

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Photodissociation dynamics of the tert-butyl perthiyl radical

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/16m2p07v>

Journal

The Journal of Chemical Physics, 152(24)

ISSN

0021-9606

Authors

Nichols, Bethan
Sullivan, Erin N
Neumark, Daniel M

Publication Date

2020-06-28

DOI

10.1063/5.0006913

Peer reviewed

Photodissociation dynamics of the *tert*-butyl perthiyl radical

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. 152, 244301 (2020); doi: 10.1063/5.0006913

Submitted: 6 March 2020 • Accepted: 31 May 2020 •

Published Online: 22 June 2020



Bethan Nichols,^{a)} Erin N. Sullivan,^{b)} and Daniel M. Neumark^{b)}

AFFILIATIONS

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA and Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

^{a)}Present address: Thumbtack, San Francisco, CA 94103, USA.

^{b)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: dneumark@berkeley.edu

ABSTRACT

The photodissociation dynamics of the *tert*-butyl perthiyl (*t*-BuSS) radical are investigated by fast-beam coincidence translational spectroscopy. A fast (6 keV–8 keV) beam of neutral *t*-BuSS radicals is produced via photodetachment of the corresponding anion, followed by photodissociation at 248 nm (5.00 eV) or 193 nm (6.42 eV) and coincident detection of the neutral products. Photofragment mass and translational energy distributions are obtained at both wavelengths. At 248 nm, the dominant product channel (90%) is found to be S loss, with a product translational energy distribution that peaks close to the maximum available energy and an anisotropic photofragment angular distribution, indicating dissociation along a repulsive excited state. A minor channel (10%) leading to the formation of S₂ + *t*-Bu is also observed. At 193 nm, both two- and three-body dissociation are observed. The formation of S₂ + *t*-Bu is the dominant two-body product channel, with multiple electronic states of the S₂ molecule produced via excited-state dissociation processes. The formation of S + *t*-BuS is a minor two-body channel at this dissociation energy. The three-body channels are S₂ + H + isobutene, S₂ + CH₃ + propene, and S + SH + isobutene. The first two of these channels result from a sequential dissociation process in which the loss of S₂ from *t*-BuSS results in ground-state *t*-Bu with sufficient internal energy to undergo secondary fragmentation. The third three-body channel, S + SH + isobutene, is attributed to the loss of internally excited HS₂ from *t*-BuSS, which then rapidly dissociates to form S + SH in an asynchronous concerted dissociation process.

Published under license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0006913>

I. INTRODUCTION

The disulfide bonding motif is found in a variety of arenas throughout chemistry ranging from chemical biology, where it is critical in the folding and stability of proteins,^{1,2} to the vulcanization of rubber in industry, where disulfide bonds are used to cross-link different polymer chains.³ In mammalian tissue, high levels of hydropersulfides (RSSH) have been detected⁴ and recent studies have proposed that these persulfides play an important role as radical-trapping antioxidants, leading to the formation of the corresponding perthiyl radicals (RSS), which can then further react, fragment, or dimerize.^{5,6} Despite the potential importance of these perthiyl radicals as intermediates in disulfur chemistry, comparatively little work has been done to characterize their spectroscopy and photochemistry other than methyl perthiyl (CH₃SS), which has been previously studied in our group and elsewhere.^{7–9} In this article, we investigate the photodissociation of the *tert*-butyl perthiyl

radical (*t*-BuSS) at 248 nm (5.00 eV) and 193 nm (6.42 eV) in order to determine its primary photochemistry and dissociation mechanisms.










There have been very few experimental or theoretical investigations of the spectroscopy of *t*-BuSS. Early experiments characterized *t*-BuSS radicals produced from photolysis of *t*-BuSSCl using electron spin resonance spectroscopy.¹⁰ Additionally, photolysis of *tert*-butyl tetrasulfide has been used to produce *t*-BuSS radicals, which exhibit a strong absorption in the UV region around 375 nm (3.31 eV).^{11,12} A theoretical study of the structures of the simplest perthiyl radicals and their anions predicted a value of 1.847 eV for the electron affinity of the *t*-BuSS radical.¹³ Calculations performed in this work and presented in the [supplementary material](#) indicate that the ground state is of ²A'' symmetry.

The spectroscopy and photodissociation dynamics of the related methyl perthiyl radical have received significantly more

attention. Moran and Ellison⁷ used anion photoelectron spectroscopy to determine the electron affinity of CH₃SS (1.757 eV) and vibrational frequencies of the S–S stretching mode for the anion and neutral. The photodissociation dynamics of CH₃SS radicals have also recently been studied at 248 nm and 193 nm.^{8,9} At 248 nm, the dominant product channel is S + CH₃S formed via repulsive dissociation on an electronically excited state, with a small contribution from S₂ + CH₃ products. At 193 nm, S loss remains the major dissociation channel, although S₂ loss becomes more competitive, accounting for around one-third of the fragmentation products. Both S and S₂ products are produced in multiple electronic states, suggesting excited-state dissociation processes. Complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF)/CASPT2 calculations¹⁴ predict that the first two excited states of CH₃SS lie 1.05 eV and 3.42 eV above the ground state, respectively, and so are not likely to contribute to the absorption at 248 nm (5.00 eV) and 193 nm (6.42 eV). Calculations of higher lying electronic states have yet to be performed.

Perthiyl radicals are the sulfur analog of peroxy radicals, which are key intermediates in hydrocarbon oxidation.¹⁵ Recent fast radical beam studies in our laboratory investigated photodissociation dynamics of methyl, ethyl, and *t*-butyl peroxy radicals at 248 nm.^{16,17} The alkyl peroxy radicals were excited to their \tilde{B} -state, and coincident detection of the photofragments yielded information on the two- and three-body dissociation channels. In these species, the \tilde{B} -state is repulsive along the O–O bond stretching coordinate, and its photoexcitation results in the loss of O atoms on electronically excited states, leading to both two- and three-fragment dissociation channels. As the alkyl substituent increases in size, internal conversion to the ground electronic state becomes increasingly competitive, leading to the loss of O₂ and HO₂ fragments. It is of interest to compare the alkyl perthiyl and alkyl peroxy photodissociation dynamics to explore differences in the photochemistry of the sulfur and oxygen analogs.

In this work, we carry out fast radical beam experiments to investigate the photodissociation dynamics of the *t*-butyl perthiyl (*t*-BuSS) radical at 248 nm (5.00 eV) and 193 nm (6.42 eV). Multiple two- and three-body dissociation channels are energetically accessible based on the dissociation energies listed here:^{18–20}



Photodissociation of *t*-BuSS at 248 nm yields near exclusive formation of channel 1 (S + *t*-BuS), with a minor contribution from channel 2 (S₂ + *t*-Bu) products. At 193 nm, channel 1 is minor and S₂ loss becomes the major dissociation pathway, leading to the two-body dissociation products S₂ + *t*-Bu, as well as three-body product channels 5 and 6 (S₂ + H + isobutene and S₂ + CH₃ + propene, respectively) from the secondary dissociation of energized *t*-Bu fragments. Finally, the three-body dissociation products formed via channel 8 (S + SH + isobutene) are observed and attributed to the loss of internally excited HS₂, followed by dissociation of the HS₂ molecule.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

The fast-beam coincidence translational spectrometer employed in this study has been described in detail previously,^{8,21} so only the details specific to this work will be discussed here. While this instrument was originally designed to measure two-body photodissociation events, more recent detector configurations have enabled coincidence-based detection of three-body dissociation.^{22,23}

A fast beam of *tert*-butyl perthiyl anions (*t*-BuSS[−]) was generated by flowing 15 psig (1 bar) Ar through di-*tert*-butyl disulfide. The gas mixture was supersonically expanded into the vacuum through an Amsterdam Piezo Valve²⁴ operating at 100 Hz, coupled with a DC grid discharge source²⁵ to produce *t*-BuSS[−] ions. The ions were accelerated to a beam energy of 6 keV–8 keV and mass-selected using a Bakker-type mass spectrometer.^{26,27} Mass-selected *t*-BuSS[−] ions were subsequently photodetached with a 532 nm (2.33 eV) pulse from a Nd:YAG laser (Litron LPY742-100) or at 677 nm (1.83 eV) with a Nd:YAG-pumped dye laser (Radiant Dyes NarrowScan) to produce a fast beam of neutral *t*-BuSS.

The neutral *t*-BuSS radicals formed in the detachment step were characterized by a photoelectron spectrometer installed in the photodetachment region.⁸ The photodetached electrons were extracted perpendicular to the beam of the neutral radicals and velocity-mapped onto a position-sensitive detector consisting of a chevron stack of two multichannel plates (MCPs) and a phosphor screen. Events on the phosphor screen were captured by a camera and transferred to a computer for analysis of the resulting image. Photoelectron translational energy distributions were obtained from the recorded images by means of Abel inversion (BASEX),²⁸ yielding information on the structure of the radical formed by photodetachment as well as the internal energy of the ions and neutrals.

After the photodetachment region, any remaining anions in the fast beam were deflected from the beam path using an electric field. The resulting beam of fast neutral *t*-BuSS was then intersected by a laser pulse from an excimer laser (GAM EX-50F) operating at either 248 nm (5.00 eV) or 193 nm (6.42 eV). Photodissociation products that scatter from the beam path were detected in coincidence on a time- and position-sensitive RoentDek Hex80 delay-line anode detector,^{23,29,30} with any undissociated *t*-BuSS blocked by a 2.5 mm radius beam block in front of the detector face. For each coincident event, either a two-body or three-body dissociation, the arrival times and positions of the photofragments were determined and analyzed to yield the photofragment masses, translational energy release, and scattering angle. A satisfactory data set consists of greater than 10 000 coincident events, but an abundance of signal

allowed for over 30 000 coincidence events for some of the results presented here.

The resulting two-body dissociation photofragment translational and angular distributions are given by the relation

$$P(E_T, \theta) = P(E_T) \cdot [1 + \beta(E_T)P_2(\cos \theta)], \quad (10)$$

where $\beta(E_T)$ is the energy-dependent anisotropy parameter and P_2 is the second-order Legendre polynomial.³¹ The anisotropy parameter for three-body dissociation is calculated directly from the angular distributions of the scattered products. In the current experiments, the unpolarized output of the excimer laser is used for dissociation, so θ is defined as the angle between the dissociation recoil axis (or in the case of the three-body dissociation, the normal to the dissociation plane) and the direction of propagation of the laser. In the case of two-body dissociation, the observed value, β_{obs} , takes on values between -1 for parallel and $+1/2$ for perpendicular transitions, corresponding to the β parameters for linearly polarized light (β_{lin}) multiplied by $-1/2$.⁸

For events with very low or high kinetic energy release, or when there is a large fragment mass mismatch, one or more fragments can either hit the beam block or miss the detector entirely and therefore go undetected. In order to account for this variation of the detection efficiency as a function of scattering angle and kinetic energy release, the experimental translational energy distributions for two- and three-body dissociation events and corresponding Dalitz plots presented in this work have been corrected using a detector acceptance function (DAF).

III. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A. Photoelectron spectrum

Figure 1 shows the anion photoelectron spectrum for *t*-BuSS[−] at a detachment wavelength of 532 nm. The main peaks in the photoelectron spectrum are labeled 1–10. Peak 1, located at an electron kinetic energy (eKE) of 0.524 eV, is assigned to the transition from the vibrational ground state of the anion to the vibrational ground




FIG. 1. Experimental anion photoelectron spectrum of *t*-BuSS[−] at $\lambda = 532$ nm (black line) and Franck–Condon simulations at 200 K (red).

state of the neutral radical (0_0^0). For the detachment wavelength used in this study (532 nm, 2.331 eV), this gives an electron affinity of the *t*-BuSS radical of 1.807(4) eV, in reasonable agreement with the value of 1.847 eV from previous calculations at the Gaussian-3 level of theory.¹³

To aid with the assignment of the spectroscopic features labeled in the spectrum, Franck–Condon simulations were performed using the program ezSpectrum³² at an assumed ion temperature of 200 K. Vibrational frequencies and normal coordinates for the anion and neutral species were calculated with density functional theory (DFT) using the B3LYP functional and the Dunning-type cc-pVDZ basis set augmented with diffuse functions using the Gaussian 09 package.³³ Calculated neutral frequencies were scaled by a factor of 0.948 such that the ν_{16} fundamental (see below) matched experiment, and the origin of the simulated spectrum was shifted to the experimental band origin.

Franck–Condon simulations for the photodetachment of *t*-BuSS at a vibrational temperature of 200 K are shown as red lines in Fig. 1 and give good agreement with the observed spectrum. One can then assign peaks 1–10 and a and b, as indicated in Table S1 in the supplementary material, and determine vibrational frequencies for several modes of the radical and anion. Error bars for the vibrational frequencies (given below) and electron affinity were obtained from the uncertainty in the peak position, given by the width parameter obtained from a Gaussian fit to the peak.

Peak 4 is the largest peak in the spectrum, located at an eKE 0.078 eV below the origin (peak 1). This peak is part of the dominant progression in the spectrum, involving peaks 1, 4, 7, and 10. This progression is assigned to the S–S stretching mode (ν_{16}), and the vibrational frequency of this mode is determined to be $\nu_{16} = 632(33)$ cm^{−1}. Two additional lower frequency progressions can be observed: peaks 2, 5, and 8, and peaks 3, 6, and 9. These are assigned to the $22_0^1 16_0''$ and $21_0^1 16_0''$ transitions, respectively, involving the low-frequency modes ν_{21} and ν_{22} . The ν_{22} mode corresponds to a C–S–S bending motion with a vibrational frequency of 186(33) cm^{−1}, and the ν_{21} mode, which is best described as symmetric CH₃ internal rotations with some C–S–S bending character, has a frequency of 292(33) cm^{−1}. At eKEs slightly higher than the origin, there is evidence of two small peaks a and b that can be assigned to hot bands in the low-frequency ν_{21} and ν_{22} modes.

The consistency between the experimental and Franck–Condon simulated photoelectron spectrum confirms the identity of the *t*-BuSS radical, and the distribution in Fig. 1 reflects the range of internal energies of the *t*-BuSS radical produced by 532 nm photodetachment. The majority of the radicals are produced with up to 0.25 eV of internal energy, localized in the ν_{16} , ν_{21} , and ν_{22} , S–S stretching, C–S–S bending, and CH₃ torsional modes. For photodetachment at 677 nm, only peak 1 is energetically accessible.

B. Photofragment mass distributions

Figure 2 shows the two-body photofragment mass distributions for dissociation of *t*-BuSS at 248 nm (5.00 eV) and 193 nm (6.42 eV). At 248 nm, there is evidence for two dissociation channels: the dominant channel with peaks at 32 Da and 89 Da and a minor channel with mass peaks at 57 Da and 64 Da. The dominant channel could correspond to dissociation via channel 1 (S + *t*-BuS)




FIG. 2. Photofragment mass distributions for two-body dissociation of *t*-BuSS at 248 nm [panel (a)] and 193 nm [panel (b)].

or channel 3 (SH + dimethylthirane), both of which are energetically accessible. Contributions from these channels can overlap since our photofragment mass resolution is $m/\Delta m \sim 10$.^{31,34} Figures S1 and S2 in the [supplementary material](#) present best fit simulations of the two-body distributions. The simulated distribution including channel 3 presents a slightly better match to the experimental distribution, but only predicts a small fraction of the distribution to be from channel 3. As we cannot further distinguish channel 1 and channel 3, we focus our analysis on channel 1, which is clearly the dominant channel. Likewise, the minor channel could correspond to the formation of either $S_2 + t$ -Bu (channel 2) or $HS_2 +$ isobutene (channel 4), but simulations of the two-body mass distribution support the formation of channel 2 only, with no contribution from HS_2 loss as part of a two-body channel.

For dissociation at 193 nm, the peak positions in the mass distribution remain unchanged, but the peaks at 57 Da and 64 Da are now much larger compared to those at 32 Da and 89 Da. Again, simulations were performed to ascertain the contributions of product channels with similar masses, and the best agreement with the experimental distribution was found for dissociation via channel 1 (minor channel) and channel 2 (dominant channel) with no evidence of contributions from channels 3 or 4. More details regarding these simulations are presented in Figs. S1 and S2 of the [supplementary material](#).

Figure 3 shows the photofragment mass distribution for three-body dissociation of *t*-BuSS at 193 nm. Two large peaks can be observed in the three-body mass distribution around 32.5 Da and 56 Da, consistent with the formation of S (32 Da) + SH (33 Da) + isobutene (56 Da) via channel 8. The peak at 32.5 Da is roughly twice as intense as that at 56 Da as the two individual mass peaks corresponding to the S and SH fragments cannot be resolved.

A small peak around 15 Da is also clear in the three-body mass distribution, which could indicate the formation of channel 6 ($S_2 + CH_3 +$ propene), channel 7 ($S_2 + CH_3 +$ dimethylcarbene), or channel 9 ($S + CH_3 +$ thioacetone), which all involve CH_3 corresponding to mass 15 Da. The red arrows in Fig. 3 highlight shoulders around 42 Da and 64 Da on the high mass side of the two main peaks and correspond to C_3H_6 and S_2 via channel 6 or 7. Channel 9 products would be analogous to the three-body dissociation channel observed in the photodissociation of *t*-BuOO at 248 nm, but the absence of significant intensity in the three-body

mass distribution around 74 Da (thioacetone) suggests that this is, at most, a very minor channel.

A further small peak is observed in the three-body mass distribution at around 1 Da, corresponding to the formation of channel 5 ($S_2 + H +$ isobutene). It should be noted that due to the finite size of the detector and the presence of the beam block, dissociation events with large fragment mass ratios, such as production of H atoms and heavier products, are generally undetectable in coincidence and as such only a small fraction of the true coincident events will be detected in this experiment.

C. Photofragment translational energy distributions and Dalitz plots

The translational energy available to the photofragments can be determined according to

$$E_{T,\max} = h\nu + E_{\text{int}}^R - E_{\text{int}}^P - D_0, \quad (11)$$




FIG. 3. Photofragment mass distribution for three-body dissociation of *t*-BuSS at 193 nm. The red arrows indicate shoulders to the main peaks due to the formation of S_2 and C_3H_6 of channel 6 or 7 with the remaining fragment corresponding to CH_3 at 15 Da.




FIG. 4. Photofragment translational energy distributions for dissociation of *t*-BuSS into S + *t*-BuS at 248 nm [panel (a)] and 193 nm [panel (b)]. *t*-BuSS radicals were formed via photodetachment of the corresponding anion at 532 nm (black) and 677 nm (red). The solid blue lines show the maximum available translational energy ($E_{T,\text{MAX}}$) for the formation of *t*-BuS + S(^3P), S(^1D), and S(^1S), assuming no internal excitation of the *t*-BuSS radicals prior to photodissociation.




FIG. 5. Photofragment translational energy distributions for dissociation of *t*-BuSS into S_2 + *t*-Bu at 248 nm [panel (a)] and 193 nm [panel (b)]. At 248 nm, the experimental distribution (black) is compared with a calculated prior distribution (red). At 193 nm, the two experimental distributions obtained at different detachment wavelengths are shown in black (532 nm) and red (677 nm). The blue vertical lines show $E_{T,\text{MAX}}$ for the formation of *t*-Bu + S_2 in electronic states as indicated in Table I.

where $h\nu$ is the photon energy, $E_{\text{int}}^{\text{R}}$ is the internal energy of the *t*-BuSS radicals prior to dissociation, $E_{\text{int}}^{\text{P}}$ is the internal energy of the photofragments after dissociation, and D_0 is the bond dissociation energy. From the photoelectron spectrum presented in Sec. III A, it is clear that for photodetachment at 532 nm (2.33 eV), the majority of the *t*-BuSS radicals are not produced in the vibrational ground

state, but instead have an internal energy up to ~ 0.25 eV, which should be considered when analyzing the experiments performed at this detachment wavelength. In order to assess the effect of vibrational excitation of the neutral *t*-BuSS prior to photodissociation, experiments were also performed in which the photodetachment wavelength was tuned to 677 nm (1.83 eV), very close to the

TABLE I. Energetically accessible product channels for the S_2 + *t*-Bu product mass channel at 248 nm and 193 nm. All energies are in eV. $E_{T,\text{MAX}}$ values include 0.25 eV of internal energy in the initial *t*-BuSS radical.

Product channel	D_0	$E_{T,\text{MAX}}$ at 248 nm	$E_{T,\text{MAX}}$ at 193 nm	Label
$\text{S}_2(X^3\Sigma_g^-) + t\text{-Bu}(\tilde{X}^2A_1)$	2.04	3.21	4.63	2A
$\text{S}_2(a^1\Delta_g) + t\text{-Bu}(\tilde{X}^2A_1)$	2.75	2.50	3.92	2B
$\text{S}_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + t\text{-Bu}(\tilde{X}^2A_1)$	3.03	2.22	3.64	2C
$\text{S}_2(c^1\Sigma_u^-) + t\text{-Bu}(\tilde{X}^2A_1)$	4.81	0.44	1.86	2D
$\text{S}_2(A'^3\Delta_u) + t\text{-Bu}(\tilde{X}^2A_1)$	4.97	0.28	1.70	2E
$\text{S}_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + t\text{-Bu}(\tilde{X}^2A_1)$	5.07	0.18	1.60	2F
$\text{S}_2(X^3\Sigma_g^-) + t\text{-Bu}(\tilde{A}^2A_1)$	5.78	...	0.89	2G

electron affinity of *t*-BuSS (1.81 eV); signal levels for these experiments were much lower due to the relatively poor Franck–Condon overlap at the vibrational origin.

Figure 4 shows the photofragment translational energy distributions for the S + *t*-BuS product mass channel at 248 nm and 193 nm. At both wavelengths, multiple electronic states of the sulfur atom are electronically accessible, with $E_{T,MAX}$ for each channel as indicated in Fig. 4. At 248 nm, the translational energy distribution appears as a single narrow peak centered around 1.1 eV and extending to ~1.8 eV, close to the maximum available energy ($E_{T,MAX}$) for the S(³P) + *t*-BuS channel. At this wavelength, both the S(³P) and S(¹D) electronic states are energetically accessible. However, the peak in the translational energy distribution is above $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of S(¹D) + *t*-BuS, indicating that the S atom is formed only in the ³P electronic state. There is little distinction in the translational energy release for experiments performed at different detachment wavelengths. Dissociation events for this channel appear anisotropic, with a measured β parameter of $\beta_{obs} = -0.34 \pm 0.03$; this corresponds to $\beta_{lin} = 0.68$ for linearly polarized light, indicating a propensity for the photofragments to recoil parallel to the direction of the electric field vector if a linearly polarized laser was used.

For dissociation to S + *t*-BuS at 193 nm, the photofragment yield of S loss is substantially lower than those results at 248 nm, as is evident in the mass distributions in Fig. 2. Regardless, the photofragment translational energy distribution shows two distinct peaks, one centered at 1.5 eV and extending to around 3.0 eV and the other peaking close to 0.1 eV and extending to 0.5 eV. $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of each of the S atom electronic states is shown by a solid line in Fig. 4. Similar to dissociation at 248 nm, the higher translational energy release peak is anisotropic with $\beta_{obs} = -0.40 \pm 0.06$, or $\beta_{lin} = 0.80$, again corresponding to a parallel transition.

The translational energy distributions for dissociation of *t*-BuSS to form S₂ + *t*-Bu at 248 nm and 193 nm are shown in Fig. 5. At both wavelengths, multiple electronic states of the S₂ fragments are accessible. The energetics for these processes are outlined in Table I with values obtained from both experimental and theoretical sources.^{20,35}

At 248 nm, the translational energy distribution peaks close to 0.4 eV and extends to around 2.1 eV, close to $E_{T,MAX}$ for product channels 2A, 2B, and 2C. The angular distributions for this channel are isotropic, with $\beta_{obs} = -0.01 \pm 0.1$. For dissociation at 193 nm, the translational energy distribution for the S₂ + *t*-Bu product mass channel peaks close to 0.7 eV, with a sharp drop in intensity around 1.0 eV, and a smaller shoulder that continues out to 2.0 eV, slightly above $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of product channels 2D–2F. This mass channel shows slightly anisotropic distributions of the photofragments with $\beta_{obs} = -0.18 \pm 0.04$.

Translational energy distributions for the three-body product channels observed at 193 nm are shown in Fig. 6. Panel (a) displays the translational energy distribution for S₂ + H + isobutene (channel 5). The distribution peaks around 0.5 eV, with intensity observed up to around 2.5 eV. The angular distribution for channel 5 is isotropic, with $\beta_{obs} = -0.08 \pm 0.06$. Interestingly, the distribution in Fig. 6(a) looks almost identical to that in Fig. 5(b). The similarity between the distributions in Figs. 6(a) and 5(b) is most likely an artifact of false coincidence events, in which an erroneous particle is detected in addition to a two-body




FIG. 6. Photofragment translational energy distributions for three-body dissociation of *t*-BuSS to S₂ + H + isobutene [panel (a)], S₂ + CH₃ + C₃H₆ [panel (b)], and S + SH + isobutene [panel (c)] at 193 nm. The green and orange vertical lines in panel (b) show $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of product channel 6 (S₂ + CH₃ + propene) and channel 7 (S₂ + CH₃ + dimethylcarbene), respectively. The blue vertical lines in panel (c) show $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of the sulfur atom in the ³P and ¹D electronic states. The $E_{T,MAX}$ values include ~0.25 eV of internal energy in the initial *t*-BuSS radical.

event generating channel 2. This phenomenon will be addressed below.

The translational energy distribution for $S_2 + CH_3 + C_3H_6$ products, as shown in Fig. 6(b), peaks around 0.7 eV, with intensity observed up to around 2.0 eV. Since the intensity in the translational energy distribution extends well beyond $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of product channel 7 ($S_2 + CH_3 + \text{dimethylcarbene}$), the products are attributed to channel 6 ($S_2 + CH_3 + \text{propene}$). The photofragment angular distribution is isotropic, with $\beta_{obs} = -0.01 \pm 0.05$.

Figure 6(c) shows the photofragment translational energy distribution for channel 8 ($S + SH + \text{isobutene}$) at 193 nm. The main peak in the distribution is centered at 1.2 eV and extends to around 2.0 eV, close to $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of $S(^3P)$. A shoulder at lower energy can be observed around 0.8 eV, close to $E_{T,MAX}$ for the production of $S(^1D)$. The angular distributions in both regions are anisotropic, with $\beta_{obs} = 0.20 \pm 0.05$ for dissociation events above 0.8 eV and $\beta_{obs} = 0.13 \pm 0.06$ below 0.8 eV.

Further insight into the three-body dissociation dynamics is provided by the Dalitz plots shown for the $CH_3 + S_2 + \text{propene}$ and $S + SH + \text{isobutene}$ channels in Fig. 7.^{23,37,38} These plots show the translational energy partitioning between each photofragment i , with each of the fragments having an energy fraction (represented by the arrows in Fig. 7) given by $e_i = E_i/E$ for each event with total translational energy E . Each point on the Dalitz plot provides information about the energy partitioning between the fragments. All dissociation events are restricted to lie within the triangle by conservation of energy and within the inscribed ellipse by conservation of momentum. The Dalitz plot for channel 6 ($CH_3 + S_2 + \text{isobutene}$), as shown in Fig. 7(a), shows a stripe of intensity, highlighted by the orange dashed line, corresponding to fast S_2 fragments. The Dalitz plot for channel 5 ($S_2 + H + \text{isobutene}$) is not presented here; only H atoms with very low translational energies are detected in this experiment, so the Dalitz plot contains little useful information. It is shown in the supplementary material for completeness (Fig. S2).

The Dalitz plots for channel 8 ($S + SH + \text{isobutene}$) are shown in Fig. 7, integrated over the shoulder [0 eV–0.8 eV, panel (b)] and

main peak [1.0 eV–3.0 eV, panel (c)] of the translational energy distribution. The low translational energy Dalitz plot shows considerable shot noise due to the small number of events in this energy range. It should also be noted that due to the similar masses of the S and SH fragments, the analysis program may incorrectly label some S fragments as SH and vice versa. For low translational energy release events (between 0 eV and 0.8 eV), the Dalitz plot in Fig. 7(b) shows the greatest intensity in the bottom left region of the ellipse, corresponding to fast isobutene fragments. At higher translational energy release, the region with the greatest intensity is found at the base of the blue arrow, corresponding to slow isobutene fragments.

D. Product branching ratios

The branching ratios for each channel are given in Table II. The branching ratios are obtained from the raw experimental counts, assuming a one-particle detection efficiency of $p = 0.6$.²³ The two-body channels are corrected using $p_{\text{two-body}} = 0.36$, and the three-body channels are corrected using $p_{\text{three-body}} = 0.22$. A further correction is made for channel 5, which involves H loss, as the probability of detecting an H atom is even smaller than 0.6; instead, $p_{H\text{-atom}} = 0.08$, so $p_{\text{channel 5}} = 0.03$.³⁹ At 248 nm, the products are dominated by the loss of a sulfur atom to form the *t*-BuS radical. Additionally, a small amount of $S_2 + t\text{-Bu}$ radical formation is observed. At 193 nm, the product branching ratios change substantially; $S_2 + t\text{-Bu}$ production is the dominant dissociation channel, while $S + t\text{-BuS}$ accounts for only 7% of the products. Each branching ratio comes from averaging the results of each data set, and thus, the errors associated with each channel are random error.

At 193 nm, the similarity between the translational energy distributions in Figs. 5(b) and 6(a) leads us to suspect that the contribution from channel 5 [Fig. 6(a)] is exaggerated due to false coincidence events, in which three fragments hit the detector that are from distinct two-body events. In this situation, two of the fragments have a physically viable center of mass; that is, they are channel 2




FIG. 7. Panel (a): Dalitz plot for the three-body dissociation channel 6, $S_2 + CH_3 + \text{propene}$, showing the energy partitioning among the CH_3 (red arrow), propene (green arrow), and S_2 (blue arrow). Panels (b) and (c): Dalitz plots for channel 8, showing the energy partitioning among the S (red), SH (green), and isobutene (blue) photofragments, integrated over the translational energy ranges 0.0 eV–0.8 eV and 1.0 eV–3.0 eV, respectively. Relative intensities are indicated by shades of gray ranging from white (zero intensity) to black (maximum intensity).

TABLE II. Product branching fractions at 248 nm and 193 nm.

Channel	248 nm (%)	193 nm (%)	193 nm (%)
			after correcting channel 5 using RRKM
(1) S + <i>t</i> -BuS	90.0 ± 0.5	7 ± 1	7 ± 1
(2) S ₂ + <i>t</i> -Bu	10.0 ± 0.5	42 ± 5	55 ± 5
(5) S ₂ + H + isobutene	0	39 ± 7	26 ± 7
(6) S ₂ + CH ₃ + propene	0	4 ± 1	4 ± 1
(8) S + SH + isobutene	0	8 ± 1	8 ± 1

fragments from the same dissociating *t*-BuSS radical. The most common assignment of the third fragment is hydrogen because it shifts the center of mass from its true value minimally, and thus, these events appear as channel 5. Such a mechanism would explain the similar translational energy distributions in Figs. 5(b) and 6(a). This is not to say, however, that channel 5 is absent, but it is obscured by these false coincidences. One reason channel 5 is particularly susceptible to being overshadowed is the low probability of all three S₂ + H + isobutene fragments hitting our detector. For even a very small translational energy release (<0.5 eV), the likelihood of all three fragments of a channel 5 event is only about 25%, whereas it is about three times that for a channel not involving H loss (i.e., channel 6). Therefore, the 39% value for channel 5 presented in the middle column of Table II is likely an overestimate.

At 193 nm, the loss of S₂ in its ground or first two low-lying states leaves the *t*-Bu radical with sufficient energy to dissociate into either H + C₄H₈ or CH₃ + propene, and Rice–Ramsperger–Kassel–Marcus (RRKM) calculations (Sec. IV) find the H:CH₃ branching ratio is about 6.4. The rightmost column of Table II assumes this branching ratio between channels 5 and 6 and adjusts the others accordingly. This reduces channel 5 from 39% of the total yield to 26%. Because the translational energy distributions in Figs. 6(a) and 5(b) are so strikingly similar, it is likely that any overestimation of the channel 5 branching ratio is actually a two-body event from channel 2 that is detected with a third fragment. Thus, that which was subtracted from channel 5 is added to the channel 2 yield, bringing it to 55%. We believe that this procedure yields a more accurate representation of the true photofragment yield.

IV. DISCUSSION

The primary goals of this study are to elucidate the primary photochemistry of the *t*-BuSS and to determine whether photodissociation proceeds via dissociation on an electronically excited state or by decay to the ground electronic state, followed by statistical dissociation. Any channel that results in electronically excited products clearly does not proceed by the latter mechanism. The contribution from ground-state dissociation can be assessed with reference to Fig. 8, which shows a potential energy diagram for the competing two- and three-body dissociation channels of *t*-BuSS on its ground electronic state. Figure 8 shows not only the asymptotic energetics but also the barriers encountered en route to dissociation. Thus, in some cases, radicals produced via two-body dissociation

can be formed with enough energy to dissociate further, leading to three-body dissociation products. We can calculate dissociation rate constants for two-body dissociation and three-body dissociation using RRKM theory,³⁶ the methodology and results of which are presented in the [supplementary material](#). With these considerations in mind, we explore in more detail the dynamics and products of the 248 nm and 193 nm photodissociation of the *t*-BuSS radical.

A. S loss dynamics

As shown in Fig. 8, at both dissociation energies (5.00 eV and 6.42 eV), multiple electronic states of the S photofragment are energetically accessible. Additionally, the *t*-BuS product can be formed with sufficient internal energy to undergo secondary dissociation to the observed three-body dissociation products S + SH + isobutene (channel 8). To determine the electronic states of the S atom products and the dynamics of their formation and assess the possibility of secondary dissociation of the *t*-BuS radical, we examine the translational energy distributions and angular distributions of these photofragments.

At 248 nm, S loss is the dominant channel, accounting for 90% of the dissociation products. The translational energy distribution for the S loss channel in Fig. 4 shows a sharp peak at 1.1 eV and cuts off by 1.8 eV, close to $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of the ground-state products S(³P) + *t*-BuS. This is consistent with rapid dissociation on an electronically excited state that is repulsive with respect to S loss. A repulsive dissociation mechanism is also consistent with the anisotropic distribution of the photofragments. We also note that based on the RRKM branching ratios in Table S4, the production of channel 1 by statistical dissociation on the ground state is predicted to be negligible.

At 193 nm, two-body dissociation involving S loss is no longer the dominant product channel and accounts for only 7% of the total dissociation products. Inspection of the translational energy distribution of the S + *t*-BuS product mass channel in Fig. 4(b) shows two distinct peaks: one around 0.1 eV that extends to 0.5 eV and the other around 1.5 eV, with intensity out to about 2.5 eV. The lower translational energy peak in the distribution is consistent with the formation of S(¹S) on an electronically excited repulsive surface, as the drop-off in intensity of this peak coincides with $E_{T,MAX}$ for these products. Additionally, the red trace in Fig. 4(b) tails off more intensely than the black, which is likely an effect of the two detachment wavelengths used. By including internal energy imparted to *t*-BuSS for experiments performed at 532 nm, the full tail of the black trace is accounted for and attributed to S(¹S) production. Although the S(¹D) and S(³P) electronic states are also energetically accessible in this energy range, the *t*-BuS counterfragment would have internal energy exceeding 1.5 eV and would be unstable with respect to secondary fragmentation, in which case we would observe a three-body event rather than a two-body event. Thus, this peak is assigned to the formation of S(¹S) + *t*-BuS products on an electronically excited surface.

The feature at higher translational energy lies close to $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of S(¹D) and extends nearly to $E_{T,MAX}$ for S(³P) production. This peak persists to 2.5 eV, with some intensity observed all the way to 3.0 eV. $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of S(¹D) is 1.80 eV, but some intensity is shown in Fig. 4(b) beyond this




FIG. 8. Potential energy diagram for dissociation of *t*-BuSS, in which equilibrium geometry energies have been calculated from experimental heats of formation, while transition state energies and geometries were determined at the DFT//B3LYP/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory or from the literature.⁴⁰ The blue and purple arrows indicate the energies of 248 nm and 193 nm photons, respectively.

energy for both photodetachment wavelengths that we attribute to the formation of $S(^3P)$. At translational energies below ~ 1.5 eV, *t*-BuS fragments resulting from the loss of $S(^3P)$ would have sufficient internal energy to undergo secondary fragmentation and therefore may contribute to the formation of channel 8. Intensity in the two-body translational energy distribution between 0.5 eV and 1.5 eV is therefore likely due to the formation of $S(^1D)$. It thus appears that the higher energy peak in Fig. 4(b) can be mainly attributed to $S(^1D)$ with evidence for small amounts of $S(^3P)$ formation.

B. S_2 loss dynamics

As indicated in Table I, for dissociation of *t*-BuSS to form channel 2 ($S_2 + t$ -Bu), multiple electronic states of the S_2 photofragments are energetically accessible at both dissociation energies. Additionally, the *t*-Bu photofragment may be formed with enough internal energy to undergo secondary dissociation, forming H + isobutene or $CH_3 +$ propene, although three-body signal is seen only at 193 nm. In the following sections, the translational energy and angular distributions and product branching ratios will be examined to gain insight to the products and dynamics of dissociation processes involving S_2 loss from *t*-BuSS.

1. Two-body dynamics: $S_2 + t$ -Bu

The translational energy distributions for $S_2 + t$ -Bu are shown in Fig. 5. The distributions at the two excitation wavelengths appear similar, with both showing a peak between 0.0 eV and 1.0 eV, and extending beyond 2.0 eV. At the peak of the distribution for dissociation at 248 nm, *t*-Bu fragments resulting from the loss of $S_2(X^3\Sigma_g^-)$ (channel 2A) would have up to 2.6 eV of internal energy, assuming no vibrational excitation of the S_2 fragment, which would allow the *t*-Bu fragment to undergo secondary fragmentation at a considerable rate and therefore be observed as three-fragment dissociation.

Since no three-fragment dissociation is observed at 248 nm, the formation of product channel 2A is unlikely, and the mass channel is therefore assigned to the formation of *t*-Bu + S_2 in the $a^1\Delta_g$ and/or $b^1\Sigma_g^+$ electronic state (channels 2B and/or 2C).

The translational energy distribution for S_2 loss at 193 nm [Fig. 5(b)] has its greatest intensity from 0.5 eV to 1.0 eV. Hence, the *t*-Bu fragment resulting from the loss of S_2 in one of its three lowest lying electronic states (channels 2A–2C) would have more than 2.5 eV of internal energy, assuming negligible excitation of the S_2 fragment. The energetics and RRKM rate calculations for *t*-Bu dissociation (Table S4) suggest that this situation would result in a three-body event. Therefore, the main peak in the two-body distribution must be due to the production of S_2 in higher electronic states via channels 2D–2G.

We can compare the two-body S and S_2 loss results to those observed in a smaller alkyl perthiyl radical, CH_3SS .⁸ At 248 nm, S loss was found to be the dominant product channel, resulting from rapid excited-state dissociation to form ground-state S atoms. For dissociation at 193 nm, S loss remained the dominant channel, although it accounted for a smaller fraction of the products (S_2 loss being the other channel) than at 248 nm. For S_2 loss, the translational energy distribution in the CH_3SS radical was found to be bimodal due to the formation of multiple electronic states of the S_2 photofragment and extended out to $E_{T,MAX}$ for the formation of $S_2(a^1\Delta_g) + CH_3$ products (equivalent to channel 2B).⁸ This contrasts with the observed translational energy distribution for *t*-BuSS, in which no intensity is observed above 2.5 eV, although multiple electronic states of the S_2 are also likely formed. The lack of intensity observed at high translational energies in this work suggests that the $S_2 + t$ -Bu photofragments formed in low electronic states have sufficient energy to dissociate further and are therefore observed as three-body products.

2. Three-body dynamics: Secondary dissociation of *t*-Bu

At 193 nm, we observe considerable three-body photodissociation. As discussed in Sec. IV B, the loss of S_2 in the $X^3\Sigma_g^-$, $a^1\Delta_g$, or $b^1\Sigma_g^+$ electronic states (channels 2A, 2B, or 2C, respectively) can lead to the formation of the *t*-Bu radical that has enough internal energy to further dissociate yielding three-body products. Vibrationally excited *t*-Bu radicals can dissociate via two pathways: direct loss of an H atom in a barrierless process (channel 5), or isomerization to the isobutyl radical over a barrier of 2.08 eV,⁴⁰ followed by CH_3 loss (channel 6). Direct H atom loss from *t*-Bu requires an energy of 1.58 eV (Fig. 8), substantially less than is needed for isomerization to isobutyl. Indeed, $S_2 + H + \text{isobutene}$ is found to be the dominant three-body channel from the calculation of RRKM rate constants (discussed in the supplementary material). The RRKM rate constants predict the dissociation of *t*-Bu to $H + C_4H_8$ to be on the order of 10^9 s^{-1} , while the production of channel 6 is an order of magnitude less (10^8 s^{-1}). In both instances, one would expect a small translational energy release (close to 0 eV) associated with the dissociation of *t*-Bu, as is observed in Fig. 6(b) for channel 6.

A question of interest is how the *t*-BuSS initially dissociates into $S_2 + t\text{-Bu}$ followed by secondary dissociation to channels 5 and 6. An argument in favor of statistical dissociation of *t*-BuSS on its ground state followed by *t*-Bu dissociation is that at 193 nm, channel 2 is the fastest channel predicted by the RRKM calculations and thus could reasonably lead to channels 5 and 6. Based on these calculations, channel 4 should also form, although to a lesser extent, and fall apart further to channel 5 or channel 8. Additionally, an excited-state dissociation of *t*-BuSS leading ultimately to channels 5 and 6 should yield an anisotropic angular distribution for these three-body channels, while those observed are isotropic. Perhaps the most convincing argument can be made by referring again to CH_3SS , in which no three-body dissociation was observed.⁸ At 193 nm, the $CH_3 + S_2$ translational energy distribution is bimodal, and the authors attributed the high translational energy release peak to the formation of electronically excited S_2 through an excited-state mechanism. The analogous feature is not observed in this work, suggesting that low-lying S_2 states + *t*-Bu are formed in a manner such that *t*-Bu has sufficient internal energy to fall apart further. A ground-state mechanism yielding *t*-Bu is most consistent with the available evidence.

Three-body dissociation mechanisms can be classified based on the time interval in which the bonds break. Concerted mechanisms refer to dissociation events, in which the breaking of the two bonds occurs in the same kinetic event, whereas in sequential mechanisms, the bond cleavages are considered as two distinct events.⁴¹ Concerted processes can be further distinguished depending on whether the bonds are broken simultaneously, or within a rotational period of the molecule, known as synchronous concerted and asynchronous concerted, respectively. The Dalitz plot in Fig. 7 shows that for the $S_2 + CH_3 + \text{isobutene}$ channel, the S_2 fragments are formed with a large fraction of the translational energy, manifesting as an intense stripe at the top of the blue arrow (highlighted by the orange dashed line). This plot is most consistent with a sequential mechanism because a relatively constant fraction of translational energy is imparted to the S_2 fragment. For the dissociation of *t*-Bu to form $H + \text{isobutene}$, we refer to the RRKM calculations that indicate a dissociation timescale

of ~400 ps, which is slower than the rotational period of ground-state *t*-Bu (~170 ps). Therefore, the formation of channel 5 may also be classified as a sequential process.

C. Three-body dissociation to $S + SH + \text{isobutene}$

The final product channel observed at 193 nm corresponds to the formation of $S + SH + \text{isobutene}$. As shown in Fig. 8, there are two possible pathways to form the $S + SH + \text{isobutene}$ products: S loss to form $S + t\text{-BuS}$, followed by secondary dissociation of *t*-BuS, or formation of $HS_2 + \text{isobutene}$, followed by secondary dissociation of HS_2 .

The translational distribution for the $S + SH + \text{isobutene}$ channel in Fig. 6(c) shows a main peak around 1.2 eV extending to ~2.0 eV, attributed to the formation of S atoms in the 3P electronic state. A smaller shoulder can be seen below ~0.9 eV, which could be from $S(^1D) + SH + \text{isobutene}$. Both regions of the distribution peak well away from 0 eV, with intensity close to $E_{T,MAX}$ for the product channel, and show anisotropic distributions of the photofragments.

As discussed in Sec. IV A, one pathway to these three-body dissociation products involves ground-state dissociation of the *t*-BuS fragment after S loss on an excited state. However, the RRKM rate constant for secondary dissociation of the *t*-BuS radical (Table S4) suggests that the timescale for this ground-state dissociation process is much slower than the rotational period. This dissociation mechanism would therefore be expected to lead to an isotropic distribution of photofragments, in contrast to the observed anisotropic distribution. Additionally, the RRKM calculations suggest that the dominant channel for fragmentation of *t*-BuS would be the loss of a methyl radical (to form channel 9), of which we see little to no evidence.

An alternative pathway involves the loss of internally excited HS_2 from *t*-BuSS, which is then able to undergo rapid secondary dissociation to form $S + SH$. A four-center transition state leading to the loss of vibrationally excited HS_2 could be a possible mechanism for this pathway, or HS_2 could be produced in an electronically excited state that can then rapidly dissociate into $S(^1D)$ or $^3P + SH$ products. Such a mechanism would be expected to impart considerable translational energy into the $S + SH$ fragments, consistent with the translational energy distribution for this channel, as shown in Fig. 6(c).

Inspection of the Dalitz plot for $S(^3P) + SH + \text{isobutene}$ products integrated between 1.0 eV and 3.0 eV, as shown in Fig. 7(c), lends confidence to this mechanism. Here, the region of the Dalitz plot with the most intensity is at the base of the blue arrow, where the energy fraction in the isobutene fragments is very small, and the energy fraction in the S and SH fragments is large, equal, and opposite.

The Dalitz plot for the formation of the low translational energy shoulder, integrated between 0.0 eV and 1.0 eV, is also consistent with the formation of $S(^1D) + SH + \text{isobutene}$ products via this mechanism. However, in this case, the $S(^1D) + SH$ products are higher in energy, and therefore, dissociation to these products results in a much smaller translational energy release. Therefore, the Dalitz plot shows maximum intensity in the isobutene fragment (blue arrow), with a much smaller energy fraction found in the $S(^1D) + SH$ fragments.

Finally, for the production of either $S(^3P)$ or 1D , the overall mechanism is most appropriately classified as asynchronous

concerted, because the secondary dissociation of HS₂ is rapid and occurs within its rotational period, a conclusion supported by the anisotropic distribution of the photofragments.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the photodissociation dynamics of the *tert*-butyl perthiyl radical at 248 nm and 193 nm by means of fast-beam coincidence translational spectroscopy. S(³P) atom loss was identified as the dominant channel (90%) for dissociation at 248 nm, with a minor channel forming S₂ + *t*-Bu fragments. Translational energy distributions for both processes were found to be consistent with excited-state dissociation processes, with S loss occurring on a repulsive surface. At 193 nm, the photodissociation dynamics are more complicated, with both two-body and three-body dissociation processes observed. Two-body S and S₂ loss channels were seen, similar to 248 nm dissociation, but S₂ loss becomes the dominant channel. The translational energy distributions for both product channels suggest that S loss and S₂ loss occur on electronically excited states and result in the formation of multiple electronic states of the S and S₂ products.

Three-body dissociation was also observed at 193 nm. The major pathway to three-body dissociation products was found to be a sequential dissociation process, in which S₂ loss from *t*-BuSS most likely occurs on the ground state, followed by ground-state statistical dissociation of *t*-Bu counterfragments to form S₂ + H + isobutene and S₂ + CH₃ + propene products. Further three-body dissociation products, S + SH + isobutene, were proposed to form in an asynchronous concerted dissociation process via fragmentation of the initially excited *t*-BuSS to produce isobutene + internally excited HS₂, which rapidly dissociates into SH + S.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

B.N. and E.N.S. contributed equally to this work.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See [supplementary material](#) for simulations, electronic structure calculations, the channel 5 Dalitz plot, and RRKM calculations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Director, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Nos. DE-AC02-05CH11231 and NIH S10OD023532.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article (and its [supplementary material](#)).

REFERENCES

- W. J. Wedemeyer, E. Welker, M. Narayan, and H. A. Scheraga, *Biochemistry* **39**(15), 4207–4216 (2000).
- D. Fass and C. Thorpe, *Chem. Rev.* **118**(3), 1169–1198 (2017).
- M. Akiba and A. Hashim, *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **22**(3), 475–521 (1997).
- T. Ida, T. Sawa, H. Ihara, Y. Tsuchiya, Y. Watanabe, Y. Kumagai, M. Suematsu, H. Motohashi, S. Fujii, and T. Matsunaga, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**(21), 7606–7611 (2014).
- C. L. Bianco, T. A. Chavez, V. Sosa, S. S. Saund, Q. N. N. Nguyen, D. J. Tantillo, A. S. Ichimura, J. P. Toscano, and J. M. Fukuto, *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **101**, 20–31 (2016).
- J.-P. R. Chauvin, M. Griesser, and D. A. Pratt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**(18), 6484–6493 (2017).
- S. Moran and G. B. Ellison, *J. Phys. Chem.* **92**(7), 1794–1803 (1988).
- A. W. Harrison, M. Ryazanov, E. N. Sullivan, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Chem. Phys.* **145**(2), 024305 (2016).
- N. C. Cole-Filipiak, M. Shapero, C. Haibach-Morris, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **120**(27), 4818–4826 (2016).
- J. E. Bennett and G. Brunton, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1979**(2), 62–63.
- T. J. Burkey, J. A. Hawari, F. P. Lossing, J. Luszyk, R. Sutcliffe, and D. Griller, *J. Org. Chem.* **50**(24), 4966–4967 (1985).
- J.-P. R. Chauvin, E. A. Haidasz, M. Griesser, and D. A. Pratt, *Chem. Sci.* **7**(10), 6347–6356 (2016).
- A. Gao, H. Du, A. Li, and H. Pei, *J. Mol. Model.* **19**(6), 2443–2449 (2013).
- M.-X. Song, Z.-X. Zhao, W. Zhang, F.-Q. Bai, H.-X. Zhang, and C.-C. Sun, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **112**(6), 1537–1546 (2012).
- J. Zádor, C. A. Taatjes, and R. X. Fernandes, *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* **37**(4), 371–421 (2011).
- B. Nichols, E. N. Sullivan, M. Ryazanov, C. M. Hong, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Chem. Phys.* **147**(13), 134304 (2017).
- E. N. Sullivan, B. Nichols, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Chem. Phys.* **148**(4), 044309 (2018).
- J. A. Hawari, D. Griller, and F. P. Lossing, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **108**(12), 3273–3275 (1986).
- B. Ruscic and J. Berkowitz, *J. Chem. Phys.* **98**(4), 2568–2579 (1993).
- M. W. Chase, *NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables*, 4th ed. (NIST, Gaithersburg, MD, 1998), pp. 1–1951.
- R. E. Continetti, D. R. Cyr, D. L. Osborn, D. J. Leahy, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Chem. Phys.* **99**(4), 2616–2631 (1993).
- A. A. Hoops, J. R. Gascooke, A. E. Faulhaber, K. E. Kautzman, and D. M. Neumark, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **374**(3), 235–242 (2003).
- M. Ryazanov, A. W. Harrison, G. Wang, P. E. Crider, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**(23), 234304 (2014).
- D. Irimia, D. Dobrikov, R. Kortekaas, H. Voet, D. A. van den Ende, W. A. Groen, and M. H. M. Janssen, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **80**(11), 113303 (2009).
- E. Garand, T. I. Yacovitch, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Chem. Phys.* **130**(6), 064304 (2009).
- J. M. B. Bakker, *J. Phys. E: Sci. Instrum.* **6**(8), 785 (1973).
- J. M. B. Bakker, *J. Phys. E: Sci. Instrum.* **7**(5), 364 (1974).
- V. Dribinski, A. Ossadtchi, V. A. Mandelshtam, and H. Reisler, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **73**(7), 2634–2642 (2002).
- O. Jagutzki, A. Cerezo, A. Czasch, R. Dörner, M. Hattas, M. Min Huang, V. Mergel, U. Spillmann, K. Ullmann-Pfleger, T. Weber, H. Schmidt-Böcking, and G. D. W. Smith, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **49**(5), 2477–2483 (2002).
- R. N. Zare, *Mol. Photochem.* **4**, 1 (1972).
- A. W. Harrison, J. S. Lim, M. Ryazanov, G. Wang, S. Gao, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **117**(46), 11970–11978 (2013).
- V. A. Mozhaevskiy and A. I. Krylov, ezSpectrum3.0, iOpenShell Center for Computational Studies of Electronic Structure and Spectroscopy of Open Shell and Electronically Excited Species, Los Angeles, <http://iopenshell.usc.edu/downloads>, 2009.
- M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin,

V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, N. J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA, 2013.

³⁴D. R. Cyr, D. J. Leahy, D. L. Osborn, R. E. Continetti, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Chem. Phys.* **99**(11), 8751–8764 (1993).

³⁵M. Tashiro, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **453**(4-6), 145–149 (2008).

³⁶R. A. Marcus and O. K. Rice, *J. Phys. Chem.* **55**(6), 894–908 (1951).

³⁷R. H. Dalitz, *Philos. Mag.* **44**(357), 1068–1080 (1953).

³⁸D. Babikov, E. A. Gislason, M. Sizun, F. Aguillon, V. Sidis, M. Barat, J. C. Brenot, J. A. Fayeton, and Y. J. Picard, *J. Chem. Phys.* **116**(12), 4871–4876 (2002).

³⁹D. E. Szpunar, A. E. Faulhaber, K. E. Kautzman, P. E. Crider II, and D. M. Neumark, *J. Chem. Phys.* **126**, 114311 (2007).

⁴⁰B. Noller, R. Maksimenka, I. Fischer, M. Armone, B. Engels, C. Alcaraz, L. Poisson, and J.-M. Mestdagh, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **111**(10), 1771–1779 (2007).

⁴¹C. Maul and K.-H. Gericke, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **16**(1), 1–79 (1997).