed to air dry, and then rinsed in an ultrasonic bath with petroleum ether for 15 min to remove surface contaminants.

Vibrissae were then measured to the nearest cm, and sub-sampled using a length-based strategy in which a ~0.5 mg segment was collected every ~0.33 cm along the vibrissa from the base to the tip; this approach produced a mean ( $\pm$ SD) of 37  $\pm$  8 segments from each vibrissa. To estimate the time period represented in each segment, and in the absence of data on SASL vibrissae growth rates, we used a rate of 0.16 mm d<sup>-1</sup>, based on published data for other otariids, including California sea lion *Zalophus californianus* (0.16 mm d<sup>-1</sup>) [45], Steller sea lions *Eumetopias jubatus* (0.10–0.14 mm d<sup>-1</sup>) [38], and Antarctic fur seals *Arctocephalus gazella* (0.11–0.16 mm d<sup>-1</sup>) [37].

Hair and skin samples were also collected from the captured animals from both Calbuco and Chullec while they were under anesthesia. Skin was obtained by cutting a small sample of pectoral flipper (3 x 3 mm<sup>2</sup>). Samples were then stored in sterile Eppendorf tubes and maintained on ice in the field. Samples were put in heat-sealed Ankom filter bags and placed in a universal oven and dried at 60°C for 72 h, and minced with a scalpel. Finally, lipids were extracted from skin samples with petroleum ether in a Soxhlet extractor for 2 h, and then stored in 2.0 mL screw cap microtubes (Biologix Research Co., USA).

Samples from 16 potential native prey species and three farmed salmonid species were also collected. Samples were collected from August 2009 to January 2010, thus representing a temporal window of ~6 months. Native prey samples were collected directly from minor-scale fisheries landings, whereas salmonids were obtained directly from salmon farms. Native prey species were defined as potential prey items based on: (1) a previous study of diet composition of SASL in the study area [46], and (2) information of species consumed by sea lions in interactions with artisanal fisheries in the study area [47], [48]. The 16 native prey species collected for stable isotope analysis represented about two-thirds (66.7%) of the potential prey species available to sea lions [49]. The total length range of analyzed prey was within the ranges reported in other studies that examined the diet of SASL in other regions of the country [50–51]. Only mature individuals from each prey species were selected. A section of ~1 cm<sup>3</sup> of muscle was taken from each prey using a scalpel, stored in Eppendorf tubes and frozen. In the laboratory, samples were dried at 60°C for 72 h, homogenized with mortar and pestle, and lipid extracted using the same technique described above.

#### Tracking analysis and spatial interaction with salmon farms

SRDL-GPS tags collected and stored data on location and diving behavior of each animal. Each tag was programmed to transmit data via the ARGOS satellite system using a repetition rate of 30-60 s [52]. Location data were obtained using on board Fastloc-GPS technology, which is dependent on the number of satellites used to calculate the location [53], and as a result some erroneous locations are possible. In order to eliminate such data, we ran the sea lion tracking data through a simple speed filter using a conservative threshold of 5.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> [44]. Finally, tracking data were linearly interpolated at a regular time interval (4 h). Due to the intricate coastline of our study site (Fig 1), we implemented a land mask for all spatial calculations, so that our results were restricted to at sea locations only. All spatial analyses were conducted in ArcGIS 10 (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA).

To address the question of space use by SASL, three complementary approaches were applied: (1) a home range kernel (Gaussian kernel, bandwidth determined using the plugin method) in order to identify animal high usage areas [54], (2) individual-based home range kernel analysis, to evaluate among individual habitat usage [55], and (3) the proportion of time-at-sea that individual SASL spent within a radius of 1, 5, and 10 km from salmon farms. These distances were included in our analysis considering the accuracy of the GPS, the average distance between salmon farms (3 to 6 km), and the distance that a sea lion could cover in the interval of time between successive real locations successfully obtained by the SRDL-GPS tag and transmitted over the Argos system. Salmon farm locations were obtained from the Instituto Tecnológico del Salmón (INTESAL) database, which is part of the Asociación de la Industria del Salmón, A.G. SalmonChile (http://www.salmonchile.cl/). Kernel home range analyses were performed in Geospatial Modelling Environment [55].

#### Stable isotopes analysis and Bayesian mixing model

Approximately 0.5 ± 0.05 mg of vibrissae, hair and skin samples of sea lions and muscle samples from potential prey were sealed into tin capsules for isotopic analysis.  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values were measured using a Carlo-Erba NC2500 (Milan, Italy) or Costech 4010 (Valencia, California, USA) Elemental Analyzer interfaced with a Finnigan Delta Plus XL mass spectrometer (Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) at the University of Wyoming Stable Isotope Facility (Laramie, WY, USA). Isotopic results are expressed as  $\delta$  values,  $\delta^{13}$ C or  $\delta^{15}$ N = 1000 x [( $R_{smpl}$ — $R_{stnd}$ )/ $R_{stnd}$ ], where  $R_{smpl}$  and  $R_{stnd}$  are the  ${}^{13}$ C/ ${}^{12}$ C or  ${}^{15}$ N/ ${}^{14}$ N ratios of the sample and standard, respectively. The units for  $\delta$ -values are expressed as parts per mil (‰). The internationally accepted standards are Vienna-Pee Dee Belemnite limestone (VPDB) for carbon and atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> for nitrogen. Repeated analysis of internal proteinaceous reference materials resulted in analytical precision of < 0.2‰ for both  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values.

We used a Bayesian mixing model MixSIR v1.0 to determine the relative contributions of different prey groups in each individual sea lion's diet [56]. Inputs into the model include the mean isotope values of potential prey and trophic discrimination factors (TDF) of tissues analyzed to estimate the probability distributions of the proportional contribution of each prey item (source) in the predator diet (mixture). Additionally, this model incorporates sources of uncertainty in both prey values and TDFs as standard deviation. Because isotopic mixing models cannot differentiate between the contributions of different prey unless they have significantly different isotope values [56-58], we pooled the 19 potential prey species into seven functional groups (Table 1). These groups were defined according to both similarity in the isotopic values and ecological function of prey items. Isotopic values of SASL were entered individually (for hair and skin), while isotope values of the prey groups were defined as means



| Group name          | Species                     | n  | δ <sup>13</sup> C | δ <sup>15</sup> N |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----|-------------------|-------------------|
| Farmed salmonids    | Oncorhynchus mykiss         | 19 | -17.4 ± 0.8       | 12.9 ± 1.1        |
|                     | Oncorhynchus kisutch        | 3  | -16.5 ± 0.1       | 13.0 ± 0.6        |
|                     | Salmo salar                 | 1  | -17.3 ± 0.0       | 13.9 ± 0.0        |
|                     | Total                       | 23 | -17.3 ± 0.8       | 13.0 ± 1.1        |
| Pelagic cephalopods | Dosidicus gigas             | 2  | -16.2 ± 0.1       | 16.3 ± 0.2        |
| Small pelagic fish  | Sprattus fuegensis          | 12 | -15.6 ± 0.4       | 15.3 ± 0.3        |
| Demersal fish       | Seriolella caerulea         | 2  | -14.7 ± 0.4       | 19.2 ± 0.3        |
|                     | Merluccius australis        | 14 | -14.7 ± 0.5       | 18.0 ± 1.1        |
|                     | Helicolenus lengerichi      | 5  | -14.5 ± 0.3       | 17.3 ± 1.0        |
|                     | Genypterus sp.              | 11 | -14.2 ± 0.3       | 17.7 ± 0.9        |
|                     | Salilota australis          | 6  | -14.2 ± 0.2       | 17.8 ± 0.5        |
|                     | Mustelus mento              | 4  | -14.0 ± 0.7       | 18.6 ± 2.0        |
|                     | Callorhynchus callorhynchus | 6  | -14.1 ± 0.1       | 19.0 ± 0.8        |
|                     | Total                       | 48 | -14.4 ± 0.4       | 18.1 ± 1.1        |
| Pelagic fish        | Thyrsites atun              | 7  | -15.1 ± 0.3       | 18.1 ± 1.0        |
| Pelagic fish        | Trachurus murphyi           | 3  | -15.1 ± 0.4       | 17.3 ± 0.4        |
|                     | Total                       | 10 | -15.1 ± 0.3       | 17.8 ± 0.9        |
| Benthic fish        | Paralichthyidae             | 7  | -14.7 ± 0.6       | 16.3 ± 1.4        |
|                     | Paralabrax humeralis        | 9  | -14.2 ± 0.9       | 16.2 ± 0.5        |
|                     | Eleginops maclovinus        | 12 | -13.7 ± 1.2       | 15.6 ± 1.0        |
|                     | Total                       | 28 | -14.1 ± 1.0       | 15.9 ± 1.0        |
| Benthic crustaceans | Homalaspis plana            | 2  | -13.6 ± 0.4       | 14.3 ± 0.1        |
|                     | Cancer setosus              | 1  | -12.6 ± 0.0       | 15.5 ± 0.0        |
|                     | Total                       | 3  | -13.3 ± 0.6       | 14.7 ± 0.7        |

Table 1. Prey composition and  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N isotope values for each of the prey species and groups considered in MixSIR analysis. Values are shown as mean ± SD.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134926.t001

 $(\pm$ SD). For vibrissae, each individual segment was analyzed separately to provide an estimate of temporal variation in diet composition within each individual.

At present, there are no published estimates of  $\delta^{13}$ C or  $\delta^{15}$ N TDFs for SASL. We used tissue-diet TDF values of 2.5 ± 0.5‰ for  $\delta^{13}$ C and 3.5 ± 0.5‰ for  $\delta^{15}$ N in the mixing model for vibrissae and hair, because these tissues are similar in biochemical composition [26], [34], [59]. For skin we used TDFs values of 2.5 ± 0.5‰ and 3.0 ± 0.5‰ for  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N, respectively [9]. These values are similar to the range reported in vibrissae of the sea otter *Enhydra lutris nereis* [59], as well as within the range of mean enrichment factors estimated for pinniped tissues [34], [60]. Because TDF can vary depending on prey type, prey quality, and the general metabolic pathway(s) of consumers [30], [59], we used a standard deviation of 0.5‰ in all the TDFs values to incorporate uncertainty in the estimation of prey proportions [56]. We used non-informative source contribution priors and a minimum of 10<sup>6</sup> iterations when running the isotope mixing model. Results for the posterior contributions of prey groups to diet are expressed as a median value with standard deviation (SD).

The isotope values between tissues and between locations were compared using one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA). For statistical comparisons that did not fulfill the requirement of normality (Shapiro-Wilks test) and homogeneity of variances (Levene test), we used non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests. All statistical analyses were performed using the software Statistica 8.0. Significance level was set at 95% for all statistical tests. Results are reported as mean ± SD, unless otherwise stated.



| ID    | Sex    | Body length (cm) | Mass (Kg) | # foraging trips | Mean trip duration (days) | Total # days recorded |
|-------|--------|------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| CA-01 | Female | 165              | 110.2     | 16               | 5.5 ± 3.1                 | 103.7                 |
| CA-02 | Female | 146              | 68.4      | 8                | 1.7 ± 1.3                 | 24.7                  |
| CA-03 | Female | 172              | 110.4     | 35               | 3.0 ± 1.9                 | 137.0                 |
| CA-04 | Male   | 129              | 52.8      | 11               | 1.9 ± 1.1                 | 30.1                  |
| CA-05 | Female | 169              | 101.8     | 18               | 2.0 ± 1.8                 | 45.8                  |
| CA-06 | Female | 147              | 85.1      | 3                | 1.7 ± 1.1                 | 7.8                   |
| CA-07 | Female | 173              | 127.6     | 21               | 3.1 ± 1.6                 | 74.9                  |
| PI-01 | Male   | 148              | 73.9      | 48               | $0.9 \pm 0.9$             | 63.3                  |

Table 2. Morphometric and trip metric of the South American sea lion (Otaria byronia) (values expressed as mean ± SD). ID: identification number.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134926.t002

#### **Results**

#### SASL morphometrics and tag performance

Mean body length and body mass of the eight instrumented individuals were  $156.1 \pm 15.9$  cm and  $91.3 \pm 25.3$  kg, respectively (<u>Table 2</u>). Tracking records varied in duration from 7.8 to 137 d (mean =  $60.9 \pm 43.3$  d), rendering a total of  $4323 \pm 237$  GPS filtered locations for each individual.

#### Habitat utilization and foraging trips

A total of 160 foraging trips were recorded, with a mean duration of  $2.5 \pm 1.4$  d, whereas haulouts ranged between 0.48 and 1.67 d (N = 142 haulout periods) (Table 2). Most foraging trips were concentrated between La Sebastiana breeding colony (41°45'S; 73°48'W) and the inner waters of Chiloe Island (Fig 2A). The maximum distance travelled from La Sebastiana ranged between 74.6 and 127.1 km, whereas the total distance travelled (sum of the entire trips for



**Fig 2.** (a) GPS locations (green lines) from eight South American sea lions (*Otaria byronia*) instrumented in southern Chile during the austral winter of 2009 and 2010. Yellow dots represent the spatial distribution of salmon farms in the study area. (b) Kernel density estimates of sea lion location data. Red color represents higher use by sea lions

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134926.g002

| ID    | Proportion farms (%) | of time at-sea close | Median contribution of farmed salmon |      |      |
|-------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------|------|
|       | 1 km                 | 5 km                 | 10 km                                | Hair | Skin |
| CA-01 | 0.9                  | 12.0                 | 43.7                                 | 20.0 | 6.2  |
| CA-02 | 0.5                  | 14.5                 | 67.0                                 | 20.1 | -    |
| CA-03 | 4.9                  | 51.0                 | 82.1                                 | -    | 7.7  |
| CA-04 | 8.5                  | 39.0                 | 74.4                                 | 47.6 | 10.6 |
| CA-05 | 1.3                  | 14.7                 | 39.7                                 | 18.7 | 17.4 |
| CA-06 | 2.1                  | 15.2                 | 65.2                                 | 34.9 | 26.5 |
| CA-07 | 0.1                  | 50.3                 | 66.8                                 | 27.6 | 8.5  |
| PI-01 | 22.8                 | 97.9                 | 99.4                                 | 8.1  | 18.6 |
| All   | 3.5                  | 41.7                 | 69.1                                 | 25.3 | 13.6 |

Table 3. Proportion of time-at-sea (%) that SASL are in a radius of 1, 5 and 10km from a salmon farm in southern Chile, and median contribution (± SD) of farmed salmon to the diet of SASLs by hair and skin data.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134926.t003

each sea lion) ranged from 752 to 3974 km. The only exception was a subadult male (PI-01), captured in the inner waters of Chiloe Island (Pichicolo). This individual remained very close to the capture site colony through the duration of its tracking records. The kernel home range analysis allowed the identification of at least four hot spots of foraging behavior (defined as the 50% Utilization Distribution contour): (1) one in the Gulf of Ancud, located north of Chiloé Island; (2) a second located at the mouth of Reloncaví estuary; (3) a third located in the inner coast of Chiloe adjacent to Quemchi, and (4) a fourth in the waters around Pichicolo and Hornopirén. All of these hot spots were located in the inner coast (Fig 2B).

#### Spatial interaction with salmon farms

We calculated the proportion of time that SASL spent within a radius of 1, 5, and 10 km from salmon farms (<u>Table 3</u>). On average, sea lions spent 3.5% of their time-at-sea within 1 km or less from the fish farms. However, this percentage increased to 41.7% and 69.1% when we considered the time spent within 5 and 10 km of the salmon farms, respectively. The exception was the individual PI-01who spent 98% of its time-at-sea within a 5 km radius of salmon farms, and over 22% of its time within 1 km.

#### Stable isotopes analyses

The collected samples of vibrissae (N = 9) ranged from a length of 10.5 to 17.8 cm (mean:  $13.2 \pm 2.7$  cm). From these, a total of 330 vibrissae segments were analyzed for  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N. Isotopic values of vibrissae showed relatively low variability (range <2‰) in mean  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values among the nine individuals analyzed. No clear pattern was observed in  $\delta^{13}$ C or  $\delta^{15}$ N along vibrissae among individuals (Fig 3). SASL from Chullec showed higher within-vibrissae variability in  $\delta^{13}$ C values varying from 1.9‰ in CH-02 to 4.3‰ in CH-03. In contrast, individuals from Calbuco showed a low range of  $\delta^{13}$ C values, from 0.9‰ in CA-02 to 1.5‰ in CA-04 (Fig 3). A similar pattern was found in  $\delta^{15}$ N values, with a within-vibrissae range of 2.1‰ (CH-01) to 4.5‰ (CH-04) in sea lions from Chullec, whereas sea lions from Calbuco had within-vibrissae ranges of 0.5‰ (CA-01) to 1.3‰ (CA-03) (Fig 3). The only exception to this pattern was PI-01, which had a within-vibrissae range of 6.5‰ in  $\delta^{15}$ N.

 $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values of each individual for hair and skin tissues are shown in <u>S1 Table</u>. No significant differences were found in isotope values between hair and skin, neither for  $\delta^{13}$ C



Fig 3. Variation of  $\delta^{13}$ C (gray dots) and  $\delta^{15}$ N (white dots) values along vibrissae of South American sea lions. Individual IDs are provided in the top of each panel.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134926.g003

PLOS ONE

(U = 49.0, P > 0.05) nor for  $\delta^{15}$ N ( $F_{1,20} = 0.13, P > 0.05$ ). There were no differences in  $\delta^{13}$ C values between Calbuco and Chullec in mean hair ( $F_{1,8} = 2.63, P = 0.143$ ) or skin ( $F_{1,7} = 1.57, P = 0.250$ ) values. Similarly, no difference was found in  $\delta^{15}$ N values for skin ( $F_{1,7} = 3.207, P = 0.116$ ). The only exception was  $\delta^{15}$ N in hair, in which sea lions from Chullec showed higher values than sea lions from Calbuco ( $F_{1,8} = 8.90, P = 0.018$ ).

δ<sup>13</sup>C

#### Diet composition

The analysis of each individual vibrissae segment within each individual showed a high degree of variability (Fig 4). The median contribution of farmed salmonids fluctuated among segments from 2.8% to 70.4%; both of these estimates were found in CH-02. Salmonids were always low in some sea lion diets (9–10%; CA-02, CA-03), whereas they formed a large component of the diet in others (~24%; CA-01) (Fig 5).

The contribution of salmonids to the diet changed with time. For instance, CH-03 and CH-04 showed high (>50%) consumption of salmonids at the tip of the vibrissa, which we estimate corresponded to late 2008 and early 2009. After this period the contribution of salmon to the diet of these individuals was practically zero (Fig 5). Other individuals showed seasonal cycles in the consumption of salmonids; for example CA-01 showed a higher consumption of this prey in fall and winter months, and a low consumption in spring and summer.

The results of the mixing model using hair and skin data showed that farmed salmonids and benthic crustaceans were the main prey groups consumed by SASL when using hair (median  $\pm$  SD: 19.7  $\pm$  13.5% for salmonids and 13.3  $\pm$  8.3% for crustaceans), while pelagic fishes and farmed salmonids where the most important prey groups when using skin (median  $\pm$  SD: 15.3  $\pm$  9.8% and 15.3  $\pm$  9.6% for pelagic fishes and farmed salmonids, respectively) (Table 4). Farmed salmonids were the most important prey group for individuals from



Fig 4.  $\delta^{13}$ C plotted against  $\delta^{15}$ N values for each segment along the length of nine individual vibrissae obtained from South American sea lions from Calbuco and Chullec in southern Chile. Black dots represents mean isotopic values of prev. Bars represent standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134926.g004

Calbuco when using hair data, and from Chullec when using skin data (<u>Table 4</u>). Similar to results for vibrissae, we observed high individual diet variability when using hair and skin data to estimate SASL diet composition. For example, median diet contributions of farmed salmonids derived from hair data varied from as low as 4.7% in CH-01 to as high as 47.6% in CA-04.

Finally, we found no clear pattern when looking into the relationship between the proportion of time at-sea close to salmon farms with the median contribution of farmed salmon to the diet of SASL (<u>Table 3</u>). For instance, some individuals that consumed a high proportion of salmon (*e.g.*, CA-06) spent a low proportion of their time within a radius of 1 km from salmon farms.

#### Discussion

 $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values of vibrissae, hair and skin, in conjunction with satellite tracking data indicated that there is (1) spatial overlap between SASL and salmon farms, (2) sea lions are consuming farmed salmon in southern Chile, and (3) there exists substantial inter-individual variability in foraging habits of SASL. While significant interactions have been described between this species and salmon aquaculture in southern Chile [18], [20], our study is the first in any region to link movement patterns of an apex marine predator with the location of aquaculture installations and quantify the amount of farmed salmonids in a native consumer's diet. Also, the lack of relationship between time spent close to salmon farms and importance of



**Fig 5. Temporal variation in the median contribution (%) of the seven prey groups to the diet of individual South American sea lions.** Vibrissae samples provide a continuum in the diet history of the individual, from the distal sample (farther away from the base) providing the oldest diet information to the proximal sample (closer to the base) representing the most recent diet information. FS: Farmed salmonids, PC: Pelagic cephalopods, SPF: Small pelagic fish, DF: Demersal fish, PF: Pelagic fish, BF: Benthic fish, BC: Benthic crustaceans. Individual IDs are provided in the top of each panel.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134926.g005

salmon in the diet demonstrated that an integrated approach that combines stable isotope analysis with high-resolution movement data is a powerful means to examine the foraging ecology of a marine predator and characterize its interactions with the environment [16].

#### Habitat utilization and spatial interaction with salmon farms

Our study revealed that the most important hot spots for SASL corresponded to the inner and eastern coasts of Chiloé Island in the Gulf of Ancud and the adjacent Reloncaví Fjord. These findings indicate that SASL prefer to forage in the inner coast, despite breeding colonies are on the outer coast. The eastern coast of Chiloe Island is characterized by high densities of pelagic and demersal fishes [61]. In addition, several salmon farms are located at the mouth of Reloncaví Estuary, which is ~50km from the La Sebastiana breeding colony on the outer coast. This distance is well within the foraging range estimated in our and other studies of SASL movement and foraging behavior [62–65]. Thus, sea lions can take advantage of the abundant



| Prey group | Hair    |         |             | Skin    |         |            |  |
|------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|--|
|            | Calbuco | Chullec | Mean ± SD   | Calbuco | Chullec | Mean ± SD  |  |
| FS         | 28.2    | 9.8 *   | 19.7 ± 13.5 | 12.8    | 19.2    | 15.3 ± 9.6 |  |
| PC         | 11.8    | 11.5    | 11.3 ± 5.0  | 15.1    | 10.3*   | 13.1 ± 3.5 |  |
| SPF        | 11.8    | 9.0     | 10.7 ± 2.8  | 10.1    | 12.1    | 11.1 ± 3.3 |  |
| DF         | 6.7     | 13.4*   | 9.4 ± 4.1   | 13.0    | 9.2     | 11.3 ± 5.0 |  |
| PF         | 7.8     | 11.8*   | 9.3 ± 2.6   | 19.6    | 9.3     | 15.3 ± 9.8 |  |
| BF         | 8.7     | 13.6    | 11.1 ± 4.5  | 7.6     | 11.1    | 9.1 ± 2.9  |  |
| BC         | 10.1    | 15.3    | 13.3 ± 8.3  | 5.7     | 14.0    | 9.2 ± 6.3  |  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134926.t004

resources nearby, which could be a beneficial strategy as it confers the advantage of reducing overall travel costs [64].

We found large variability in individual SASL spatial ranges and the degree of overlap with salmon farms. For example, the individual PI-01 showed high use of areas that contained salmon farms. However, salmon was not an important prey item in the diet of this particular individual, accounting for only 8.1% of its diet according to hair isotope data. In contrast, the diet of some individuals that did not show a high spatial overlap with salmon farms (*e.g.*, CA-01) had a high contribution of salmon to their diet. These results suggest that even if an individual forages in close proximity to salmon farms, they do not necessarily eat farmed salmon. Similar results have been found in other species of pinnipeds that interact with fisheries, in which spatial overlap is not necessarily related with a higher degree of interaction [24], [66]. Even the magnitude of the competition between an apex marine predator and fisheries will depend partly on the degree of spatial and/or temporal resource overlap [67], however, our results suggest that the degree of spatial overlap is not necessarily proportional to the magnitude of interactions.

At least three explanations that are not mutually exclusive could explain the lack of relationship between time spent close to salmon farms and importance of salmon in the diet. First, SASL that spend a significant proportion of time close to salmon farms may actually consume wild native fish that are attracted to the pen enclosures because they provide food or structure [43]. Second, we cannot discard the possibility that SASL are consuming feral salmon that have similar isotopic values as farmed salmon (M. Sepúlveda, unpublished data). High quantities of feral salmon that have escaped from salmon farms are now widely distributed in both marine and freshwater environments in southern Chile [43]. Thus it is possible that SASL may be consuming feral salmon that are not associated with salmon farms. Finally, the results from satellite tracking and SIA data may not match because they are not overlapping in time; obviously tracking data showed the foraging ecology after capture, whereas hair and skin isotopic data are indicative of diet before capture. SASL show a remarkably high degree of intra-individual (within-vibrissae) isotopic variation, which is evidence of prey switching and overall plasticity in foraging behavior. Similar diet plasticity has been observed in other SASL populations [46] and other species of sea lions [1-2], [16], which suggests that this group of marine predators can quickly adapt to spatial and temporal variation in prey availability and abundance.

#### Stable isotopes analysis and diet composition

Isotopic data from vibrissae, skin and hair samples showed that farmed salmonids were one of the most important prey for SASL in the study area (Table 4). Although our knowledge of SASL diet composition in southern Chile is limited, our results are consistent with reported evidence of a strong operational interaction between SASL and salmon farms [18], [20]. While interactions have been previously described between other species of sea lions and non-native farmed salmonids, including Australian fur seals (*Arctocephalus pusillus doriferus*) and New Zealand fur seals (*Arctophoca australis forsteri*) [68], our movement and dietary results show that the SASL is capable of adapting to a novel and easily accessible prey, and reinforces the idea that the SASL shows a high plasticity in its trophic habits [22].

Pelagic fish and benthic crustaceans were also identified as important prey sources for SASL. Snoek (*Thyrsites atun*) and Chilean jack mackerel (*Trachurus murphyi*) were important prey species when skin isotope data was used in the mixing model, with a mean group contribution of  $15.3 \pm 9.8\%$ . Both species have been reported as dietary items for SASL in other areas along the Chilean coast [69], [70]. H. Pavés (unpublished data) also reported a strong interaction between SASL and snoek fisheries at localities close to our study area, which also suggests

that this fish species is consumed by SASL. Finally, mixing model results based on hair isotope data identified benthic crustaceans as an important prey group for SASL, accounting for  $13.3 \pm 8.3\%$ . Crustaceans have been reported as an occasional prey consumed by SASL in Argentina [71] and Chile [72].

Our results showed consistent differences in dietary estimates between hair and skin tissues. Differences in diet composition between tissues could reflect difference in isotopic incorporation rates and thus the time of year that each tissue represents [73-75]. The isotopic composition of skin integrates dietary inputs over relatively recent time scales from 1-3 months prior to sample collection [76]. Thus, our skin samples correspond to the austral winter and spring since they were collected in August and December. Hair remains metabolically inert after formation [75] and since molting in SASL occurs in May–June [77],  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values reflect diet consumed during the austral fall. Thus, differences in the isotope values of hair and skin supports seasonal variation in diet composition. Alternatively, the discrepancy in diet composition could be a consequence of the slightly different nitrogen isotope ( $\delta^{15}N$ ) trophic discrimination factors (TDFs) used in the mixing models, because results of stable isotope mixing models are sensitive to TDFs [56]. TDFs are known to vary among tissues, a phenomenon often referred to as tissue-specific discrimination. The underlying mechanism for this has not been systematically studied, but differences in amino acid composition among tissues likely play an important role. While we used the same  $\delta^{13}$ C TDF for both tissues, we used a slightly higher  $\delta^{15}$ N TDF for hair (3.5‰) than skin (3.0‰). We performed a sensitivity analysis by running the mixing model using the same  $\delta^{15}$ N TDFs for hair and skin and found that the relative importance of prey identified for each tissue was not sensitive to subtle (0.5‰) differences in  $\delta^{15}$ N TDF.

Independent of whether we use skin of hair data to quantify diet composition via mixing models, our study found evidence of inter-individual variation in prey consumption, especially in the consumption of farmed salmonids, pelagic fish and benthic crustaceans. Isotopic data of SASL tissues collected from Chullec showed differences among individuals and exhibited a larger inter-individual variation in  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values as compared to sea lions from Calbuco. The range of SASL  $\delta^{15}$ N values from Chullec suggests that these individuals were foraging on prey from different trophic levels, including a high proportion of benthic crustaceans and benthic fishes. Sea lions from Calbuco, on the other hand, foraged in a narrow isotopic range, showing a high degree of isotopic overlap among the individuals. These inter-individual differences suggest that SASL in some regions may be partitioning available resources that may help reduce intra-specific competition and enable the coexistence of individuals that have overlapping ranges [78]. Similar results have been found in other species of seals [29], [42] and sea lions [28], [79].

We also found a high degree of intra-individual isotopic variation in SASL vibrissae, which was used to quantify variation in diet composition with mixing models at the individual level. Intra-individual changes in diet composition over time likely reflect seasonal changes in the distribution and abundance of prey guilds [1], [2], [16], [66]. For instance, the individual CA-01 showed a clear seasonal signal in the consumption of salmonids with increased consumption of this prey in the fall and winter months (~32%) and corresponding decreases to ~16% in the spring and summer seasons. This pattern corresponds to observational evidence showing that SASL attacks on salmon farms also follow a seasonal pattern, being most prevalent during fall and winter months [20]. This feeding behavior may be driven by the energetic deficit that SASL incur during the summer breeding season (December-March) [18]. Additionally, salmonids represented >60% of the diet of individuals CH-03 and CH-04 in the summer of 2009, however, after that period both of these individuals showed a significant drop to <5% in the consumption of this prey group. This decrease in salmon consumption may have been caused

by the mortality that local salmonid farms experienced in late 2008 and early 2009 from the infectious salmon anemia virus [80]. This viral outbreak resulted in the closure of many salmon farms in the region, especially those located on the east coast of Chiloe Island where CH-03 and CH-04 were captured. It is important to note that we cannot discard some errors in our timeline estimates, because we had no species-specific whisker growth rates thus our assumption of 0.16 mm/day found in other otariids [37] may be incorrect.

In summary, our findings demonstrate the usefulness of integrating stable isotope derived dietary data with movement patterns to characterize the impacts of a non-native prey on the foraging ecology of an apex marine predator, and emphasize the importance of a multi-metric approach to understanding predator responses to changes in prey availability [79]. In addition to showing how flexible a generalist predator can be in the face of human alteration of their environment, our results have important applied implications in a situation where interactions between aquaculture and wildlife are common, which has resulted in a call for more active management of SASL in this region. Our results represent an important step in understanding the potential contribution of SASL to salmon mortality and its potential role as a natural regulator of this non-native fish in Chile.

#### Supporting Information

S1 Table. Individual  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{15}$ N and C:N atomic ratio for hair and skin tissues of 12 SASLs from southern Chile. (DOCX)

#### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Alberto Paras, L. René Durán, Alejandra Urra, Patricia Inostroza, Rodrigo Moraga, Macarena Santos, Mariela Dassis, Patrice Mitch, Cristian Astudillo, Pablo Martínez, Osvaldo Martínez, Jaime Riffo and Alfredo Mayorga for their help in the field and to Lily Muñoz for her collaboration with samples preparation. We are also grateful to Rob Harcourt and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful and thorough comments to the manuscript. To Salmonoil S.A., Cultivos Marinos Leoman Ltda. and Agromar Ltda. for field support and samples collection.

#### Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MS DO. Performed the experiments: MS GP DO LH. Analyzed the data: MS GP SDN LH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MS SDN LH DC. Wrote the paper: MS GP SDN LH DC DO.

#### References

- 1. McCafferty DJ, Boyd IL, Walker TR, Taylor RI. Foraging responses of Antarctic fur seals to changes in the marine environment. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1998; 166: 285-299.
- Sigler MF, Tollit DJ, Vollenweider JJ, Thedinga JF, Csepp DJ, Womble JN, et al. Steller sea lion forag-2. ing response to seasonal changes in prey availability. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2009; 388: 243-261.
- 3. Sinclair EH, Zeppelin TK. Seasonal and spatial differences in diet in the western stock of Steller sea lions (Eumetopias jubatus). J Mammal. 2002; 83: 973-990.
- 4. Bailey KM, Ainley DG. The dynamics of California sea lion predation on Pacific hake. Fish Res. 1982; 1:163-176.
- 5. Weise MJ, Harvey JT. Temporal variability in ocean climate and California sea lion diet and biomass consumption: implications for fisheries resources and management. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2008; 373: 157-172.

- Tinker MT, Guimarães PR Jr, Novak M, Darcie Marquitti FM, Bodkin JL, Staedler M, et al. Structure and mechanism of diet specialisation: testing models of individual variation in resource use with sea otters. Ecol Lett. 2012; 15: 475–483. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01760.x</u> PMID: <u>22414160</u>
- Bradshaw CJA, Lloyd SD, Lalas C, Harcourt RG. Geographic and temporal variation in the condition of pups of the New Zealand fur seal (*Arctocephalus forsteri*): evidence for density dependence and differences in the marine environment. J Zool. 2000; 252: 41–51.
- 8. Harcourt RG, Bradshaw CJA, Dickson K, Davis LS. Foraging ecology of a generalist predator, the female New Zealand fur seal. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2002; 227: 11–24.
- Tinker MT, Bentall G, Estes JA. Food limitation leads to behavioral diversification and dietary specialization in sea otters. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 105: 560–565. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.0709263105</u> PMID: <u>18195370</u>
- Huggard DJ. Prey selectivity of wolves in Banff National park. I. Prey species. Can J Zool. 1993; 71: 130–139.
- Iliopoulos Y, Sgardelis S, Koutis V, Savaris D. Wolf depredation on livestock in central Greece. Acta Theriol. 2009; 54: 11–22.
- Stahl P, Vandel JM, Herrenschmidt V, Migot P. Predation on livestock by an expanding reintroduced lynx population: long-term trend and spatial variability. J Applied Ecol. 2001; 38: 674–687.
- Hume F, Hindell MA, Pemberton D, Gales R. Spatial and temporal variation in the diet of a high trophic level predator, the Australian fur seal (*Arctocephalus pusillus doriferus*). Mar Biol. 2004; 144: 407–415.
- 14. Baylis AMM, Page B, McKenzie J, Goldsworthy S. Individual foraging site fidelity in lactating New Zealand fur seals: Continental shelf vs. oceanic habitats. Mar Mamm Sci. 2012; 28: 276–294.
- Hückstädt LA, Burns JM, Koch PL, McDonald BI, Crocker DE, Costa DP. Diet of a specialist in a changing environment: the crabeater seal along the western Antarctic Peninsula. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2012; 455: 287–301.
- Lowther AD, Harcourt RG, Page B, Goldsworthy SD. Steady as He goes: At-sea movement of adult male Australian sea lions in a dynamic marine environment. PloS One. 2013; 8(9): e74348. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1371/journal.pone.0074348</u> PMID: <u>24086338</u>
- 17. Nelson ML, Gilbert JR, Boyle KJ. The influence of sitting and deterrence methods on seal predation at Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) farms in Maine, 2001–2003. Can J Fish Aq Sci. 2006; 63: 1710–1721.
- 18. Vilata J, Oliva D, Sepúlveda M. The predation of farmed salmon by South American sea lions (*Otaria flavescens*) in southern Chile. ICES J Mar Sci. 2010; 67: 475–482.
- **19.** Quick NS, Middlemas SJ, Armstrong JD. A survey of antipredator controls at marine farms in Scotland. Aquaculture. 2004; 230: 169–180.
- Sepúlveda M, Oliva D. Interactions between South American sea lions Otaria flavescens (Shaw) and salmon farms in southern Chile. Aquac Res. 2005; 36: 1062–1068.
- Oliva D, Sepúlveda M, Durán LR, Sielfeld W, Moraga R, Pavez G, Muñoz L. Cuantificación poblacional de lobos marinos en las Regiones X y XI y propuesta de escenarios de manejo. Informe Final Proyecto FAP ID 4728-46-LP11 2012.
- Cappozzo HL, Perrin WF. South American sea lion *Otaria flavescens*. In: Perrin WF, Würsig B, Thewissen JGM, editors. Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals, 2nd edn. San Diego: Academic Press; 2009. pp. 1076–1079.
- Costa DP, Robinson PW, Arnould JPY, Harrison AL, Simmons SE, Hassrick JL, et al. Accuracy of ARGOS locations of pinnipeds at-sea estimated using Fastloc GPS. PloS One. 2010; 5: e8677. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008677 PMID: 20090942
- Cronin MA, Gerritsen HD, Reid DG. Evidence of low spatial overlap between grey seals and specific whitefish fishery off the west coast of Ireland. Biol Conserv. 2012; 150: 136–142.
- Crawford K, McDonald RA, Bearhop S. Applications of stable isotope techniques to the ecology of mammals. Mamm Rev. 2008; 38: 87–107.
- 26. Newsome SD, Clementz MT, Koch PL. Using stable isotope biogeochemistry to study marine mammal ecology. Mar Mamm Sci. 2010; 26: 509–572.
- Waite JN, Trumble SJ, Burkanov VN, Andrews RD. Resource partitioning by sympatric Steller sea lions and northern fur seals as revealed by biochemical dietary analyses and satellite telemetry. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2012; 416–417: 41–54.
- Lowther AD, Harcourt RG, Hamer DJ, Goldsworthy SD. Creatures of habit: foraging habitat fidelity of adult female Australian sea lions. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2011; 443: 249–263.
- Lewis R, O'Connell TC, Lewis M, Campagna C, Hoelzel AR. Sex-specific foraging strategies and resource partitioning in the southern elephant seal (*Mirounga leonina*). Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2006; 273: 2901–2907.

- Newsome SD, Tinker MT, Monson DH, Oftedal OT, Ralls K, Staedler MM, et al. Using stable isotopes to investigate individual diet specialization in California sea otters (*Enhydra lutris nereis*). Ecology. 2009; 90: 961–974. PMID: <u>19449691</u>
- Bailleul F, Authier M, Ducatez S, Roquet F, Charrassin JB, Cherel Y, et al. Looking at the unseen: combining animal bio-logging and stable isotopes to reveal a shift in the ecological niche of a deep diving predator. Ecography. 2010; 33: 709–719.
- DeNiro MJ, Epstein S. Influence of diet on the distribution of carbon isotopes in animals. Geochim Cosmochim Acta. 1978; 42: 495–506.
- Das K, Lepoint G, Leroy Y, Bouquegneau JM. Marine mammals from the southern North Sea: feeding ecology data from δ<sup>13</sup>C and δ<sup>15</sup>N measurements. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2003; 263: 287–298.
- Hobson KA, Schell DM, Renouf D, Noseworthy E. Stable carbon and nitrogen isotopic fractionation between diet and tissues of captive seals: implications for dietary reconstructions involving marine mammals. Can J Fish Aq Sci. 1996; 53: 528–533.
- Kelly JF. Stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen in the study of avian and mammalian trophic ecology. Can J Zool. 2000; 78: 1–27.
- Martínez del Rio C, Wolf N, Carleton SA, Gannes LZ. Isotopic ecology ten years after a call for more laboratory experiments. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc. 2009; 84: 91–111. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008</u>. <u>00064.x</u> PMID: <u>19046398</u>
- Cherel Y, Kernaléguen L, Richard P, Guinet C. Vibrissae isotopic signature depicts migration patterns and multi-year intra- and inter-individual foraging strategies in fur seals. Biol Lett. 2009; 5: 830–832. doi: <u>10.1098/rsbl.2009.0552</u> PMID: <u>19793740</u>
- Hirons AC, Schell DM, St. Aubin DJ. Growth rates of vibrissae of harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) and Steller sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*). Can J Zool. 2001; 79: 1053–1061.
- Aurioles D, Koch PL, Le Boeuf BJ. Differences in foraging location of Mexican and California elephant seals: evidence from stable isotopes in pups. Mar Mamm Sci. 2006; 22: 326–338.
- Bearhop S, Phillips RA, McGill R, Cherel Y, Dawson DA, Croxall JP. Stable isotopes indicate sex-specific and long-term individual foraging specialization in diving seabirds. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2006; 311: 157–164.
- Cherel Y, Hobson KA. Geographical variation in carbon stable isotope signatures of marine predators: a tool to investigate their foraging areas in the Southern Ocean. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007; 329: 281– 287.
- Hückstädt LA, Koch PL, McDonald BI, Goebel ME, Crocker DE, Costa DP. Stable isotope analyses reveal individual variability in the trophic ecology of a top marine predator, the southern elephant seal. Oecologia. 2012; 169: 395–406. doi: <u>10.1007/s00442-011-2202-y</u> PMID: <u>22139429</u>
- Sepúlveda M, Arismendi I, Soto D, Jara F, Farias F. Escaped farmed salmon and trout in Chile: incidence, impacts, and the need for an ecosystem view. Aquacult Environ Interact. 2013; 4: 273–283.
- 44. Hückstädt LA, Quiñones RA, Sepúlveda M, Costa DP. Movement and diving patterns of juvenile male South American sea lions off the coast of central Chile. Mar Mamm Sci. 2014; 30: 1175–1183.
- 45. Mote S. δ<sup>13</sup>C y δ<sup>15</sup>N en vibrisas de lobo marino de California (*Zalophus californianus*) como indicador de la variación trófica estacional en bahía Magdalena y bahía de la Paz, BCS, México. M.Sc. Thesis, Instituto Politécnico Nacional. 2011. Available: <u>http://www.repositoriodigital.ipn.mx/handle/123456789/</u>15448. Accessed 08 June 2015.
- Muñoz L, Pavez G, Quiñones RA, Oliva D, Santos M, Sepúlveda M. Latitudinal variation in the diet of the South American sea lion revealed by stable isotopes. Rev Biol Mar Oceanogr. 2013; 48: 613–622.
- 47. Oliva D, Sielfeld W, Durán LR, Sepúlveda M, Pérez MJ, Moraga R, et al. Interferencia de mamíferos marinos con actividades pesqueras y de acuicultura. Informe Final Proyecto FIP 2003–32. 2003. Available: <u>http://www.fip.cl/Archivos/Hitos/Informes/inffinal%202003-32.pdf</u>. Accessed 08 June 2015.
- De la Torriente A, Quiñones RA, Miranda-Urbina DA, Echevarría F. South American sea lion and spiny dogfish predation on artisanal catches of southern hake in fjords of Chilean Patagonia. ICES J Mar Sci. 2010; 67: 294–303.
- 49. Sernapesca. Anuario estadístico de pesca. Desembarque artesanal por especie y región 2011. Servicio Nacional de Pesca, Valparaíso. Available: <u>http://www.sernapesca.cl/index.php?option=com\_remository&Itemid=246&func=select&id=728</u>. Accessed 08 June 2015.
- Sielfeld W, Guerra C, Durán LR, Acuña E, Aguayo-Lobo A, et al. Monitoreo de la pesquería y censo del lobo marino común en el litoral de la I-IV Regiones. Informe Final Proyecto FIP 95–28. 1997. Available: http://www.fip.cl/Archivos/Hitos/Informes/inffinal%2095-28.pdf. Accessed 08 June 2015.
- Aguayo-Lobo A, Díaz H, Yánez J, Palma F, Sepúlveda M. Censo poblacional del lobo marino común en el litoral de la V a la IX Regiones. Informe Final Proyecto FIP 96–51. 1998. Available: <u>http://www.fip.</u> cl/Archivos/Hitos/Informes/inffinal%2096-51.pdf. Accessed 08 June 2015.

- Fedak MA, Lovell P, Grant SM. Two approaches to compressing and interpreting time-depth information as collected by time-depth recorders and satellite-linked data recorders. Mar Mamm Sci. 2001; 17: 94–110.
- Dujon AM, Lindstrom RT, Hays GC. The accuracy of Fastloc-GPS locations and implications for animal tracking. Methods Ecol Evol. 2014; 5: 1162–1169.
- Worton BJ. Using Monte Carlo simulation to evaluate kernel-based home range estimators. J Wildl Manage. 1995; 59: 794–800.
- Beyer HL. Geospatial Modelling Environment (Version 0.7. 2.1). Spatial Ecology, LLC 2012. Available <u>http://www.spatialecology.com/gme/</u>. Accessed 08 June 2015.
- Moore JW, Semmens BX. Incorporating uncertainty and prior information into stable isotope mixing models. Ecol Lett. 2008; 11: 470–480. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01163.x PMID: 18294213
- Phillips DL. Mixing models in analyses of diet using multiple stable isotopes: a critique. Oecologia. 2001; 127: 166–170. doi: 10.1007/s004420000571 PMID: 24577645
- Phillips DL, Newsome SD, Gregg JW. Combining sources in stable isotope mixing models: alternative methods. Oecologia. 2005; 144: 520–527. PMID: <u>15711995</u>
- Newsome SD, Bentall GB, Tinker MT, Oftedal OT, Ralls K, Estes JA, et al. Variation in δ<sup>13</sup>C and δ<sup>15</sup>N diet-vibrissae trophic discrimination factors in a wild population of California sea otters. Ecol Appl. 2010; 20: 1744–1752. PMID: 20945772
- Lesage V, Hammill MO, Kovacs KM. Diet tissue fractionation of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes in phocid seals. Mar Mamm Sci 2002; 18: 182–193.
- Lillo S. Evaluación hidroacústica del stock desovante de merluza del sur y merluza de cola en la zona sur austral, año 2012. Informe Fondo de Investigación Pesquera FIP 2011–04. 2012. Available: <a href="http://www.fip.cl/Archivos/Hitos/Informes/INFORME%20HITO%20FINAL1130Adjunto1.pdf">http://www.fip.cl/Archivos/Hitos/Informes/INFORME%20HITO%20FINAL1130Adjunto1.pdf</a>. Accessed 08 June 2015.
- Thompson D, Duck CD, McConnell BJ, Garrett J. Foraging behaviour and diet of lactating female southern sea lions (*Otaria flavescens*) in the Falkland Islands. J Zool. 1998; 246: 135–146.
- Campagna C, Werner R, Karesh W, Marín MR, Koontz F, et al. Movements and location at the sea of South American sea lions (*Otaria flavescens*). J Zool. 2001; 257: 205–220.
- Riet-Sapriza FG, Costa DP, Franco-Trecu V, Marín Y, Chocca J, et al. Foraging behavior of lactating South American sea lions (*Otaria flavescens*) and spatial-temporal resource overlap with the Uruguayan fisheries. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr. 2013; 88–89: 106–119.
- 65. Rodríguez DH, Dassis M, Ponce de León A, Barreiro C, Farenga M, et al. Foraging strategies of Southern sea lion females in the La Plata River Estuary (Argentina-Uruguay). Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr. 2013; 88–89: 120–130.
- Thomas AC, Lance MM, Jeffries SJ, Miner BG, Acevedo-Gutiérrez A. Harbor seal foraging response to a seasonal resource pulse, spawning Pacific herring. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2011; 441: 225–239.
- Matthiopoulos J, Smout S, Winship AJ, Thompson D, Boyd IL, Harwood J. Getting beneath the surface of marine mammal-fisheries competition. Mamm Rev. 2008; 38: 167–188.
- Kemper CM, Pemberton D, Cawthorn M, Heinrich S, Mann J, et al. Aquaculture and marine mammals: Co-existence or conflict?. In: Gales N, Hindell M, Kirkwood R, editors. Marine Mammals: Fisheries, Tourism and Management Issues. Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing; 2003. pp. 208–225.
- George-Nascimento M, Bustamante R, Oyarzun C. Feeding ecology of the South American sea lion Otaria flavescens: food contents and food selectivity. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1985; 21: 135–143.
- Hückstädt LA, Rojas CP, Antezana T. Stable isotope analysis reveals pelagic foraging by the Southern sea lion in central Chile. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2007; 347: 123–133.
- Koen Alonso M, Crespo EA, Pedraza SN, García NA, Coscarella MA. Food habits of the South American sea lion, *Otaria flavescens*, off Patagonia, Argentina. Fish Bull. 2000; 98: 250–263.
- Aguayo A, Maturana R. Presencia del lobo marino común Otaria flavescens en el litoral chileno. I. Arica (18°20'S) a Punta Maiquillahue (39°27'S). Biol Pesq. 1973; 6: 45–75.
- 73. Carleton SA, Martínez del Río C. The effect of cold-induced increased metabolic rate on the rate of <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N incorporation in house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*). Oecologia. 2005; 144: 226–232. PMID: <u>15891840</u>
- Newsome SD, Martínez del Río C, Bearhop S, Phillips DL. A niche for isotopic ecology. Front Ecol Environ. 2007; 5: 429–436.
- Ramos R, González-Solís J. Trace me if you can: the use of intrinsic biogeochemical markers in marine top predators. Front Ecol Environ. 2012; 10: 258–266.
- Kurle CM, Worthy GAJ. Stable isotope assessment of temporal and geographic differences in feeding ecology of northern fur seals (*Callorhinus ursinus*) and their prey. Oecologia. 2001; 126: 254–265.

- 77. Giardino G, Mandiola A, Bastida J, Denuncio P, Dassis M, Bastida R, Rodríguez D. Técnica de marcado por decoloración de pelo en el lobo marino *Otaria flavescens*: descripción y evaluación del método. Mastozool Neotrop. 2013; 20: 393–398.
- Estes JA, Riedman ML, Staedler MM, Tinker MT, Lyon BE. Individual variation in prey selection by sea otters: patterns, causes and implications. J Anim Ecol. 2003; 72: 144–155.
- 79. Jeglinski JWE, Goetz KT, Werner C, Costa DP, Trillmich F. Same size-same niche? Foraging niche separation between sympatric juvenile Galapagos sea lions and adult Galapagos fur seals. J Anim Ecol. 2013; 82: 694–706. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12019 PMID: 23351022
- Barton JR, Fløysand A. The political ecology of Chilean salmon aquaculture, 1982–2010: a trajectory from economic development to global sustainability. Glob Environ Change. 2010; 20: 739–752.