

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Enhanced 5f-δ bonding in $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$: C K-edge XAS, magnetism, and ab initio calculations

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1508r1zx>

Journal

Chemical Communications, 57(75)

ISSN

1359-7345

Authors

Qiao, Yusen
Ganguly, Gaurab
Booth, Corwin H
et al.

Publication Date

2021-09-21

DOI

10.1039/d1cc03414f

Peer reviewed

Dear Author


Please use this PDF proof to check the layout of your article. If you would like any changes to be made to the layout, you can leave instructions in the online proofing interface. First, return to the online proofing interface by clicking "Edit" at the top page, then insert a Comment in the relevant location. Making your changes directly in the online proofing interface is the quickest, easiest way to correct and submit your proof.

Please note that changes made to the article in the online proofing interface will be added to the article before publication, but are not reflected in this PDF proof.

If you would prefer to submit your corrections by annotating the PDF proof, please download and submit an annotatable PDF proof by clicking the link below.

 [Annotate PDF](#)

We have presented the graphical abstract image and text for your article below. This briefly summarises your work, and will be presented with your article online.



Please check this proof carefully. Our staff will not read it in detail after you have returned it.

Please send your corrections either as a copy of the proof PDF with electronic notes attached or as a list of corrections. **Do not edit the text within the PDF or send a revised manuscript** as we will not be able to apply your corrections. Corrections at this stage should be minor and not involve extensive changes.

Proof corrections must be returned as a single set of corrections, approved by all co-authors. No further corrections can be made after you have submitted your proof corrections as we will publish your article online as soon as possible after they are received.

Please ensure that:

- The spelling and format of all author names and affiliations are checked carefully. You can check how we have identified the authors' first and last names in the researcher information table on the next page. **Names will be indexed and cited as shown on the proof, so these must be correct.**
- Any funding bodies have been acknowledged appropriately and included both in the paper and in the funder information table on the next page.
- All of the editor's queries are answered.
- Any necessary attachments, such as updated images or ESI files, are provided.

Translation errors can occur during conversion to typesetting systems so you need to read the whole proof. In particular please check tables, equations, numerical data, figures and graphics, and references carefully.

Please return your **final** corrections, where possible within **48 hours** of receipt following the instructions in the proof notification email. If you require more time, please notify us by email to chemcomm@rsc.org.

Funding information

Providing accurate funding information will enable us to help you comply with your funders' reporting mandates. Clear acknowledgement of funder support is an important consideration in funding evaluation and can increase your chances of securing funding in the future.

We work closely with Crossref to make your research discoverable through the Funding Data search tool (<http://search.crossref.org/funding>). Funding Data provides a reliable way to track the impact of the work that funders support. Accurate funder information will also help us (i) identify articles that are mandated to be deposited in **PubMed Central (PMC)** and deposit these on your behalf, and (ii) identify articles funded as part of the **CHORUS** initiative and display the Accepted Manuscript on our web site after an embargo period of 12 months.

Further information can be found on our webpage (<http://rsc.li/funding-info>).

What we do with funding information

We have combined the information you gave us on submission with the information in your acknowledgements. This will help ensure the funding information is as complete as possible and matches funders listed in the Crossref Funder Registry.

If a funding organisation you included in your acknowledgements or on submission of your article is not currently listed in the registry it will not appear in the table on this page. We can only deposit data if funders are already listed in the Crossref Funder Registry, but we will pass all funding information on to Crossref so that additional funders can be included in future.

Please check your funding information

The table below contains the information we will share with Crossref so that your article can be found *via* the Funding Data search tool. **Please check that the funder names and grant numbers in the table are correct and indicate if any changes are necessary to the Acknowledgements text.**

Funder name	Funder's main country of origin	Funder ID (for RSC use only)	Award/grant number
Basic Energy Sciences	United States	100006151	DE-AC02-05CH11231, DE-SC0001136

Researcher information

Please check that the researcher information in the table below is correct, including the spelling and formatting of all author names, and that the authors' first, middle and last names have been correctly identified. **Names will be indexed and cited as shown on the proof, so these must be correct.**

If any authors have ORCID or ResearcherID details that are not listed below, please provide these with your proof corrections. Please ensure that the ORCID and ResearcherID details listed below have been assigned to the correct author. Authors should have their own unique ORCID iD and should not use another researcher's, as errors will delay publication.

Please also update your account on our online [manuscript submission system](#) to add your ORCID details, which will then be automatically included in all future submissions. See [here](#) for step-by-step instructions and more information on author identifiers.

First (given) and middle name(s)	Last (family) name(s)	ResearcherID	ORCID iD
Yusen	Qiao		
Gaurab	Ganguly		0000-0002-3357-0435
Corwin H.	Booth		
Jacob A.	Branson		0000-0002-3523-0303
Alexander S.	Ditter		
Daniel J.	Lussier		
Liane M.	Moreau		
Dominic	Russo		
Dumitru-Claudiu	Sergentu		0000-0001-6570-5245
David K.	Shuh		0000-0002-3104-3260
Taoxiang	Sun		

Jochen	Autschbach	S-5472-2016	0000-0001-9392-877X
Stefan G.	Minasian	A-9887-2018	0000-0003-1346-7497

Queries for the attention of the authors

Journal: ChemComm

Paper: d1cc03414f

Title: **Enhanced 5f- δ bonding in $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$: carbon K-edge X-ray spectroscopy, magnetism and electronic structure calculations**

For your information: You can cite this article before you receive notification of the page numbers by using the following format: (authors), Chem. Commun., (year), DOI: 10.1039/d1cc03414f.

Editor's queries are marked on your proof like this **Q1**, **Q2**, etc. and for your convenience line numbers are indicated like this 5, 10, 15, ...

Please ensure that all queries are answered when returning your proof corrections so that publication of your article is not delayed.

Query reference	Query	Remarks
Q1	Have all of the author names been spelled and formatted correctly? Names will be indexed and cited as shown on the proof, so these must be correct. No late corrections can be made.	
Q2	Is the inserted Graphical Abstract text suitable? If you provide replacement text, please ensure that it is no longer than 250 characters (including spaces).	
Q3	Have all of the funders of your work been fully and accurately acknowledged?	
Q4	<i>Chem. Commun.</i> communications have a strict 4 page limit. If your article exceeds this limit, please trim the article to fit. Some content could be changed to electronic supplementary information (ESI) if necessary.	

Enhanced 5f- δ bonding in $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$: carbon K-edge X-ray spectroscopy, magnetism and electronic structure calculations†

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d1cc03414f

Received 27th June 2021,
Accepted 26th July 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cc03414f

rsc.li/chemcomm

Yusen Qiao,^{‡a} Gaurab Ganguly,^{§b} Corwin H. Booth,^a Jacob A. Branson,^{ac} Alexander S. Ditter,^a Daniel J. Lussier,^{ac} Liane M. Moreau,^a Dominic Russo,^{ac} Dumitru-Claudiu Sergentu,^b David K. Shuh,^a Taoxiang Sun,^a Jochen Autschbach^{§*b} and Stefan G. Minasian^{§*a}

5f covalency in $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ was probed with carbon K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and electronic structure theory. The results revealed U 5f orbital participation in δ -bonding in both the ground- and core-excited states; additional 5f ϕ -mixing is observed in the core-excited states. Comparisons with $\text{U}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)_2$ show greater δ -covalency for $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$.

A deep understanding of metal–ligand interactions in f-block complexes is necessary to control their desirable physical properties and chemical reactivities and to design new separation processes, and determine environmental speciation.¹ Organometallic actinide (An) complexes containing cyclic $\eta^n\text{-C}_n\text{H}_n$ ligands ($n = 4\text{--}9$) have been used for decades as a platform for studying fundamental aspects of metal–ligand bonding and reactivity.^{1c,2} In the case of uranocene, $\text{U}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)_2$, mixing between the $\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8$ ligands and metal 5f and 6d orbitals has been confirmed both experimentally and theoretically, and today it is one of the most widely accepted examples of An–ligand covalency.^{1b,c,2h–l,n,3} Complexes bearing two $\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8$ ligands or substituted variants can be prepared in a range of formal oxidation states and are known for all Ln (except Pm) and most An (Th to Cm). In contrast, $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ is currently the only Ln/An complex isolated experimentally with two $\eta^8\text{-C}_7\text{H}_7$ ligands, and also the only homoleptic metallocene with a formal 5+ oxidation state. Clearly $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ is a singular molecule, which provides a rare opportunity to explore

unique aspects of chemical structure and bonding that are fundamental to the nature of all metallocenes.

The complex, $[\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})][\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]$, was first discovered by Ephritikhine and co-workers,^{2d} as green crystals following the addition of cycloheptatriene to a mixture of tetravalent UCl_4 and K metal. A formal 5+ oxidation state for the U center was assigned based on the two $\eta^8\text{-C}_7\text{H}_7$ ligands, each having 3– formal charges according to the Hückel $4n + 2$ rule for aromaticity. In a subsequent theoretical study using Kohn–Sham (KS) density functional theory (DFT) calculations, Li and Bursten noted that the bonding 5f δ molecular orbitals (MOs) of e_{2u} symmetry for the $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ complex have nearly equal amounts of 5f and ligand π -orbital character. Because these doubly-degenerate δ -bonding MOs have a combined occupation of four electrons, the authors suggested that the actual charge on the U center may be closer to 3+.^{2f} Subsequent electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements and crystal-field (CF) analyses support the U^{5+} oxidation state with significant metal–ligand covalent interactions.^{2e} Clearly, oxidation state rules are inadequate for cases such as $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$, and more precise and detailed models of both 5f- and 6d-orbital interactions are needed to understand the electronic structure and its relationship to physical behavior.

Ligand K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) has emerged as a powerful tool to study metal–ligand covalency, as the spectral features carry information about the coefficients of mixing between metal-centered and ligand-centered orbitals.^{2h,3b,4} C K-edge XAS supported with time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) and *ab initio* multireference calculations have been applied to probe the δ - and ϕ -type orbital mixing in $\text{Th}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)_2$ and $\text{U}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)_2$.^{2h,3b} Some of us showed previously by *ab initio* calculations that the ground state (GS) of $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ is multiconfigurational.^{2g} Under spin–orbit (SO) coupling, the GS is composed of 70% $^2\Sigma_u$ and 30% $^2\Pi_u$ (see ESI†), with strong δ -type covalent interactions involving the U 5f and 6d orbitals. Multi-configurational wavefunction calculations reproduced the experimentally observed $g_{\parallel}$ and $g_{\perp}$ values, whereas single-configuration DFT calculations produced

^a Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. E-mail: sgminasian@lbl.gov

^b Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY 14260-3000, USA. E-mail: jochena@buffalo.edu

^c Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and characterizations; computational details. See DOI: 10.1039/d1cc03414f

‡ These authors contributed equally.

§ Present address: Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Czech Academy of Sciences, 16610 Prague 6, Czech Republic.

an inaccurate $g_{\perp}$. The current study describes the C K-edge XAS of $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$, which provides experimental evidence of δ -mixing between the 5f- and ligand orbitals. *Ab initio* calculations were used to develop spectral assignments, evaluate changes in the amount of δ -type and ϕ -type covalency in the GS and core-ESs.

Before discussing the C K-edge XAS spectra in detail it is instructive to provide a framework for evaluating the orbital interactions in $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$. The GS electronic structure of $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ has been discussed before in detail,^{2e-g} and here we briefly focus on the U atomic orbitals (AOs) that form in-phase/out-of-phase combination with ligand-centered “ π ” fragment orbitals (FOs, formed by C 2p_z AOs) that are relevant to the C K-edge XAS experiment. To simplify the following discussion, linear symmetry designations (σ , π , δ , ϕ) are used throughout, due to the (pseudo) linear symmetry of the complex. Furthermore, gerade (g) and ungerade (u) subscript labels indicate the parity with respect to the inversion center in the D_{7d} (staggered) conformer of $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$.

Fig. 1 shows a qualitative valence MO diagram for the staggered conformer guided by DFT. The seven/five-fold degeneracy of the U 5f/6d AOs is lifted by the (pseudo) axial ligand-field into 5f _{σ} /6d _{σ} , 5f _{π} /6d _{π} , 5f _{δ} /6d _{δ} , and 5f _{ϕ} AOs. These U AOs then mix with the occupied/unoccupied (C₇H₇)³⁻ FOs of matching parity and energy, labeled hereafter as L _{σ} , L _{π} , L _{δ} , and L _{ϕ} (symmetry labels added in the subscript). L _{$\delta(u)$} and L _{$\delta(g)$} correspond to the highest occupied ligand FOs, while L _{$\phi(u)$} and L _{$\phi(g)$} are the lowest unoccupied ligand FOs. Because of the larger orbital energy mismatch, mixing between the L _{$\sigma(u)$} or L _{$\pi(u)$} FOs (not shown in Fig. 1) and the U 5f _{$\sigma(u)$} or 5f _{$\pi(u)$} AOs, respectively, is insignificant. The resulting MOs have nearly 100% weight from the U 5f AOs and are denoted as σ_u and π_u . The U 6d _{$\delta(g)$} AOs mix more significantly with the L _{$\delta(g)$} FOs, while the U 5f _{$\delta(u)$} AOs mix with the L _{$\delta(u)$} FOs. This mixing results in the formation of in-phase (+, bonding) and out-of-phase (−, antibonding) MOs denoted as δ_g/δ_g^* and δ_u/δ_u^* respectively. The U 5f _{$\phi(u)$} AOs can also mix with the L _{$\phi(u)$} FOs to form in-phase (+) and out-of-phase (−) MOs denoted as ϕ_u/ϕ_u^* . Due to symmetry restrictions, the L _{$\phi(g)$} FOs remain purely non-bonding to the U AOs and are denoted as ϕ_g hereafter.

The magnetic susceptibility of $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})][\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]$ was measured using SQUID magnetometry (Fig. S1, ESI†), which

provided a μ_{eff} of 1.95 μ_B at 300 K, and 1.70 μ_B for the ground state. While this value is significantly lower than the calculated value for a free U⁵⁺ ion with a ²F_{5/2} ground state,⁵ it is well within the range commonly observed for other U⁵⁺ molecules⁶ and in good agreement with the value of 1.78 μ_B calculated using the g values obtained using EPR by Ephritikhine and coworkers.^{2e}

For C K-edge XAS, saturation and self-absorption errors that can complicate C K-edge measurements were mitigated by using a scanning transmission X-ray microscope (STXM) to obtain C K-edge XAS data from thin crystallites. According to previously established methods,^{2h,7} small droplets of $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})][\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]$ dissolved in THF were allowed to evaporate on Si₃N₄ windows in an Ar-filled glovebox. This resulted in the formation of a large number of thin crystallites in a compact area that was suitable for STXM raster scans. The background-subtracted and normalized C K-edge spectrum for $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})][\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]$ is provided in Fig. 2. Visual inspection of the pre-edge region (below ca. 290 eV) showed features that are—to a first approximation—indicative of excitations from core C 1s orbitals into unoccupied valence MOs associated with the $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ or $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})]^+$ ions.

A plot of the second derivative of the spectrum (Fig. S2, ESI†) indicated that three pre-edge features are present (labeled A, B,




Fig. 2 Normalized C K-edge XAS spectrum obtained in transmission for $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})][\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]$ and calculated spectra for $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$. Top a comparison between the experimental spectrum (black) and a spectrum modeled from DFT orbitals (red, PBE/SO-ZORA, blue-shifted by 17.1 eV to match the energy of feature A). Bottom a comparison between the experiment (black) and multi-configurational wavefunction calculations (blue, tLSDA-SO, blue-shifted by 20.1 eV to match the energy of feature A). The vertical bars represent the energies and oscillator strengths for the individual transitions. The calculated spectral envelopes were generated with a 0.5 eV Gaussian broadening of the individual transitions.

and C in Fig. 2), however, more than three transitions are possible based on the valence MO diagram (Fig. 1). Therefore, theoretical methods were used to develop spectral assignments to understand which features were associated with the $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ and $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})]^+$ components.

The C K-edge spectrum was modeled by approximating the transition-dipole moments and energy differences between the many-electron states by the dipole moment integrals and orbital energy differences between the occupied and unoccupied orbitals from GS DFT calculations, to identify transitions that may be attributed to the C atoms of the $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})]^+$ counter-cation instead of $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$. Similar C K-edge calculations have previously been performed successfully for actinide species.⁸ With these approximations, the intensity of feature A in the DFT calculated spectra for the full complex $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})][\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]$ and the $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ complex ion is consistent with the experimental one (Fig. 2, top). The pre- and rising-edge features of the C K-edge mainly arise from transitions into the δ_u^* , ϕ_u , ϕ_u^* of $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$, indicating significant U 5f mixing with ligand FOs. Additionally, there are transitions into non-bonding ligand-centered ϕ_g orbitals and less intense transitions into the 6d-based δ_g^* and π_g^* orbitals in the higher energy region of the rising edge. Features B and C consist of transitions based on both $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ and $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})]^+$ moieties, as indicated by the experimental spectrum of a reference $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})]\text{Br}$ sample (Fig. S3 and S4, ESI†). As expected based on the GS orbital description above, no significant transitions were observed for the σ_u or π_u orbitals.

To describe the chemical bonding in the GS and the core-ESs with a consistent theoretical approach, multiconfigurational wavefunction calculations were conducted using the restricted active space (RAS) Self consistent field (SCF) approach,⁹ as implemented in OpenMolcas.¹⁰ The approach for calculating XAS spectra of An species based on the RAS approach was established recently.^{2g,3b} 'Spin-free' (SF) natural orbitals (NOs) and their occupations for the SO coupled multi-configurational states were obtained as described previously.¹¹ Additional computational details are given in the ESI.†

The C K-edge spectrum for $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$, calculated within an *a posteriori* SO coupling formalism using SF RAS wavefunctions and SF energies from post-SCF multiconfigurational pair-density functional theory calculations with the 'on-top' tLSDA functional, is presented in Fig. 2, bottom. Overall, the feature energies and intensities of the pre-edge features calculated by tLSDA-SO are in good agreement with the experimental ones, apart from a slight (0.8 eV) shift to higher energy for feature B. Calculations of the transitions centered on the $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})]^+$ counter cation were not feasible with the RAS approach since a much larger active space would be required. Consequently, the feature C from the RAS calculations is less intense than in the experiment, because it lacks the intensity from the countercation transitions.

The C K-edge intensities arising from $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$ can be further assigned in terms of the NOs of the intense core-ESs calculated with tLSDA-SO (Table 1). Isosurface plots of the δ_u^* , ϕ_u , and ϕ_g NOs of the GS and selected intense core-ESs, and their composition analysis (AO wt%), are presented in Fig. 3.

Table 1 Assignments of final states for features A, B, and C of the C K-edge XAS spectra shown in Fig. 2, and comparison of experimental and calculated pre-edge transition energies (eV) for $[\text{U}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7)_2]^-$

Features	NO occupation	Energies (eV)	
		XAS	tLSDA-SO ^a
GS	$(\sigma_u)^{0.70}(\pi_u)^{0.30}(\delta_u^*)^{0.00}(\phi_u)^{0.00}(\phi_u^*)^{0.00}(\phi_g)^{0.00}$	0.0	0.0
A	$(\sigma_u)^{0.76}(\pi_u)^{0.11}(\delta_u^*)^{0.23}(\phi_u)^{0.88}(\phi_u^*)^{0.01}(\phi_g)^{0.01}$	285.1	285.1
B	$(\sigma_u)^{0.47}(\pi_u)^{0.54}(\delta_u^*)^{0.22}(\phi_u)^{0.02}(\phi_u^*)^{0.45}(\phi_g)^{0.34}$	287.5	288.3
C	$(\sigma_u)^{0.75}(\pi_u)^{0.26}(\delta_u^*)^{0.16}(\phi_u)^{0.02}(\phi_u^*)^{0.45}(\phi_g)^{0.36}$	289.0	288.8

^a Calculated tLSDA-SO energies were blue-shifted by 20.1 eV to match feature A.

Other active-space NOs are described in Fig. S7 in the ESI.† The NO analysis of the core-ES wavefunction corresponding to the most intense excitation under feature A revealed involvement of the virtual metal-centered ϕ_u and δ_u^* orbitals. The NO configuration of the most intense core-ES is $(\sigma_u)^{0.76}(\pi_u)^{0.11}(\delta_u^*)^{0.23}(\phi_u)^{0.88}(\phi_u^*)^{0.01}(\phi_g)^{0.01}$. The extent of ϕ -type orbital mixing is significant in the states corresponding to feature A, first by populating the ϕ_u orbitals, and second by causing an increased metal–ligand mixing in them as compared to the corresponding unoccupied GS orbitals (see Fig. 3). The intense core-ESs for features B and C involve ϕ_u^* and ϕ_g orbitals (see Table 1). Feature A is less intense than B and C because of comparatively low C 2p_z wt% in δ_u^* and ϕ_u orbitals associated with feature A. Overall, the relevant intense Core-ESs that cause features A, B, and C are all strongly multi-configurational.




Fig. 3 Isosurfaces (± 0.03 a.u.) of ϕ_u^* , ϕ_u , and δ_u^* NOs and their decomposition in terms of AO wt% to analyze the bonding in GS and core-ESs.

The fractional occupation numbers also reflect the strong multi-configurational character of the wavefunctions. For example, for feature A the σ_u occupation is increased relative to the GS, while the π_u occupation decreased, showing that this core excitation goes along with a substantial redistribution of the electron density in orbitals other than the ones that are directly implicated in the excitation. This is also the case for the electronic transitions responsible for features B and C. Specifically, the most intense transition under feature B corresponds to a core-ES with the NO configuration $(\sigma_u)^{0.47}(\pi_u)^{0.54}(\delta_u^*)^{0.22}(\phi_u)^{0.02}(\phi_u^*)^{0.45}(\phi_g)^{0.34}$. The NO occupations of the intense core-ESs attributed to features B and C differ mainly only for the metal-centered σ_u and π_u (see Table 1). However, the sum of the σ_u and π_u occupations (1.01) is the same for both features B and C.

The NO analysis of the electronic states of $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$ may be compared with those for $U(C_8H_8)_2$ (cf. Fig. S9 in the ESI†). For both metallocenes, no transitions were observed into σ_u and π_u orbitals, indicating that these orbitals are primarily U 5f AOs with little or no C 2p character. Likewise, the ϕ_u bonding orbitals for $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$ and $U(C_8H_8)_2$ have nearly 100% weight from the U 5f AOs and showed no significant ϕ -type covalency. However, the picture changes in the core-ESs, such that for feature A the ϕ_u orbitals are comprised of 84% U 5f and 11% C 2p character. These values are comparable to those calculated for $U(C_8H_8)_2$ (Fig. S9, ESI†), where 77% U 5f and 17% C 2p character was determined for the ϕ_u orbitals in the core-ESs.

In the GS of $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$, the δ_u^* orbitals have 72% U 5f and 22% C 2p AO wt%. These AO wt% remain unchanged, as expected, in the core-ESs for features A, B, and C. These values reflect significant δ -bonding for $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$, which is consistent with the previously reported EPR parameters.^{2e} The C 2p AO wt% of the δ_u^* orbitals of $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$ is also 12% larger than that of $U(C_8H_8)_2$ (cf. Fig. S8 and S10 in the ESI†). Since these orbitals are very similar in the GS and core-ESs of $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$, their composition reports on the corresponding occupied δ_u orbitals in the GS (and the core-ESs) and indicate larger δ -type covalency in $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$ compared to $U(C_8H_8)_2$. The trend in δ -type 5f covalent bonding may be due to several factors,^{1b,12} including the better energy match between the low energy $L_8(u)$ FOs that occurs as the 5f AOs decrease in energy with increasing oxidation state (from $U(C_8H_8)_2$ to $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$).

In summary, we demonstrate the use of carbon K-edge XAS and *ab initio* calculations to probe metal–ligand bonding interactions in $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$. The combination of experimental and theoretical results illustrates that the GS and the core-ESs relevant to the transitions in the C K-edge XAS spectra are strongly multiconfigurational. Comparisons between earlier studies on $U(C_8H_8)_2$ were derived to understand how periodic changes in An 5f AO energy impacted covalency. No significant σ - or π -orbital metal–ligand AO mixing was observed for either metallocene, whether in the GS or core-ESs. Some ϕ -type orbital mixing is observed for $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$ in the core-ESs but not in the GS. A comparatively large amount of δ -type orbital mixing is observed for $[U(C_7H_7)_2]^-$ in the GS and core-ESs relative to $U(C_8H_8)_2$. To explore the limits of this trend, we are currently employing C K-edge XAS to explore the covalency and the

multiconfigurational character of the GSs for transuranic metallocene compounds in a range of oxidation states.

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Heavy Element Chemistry Program of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) at LBNL under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. STXM research described in this paper was conducted at ALS beamline 11.0.2 supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Condensed Phase and Interfacial Molecular Sciences Program of the U.S. DOE at LBNL under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. J. A. acknowledges support for the theoretical component of this study from the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Heavy Element Chemistry program, under grant DE-SC0001136. We thank the Center for Computational Research (CCR) at the University at Buffalo for providing computational resources.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) G. Meyer, *The Rare Earth Elements: Fundamentals and Applications*, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 2012; (b) M. L. Neidig, D. L. Clark and R. L. Martin, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **257**, 394–406; (c) M. Pepper and B. E. Bursten, *Chem. Rev.*, 1991, **91**, 719–741.
- (a) N. Tsoureas, A. Mansikkamäki and R. A. Layfield, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 944–947; (b) F.-S. Guo, N. Tsoureas, G.-Z. Huang, M.-L. Tong, A. Mansikkamäki and R. A. Layfield, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 2299–2303; (c) J. T. Miller and C. W. Dekock, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1981, **216**, 39–48; (d) T. Arliguie, M. Lance, M. Nierlich, J. Vigner and M. Ephritikhine, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1995, 183–184; (e) D. Gourier, D. Caurant, T. Arliguie and M. Ephritikhine, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 6084–6092; (f) J. Li and B. E. Bursten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 9021–9032; (g) D.-C. Sergentu, F. Gendron and J. Autschbach, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 6292–6306; (h) S. G. Minasian, J. M. Keith, E. R. Batista, K. S. Boland, D. L. Clark, S. A. Kozimor, R. L. Martin, D. K. Shuh and T. Tylliszczak, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 351–359; (i) A. Streitwieser and U. Mueller-Westerhoff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 7364; (j) A. Streitwieser and N. Yoshida, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 7528; (k) J. P. Clark and J. C. Green, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1976, **112**, C14–C16; (l) A. Kerridge, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 16428–16436; (m) A. H. H. Chang and R. M. Pitzer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 2500–2507; (n) A. Kerridge and N. Kaltsoyannis, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 8737–8745.
- (a) A. H. H. Chang and R. M. Pitzer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 2500–2507; (b) G. Ganguly, D.-C. Sergentu and J. Autschbach, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 1776–1788.
- (a) T. Glaser, B. Hedman, K. O. Hodgson and E. I. Solomon, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2000, **33**, 859–868; (b) E. I. Solomon, B. Hedman, K. O. Hodgson, A. Dey and R. K. Szilagyi, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **249**, 97–129; (c) F. Frati, M. O. J. Y. Hunault and F. M. F. de Groot, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 4056–4110.
- N. M. Edelstein and G. H. Lander, in *The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements*, ed. L. Morss, N. M. Edelstein and J. Fuger, Springer, Berlin, 3rd edn, 2006, ch. 20, vol. 4, pp. 2225–2306.
- (a) C. R. Graves and J. L. Kiplinger, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 3831–3853; (b) R. K. Rosen, R. A. Andersen and N. M. Edelstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 4588–4590; (c) S. C. Bart, C. Anthon, F. W. Heinemann, E. Bill, N. M. Edelstein and K. Meyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 12536–12546; (d) S. Fortier, J. R. Walensky, G. Wu and T. W. Hayton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 11732–11743;

1	(e) L. A. Seaman, G. Wu, N. Edelstein, W. W. Lukens, N. Magnani and T. W. Hayton, <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> , 2012, 134 , 4931–4940; (f) D. M. King, P. A. Cleaves, A. J. Wooles, B. M. Gardner, N. F. Chilton, F. Tuna, W. Lewis, E. J. L. McInnes and S. T. Liddle, <i>Nat. Commun.</i> , 2016, 7 ; (g) C. T. Palumbo, L. Barluzzi, R. Scopelliti, I. Zivkovic, A. Fabrizio, C. Corminboeuf and M. Mazzanti, <i>Chem. Sci.</i> , 2019, 10 , 8840–8849.	9	(a) P. A. Malmqvist, A. Rendell and B. O. Roos, <i>J. Phys. Chem.</i> , 1990, 94 , 5477–5482; (b) J. Olsen, B. O. Roos, P. Jørgensen and H. J. A. Jensen, <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> , 1988, 89 , 2185–2192.	1
5	7 (a) S. G. Minasian, J. M. Keith, E. R. Batista, K. S. Boland, S. A. Kozimor, R. L. Martin, D. K. Shuh, T. Tylliszczak and L. J. Vernon, <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> , 2013, 135 , 14731–14740; (b) D. E. Smiles, E. R. Batista, C. H. Booth, D. L. Clark, J. M. Keith, S. A. Kozimor, R. L. Martin, S. G. Minasian, D. K. Shuh, S. C. E. Stieber and T. Tylliszczak, <i>Chem. Sci.</i> , 2020, 11 , 2796–2809.	10	F. Aquilante, J. Autschbach, A. Baiardi, S. Battaglia, V. A. Borin, L. F. Chibotaru, I. Conti, L. De Vico, M. Delcey, I. F. Galván, N. Ferré, L. Freitag, M. Garavelli, X. Gong, S. Knecht, E. D. Larsson, R. Lindh, M. Lundberg, P. Å. Malmqvist, A. Nenov, J. Norell, M. Odelius, M. Olivucci, T. B. Pedersen, L. Pedraza-González, Q. M. Phung, K. Pierloot, M. Reiher, I. Schapiro, J. Segarra-Martí, F. Segatta, L. Seijo, S. Sen, D.-C. Sergentu, C. J. Stein, L. Ungur, M. Vacher, A. Valentini and V. Veryazov, <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> , 2020, 152 , 214117.	5
10	8 P. Å. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos and B. Schimmelpfennig, <i>Chem. Phys. Lett.</i> , 2002, 357 , 230–240.	11	F. Gendron, D. Pérez-Hernández, F.-P. Nottter, B. Pritchard, H. Bolvin and J. Autschbach, <i>Chem. – Eur. J.</i> , 2014, 20 , 7994–8011.	10
15		12 (a) K. D. Warren, <i>Struct. Bonding</i> , 1977, 33 , 97–138; (b) R. G. Hayes and N. Edelstein, <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> , 1972, 94 , 8688–8691.		
20				
25				
30				
35				
40				
45				
50				
55				