

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

How to navigate everyday distractions: Leveraging optimal feedback to train attention control

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/11c0n0vx>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 42(0)

Authors

Wirzberger, Maria

Lado, Anastasia

Eckerstorfer, Lisa

et al.

Publication Date

2020

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

How to navigate everyday distractions: Leveraging optimal feedback to train attention control

Maria Wirzberger

University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany

Anastasia Lado

Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tuebingen, Germany

Lisa Eckerstorfer

Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tbingen, Germany

Ivan Oreshnikov

Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tbingen, Germany

Jean-Claude Passy

Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tbingen, Germany

Adrian Stock

Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tbingen, Germany

Amitai Shenhav

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, United States

Falk Lieder

Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tbingen, Germany

Abstract

To stay focused on their chosen tasks, people have to inhibit distractions. The underlying attention control skills can improve through reinforcement learning, which can be accelerated by giving feedback. We applied the theory of metacognitive reinforcement learning to develop a training app that gives people optimal feedback on their attention control while they are working or studying. In an eight-day field experiment with 99 participants, we investigated the effect of this training on peoples productivity, sustained attention, and self-control. Compared to a control condition without feedback, we found that participants receiving optimal feedback learned to focus increasingly better ($f = .08$, $p < .01$) and achieved higher productivity scores ($f = .19$, $p < .01$) during the training. In addition, they evaluated their productivity more accurately ($r = .12$, $p < .01$). However, due to asymmetric attrition problems, these findings need to be taken with a grain of salt.