

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Deciphering amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: What phenotype, neuropathology and genetics are telling us about pathogenesis

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/14m5507r>

Journal

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Frontotemporal Degeneration, 14(sup1)

ISSN

2167-8421

Authors

Ravits, John
Appel, Stanley
Baloh, Robert H
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2013-05-01

DOI

10.3109/21678421.2013.778548

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener. 2013 May ; 14(0 1): 5–18. doi:
10.3109/21678421.2013.778548.

Deciphering amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: What phenotype, neuropathology and genetics are telling us about pathogenesis

John Ravits¹, Stanley Appel², Robert H. Baloh³, Richard Barohn⁴, Benjamin Rix Brooks⁵, Lauren Elman⁶, Mary Kay Floeter⁷, Christopher Henderson⁸, Catherine Lomen-Hoerth⁹, Jeffrey D. Macklis¹⁰, Leo Mccluskey¹¹, Hiroshi Mitsumoto¹², Serge Przedborski¹³, Jeffrey Rothstein¹⁴, John Q. Trojanowski¹⁵, Leonard H. Van Den Berg¹⁶, and Steven Ringel¹⁷

¹Department of Neurosciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California ²The Methodist Research Institute, Houston, Texas ³Department of Neurology and Regenerative Medicine Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California ⁴Department of Neurology, The University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas ⁵Department of Neurology, Carolinas Medical Center — University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Charlotte, North Carolina ⁶Department of Neurology, Pennsylvania Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania ⁷National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, NIH, Motor Neuron Center, Maryland ⁸Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY ⁹Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, California ¹⁰Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts ¹¹Department of Neurology, Pennsylvania Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania ¹²Department of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY ¹³Department of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY ¹⁴Department of Neurology, Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University Medical Center, Baltimore, Maryland ¹⁵Center for Neurodegenerative Disease Research, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania ¹⁶Department of Neurology, Rudolf Magnus Institute of Neuroscience, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands ¹⁷Department of Neurology, University of Colorado Denver School of Medicine, Denver, Colorado, USA

Abstract

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is characterized phenotypically by progressive weakness and neuropathologically by loss of motor neurons. Phenotypically, there is marked heterogeneity. Typical ALS has mixed upper motor neuron (UMN) and lower motor neuron (LMN) involvement. Primary lateral sclerosis has predominant UMN involvement. Progressive muscular atrophy has predominant LMN involvement. Bulbar and limb ALS have predominant regional involvement. Frontotemporal dementia has significant cognitive and behavioral involvement. These phenotypes can be so distinctive that they would seem to have differing biology. However, they cannot be distinguished, at least neuropathologically or genetically. In sporadic ALS (SALS), they are mostly characterized by ubiquitinated cytoplasmic inclusions of TDP-43. In familial ALS (FALS), where phenotypes are indistinguishable from SALS and similarly heterogeneous, each mutated gene has its own genetic and molecular signature. Overall, since the same phenotypes can have multiple causes including different gene mutations, there must be multiple molecular mechanisms causing ALS – and ALS is a *syndrome*. Since, however, multiple phenotypes can be caused by

© 2013 Informa Healthcare

Correspondence: J. Ravits, Department of Neurosciences, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, MC 0624, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA. jravits@ucsd.edu.

Declaration of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

one single gene mutation, a single molecular mechanism can cause heterogeneity. What the mechanisms are remain unknown, but active propagation of the pathology neuroanatomically seems to be a principal component. Leading candidate mechanisms include RNA processing, cell-cell interactions between neurons and non-neuronal neighbors, focal seeding from a misfolded protein that has prion-like propagation, and fatal errors introduced during neurodevelopment of the motor system. If fundamental mechanisms could be identified and understood, ALS therapy could rationally target progression and stop the disease – a goal that seems increasingly achievable.

Keywords

ALS; PLS; PMA; motor neuron disease; FTD

Introduction

The chief characteristic that defines amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is progressive weakness from neurodegeneration of the upper motor neuron (UMN) and lower motor neuron (LMN). Clinically, this is defined by a history establishing weakness over time and space, and by an examination showing signs of both UMN and LMN dysfunction in one or more body regions. Neuropathologically, ALS is defined by loss of motor neurons in brain, brainstem, and spinal cord and now increasingly by a sophisticated repertoire of neuropathological markers. Clinical phenotypes are determined by the anatomic location of neuropathology and, during life, this can be imputed clinically.

Heterogeneity of clinical phenotypes is characteristic; there are vastly different degrees of involvement of UMN and LMN, body regions that are affected, degrees of involvement of other systems especially cognition and behavior, and progression rates. While there are highly distinctive molecular neuropathological subtypes of ALS, they do not clearly correlate with the various clinical phenotypes. This is the main mystery: is it one disease with shared fundamental biologic mechanisms or is it many diseases with different fundamental mechanisms and, if so, how do they relate?

Genetics is giving us clues – clinical phenotype both masks and unmasks essential elements and there must be both single mechanisms and multiple mechanisms. Sporadic ALS (SALS), which occurs in 90% of cases, and familial ALS (FALS), at 10%, are essentially indistinguishable from each other by phenotype. That many different gene mutations have identical or at least highly similar clinical phenotypes tells us there must be multiple mechanisms that cause ALS and ALS is a *syndrome*. However, that one single genotype causes many different phenotypes tells us that single mechanisms can lead to multiple phenotypes. Mechanisms both converge and diverge.

Clinical phenotypes

Clinical phenotypes based on level of involvement

‘Typical’ ALS (Table I, II)—Weakness in classical ALS has simultaneous UMN and LMN characteristics. The weakness typically begins insidiously in discrete body regions and advances steadily over time and space. It begins in any of the three main body regions (bulbar, arm and leg), although occasionally begins in the muscles affecting the trunk and/or respiration. This has been codified into the El Escorial criteria, which underscores that defining ALS syndromes is largely clinical and that the physical examination signs are critically important. The comixture of UMN and LMN clinical signs, indicating the degree to which the pathological burden is distributed between one level and the other, is normally distributed, with a possible skew to LMN predominance (1). At the extremes, when disease

is predominantly UMN or predominantly LMN, there are special designations, highlighting the uniqueness and raising the question of whether or not these are fundamentally different biologically or the extremes of one continuum.

Primary lateral sclerosis (PLS) (Tables I, II)—PLS is the designation for a syndrome with solely UMN level phenotype (2–7). In over half of PLS patients, symptoms begin insidiously in the legs and ascend smoothly and relatively symmetrically to arms and bulbar muscles. Others have a patchy progression, often with prominent bulbar symptoms. There is disagreement as to the degree of LMN involvement, especially as identified by EMG findings (8,9). Patients with clinically pure PLS and not EMG or LMN changes four years after symptom onset have decades-long survival (8,10), whereas minor EMG or LMN findings predicted a poorer prognosis, consistent with typical ALS patients presenting with predominant UMN signs (11). Thus, the diagnosis of PLS should be made only after four years of disease duration (8). PLS may stabilize after a few years of progression (12), although similar stabilization may occur in UMN-dominant ALS (UMN-D ALS) (11,13). Frontotemporal dementia (FTD), cognitive impairment and altered behavior occur in PLS comparably to ALS (14). Ultimately, PLS is a clinical diagnosis that relies upon exclusion of other known causes of progressive spasticity, such as apparently sporadic presentations of hereditary spastic paraparesis (15).

Progressive muscular atrophy (PMA) (Tables I, II)—PMA is the designation for syndromes with predominantly LMN phenotype. Onset can be in any body region and compared to ALS, PMA patients are more likely to be males and have a higher age of onset. Approximately 30% of PMA patients develop UMN signs within 18 months (16,17). A subset of patients that is characterized by segmental involvement for more than four years duration have slow progression and prolonged survival, although transition to ALS can occur even in this group (18,19). Patients with PMA demonstrate the same frontotemporal pattern of cognitive involvement as is seen in typical ALS and thus the degree of UMN involvement does not correlate with cognitive involvement (20). The UMN imaging data are not straightforward. Depending on technique, changes may not be seen (21), may predate clinical changes (22), or may show extramotor white matter involvement (23). By MR spectroscopy, one study showed changes in 63% of PMA patients (24) and another study showed only modest, nonsignificant changes (25). Neurophysiological studies of central motor conduction using transcranial magnetic stimulation show abnormalities in 50–63% of patients with clinical PMA (24,26).

Clinical phenotypes based on body region of involvement

Bulbar and pseudobulbar palsy (Tables I, II)—While the designations PLS and PMA are based on the level of the underlying pathology, another set of designations is based on the body region first affected at the outbreak of disease. When ALS begins by affecting the muscles of speech, mastication and swallowing, it is designated bulbar-onset ALS. The designation ‘bulbar’ has traditionally signified predominantly LMN involvement and the designation ‘pseudobulbar’ has traditionally signified predominantly UMN involvement, but often ‘bulbar’ is used as parlance for both. EMG-positive (meaning LMN is involved) and EMG-negative (meaning only UMN involvement) have similar progression. Interestingly, there is a female predominance in bulbar palsy, compared with other limb regional forms where there is male predominance. The bulbar system is more complicated than the arms and legs and thus is more than just a fifth limb. Bulbar onset is more highly associated with affect and cognition and often has the added feature of altered and exaggerated emotional expression that is called emotional incontinence (27). Bulbar symptoms are often directly correlated with depression. Neurophysiological studies have identified neural networks underlying corticobulbar control of swallowing that are especially affected during repetitive

movements (28). Functional MRI studies of the course of bulbar- and limb-onset ALS are providing insights into the interrelationship between brainstem-derived and spinal cord-derived neural networks (29). A treatment based on dextromethorphan has an attenuating effect on pseudobulbar affect.

Limb regional variants including flail leg, flail arm, polyneuritic pattern, and hemiplegia (Mills's variant) (Tables I, II)—When ALS begins by affecting muscles of the limbs, as it does two-thirds of the time, it is referred to as limb-onset ALS as discussed above. However, within this group, a few variant phenotypes are distinctive. Typically these variants are predominantly LMN syndromes and tend to be very slowly progressive.

Upper extremity regional variant:

- This variant in which weakness is confined initially to the upper extremities has also been called 'hanging arm syndrome', 'neurogenic man-in-the-barrel', 'flail arm syndrome', 'brachial amyotrophic diplegia', and the 'Vulpian-Bernhart syndrome'. These patients have bilateral upper extremity weakness and atrophy that affects predominantly the proximal arms and shoulder girdle (19,30). The average age of onset does not differ from that of ALS but, compared with ALS, this syndrome is significantly more common in males. Average survival is approximately five years; however, the definitions used for these patients have been slightly different. Some patients presenting with this phenotype can go on to develop a typical ALS course. Katz et al. used an 18-month time of weakness confined to the arms, and no UMN signs (30); Wijeskera used 12 months and patients could have UMN signs (19). In the original series of Katz, after a mean follow-up of 5.5 years, weakness remained restricted to the upper extremities in seven out of 19 patients.

Lower extremity regional variant:

- This LMN variant confined to the legs is known as the pseudopolyneuritic variant of ALS, the Marie-Patrikios form, flail leg syndrome, the peroneal form of ALS, and leg amyotrophic diplegia (19,31,32). It is rare (about 3–3.5% of all motor neuron disease cases), predominantly male, predominantly LMN, and relatively slowly progressive with mean survival ranging from 76 to 96 months.

Mill's variant (hemiplegic ALS):

- This is a disputed rare variant phenotype characterized by a progressive hemiplegic pattern of motor deficit that ascends from the leg or descends from the arm. This could represent a variant of PLS. The scarce literature that exists suggests that it is simply a descriptive clinical term. A PET study in one such patient demonstrated a striking lateralization of microglial activation in the hemisphere contralateral to the hemiplegia (33).

Clinical phenotypes with involvement of non-motor regions

Frontotemporal dementia (Tables I, II)—The overlap of FTD and ALS has been well documented in FTD patients with comorbid motor neuron degeneration and in ALS patients with frontotemporal dysfunction (34–37). Up to 15% of FTD patients and 30% of ALS patients experience the overlap syndrome. The syndrome may be difficult to identify since ALS patients' behavioral or cognitive abnormalities may be subtle and since patients often present either to a neuromuscular clinic or a memory disorders center. New designations called behaviorally impaired and cognitively impaired ALS were created to reflect uncertainty as to whether or not they may have different underlying biologies (38). Key tests that are useful looking for cognitive behavioral impairment and excluding depression are

beginning to emerge (39). Survival is impacted for both disorders in the comorbid condition, making identification of this syndrome critical. There is a survival difference of more than a year between patients with comorbid disease versus ALS alone (40).

Other system involvement—In addition to dementia, other systems can be involved in what otherwise seems to be typical ALS. These include the extrapyramidal motor systems (41–47), supranuclear gaze systems (48–51) and the autonomic nervous system (52,53). Increasingly, defects in energy metabolism including weight loss, hypermetabolism and hyper-lipidemia have been identified and implicate either that other CNS regions such as the hypothalamus are involved or that ALS is part of a systemic disease or both (reviewed in (54)). Such ‘atypical’ involvement is sometimes referred to as ‘ALS-plus syndromes’, but there is ample clinical, neuropathologic and neuroimaging evidence to suggest that these should be considered to be part of the neuropathologic spectrum of ALS/MND (55).

Molecular neuropathology

Overview of neuropathological heterogeneity

Clinical phenotypes are based on the anatomy of the neuropathology imputed by clinical localization early in the disease course, as summarized in Table II – actual neuropathology is studied end-stage after changes have summated over time through the course of the disease. Surprisingly few data are available on their correlations. Neuropathologically, ALS is defined as the loss of UMNs (commonly thought of as Betz cells in layer V of area 4 of Brodmann) and LMNs (commonly thought of as alpha motor neurons in the motor nuclei of the brainstem and Rexed Lamina IX of the anterior horns in the spinal columns). Wallerian/axonal degeneration in the projecting pathways from the UMN is seen in the corpus callosum, centrum semiovale, internal capsule, cerebral peduncle, basis pontis, medullary pyramids and lateral columns (‘lateral sclerosis’), and similarly degeneration in the projections from the LMN is seen in the anterior roots and peripheral nerve, leading to muscle denervation (‘amyotrophy’). In addition, there is astrogliosis, spongiosis, and microglial activation, which are thought to represent mainly secondary reactive changes, at least neuropathologically, although basic research is supporting a more primary role for non-neuronal cells. The neuropathology that is reported on PMA and PLS suggests these ALS clinical phenotypes share a similar neuropathology and their differences are more likely based on the anatomical distribution of the pathological burden than on biological differences selecting one level or region over another or on the molecular characteristics. PMA neuropathology may show abnormalities of the UMN by way of CD68 staining of the descending corticospinal tract, abnormalities identified in 50% of patients with clinically isolated LMN disease (56). Distinct pathological change is identified in the motor and extramotor areas of the brains as well as the spinal cords of patients whose disease was clinically limited to the LMN and these changes seem independent of progression rate (57). PLS neuropathology shows changes in the LMN and these changes are of the same molecular pattern as is seen in typical disease (58,59).

Distinctive molecular neuropathological types (Table III)

In 1988, Leigh et al. and Lowe et al. independently identified depositions of ubiquitin in the cytoplasm of ALS motor neurons using immunohistochemistry (60,61). The morphologies of the deposits were either skein-like or dense and round. Ubiquitin is a housekeeping protein involved in protein homeostasis and the finding suggested an unknown pathological protein was being tagged for removal by the cell. Similar changes of ubiquitinated aggregates were soon identified in about 50% of brains from FTD patients (discussed below). In 2006, the identity of the ubiquitinated protein in both diseases was found to be TDP-43, a nuclear protein involved in DNA and RNA processing and that in these diseases

translocated to the cytoplasm, became cleaved, hyperphosphorylated and insoluble (62,63). Our current molecular neuropathological classification is likely to be continually modified: it now appears that the other proteins besides TDP-43 may be ubiquitinated; newer markers are being identified; and abnormal TDP-43 may be seen in other neurodegenerations. However, to date, overall, essentially all sporadic ALS and nearly all familial ALS except *SOD1*- and *FUS*-associated ALS, regardless of clinical phenotype including PLS and PMA, have hallmark neuropathological deposition of ubiquitinated TDP-43 in the cytoplasm of CNS cells, leading to a belief that ALS is a TDP-43 proteinopathy. Heat maps of the distribution of TDP-43 pathology show that abnormalities are widely present in the brain, not just in motor regions (64).

Genetics

Clinical phenotypes based on genetics

Five to 10% of ALS is genetically transmitted mainly by way of dominant gene mutations and these numbers increase to as high as 15–20% when known genes are tested in patients who were thought to have sporadic disease. Approximately 60–70% of FALS pedigrees have genes that have now been identified, the main ones being *SOD1*, *TARDBP*, *FUS*, *C9ORF72*, *OPTN*, *VCP*, *UBQLN*, and *PFN1* (reviewed in (65)). Clinical phenotype heterogeneity of FALS is as characteristic and as vast as SALS, and no clinical features easily distinguish one from another. Remarkably, this clinical phenotype heterogeneity is even seen in the same mutation in the same gene in the same kinship, implying phenotype is likely determined by factors other than the molecular cascade it triggers. However, some trends exist. Mutations in *SOD1* and *FUS* tend to cause predominantly LMN syndromes. Mutations in *TARDBP* tend to begin in the upper extremity and to progress slower than average (66). Mutations in *SOD1*, *TARDBP* and *FUS* cause mostly motor syndromes and only rarely associated FTD. Mutations in *FUS* cause a juvenile as well as adult motor neuron disease syndrome. Mutations in *C9ORF72* are as likely to cause FTD as ALS, often with psychosis. The ‘A4V’ mutation in *SOD1* ALS is rapid while the ‘D90A’ mutation, unusual in that it is recessive, slow and indolent.

Genetically defined ALS neuropathology (Table III)

Whereas gene mutations do not directly correlate with clinical phenotype, they do correlate with molecular neuropathology, and seem to be distinctive among the various genes (reviewed in (67)). The first and main molecular neuropathological subtype is TDP-43 proteinopathy as defined above. This applies to all sporadic ALS and most non-*SOD1* familial ALS. Ubiquitin and TDP-43 positive skeins define it and the dense round inclusions deposited in the cytoplasm in the spinal motor neurons and the cortex, where they are primarily localized in motor areas (68). The inclusions are also seen in glial cells.

The second and newest defined neuropathological subtype of ALS is a variation on TDP-43 proteinopathy related to expanded hexanucleotide repeats in *C9ORF72*, which represents 33–40% of FALS and up to 7% of SALS. *C9ORF72*-associated cases have all the hallmark features of TDP-43 proteinopathy, and, in addition, there are also abundant deposits of p62 and other markers in the cytoplasm and nucleus of neurons in the cerebellum, basal ganglia and hippocampus, features which are not robust in non-*C9ORF72*-associated cases (69,70). p62 is a protein involved in both the proteasomal pathway and in autophagy, and this has relevance to the growing interest in these pathways in neurodegeneration.

The third main molecular neuropathological signature of ALS applies to mutations in *SOD1*, which represents up to 20% of FALS and 1 – 2% of SALS. Most of the *SOD1*-associated neuropathology was reported prior to 2006, when TDP-43 was identified, and sorely needs to be updated (discussed by (71)). However, in general, it is characterized by deposition in

the cytoplasm of sometimes large amorphous conglomerates of ubiquitinated SOD1 protein that are negative for TDP-43 (68). There seems to be a greater burden on the LMN than UMN (72) and the degree of axon loss seems to be greater than neuronal loss, leading to the concept of it being a distal axonopathy (73). Misfolded SOD1 is present in *SOD1*-associated FALS but whether *or* not this is significant in SALS (74,75) is disputed by emerging evidence that suggests, if present at all, it is not prominent (76–78).

The fourth main molecular neuropathological signature of ALS is FUS proteinopathy, which represents up to 3% of FALS and less than 1% of SALS. This neuropathological subtype is characterized by basophilic inclusions in the cytoplasm of neurons of the motor cortex and of spinal anterior horns, and by FUS-positive, TDP-43-negative immunoreactive inclusions in the cytoplasm of neurons and glia in the motor cortex, spinal anterior horns and various non-motor regions (79,80). There appear to be different signatures in juvenile and adult forms of disease (80,81). One recent report indicated FUS proteinopathy might be prominent in TDP-43 proteinopathies if optimal technical protocols are used for detection (82), but to date this has not been verified by others.

What do clinical heterogeneity and pathological homogeneity indicate about underlying mechanisms?

Hypotheses of propagation

How phenotype, neuropathology and genetics relate to each other is not understood, but propagation of pathology has been identified as a principal component of pathobiology that could provide unified explanation. One hypothesis posits neuroanatomic propagation (83). ALS usually begins in discrete body regions and, for these regions, the degree to which UMN and LMNs are affected (the distribution of disease burden) is variably distributed (1). Once triggered, disease propagates to proximate neuroanatomical regions independently at the two levels and progressive motor neuron loss summates and then saturates neuropathologically (84). The rate of disease progression reflects both the kinetics of propagation and the distribution of the disease burden between UMN and LMN. In this light, PLS and PMA differ primarily in distribution of the pathologic burden between UMN and LMN levels: limb variants differ by neuroanatomic location of onset; and FTD and ALS differ in the cerebral distribution of pathology. A dramatic example is repeat-expanded *C9ORF72*-associated ALS/FTD, where a single disease mechanism leads to either ALS or FTD phenotype, each with its own phenotype heterogeneity.

Different from neuroanatomic propagation is a hypothesis of propagation within neuronal networks. According to this hypothesis, the vast functional as well as structural networks in the CNS create a connectome (85). Neuronal networks may have selective vulnerabilities through natural anatomical patterns that underlie different neurodegenerative syndromes (86), possibly through preferential spread (87). In support of this, advanced MRI data demonstrating ALS-specific neurodegeneration within motor and extramotor networks is emerging (88–90).

While propagation patterns have now been defined by many groups (91–95), other contributions to pathobiology are also emerging. One recent study identified that up to 14% of second regions involved in disease progression were not contiguous (95). Bifocal or multifocal onset has been proposed (96). Two recent studies using different approaches, one traditional groupings and one unbiased cluster analysis, identified a variety of demographic factors that are significant determinants of phenotype (93,97). Genetic syndromes, which are often focal in onset, also have other important biological determinants of phenotype based on factors other than propagation, such as the tendencies for mutations in *SOD1* and *FUS* to

cause predominantly LMN syndromes, mutations in *SOD1* and *TARDBP* to cause mostly ALS rather than FTD, and mutations in *C9ORF72* to cause as much FTD as ALS.

Parallels to FTD

ALS and FTD overlap in many characteristics and they are increasingly viewed as part of a pathobio-logical spectrum. FTD has three main clinical phenotypes: primary progressive aphasia, semantic dementia and behavioral variant. As with ALS clinical phenotypes, here too the prime determinant is the neuroanatomic site of onset – left or right frontal or temporal regions. The neuropathology of FTD, referred to as frontotemporal lobar dementia (FTLD), has three main subtypes (Table III): FTLD-U or TDP-43 (about 50%), FTLD-FUS (about 3–5%), and FTLD-tau (about 40–50%). FTLD-U or TDP-43 and FTLD-FUS overlap significantly with ALS, but FTLD-tau does not, except in one recent report (98). A main genetic overlap between ALS and FTD is with hexanucleotide repeat expanded *C9ORF72* families, and lesser degrees of overlap are seen with the other ALS genetic mutations (reviewed in (67)). Extensive studies over the last several years have sought correlations between FTD clinical phenotypes with FTLD neuropathological and genetic subtypes, and while trends have been identified, predictors and correlations are unclear and complex. That FTD phenotypes can be as defined by the focal neuroanatomic site of onset as they can by any neuropathological or genetic feature suggests that FTD, like ALS, may be viewed as a focally beginning and propagating disease and that, in turn, the pathobiology of propagation is a principal component of its biology.

Pathogenesis

Prime observations

It is reasonable to divide pathogenic mechanisms into three separate components: triggers progression (or propagation), and neuronal death. The separable importance of disease trigger is highlighted by the observation that onset is highly variable in site and in distribution between UMN and LMN, in penetrance, and in age of onset. The separable importance of disease progression is highlighted by the highly variable patterns of progression and progression rates, which suggest variable kinetics. The separable importance of cell death is highlighted by the observation that select motor neuron degeneration is the ultimate result neuropathologically and that this summates over time and space. Many themes about molecular mechanisms of neurodegeneration have emerged over the past two decades and some of these are highlighted below.

Motor neuron resistance and vulnerability in ALS

In ALS, the most vulnerable UMNs are layer V projection neurons in the primary motor cortex, and spinal motor neurons of the ventral horn. The most vulnerable regions and neurons in FTD are less clearly defined, but anterior cingulate and fronto-insular regions show early involvement, and these regions contain unique layer V projection neurons (von Economo neurons and fork cells). The coincidence of ALS and FTD in some patients raises the possibility that a shared cellular or molecular feature is present in cortical motor neurons and subspecialized layer V neurons of other cortical regions, which defines the sensitivity to degeneration in ALS/FTD. The prominent layer V degeneration in TDP-43 transgenic models suggests the determinants of this shared vulnerability of layer V neurons may be present in rodents and be accessible to study. Improving our understanding of whether subspecialized layer V cells really are selectively vulnerable in ALS and FTD, and why, could provide a unique angle for understanding pathogenesis of these diseases.

Among different LMN populations, the motor neurons subserving eye movements and pelvic sphincters are highly resistant compared with typical spinal motor neurons. Although

often considered as a group, spinal motor neurons are highly diverse in terms of their morphology, connectivity, and functional properties and differ significantly in their response to disease. Recent studies of motor neuron diversity have clarified developmental mechanisms and provided novel insights into their neurodegeneration. Motor neurons of different classes and subtypes – fast/slow, alpha/gamma – are grouped together into motor pools, each of which innervates a single skeletal muscle. Distinct mechanisms regulate their development. In multiple contexts including ALS, spinal muscular atrophy and aging, fast-fatigable motor units degenerate early compared to motor neurons innervating slow muscles. Mechanisms for this could also relate to those conferring resistance to those subserving eye movement and pelvic sphincter control. If we could understand why populations and subpopulations of motor neurons are resistant or vulnerable, we would have a strong rationale approach for intervention. One approach is through functional genomics using laser capturing and new genomic technologies. Extrinsic and intrinsic mechanisms that confer resistance represent promising therapeutic targets in these currently incurable diseases.

ALS as a systemic disease

As previously noted, there is a growing body of knowledge about the systemic changes that are occurring in ALS. These include ultrastructural abnormalities in hepatic cells, skin cells, muscle mitochondria, systemic glutamate metabolism, inflammatory cytokine production, immunological changes, glucose metabolism, and lipid metabolism. Skeletal muscle is the single largest organ by mass, constituting 40–45% of the entire body mass and is the end-organ of the motor neurons. Skeletal muscles generate target-derived neurotrophic factors that can substantially affect motor neuron survival. Part of the hypermetabolism that is becoming defined in ALS patients may be due to due to abnormal mitochondrial energy production in skeletal muscle (99), generating a large amount of radical oxygen species (100) that could interact with those from inside the CNS (101). Lipid peroxidase products are highly biologically active, causing cellular damage via apoptosis or nucleophilic action and these could be connected to ALS by way of APOE isoforms and/or paraoxonase I (PON1) or other pathways.

The cellular neighborhood matters: non-autonomous cell death

It is now clear that ALS associated with *SOD1* mutations is non-cell autonomous, i.e. damage of the population of affected neurons depends upon complex interactions between them and their surrounding cells (102,103). From analysis of mice that are mixtures of mutant-expressing *SOD1* and normal cells, gene inactivation in selected cell types, and cell grafting to replace mutant expressing cells with normal ones, it appears mutant damage within motor neurons determines the timing of disease onset, and mutant damage within astrocytes and microglia drives disease progression. Thus, the cellular neighborhood matters. The exact roles of the different cell types are complex. Astrocytes expressing ALS-related *SOD1* mutations, can kill neighboring spinal motor neurons and are crucial to drive disease progression. This mechanism is unknown but the preponderance of evidence, from sporadic and familial ALS as well as rodent models, suggests a common loss of function of glutamate handling, through decreased expression and function of glutamate transporters (104–106), which is neurotoxic. Another could be mediated by a soluble toxic factor(s) that is protein in nature, thermo-labile, and negatively charged, but no in vivo evidence has emerged for this gain-of-toxicity mechanism. The identity of this toxic factor(s), the molecular pathways engaged, and protective small molecules have not yet identified exactly how this occurs. Microglia, the resident innate immune sentinels of the CNS, become activated, and evidence from both in vivo and in vitro models suggests that they can be either neuroprotective or cytotoxic, probably through the release of neurotrophic factors and cytokines (107–109). Activated microglia may switch from anti-inflammatory and

neuroprotective to proinflammatory and neurotoxic, and a greater understanding of the numerous pathways involved could provide opportunities for novel therapeutic intervention. Oligodendroglia in the grey matter have recently been found to have a significant role in ALS. They are derived from NG2 cells, which are adult stem cells located throughout the neural axis. Oligodendrocytes provide trophic and possibly metabolic support to neurons and axons. They are massively proliferating in ALS, both mouse models and human disease, either because of some unknown signal or oligodendrocyte injury. Their exact role in ALS neurodegeneration and how this discovery may impact therapy remains to be determined.

RNA processing and RNA toxicity

Views on pathogenesis are undergoing a sea-change from the recognition of the importance of the two RNA/DNA-binding proteins TDP-43 and FUS. Both are widely expressed, predominantly nuclear, have similar domains and prion-like properties, and have ALS mutations localized in the C-terminal region. They are both structurally close to the family of heterogeneous ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) and have been involved in multiple levels of RNA metabolism including transcription, RNA splicing, RNA transport, translation and microRNA processing (reviewed in (110–112)). Importantly, splicing alterations (113,114) and mRNA-editing errors (115–117) have been reported in sporadic ALS patients, albeit a role of TDP-43 or FUS in these modifications has not been defined. The emerging TDP-43 and FUS evidence has led to the proposal that defects in RNA processing play a central role in neurodegeneration, and this was further underscored by the recent recognition of intermediate length polyglutamine expansions in ataxin-2, another RNA binding protein, as a risk factor for ALS (118). At present, it is unresolved as to whether neurodegeneration is due to a loss of TDP-43 or FUS function, a gain of toxicity, or a combination of the two. The nuclear clearance of TDP-43, and to less extent FUS, in neurons containing cytoplasmic aggregates, is consistent with pathogenesis driven, at least in part, by a loss of TDP-43 or FUS nuclear function. An alternative (not mutually exclusive) hypothesis, however, is that the accumulated proteins acquire a toxic function in the cytoplasm of affected neurons. This acquired toxic function may also rely on the RNA-binding properties of these proteins, as suggested by recent works in yeast, fruit fly and chick showing that the toxicity of TDP-43 aggregates is eliminated when the RNA-binding property of the protein was removed (118,119).

In October 2011, an expanded hexanucleotide repeat in *C9ORF72* was identified in chromosome 9-linked ALS, FTD and their overlap, thus identifying the single most common genetic mechanism in ALS/FTD. This identification has three immediate implications. First, the same genetic defect can cause either ALS or FTD phenotype, thus re-enforcing that clinical phenotype does not directly reflect underlying molecular mechanism. Secondly, ALS and FTD now join the group of expansion repeat disorders, a group of > 22 inherited neurodegenerative diseases characterized by expanded nucleotide repeat sequences (microsatellites) in the genome. Thirdly, two mechanisms seem most reasonable: gain of function due to production of toxic RNA; or loss of gene function, although no known functions of the *C9ORF72* protein are currently known. The third possibility, that the protein acquires a toxic function, seems unlikely since the expanded repeat is intronic.

Prion-like propagation

ALS and the linkage of ALS to FTL D could be explained on the basis of disease proteins such as SOD1 and TDP-43 propagating pathologically from cell to cell. This theme is emerging in a variety of different neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. A number of proteins including alpha-synuclein, tau, abeta and mutant SOD1 may propagate within the central nervous system. Transmission or propagation is not the same as infectivity and the terms being used for these properties are

'prion-like' or 'prionoids' (Aguzzi, 2009), to distinguish them from bona fide prions, which are infectious. To date, there is no evidence that any other neurodegenerative disease besides prion diseases can be acquired by infection in humans (reviewed in (120)). Nevertheless, disease progression within the same individual, from a focal site of initiating damage throughout the central nervous system has been described for many neurodegenerative diseases, including ALS. While the molecular basis of these observations is not well understood, the propagation of pathological conformation of disease-related proteins (pathological templating) could underlie this phenomenon. Misfolded SOD1 and TDP-43 were recently shown to induce a pathologic conformation on their natively folded counterparts when introduced on cells in culture (reviewed in (120)). This behavior is reminiscent of the pathologic prion protein and has now been demonstrated for several proteins that misfold and accumulate in neurodegeneration, including SOD1 and TDP-43 as well as A-beta, tau, and alpha synuclein (121–123). Preformed fibrils generated from recombinant alpha-synuclein, for example, when dripped onto primary cultures of wild-type neurons, will induce alpha-synuclein Lewy neurite pathology in processes, and this is transported retrogradely back to the cell body where Lewy bodies are formed (124). Physical application to the cell bodies results in its transportation in the opposite direction, and there appears to be transmission throughout other parts of the brain. Not every neuron will be affected in the neuroanatomical pathways that connect one part of the brain to the other, but many are. Also, glial cells also can be induced to form alpha-synuclein pathology, at least in transgenic mice.

'Molecular logic' of corticospinal motor neuron development, degeneration, and subcerebral projections

Interesting suggestions were made that common molecular origin during the development of corticospinal motor neurons (CSMN) and related subsets of cortical non-motor, cognitive association 'subcerebral projection neurons' might explain at least some of the cognitive aspects of ALS (125). ALS might result, at least in part, from neuronal subtype-specific vulnerability due to errors introduced during neurodevelopment. Complex molecular controls regulate specification, differentiation, connectivity, and survival to create enormous complexity of CNS neuronal subtypes and their connections. Results over the past several years identify that the development and maintenance of corticospinal motor neurons and other neocortical projection neuron populations are controlled by a combinatorial set of complexly interacting developmental molecular regulators, largely transcription factors and coregulators (126). These control key developmental processes including progenitor parcellation, subtype-specific differentiation, area identity, and axonal outgrowth (127,128). Loss-of-function and gain-of-function analyses for identified genes and molecules reveal a nested 'molecular logic' of progenitor-stage and post-mitotic molecular controls, many allele-specific and matching human disease distributions that exert either specific CSMN control or shared control over CSMN and related non-motor sub-cerebral projection neurons. The molecular-genetic controls occur in multiple steps and are parcellated in space, separated in distinct neuron subtypes in the same spatial position, and orchestrated over time via cross-repression and acquisition of sequential stages of development, among other mechanisms. Most relevant to ALS and related UMN disorders and to disorders with non-motor, cognitive or sensory involvement, it now appears that a specific subtype of progenitors generates the entire set of CSMN, related subcerebrals, and corticothalamic projection neurons – all 'corticofugal projection neurons'. CSMN and all other subcerebrals share a distinct set of controls that are different from corticothalamics. Thus, CSMN and non-motor, cognitive and sensory subcerebrals are built on a 'common chassis', and common molecular abnormalities can predispose this broader population, or many narrower and more specific populations, to selective disease vulnerability, e.g. UMN disease with more or less non-motor involvement. Many developmental genes have now been identified

as being associated with classical ALS. Thus, during initial development, errors might be introduced that lead to selective vulnerability and later degeneration.

Concluding remarks

The holy grail of ALS is rationally designed therapy that effectively stops ALS neurogeneration in its advance. That different gene mutations cause identical clinical phenotypes means that multiple mechanisms exist and ALS is a syndrome. However, that one single gene mutation causes many different ALS phenotypes means that there must be common mechanisms. With the transformative understanding of clinical, neuropathological, and molecular-genetic aspects of ALS over the last five years, this quest for rational fundamental therapy has become a realistic hope.

References

1. Ravits J, Laurie P, Fan Y, Moore DH. Implications of ALS focality: rostral-caudal distribution of lower motor neuron loss post mortem. *Neurology*. 2007; 68:1576–1582. Epub 2007/05/09. [PubMed: 17485644]
2. Pringle CE, Hudson AJ, Munoz DG, Kiernan JA, Brown WF, Ebers GC. Primary Lateral Sclerosis - Clinical Features, Neuropathology and Diagnostic Criteria. *Brain*. 1992; 115:495–520. [PubMed: 1606479]
3. Rowland LP. Primary lateral sclerosis: disease, syndrome, both or neither? *J Neurol Sci*. 1999; 170:1–4. [PubMed: 10540028]
4. Swash M, Desai J, Misra VP. What is primary lateral sclerosis? *J Neurol Sci*. 1999; 170:5–10. [PubMed: 10540029]
5. Le Forestier N, Maisonnobe T, Piquard A, Rivaud S, Crevier-Buchman L, Salachas F, et al. Does primary lateral sclerosis exist? A study of 20 patients and a review of the literature. *Brain*. 2001; 124:1989–1999. [PubMed: 11571217]
6. Zhai P, Pagan F, Statland J, Butman JA, Floeter MK. Primary lateral sclerosis: a heterogeneous disorder composed of different subtypes? *Neurology*. 2003; 60:1258–1265. [PubMed: 12707427]
7. Singer MA, Kojan S, Barohn RJ, Herbelin L, Nations SP, Trivedi JR, et al. Primary Lateral Sclerosis: clinical and laboratory features in 25 Patients. *Journal of Clinical Neuromuscular Disease*. 2005; 7:1–9. Epub 2005/09/01. [PubMed: 19078775]
8. Gordon PH, Cheng B, Katz IB, Pinto M, Hays AP, Mitsumoto H, et al. The natural history of primary lateral sclerosis. *Neurology*. 2006; 66:647–653. [PubMed: 16534101]
9. Singer MA, Statland JM, Wolfe GI, Barohn RJ. Primary lateral sclerosis. *Muscle & Nerve*. 2007; 35:291–302. Epub 2007/01/11. [PubMed: 17212349]
10. Tartaglia MC, Rowe A, Findlater K, Orange JB, Grace G, Strong MJ. Differentiation between primary lateral sclerosis and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: examination of symptoms and signs at disease onset and during follow-up. *Archives of Neurology*. 2007; 64:232–236. [PubMed: 17296839]
11. Gordon PH, Cheng B, Katz IB, Mitsumoto H, Rowland LP. Clinical features that distinguish PLS, upper motor neuron-dominant ALS, and typical ALS. *Neurology*. 2009; 72:1948–1952. [PubMed: 19487653]
12. Floeter MK, Mills R. Progression in primary lateral sclerosis: a prospective analysis. *Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: official publication of the World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Motor Neuron Diseases*. 2009; 10:339–346. Epub 2009/11/20. [PubMed: 19922121]
13. Soraru G, Ermani M, Logroscino G, Palmieri A, D'Ascenzo C, Orsetti V, et al. Natural history of upper motor neuron-dominant ALS. *Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: official publication of the World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Motor Neuron Diseases*. 2010; 11:424–429. Epub 2009/11/26. [PubMed: 19929748]
14. Grace GM, Orange JB, Rowe A, Findlater K, Freedman M, Strong MJ. Neuropsychological functioning in PLS: a comparison with ALS. *The Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences*. 2011; 38:88–97. Epub 2010/12/16. [PubMed: 21156436]

15. Brugman F, Veldink JH, Franssen H, de Visser M, de Jong JM, Faber CG, et al. Differentiation of hereditary spastic paraparesis from primary lateral sclerosis in sporadic adult-onset upper motor neuron syndromes. *Archives of Neurology*. 2009; 66:509–514. Epub 2009/04/15. [PubMed: 19364936]
16. Visser J, van den Berg Vos RM, Franssen H, van den Berg LH, Wokke JH, de Jong JMV, et al. Disease course and prognostic factors of progressive muscular atrophy. *Archives of Neurology*. 2007; 64:522–528. [PubMed: 17420313]
17. Kim WK, Liu X, Sandner J, Pasmantier M, Andrews J, Rowland LP, et al. Study of 962 patients indicates progressive muscular atrophy is a form of ALS. *Neurology*. 2009; 73:1686–1692. Epub 2009/11/18. [PubMed: 19917992]
18. van den Berg-Vos RM, Visser J, Kalmijn S, Fischer K, de Visser M, de Jong V, et al. A Long-term Prospective Study of the Natural Course of Sporadic Adult-Onset Lower Motor Neuron Syndromes. *Archives of Neurology*. 2009; 66:751–757. [PubMed: 19506135]
19. Wijesekera LC, Mathers S, Talman P, Galtrey C, Parkinson MH, Ganesalingam J, et al. Natural history and clinical features of the flail arm and flail leg ALS variants. *Neurology*. 2009; 72:1087–1094. Epub 2009/03/25. [PubMed: 19307543]
20. Raaphorst J, de Visser M, van Tol MJ, Linssen WHJP, van der Kooi AJ, de Haan RJ, et al. Cognitive dysfunction in lower motor neuron disease: executive and memory deficits in progressive muscular atrophy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Ps*. 2011; 82:170–175.
21. Cosottini M, Giannelli M, Siciliano G, Lazzarotti G, Michelassi MC, del Corona A, et al. Diffusion-tensor MR imaging of corticospinal tract in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and progressive muscular atrophy. *Radiology*. 2005; 237:258–264. Epub 2005/09/27. [PubMed: 16183935]
22. Sach M, Winkler G, Glauche V, Liepert J, Heimbach B, Koch MA, et al. Diffusion tensor MRI of early upper motor neuron involvement in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain*. 2004; 127:340–350. [PubMed: 14607785]
23. van der Graaff MM, Sage CA, Caan MWA, Akkerman EM, Lavini C, Majoie CB, et al. Upper and extra-motor neuron involvement in early motor neuron disease: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Brain*. 2011; 134:1211–1228. [PubMed: 21362631]
24. Kaufmann P, Pullman SL, Shungu DC, Chan S, Hays AP, Del Bene ML, et al. Objective tests for upper motor neuron involvement in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). *Neurology*. 2004; 62:1753–1757. Epub 2004/05/26. [PubMed: 15159473]
25. Mitsumoto H, Ulug AM, Pullman SL, Gooch CL, Chan S, Tang MX, et al. Quantitative objective markers for upper and lower motor neuron dysfunction in ALS. *Neurology*. 2007; 68:1402–1410. [PubMed: 17452585]
26. Floyd AG, Yu QP, Piboolnurak P, Tang MX, Fang Y, Smith WA, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in ALS: utility of central motor conduction tests. *Neurology*. 2009; 72:498–504. Epub 2009/02/11. [PubMed: 19204259]
27. Turner MR, Scaber J, Goodfellow JA, Lord ME, Marsden R, Talbot K. The diagnostic pathway and prognosis in bulbar-onset amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci*. 2010; 294:81–85. [PubMed: 20452624]
28. Aydogdu I, Tanriverdi Z, Ertekin C. Dysfunction of bulbar central pattern generator in ALS patients with dysphagia during sequential deglutition. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2011; 122:1219–1228. [PubMed: 21111672]
29. Kollewe K, Munte TF, Samii A, Dengler R, Petri S, Mohammadi B. Patterns of cortical activity differ in ALS patients with limb and/or bulbar involvement depending on motor tasks. *Journal of Neurology*. 2011; 258:804–810. Epub 2010/12/04. [PubMed: 21128080]
30. Katz JS, Wolfe GI, Andersson PB, Saperstein DS, Elliott JL, Nations SP, et al. Brachial amyotrophic diplegia: a slowly progressive motor neuron disorder. *Neurology*. 1999; 53:1071–1076. [PubMed: 10496268]
31. Rosenfeld J, Chang SW, Jackson CE, Elchami Z, Barohn RJ. Lower Extremity Amyotrophic Diplegia (LAD): a new clinical entity in the spectrum of motor neuron disease. *Neurology*. 2002; 58:411–412. [PubMed: 11839840]

32. Muzyka IM, Dimachkie MM, Barohn RJ, Katz JS, Jackson CE, Wang YX, et al. Lower Extremity Amyotrophic Diplegia (LAD): Prevalence and Pattern of Weakness. *Neurology*. 2010; 74:467.
33. Turner MR, Gerhard A, Al-Chalabi A, Shaw CE, Hughes RAC, Banati RB, et al. Mills' and other isolated upper motor neuron syndromes: in vivo study with C-11-(R)-PK11195 PET. *J Neurol Neurosurg Ps*. 2005; 76:871–874.
34. Kiernan JA, Hudson AJ. Frontal lobe atrophy in motor neuron diseases. *Brain*. 1994; 117:747–757. Epub 1994/08/01. [PubMed: 7922462]
35. Abe K, Fujimura H, Toyooka K, Sakoda S, Yorifuji S, Yanagihara T. Cognitive function in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci*. 1997; 148:95–100. Epub 1997/05/01. [PubMed: 9125395]
36. Lomen-Hoerth C, Anderson T, Miller B. The overlap of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology*. 2002; 59:1077–1079. [PubMed: 12370467]
37. Lomen-Hoerth C, Murphy J, Langmore S, Kramer JH, Olney RK, Miller B. Are amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients cognitively normal? *Neurology*. 2003; 60:1094–1097. [PubMed: 12682312]
38. Strong MJ, Grace GM, Freedman M, Lomen-Hoerth C, Woolley S, Goldstein LH, et al. Consensus criteria for the diagnosis of frontotemporal cognitive and behavioural syndromes in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: official publication of the World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Motor Neuron Diseases*. 2009; 10:131–146. Epub 2009/05/23. [PubMed: 19462523]
39. Gordon PH, Goetz RR, Rabkin JG, Dalton K, McElhiney M, Hays AP, et al. A prospective cohort study of neuropsychological test performance in ALS. *Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: official publication of the World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Motor Neuron Diseases*. 2010; 11:312–320. Epub 2010/03/17. [PubMed: 20225929]
40. Olney RK, Murphy J, Forshe D, Garwood E, Miller BL, Langmore S, et al. The effects of executive and behavioral dysfunction on the course of ALS. *Neurology*. 2005; 65:1774–1777. [PubMed: 16344521]
41. Farnikova K, Kanovsky P, Nestrail I, Otruba P. Coexistence of Parkinsonism, dementia and upper motor neuron syndrome in four Czech patients. *J Neurol Sci*. 2010; 296:47–54. Epub 2010/07/14. [PubMed: 20619856]
42. Gamez J, Corbera-Bellalta M, Mila M, Lopez-Lisbona R, Boluda S, Ferrer I. Chorea-ballism associated with familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. A clinical, genetic, and neuropathological study. *Movement disorders: official journal of the Movement Disorder Society*. 2008; 23:434–438. Epub 2007/12/12. [PubMed: 18072201]
43. Gilbert RM, Fahn S, Mitsumoto H, Rowland LP. Parkinsonism and motor neuron diseases: 27 patients with diverse overlap syndromes. *Movement disorders: official journal of the Movement Disorder Society*. 2010; 25:1868–1875. Epub 2010/07/30. [PubMed: 20669307]
44. Knirsch UI, Bachus R, Gosztonyi G, Zschenderlein R, Ludolph AC. Clinicopathological study of atypical motor neuron disease with vertical gaze palsy and ballism. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2000; 100:342–346. Epub 2000/08/31. [PubMed: 10965806]
45. Kovacs GG, Murrell JR, Horvath S, Haraszti L, Majtenyi K, Molnar MJ, et al. TARDBP variation associated with frontotemporal dementia, supranuclear gaze palsy, and chorea. *Movement disorders: official journal of the Movement Disorder Society*. 2009; 24:1843–1847. Epub 2009/07/18. [PubMed: 19609911]
46. Pradat PF, Salachas F, Cartalat-Carel S, Lacomblez L, Patte N, Leforestier N, et al. Association of chorea and motor neuron disease. *Movement Disord*. 2002; 17:419–420. [PubMed: 11921138]
47. Inoue A, Kumon Y, Fujiwara S, Watanabe H, Fukumoto SY, Ohue S, et al. A case of emergency carotid endarterectomy for severe stenosis of the cervical internal carotid artery presenting with progressing stroke: importance of managing blood pressure postoperatively. *Neurol Surg Tokyo*. 2006; 34:289–295.
48. Averbuch-Heller L, Helmchen C, Horn AKE, Leigh RJ, Buttner-Ennever JA. Slow vertical saccades in motor neuron disease: correlation of structure and function. *Ann Neurol*. 1998; 44:641–648. [PubMed: 9778263]

49. Palmowski A, Jost WH, Osterhage J, Prudlo J, Kasmann B, Schimrigk K, et al. Disorders of eye movement in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: report of two patients. *Klinische Monatsblätter für Augenheilkunde*. 1995; 206:170–172. Epub 1995/03/01. [PubMed: 7616726]
50. Okuda B, Yamamoto T, Yamasaki M, Maya K, Imai T. Motor Neuron Disease with Slow Eye Movements and Vertical Gaze Palsy. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*. 1992; 85:71–76. [PubMed: 1546538]
51. Donaghy C, Thurtell MJ, Pioro EP, Gibson JM, Leigh RJ. Eye movements in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and its mimics: a review with illustrative cases. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*. 2011; 82:110–116. Epub 2010/11/26.
52. Grosskreutz J, Kaufmann J, Fradrich J, Dengler R, Heinze HJ, Peschel T. Widespread sensorimotor and frontal cortical atrophy in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *BMC Neurology*. 2006; 6:17. Epub 2006/04/28. [PubMed: 16638121]
53. van der Graaff MM, de Jong JM, Baas F, de Visser M. Upper motor neuron and extra-motor neuron involvement in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a clinical and brain imaging review. *Neuromuscular Disorders*. 2009; 19:53–58. Epub 2008/12/17. [PubMed: 19070491]
54. Dupuis L, Pradat PF, Ludolph AC, Loeffler JP. Energy metabolism in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Lancet Neurology*. 2011; 10:75–82. Epub 2010/11/03. [PubMed: 21035400]
55. McCluskey LF, Elman LB, Martinez-Lage M, van Deerlin V, Yuan WX, Clay D, et al. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis-Plus Syndrome With TAR DNA-Binding Protein-43 Pathology. *Archives of Neurology*. 2009; 66:121–124. [PubMed: 19139310]
56. Ince PG, Evans J, Knopp M, Forster G, Hamdalla HHM, Wharton SB, et al. Corticospinal tract degeneration in the progressive muscular atrophy variant of ALS. *Neurology*. 2003; 60:1252–1258. [PubMed: 12707426]
57. Geser F, Stein B, Partain M, Elman LB, McCluskey LF, Xie SX, et al. Motor neuron disease clinically limited to the lower motor neuron is a diffuse TDP-43 proteinopathy. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2011; 121:509–517. Epub 2011/01/13. [PubMed: 21225272]
58. Kobayashi Z, Tsuchiya K, Arai T, Yokota O, Yoshida M, Shimomura Y, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics of FTLT-DTP showing corticospinal tract degeneration but lacking lower motor neuron loss. *J Neurol Sci*. 2010; 298:70–77. Epub 2010/09/03. [PubMed: 20810131]
59. Kosaka T, Fu YJ, Shiga A, Ishidaira H, Tan CF, Tani T, et al. Primary lateral sclerosis: upper motor-predominant amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with frontotemporal lobar degeneration: immunohistochemical and biochemical analyses of TDP-43. *Neuropathology: official journal of the Japanese Society of Neuropathology*. 2012; 32:373–384. Epub 2011/11/22. [PubMed: 22098653]
60. Leigh PN, Anderton BH, Dodson A, Gallo JM, Swash M, Power DM. Ubiquitin Deposits in Anterior Horn Cells in Motor Neuron Disease. *Neuroscience Letters*. 1988; 93:197–203. [PubMed: 2853844]
61. Lowe J, Lennox G, Jefferson D, Morrell K, Mcquire D, Gray T, et al. A Filamentous Inclusion Body within Anterior Horn Neurons in Motor Neuron Disease Defined by Immunocytochemical Localization of Ubiquitin. *Neuroscience Letters*. 1988; 94:203–210. [PubMed: 2853853]
62. Neumann M, Sampathu DM, Kwong LK, Truax AC, Micsenyi MC, Chou TT, et al. Ubiquitinated TDP-43 in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Science*. 2006; 314:130–133. [PubMed: 17023659]
63. Arai T, Hasegawa M, Akiyama H, Ikeda K, Nonaka T, Mori H, et al. TDP-43 is a component of ubiquitin-positive tau-negative inclusions in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2006; 351:602–611.
64. Geser F, Brandmeir NJ, Kwong LK, Martinez-Lage M, Elman L, McCluskey L, et al. Evidence of multisystem disorder in whole-brain map of pathological TDP-43 in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Archives of Neurology*. 2008; 65:636–641. Epub 2008/05/14. [PubMed: 18474740]
65. Andersen PM, Al-Chalabi A. Clinical genetics of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: what do we really know? *Nature reviews. Neurology*. 2011; 7:603–615. Epub 2011/10/13. [PubMed: 21989245]
66. Corcia P, Valdmanis P, Millecamps S, Lionnet C, Blasco H, Mouzat K, et al. Phenotype and genotype analysis in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with TARDBP gene mutations. *Neurology*. 2012; 78:1519–1526. Epub 2012/04/28. [PubMed: 22539580]

67. Al-Chalabi A, Jones A, Troakes C, King A, Al-Sarraj S, van den Berg LH. The genetics and neuropathology of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2012; 124:339–352. Epub 2012/08/21. [PubMed: 22903397]
68. Mackenzie IRA, Bigio EH, Ince PG, Geser F, Neumann M, Cairns NJ, et al. Pathological TDP-43 distinguishes sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with SOD1 mutations. *Ann Neurol*. 2007; 61:427–434. [PubMed: 17469116]
69. Al-Sarraj S, King A, Troakes C, Smith B, Maekawa S, Bodi I, et al. p62 positive, TDP-43-negative, neuronal cytoplasmic and intranuclear inclusions in the cerebellum and hippocampus define the pathology of C9orf72-linked FTL and MND/ALS. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2011; 122:691–702. Epub 2011/11/22. [PubMed: 22101323]
70. Troakes C, Maekawa S, Wijesekera L, Rogelj B, Siklos L, Bell C, et al. An MND/ALS phenotype associated with C9orf72 repeat expansion: Abundant p62-positive, TDP-43-negative inclusions in cerebral cortex, hippocampus and cerebellum but without associated cognitive decline. *Neuropathology: official journal of the Japanese Society of Neuropathology*. 2011 Epub 2011/12/21.
71. Ince PG, Highley JR, Kirby J, Wharton SB, Takahashi H, Strong MJ, et al. Molecular pathology and genetic advances in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: an emerging molecular pathway and the significance of glial pathology. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2011; 122:657–671. [PubMed: 22105541]
72. Cudkovic ME, McKenna-Yasek D, Chen C, Hedley-Whyte ET, Brown RH Jr. Limited corticospinal tract involvement in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis subjects with the A4V mutation in the copper/zinc superoxide dismutase gene. *Ann Neurol*. 1998; 43:703–710. Epub 1998/06/18. [PubMed: 9629839]
73. Ince PG, Tomkins J, Slade JY, Thatcher NM, Shaw PJ. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis associated with genetic abnormalities in the gene encoding Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase: molecular pathology of five new cases, and comparison with previous reports and 73 sporadic cases of ALS. *J Neuropath Exp Neur*. 1998; 57:895–904. [PubMed: 9786240]
74. Forsberg K, Jonsson PA, Andersen PM, Bergemalm D, Graffino KS, Hultdin M, et al. Novel Antibodies Reveal Inclusions Containing Non-Native SOD1 in Sporadic ALS Patients. *PLoS One*. 2010;5.
75. Bosco DA, Morfini G, Karabacak NM, Song YY, Gros-Louis F, Pasinelli P, et al. Wild-type and mutant SOD1 share an aberrant conformation and a common pathogenic pathway in ALS. *Nat Neurosci*. 2010; 13:1396–1403. [PubMed: 20953194]
76. Liu HN, Sanelli T, Horne P, Pioro EP, Strong MJ, Rogaeva E, et al. Lack of Evidence of Monomer/Misfolded Superoxide Dismutase-1 in Sporadic Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *Ann Neurol*. 2009; 66:75–80. [PubMed: 19670443]
77. Kerman A, Liu HN, Croul S, Bilbao J, Rogaeva E, Zinman L, et al. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis is a non-amyloid disease in which extensive misfolding of SOD1 is unique to the familial form. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2010; 119:335–344. [PubMed: 20111867]
78. Brotherton TE, Li YJ, Cooper D, Gearing M, Julien JP, Rothstein JD, et al. Localization of a toxic form of superoxide dismutase-1 protein to pathologically affected tissues in familial ALS. *P Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012; 109:5505–5510.
79. Blair IP, Williams KL, Warraich ST, Durnall JC, Thoeng AD, Manavis J, et al. FUS mutations in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: clinical, pathological, neurophysiological and genetic analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Ps*. 2010; 81:639–645.
80. Mackenzie IRA, Ansorge O, Strong M, Bilbao J, Zinman L, Ang LC, et al. Pathological heterogeneity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with FUS mutations: two distinct patterns correlating with disease severity and mutation. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2011; 122:87–98. [PubMed: 21604077]
81. Baumer D, Hilton D, Paine SML, Turner MR, Lowe J, Talbot K, et al. Juvenile ALS with basophilic inclusions is a FUS proteinopathy with FUS mutations. *Neurology*. 2010; 75:611–618. [PubMed: 20668261]
82. Deng HX, Zhai H, Bigio EH, Yan J, Fecto F, Ajroud K, et al. FUS-immunoreactive inclusions are a common feature in sporadic and non-SOD1 familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann Neurol*. 2010; 67:739–748. Epub 2010/06/03. [PubMed: 20517935]

83. Ravits JM, La Spada AR. ALS motor phenotype heterogeneity, focality, and spread: deconstructing motor neuron degeneration. *Neurology*. 2009; 73:805–811. [PubMed: 19738176]
84. Ravits J, Paul P, Jorg C. Focality of upper and lower motor neuron degeneration at the clinical onset of ALS. *Neurology*. 2007; 68:1571–1575. Epub 2007/05/09. [PubMed: 17485643]
85. van den Heuvel MP, Mandl RCW, Kahn RS, Pol HEH. Functionally Linked Resting-State Networks Reflect the Underlying Structural Connectivity Architecture of the Human Brain. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2009; 30:3127–3141. [PubMed: 19235882]
86. Seeley WW, Crawford RK, Zhou J, Miller BL, Greicius MD. Neurodegenerative Diseases Target Large-Scale Human Brain Networks. *Neuron*. 2009; 62:42–52. [PubMed: 19376066]
87. Zhou J, Gennatas ED, Kramer JH, Miller BL, Seeley WW. Predicting Regional Neurodegeneration from the Healthy Brain Functional Connectome. *Neuron*. 2012; 73:1216–1227. [PubMed: 22445348]
88. Douaud G, Filippini N, Knight S, Talbot K, Turner MR. Integration of structural and functional magnetic resonance imaging in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain*. 2011; 134:3470–3479. Epub 2011/11/15. [PubMed: 22075069]
89. Verstraete E, Veldink JH, Mandl RCW, van den Berg LH, van den Heuvel MP. Impaired Structural Motor Connectome in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *PloS One*. 2011;6.
90. Mohammadi B, Kollewe K, Samii A, Dengler R, Munte TF. Functional Neuroimaging at Different Disease Stages Reveals Distinct Phases of Neuroplastic Changes in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2011; 32:750–758. [PubMed: 20836159]
91. Turner MR, Brockington A, Scaber J, Hollinger H, Marsden R, Shaw PJ, et al. Pattern of spread and prognosis in lower limb-onset ALS. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler*. 2010; 11:369–373. [PubMed: 20001488]
92. Korner S, Kollewe K, Fahlbusch M, Zapf A, Dengler R, Krampfl K, et al. Onset and spreading patterns of upper and lower motor neuron symptoms in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Muscle & Nerve*. 2011; 43:636–642. Epub 2011/04/13. [PubMed: 21484822]
93. Chio A, Calvo A, Moglia C, Mazzini L, Mora G. group Ps*. Phenotypic heterogeneity of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a population based study. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*. 2011; 82:740–746. Epub 2011/03/16.
94. Fujimura-Kiyono C, Kimura F, Ishida S, Nakajima H, Hosokawa T, Sugino M, et al. Onset and spreading patterns of lower motor neuron involvements predict survival in sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*. 2011; 82:1244–1249. Epub 2011/09/17.
95. Gargiulo-Monachelli GM, Janota F, Bettini M, Shoesmith CL, Strong MJ, Sica RE. Regional spread pattern predicts survival in patients with sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *European journal of neurology: the official journal of the European Federation of Neurological Societies*. 2012; 19:834–481. Epub 2012/01/18. [PubMed: 22248150]
96. Kanouchi T, Ohkubo T, Yokota T. Can regional spreading of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis motor symptoms be explained by prion-like propagation? *J Neurol Neurosur Ps*. 2012; 83:739–745.
97. Ganesalingam J, Stahl D, Wijesekera L, Galtrey C, Shaw CE, Leigh PN, et al. Latent cluster analysis of ALS phenotypes identifies prognostically differing groups. *PloS One*. 2009; 4:7107. Epub 2009/09/23.
98. Yang WC, Strong MJ. Widespread neuronal and glial hyper-phosphorylated tau deposition in ALS with cognitive impairment. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler*. 2012; 13:178–193. [PubMed: 22214313]
99. Desport JC, Torny F, Lacoste M, Preux PM, Couratier P. Hypermetabolism in ALS: correlations with clinical and paraclinical parameters. *Neuro-degenerative Diseases*. 2005; 2:202–207. Epub 2006/08/16. [PubMed: 16909026]
100. Muller FL, Song W, Jang YC, Liu Y, Sabia M, Richardson A, et al. Denervation-induced skeletal muscle atrophy is associated with increased mitochondrial ROS production. *Am J Physiol-Reg I*. 2007; 293:1159–1168.
101. Bogdanov M, Brown RH, Matson W, Smart R, Hayden D, O'Donnell H, et al. Increased oxidative damage to DNA in ALS patients. *Free Radical Bio Med*. 2000; 29:652–658. [PubMed: 11033417]

102. Clement AM, Nguyen MD, Roberts EA, Garcia ML, Boillee S, Rule M, et al. Wild-type non-neuronal cells extend survival of SOD1 mutant motor neurons in ALS mice. *Science*. 2003; 302:113–117. Epub 2003/10/04. [PubMed: 14526083]
103. Yamanaka K, Boillee S, Roberts EA, Garcia ML, McAlonis-Downes M, Mcke OR, et al. Mutant SOD1 in cell types other than motor neurons and oligodendrocytes accelerates onset of disease in ALS mice. *P Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008; 105:7594–7599.
104. Rothstein JD, Martin LJ, Kuncel RW. Decreased Glutamate Transport by the Brain and Spinal-Cord in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *New Engl J Med*. 1992; 326:1464–1468. [PubMed: 1349424]
105. Rothstein JD, Martin L, Levey AI, Dykeshoberg M, Jin L, Wu D, et al. Localization of Neuronal and Glial Glutamate Transporters. *Neuron*. 1994; 13:713–725. [PubMed: 7917301]
106. Howland DS, Liu J, She Y, Goad B, Maragakis NJ, Kim B, et al. Focal loss of the glutamate transporter EAAT2 in a transgenic rat model of SOD1 mutant-mediated amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002; 99:1604–1609. Epub 2002/01/31. [PubMed: 11818550]
107. Beers DR, Henkel JS, Xiao Q, Zhao WH, Wang JH, Yen AA, et al. Wild-type microglia extend survival in PU.1 knockout mice with familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *P Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103:16021–16026.
108. Beers DR, Henkel JS, Zhao WH, Wang JH, Huang AL, Wen SX, et al. Endogenous regulatory T-lymphocytes ameliorate amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in mice and correlate with disease progression in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain*. 2011; 134:1293–1314. [PubMed: 21596768]
109. Zhao WH, Beers DR, Henkel JS, Zhang W, Urushitani M, Julien JP, et al. Extracellular Mutant SOD1 Induces Microglial-Mediated Motoneuron Injury. *Glia*. 2010; 58:231–243. [PubMed: 19672969]
110. Buratti E, Baralle FE. Multiple roles of TDP-43 in gene expression, splicing regulation, and human disease. *Frontiers in Bioscience: a journal and virtual library*. 2008; 13:867–878. Epub 2007/11/06. [PubMed: 17981595]
111. Lagier-Tourenne C, Polymenidou M, Cleveland DW. TDP-43 and FUS/TLS: emerging roles in RNA processing and neurodegeneration. *Human Molecular Genetics*. 2010; 19:46–64. Epub 2010/04/20.
112. Lagier-Tourenne C, Cleveland DW. Rethinking ALS: the FUS about TDP-43. *Cell*. 2009; 136:1001–1004. Epub 2009/03/24. [PubMed: 19303844]
113. Nagai M, Abe K, Okamoto K, Itoyama Y. Identification of alternative splicing forms of GLT-1 mRNA in the spinal cord of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients. *Neuroscience Letters*. 1998; 244:165–168. [PubMed: 9593515]
114. Rabin SJ, Kim JM, Baughn M, Libby RT, Kim YJ, Fan YX, et al. Sporadic ALS has compartment-specific aberrant exon splicing and altered cell-matrix adhesion biology. *Human Molecular Genetics*. 2010; 19:313–328. [PubMed: 19864493]
115. Lin CLG, Bristol LA, Jin L, Dykes-Hoberg M, Crawford T, Clawson L, et al. Aberrant RNA processing in a neurodegenerative disease: the cause for absent EAAT2 a glutamate transporter, in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neuron*. 1998; 20:589–602. [PubMed: 9539131]
116. Aizawa H, Sawada J, Hideyama T, Yamashita T, Katayama T, Hasebe N, et al. TDP-43 pathology in sporadic ALS occurs in motor neurons lacking the RNA editing enzyme ADAR2. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2010; 120:75–84. [PubMed: 20372915]
117. Kawahara Y, Ito K, Sun H, Aizawa H, Kanazawa I, Kwak S. Glutamate receptors: RNA editing and death of motor neurons. *Nature*. 2004; 427:801. [PubMed: 14985749]
118. Elden AC, Kim HJ, Hart MP, Chen-Plotkin AS, Johnson BS, Fang XD, et al. Ataxin-2 intermediate-length polyglutamine expansions are associated with increased risk for ALS. *Nature*. 2010; 466:1069–1077. [PubMed: 20740007]
119. Voigt A, Herholz D, Fiesel FC, Kaur K, Muller D, Karsten P, et al. TDP-43-Mediated Neuron Loss In Vivo Requires RNA-Binding Activity. *PloS One*. 2010;5.
120. Polymenidou M, Cleveland DW. The seeds of neurodegeneration: prion-like spreading in ALS. *Cell*. 2011; 147:498–508. Epub 2011/11/01. [PubMed: 22036560]

121. Furukawa Y, Kaneko K, Watanabe S, Yamanaka K, Nukina N. A seeding reaction recapitulates intracellular formation of Sarkosyl-insoluble transactivation response element (TAR) DNA-binding protein-43 inclusions. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:18664–18672. Epub 2011/04/02. [PubMed: 21454603]
122. Grad LI, Guest WC, Yanai A, Pokrishevsky E, O'Neill MA, Gibbs E, et al. Intermolecular transmission of superoxide dismutase-1 misfolding in living cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011; 108:16398–16403. Epub 2011/09/21. [PubMed: 21930926]
123. Munch C, O'Brien J, Bertolotti A. Prion-like propagation of mutant superoxide dismutase-1 misfolding in neuronal cells. *P Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011; 108:3548–3553.
124. Volpicelli-Daley LA, Luk KC, Patel TP, Tanik SA, Riddle DM, Stieber A, et al. Exogenous alpha-synuclein fibrils induce Lewy body pathology leading to synaptic dysfunction and neuron death. *Neuron.* 2011; 72:57–71. Epub 2011/10/11. [PubMed: 21982369]
125. Ozdinler PH, Benn S, Yamamoto TH, Guzel M, Brown RH, Macklis JD. Corticospinal Motor Neurons and Related Subcerebral Projection Neurons Undergo Early and Specific Neurodegeneration in hSOD1(G93A) Transgenic ALS Mice. *Journal of Neuroscience.* 2011; 31:4166–4177. [PubMed: 21411657]
126. MacDonald JL, Fame RM, Azim E, Shnider SJ, Molyneaux BJ, Arlotta P, Macklis JD. Specification of cortical projection neurons: transcriptional mechanisms. *Developmental neuroscience: a comprehensive reference.* 2012
127. Molyneaux BJ, Arlotta P, Menezes JRL, Macklis JD. Neuronal subtype specification in the cerebral cortex. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience.* 2007; 8:427–437.
128. Woodworth MB, Custo Greig L, Kriegstein AR, Macklis JD. SnapShot: cortical development. *Cell.* 2012; 151:918–918. e1. [PubMed: 23141546]

Table I

ALS classifications.

Phenotype	Molecular Pathology	Genetics
Typical ALS Bulbar/pseudobulbar ALS Limb onset variants:	TDP-43 proteinopathy (ubiquitinated pathology)	Sporadic ALS Familial ALS, incl.:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Typical limb onset • Flail arm or bibrachial ALS • Flail leg • Polyneuritic variant • Hemiplegic ALS (Mill's variant) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non- <i>C9ORF72</i> related • <i>C9ORF72</i> related 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>C9ORF72</i> • <i>FUS</i> • <i>OPTN</i> • <i>SOD1</i> • <i>TARDBP</i> • <i>UBQLN</i> • <i>VCP</i>
ALS with associated FTD or impairment of higher cortical function Primary lateral sclerosis Progressive muscular atrophy	SOD1 proteinopathy FUS proteinopathy (basophilic inclusion body disease (BIBD))	

Table II

Phenotype classification based on clinically imputed anatomy of neuropathology.

	CNS Anatomical Region Involved*			Innervated Peripheral Body Region Involved*		
	UMN	LMN	Fronto-temporal regions	Bulbar muscles	Limb muscles	Higher cortical function & behavior
Based on Level of involvement						
ALS	++	++	+/-	++	++	+/-
PLS	+++	+/-	+/-	++	++	+/-
PMA	+/-	+++	+/-	+/-	+++	+/-
Based on Body Region of involvement						
Bulbar ALS	+/-	+++	+/-	+++	+/-	+/-
Pseudobulbar ALS	+++	+/-	+/-	+++	+/-	+/-
Limb-onset ALS	++	++	+/-	+/-	+++	+/-
Limb-variants	+/-	+++	+/-	+/-	+++	+/-
Mill's variant	+++	+/-	+/-	+/-	+++	+/-
Associated cognitive changes						
FTD or behavioral/cognitive impairment	+/-	+/-	+++	+/-	+/-	+++

* +/- possible but not typical; ++ typical and to variable degree; +++ primary feature.

Table III

S and FTLD proteinopathies: main molecular neuropathological features.

Proteinopathy	Main Molecular Features*						
	Phenotypes	Genes	Motor cortex (UMN)	Spinal anterior horn or brain stem motor nuclei (LMN)	Fronto-temporal regions	Miscellaneous (cerebellum, hippocampus)	Descending axonal pathways (e.g. lateral columns)
ALS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Juvenile ALS Rare adult ALS (usu. with atypical sxs, e.g. oculomotor, autonomic, cerebellar or cognitive dysfunction) FTD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> FUS-TLS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Basophilic inclusions esp. juvenile cases; FUS+, TDP43-, NCI's all cases; FUS+, TDP43- NCI's esp. adult cases; FUS+, TDP43- GCI's esp. adult cases 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Basophilic inclusions esp. juvenile cases; FUS+, TDP43-, NCI's all cases; FUS+, TDP43- GCI's esp. adult cases 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rare or none basophilic inclusions; Rare or none FUS+, TDP43- NCI's; FUS+, TDP43- GCI's in adult cases 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rare basophilic inclusions; FUS+ TDP43- NCI's & GCI's in other regions incl. substantia nigra, nuclei raphe, inferior olives, & dentate nucleus in adult cases 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degeneration & sclerosis
FTD 1 ⁺	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ALS (usually LMN predominant features) FTD (very rare) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SOD1 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Infrequent abnormalities as seen in spinal anterior horns 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weakly, ubiquitin+, TDP43-, SOD1-, neurofilament+ intracytoplasmic hyaline conglomerates 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Few reports, presumptively same as motor cortex 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changes also in Clarke's nucleus, dorsal horn, nucleus ambiguus, & Onuf's nucleus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Distal axonal degeneration Also, degeneration in dorsal columns
TDP43 (non-C9ORF72 related)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ALS ALS-FTD FTD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Most non-SOD1-associated FALS, including TARDBP All SALS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ubiquitin +, TDP43+ NCI's & GCI's 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ubiquitin+, TDP43+ NCI's & GCI's 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ubiquitin+, TDP43+ NCI's & GCI's 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No significant p62+ or UBQLN+ NCI's or GCI's in cerebellum and hippocampus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degeneration & sclerosis
TDP43 (C9ORF72 variant)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ALS ALS-FTD FTD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> C9ORF72 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ubiquitin +, TDP43+ NCI & GCI 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ubiquitin+, TDP43+ NCI & GCI 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ubiquitin+, TDP43+ NCI & GCI 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> p62+, UBQLN +, TDP43- NCI's & GCI's in cerebellum & hippocampus; TDP+ pathology is 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degeneration & sclerosis

Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 22.

Main Molecular Features*

Proteinopathy	Phenotypes	Genes	Motor cortex (UMN)	Spinal anterior horn or brain stem motor nuclei (LMN)	Fronto-temporal regions	Miscellaneous (cerebellum, hippocampus)	Descending axonal pathways (e.g. lateral columns)
tau (included for comparison)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> FTD Progressive supranuclear palsy Corticobasal syndrome Multiple system atrophy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAPT 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Signature tau+, ubiquitin-TDP43- NCI and GCIs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Few reports, presumptively negative (see 3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Signature tau+, ubiquitin-, TDP43- NCI and GCIs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pick bodies = 3R tau+ globular or spherical NCIs in the granule cells of dentate gyrus; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presumptively negative

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Frontotemporal Dementia. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 22.

*I = nuclear cytoplasmic inclusions; GCI = glial cytoplasmic inclusions
 primary FTD phenotypes have been defined by SOD1 pathology.
 primary ALS phenotypes have been defined by tau+ neuropathology.