UC Irvine

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health

Title

Evaluation of the University of Utah Emergency Medicine Residency Patient Hand-Off Process

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0xn2v3bt

Journal

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 18(5.1)

ISSN

1936-900X

Authors

Wells, K Buchanan, I Frizell, A et al.

Publication Date

2017

Copyright Information

Copyright 2017 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

22 Evaluation of the University of Utah Emergency Medicine Residency Patient Hand-Off Process

Wells K, Buchanan I, Frizell A, Porter A, Stroud S, Madsen T, Stephen R, Carlson M, Steenblik J, Fix M/ The University of Utah School of Medicine- Division of Emergency Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT

Background: Recent studies suggest that structured hand-offs improve patient care and decrease poor patient outcomes in addition to decreasing post-shift length of stay for providers.

Objectives: We sought to evaluate the perception of our current informal patient hand-off process prior to developing and implementing a structured hand-off process.

Methods: A needs assessment was conducted and a Hand-off Evaluation Committee was assembled (PD, APDs, and residents (RES)). An electronic literature based 5-point likert scale survey was created and distributed anonymously and retrospectively (REDCAP software) to all RES and attendings (ATT) at our academic hospital site. The survey assessed perceptions of giving and receiving hand-offs, interruptions, and effectiveness. Additionally, a research associate observed and timed RES hand-offs in the ED. The survey assessed perceptions from the past two months.

Results: Response rate was 100% by ATT (n=21) and 96% by RES (n=27). Most respondents (90%) felt that a structured hand-off would be helpful. 61% of RES and ATT felt their informal hand-off process was effective, while 22% of RES and 0% of ATT felt it was not effective. Of the 77% of RES who noted compromised patient care secondary to a hand-off issue, 73% of those noted they did not review vital sign trends (p=0.0001). Non-matched RES and ATT shift schedules (RES= 1.63, ATT= 2.7) was a major barrier. RES who felt their hand-off was not effective reported high ED volume (1.61, p=0.001) and nurse interruptions (1.77, p=0.001)p=0.0002) as additional barriers. Most RES (77%) reported their hand-off differed (2.11) from ATT as compared to ATT reporting occasional differences (3.24). Thirteen hand-offs were observed with an average time = 692 seconds (min 33 sec; max 1860 sec) and interruption rate = 3.84 times/handoff (min 0; max 15).

Conclusions: Most survey participants felt a structured hand-off process would benefit patient care, safety and workflow efficiency in an academic ED. The survey identified improvements for a structured hand-off process including more congruent timing of ATT and RES shifts and formal review of vital sign trends. Evaluation of current practice prior to implementing a formalized hand-off process is necessary to identify areas of improvement.

NOV-283
Average 3.38 2.27 3.44 2.29 1.61 1.94 1.77 2 4 2.27 2.11

		1.Very ineffective	2= Not Effective	3= Neutral	4= Effective	S=Very Effective
	ь	1= Strongly Agree	2+Agree	3= Neutral	4= Disagree	5= Strongly Disagree
П	c	1= 0-30 min	2= 30-60 min	3= 60-90 min	4= 90-120 min	5= >180 min
1	d	1= almost always	2= usually	3+Occasionally	4+ Rarely	5= not at all
- 1	•	1=Never	2+1-5	3= 5-10	4= 10-15	5=>20

23 Geographic Trends in the DO/IMG Emergency Medicine Match

Jarou Z, Sayers J / Denver Health Medical Center, Denver, CO; Ross University School of Medicine

Background: Each year hundreds of osteopathic (DO) and international medical graduates (IMG) match into ACGME accredited emergency medicine (EM) residency programs, making up respective averages of 11% and 6% of EM residents over the past 5 years. Previous data has shown that despite a relatively stable applicant pool compared to the number of intern positions available each year, applicants are applying to more programs than ever before to secure the same number of interviews.

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to determine if there are certain geographic areas in which DO and U.S. IMG students are more likely to match into EM.

Methods: The number of DO and U.S. IMG students matched per state per year were extracted from the 2012-2016 NRMP "Match Results by State, Specialty, and Applicant Type" reports. The number of residency programs in each state each year was determined using the NRMP "Main Residency Match: Results and Data" reports. The average number of DO/IMG students per ACGME program per year by state over a five-year period was calculated.

Results: Indiana, Iowa, Mississippi, Ohio, and Texas matched the most DO students, matching an average of greater than or equal to two DO residents per ACGME program per year. Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, and New Jersey matched the most U.S. IMG students, matching an average of greater than or equal to one U.S. IMG resident per program per year. The biggest limitation that must be considered when interpreting this data is that the heterogeneity of DO or IMG "friendliness" within a state is unknown and thus the "friendliness" of one or a few programs may be overshadowed by the statewide average.

Conclusions: Rather than applying to every program in the country, DO and IMG students may benefit from focusing on geographical areas which have historically matched higher percentages of their applicant type. Future studies examining the DO and IMG acceptance rates of individual EM residency programs may provide further guidance to applicants.