

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Introduction to the special issue on the theory and applications of acoustofluidics.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0xb7087d>

Journal

The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 150(6)

ISSN

0001-4966

Authors

Friend, James
Thompson, Charles
Chitale, Kedar
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2021-12-01

DOI

10.1121/10.0009056

Peer reviewed

Introduction to the Special Issue on the Theory and Applications of Acoustofluidics

James Friend,¹ Charles Thompson,² Kedar Chitale,³ and Max Denis⁴

¹*Medically Advanced Devices Lab, Center for Medical Devices,
Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Jacobs School
of Engineering and Department of Surgery, School of Medicine,
9500 Gilman Dr. MC0411, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093,
USA^a*

²*Center for Advanced Computation and Telecommunications,
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Massachusetts Lowell,
Lowell, MA 01854, USA^b*

³*Vertex Cell and Gene Therapies, Vertex Pharmaceuticals, 225A Carolina Avenue,
Providence, RI 02905, USA^c*

⁴*Department of Mechanical Engineering, School of Engineering & Applied Sciences,
University of the District of Columbia, Washington, D.C. 20008,
USA^d*

(Dated: 23 November 2021)

1 Acoustofluidics is a burgeoning field that applies ultrasound to micro to nano-scale flu-
2 idic systems. The discovery of the ability to effectively manipulate fluids and particles at
3 small scales has yielded results that are superior to other approaches and has been built
4 into a diverse range of research. Recasting the fundamentals of acoustics from the past
5 to include new phenomena observed in recent years has allowed acoustical systems to
6 impact new areas such as drug delivery, diagnostics, and enhanced chemical processes.

7 The contributions in this special issue address a diverse range of research topics in
8 acoustofluidics. Topics include acoustic streaming, flows induced by bubbles, manipu-
9 lation of particles using acoustic radiation forces, fluid and structural interactions, and
10 contributions suggesting a natural limit to the particle velocity, the ability to deliver
11 molecules to human immune T cells, and microdroplet generation via nozzle-based
12 acoustic atomization.

^ajfriend@ucsd.edu; <http://friend.ucsd.edu>

^bcharles_thompson@uml.edu; <https://morseatuml.us/faculty/charles-thompson>

^ckedar_chitale@vrtx.com; <https://linkedin.com/in/kchitale>

^dmax.denis@udc.edu; <https://www.udc.edu/seas/faculty/max-denis/>

13 I. INTRODUCTION

14 This issue introduces the readership to the new field of acoustofluidics and presents recent
15 findings from researchers in the field. Contributions span the discipline from the fundamental^{1,2}
16 to applied some of which are close to clinical³ and commercial⁴ utility. Additional goals of this
17 special issue were to bring researchers together from around the world to view each others'
18 work in a more extended context than they would otherwise see at meetings and broaden the
19 discipline's literary contributions to the Journal of the Acoustical Society of America (JASA). We
20 hope the readers of JASA are intrigued by the contributions made in this special issue and that
21 it stimulates further contributions and the growth in the readership of JASA. The articles in this
22 special issue broadly cover key topics in acoustofluidics such as acoustic streaming, bubbles
23 and cavities, particle manipulation, and fluid and structural interaction.

24 II. ACOUSTIC STREAMING

25 Acoustic streaming is a nonlinear phenomenon arising from the propagation of sound
26 waves through a viscous fluid. Under study by numerous investigators over the past 150 years,
27 many aspects of this phenomenon remain poorly understood. The acoustofluidics community
28 has undertaken inquiries into this phenomenon while emphasizing its potential applications.
29 Dezfuli, et al.,⁵ has provided a comprehensive finite element analysis to quantify the primary
30 acoustic field and consequent flow driven by acoustic streaming in a SAW microdevice with
31 a configuration that can be simple to construct. Acoustic streaming may be used to produce
32 flow sufficient to propel small devices under water, and such a propulsor has been provided

33 by Kong, et al.,⁶ where a 2 MHz transducer was used to produce 0.2 mN force and acoustic
34 streaming flow at 6.1 mm/s. Acoustic streaming has also been used to manipulate cells in cell
35 culture systems, and Oyama, et al.,⁷ report a method for using acoustic streaming to agitate
36 Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell suspensions to reduce the risk of contamination and error
37 when compared to traditional methods of cell transfer and agitation. Winkelmann, et al.,⁸
38 report the analysis of electroosmosis to oppose acoustic streaming that could provide both a
39 means to understand the nature of both phenomena and also provide complementary means
40 to manipulate cells and suspended objects. Finally, in Thompson, et al.,⁹ a matched asymptotic
41 analysis has been used to explore the generation of acoustic streaming in the cochlea from the
42 oscillation of the basilar membrane responsible for transmitting sound into the cochlea from
43 the ossicles and tympanic membrane of the ear. This acoustic streaming is locally significant
44 and may be important in the functioning of the ear in response to even weak sounds.

45 III. BUBBLES AND CAVITIES

46 The interaction between acoustic waves and bubbles has long been of interest to researchers.
47 Allied physical phenomena include cavitation and intense localized acoustic streaming. Reg-
48 nault, et al.,¹⁰ explores acoustic streaming around aspherical bubbles that are forced into os-
49 cillation by externally imposed acoustic waves. Analytical and experimental results are shown
50 and contrasted to those obtained for bubbles having spherical geometry. Ultrasound may
51 also be used to generate bubbles in the first instance, as explained by Carugo, et al.,⁴ where
52 $\sim 180 \mu\text{m}$ bubbles were produced at a microfluidic T-junction and subsequently divided by
53 $\sim 72 \text{ kHz}$ ultrasound to continuously form sub- $5 \mu\text{m}$ bubbles.

54 The proper generation and propagation of acoustic waves in acoustofluidic devices are vital
55 to their design and adoption. Joergensen, et al.,¹¹ provides an enhancement of our understand-
56 ing of how the fields form when thermal effects and appropriate boundaries are included. The
57 acoustic streaming is shown to be in part dependent upon the thermal energy distribution in
58 the system. Centner, et al.,³ show how acoustics may be used to transport molecules into hu-
59 man immune T cells in an enclosed cavity, illustrating a novel use of controlled acoustic wave
60 propagation in cavities.

61 IV. PARTICLE MANIPULATION

62 Acoustofluidics is often applied to particle manipulation, and a majority of papers in this
63 special issue explore this topic. Fan, et al.,¹² provide a fascinating example of an acoustic trac-
64 tor beam: a method to *pull* particles towards the source of acoustic radiation by exploiting
65 a spatial phase shift. In Kim, et al.,¹³ the particles are actually motile *Chlamydomonas rein-*
66 *hardtii* algae that rapidly swim in the fluid; exposing them to standing acoustic waves while
67 in a chamber causes their concentration. The power and other characteristics of the acoustic
68 wave may be determined from the behavior of the algae. Plazonic, et al.,¹⁴ seek to capture bi-
69 ological particles—*Bacillus subtilis var niger* as anthrax spore analogs—using acoustic waves
70 to transport them into contact with an antibody-activated surface to aid in their detection as
71 a biodefense sensor. Microparticles are trapped using standing wave modes in a base plate in
72 Hammarström, et al.,¹⁵ in a glass microfluidic channel with a glycerol-coupled external piezo-
73 electric element in Lickert, et al.,¹⁶ and on an asymmetric structure in Tahmasebipour, et al.¹⁷
74 Particle separation using acoustic waves is modeled in three dimensions (3D) using finite ele-

75 ment analysis in de los Reyes, et al.,¹⁸ indicating the differences between the use of traditional
76 bulk piezoelectric devices, 3D chip bulk piezoelectric devices, and surface acoustic wave de-
77 vices. Finally, in a recent innovation, acoustic vortex beams are used in Xia, et al.,¹⁹ to manipu-
78 late particles along complex helical paths.

79 The work certainly extends beyond separation and manipulation of particles in complete
80 systems, as the fundamental interaction between acoustic waves and one or two particles re-
81 mains an active research topic. Leao-Neto, et al.,²⁰ presents a theoretical study of how force
82 and torque arises upon an elongated spherical particle when exposed to acoustic waves in a
83 simple cylindrical chamber while immersed in a nonviscous fluid. Gong, et al.,¹ compares the
84 angular spectrum and multipole expansion methods to compute the acoustic radiation force
85 and torque present upon a spherical particle in an arbitrary acoustic field and find them equiv-
86 alent. The effects of the surrounding piezoelectric transducers on the forces present upon a
87 particle is considered in an analytical model in Özer, et al.²¹ Lima, et al.,²² present a semi-
88 analytical method to determine the force and torque on a subwavelength-sized axisymmetric
89 particle benchmarked against exact results for a rigid sphere in water and then used to deter-
90 mine the forces upon a red blood cell in plasma. Finally, Hoque, et al.,²³ consider the dynamic
91 motion of *two* particles near an acoustic pressure node while driven by the acoustic field and
92 forces present between the particles in an analysis and experimental effort.

93 V. FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION

94 Atomized droplets are useful for many applications, and in a key contribution from Shan,
95 et al.,²⁴ they describe the use of ultrasound passed into the bulk of a fluid reservoir to lead to

96 droplet generation from numerous orifices placed at one boundary of the reservoir, with com-
97 putational and experimental results. Bodé et al.,²⁵ provides a numerical analysis of the cou-
98 pling between a transducer and a glass microfluidic channel to demonstrate the importance
99 of considering how the transducer is attached to the microfluidic structure. Another related
100 work by Steckel, et al.,²⁶ describes the computational modeling of a silicon-glass structure ac-
101 tuated either by lead zirconate titanate (PZT) or a 1 μm $\text{Al}_{0.6}\text{Sc}_{0.4}\text{N}$ transducer; their results
102 suggest similar performance whether using the bulk PZT or the thin-film piezoelectric mate-
103 rial. In Singh, et al.², a crosscutting inquiry into the physically derived limit of 1 m/s for the
104 particle velocity amplitude in an elastic solid is made. This limit may be applied regardless of
105 vibration mode, material, frequency, and physical shape. This result may be potentially valu-
106 able in modeling and designing acoustofluidics devices and in characterizing high-amplitude
107 acoustical phenomena.

108 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

109 The editors are grateful to the Editor-in-Chief, James F. Lynch, for the opportunity to orga-
110 nize this special issue, the editorial staff—Liz Bury, Kelly Quigley, and Kat Setzer—for all their
111 help and patience with us as we put this together, and of course all the reviewers tasked with
112 providing feedback and improvements to the submissions included in this special issue.

113 **REFERENCES**

114 ¹Z. Gong and M. Baudoin, “Equivalence between angular spectrum-based and multipole
115 expansion-based formulas of the acoustic radiation force and torque,” *Journal of the Acous-*

116 tical Society of America (JASA-06655) (2021).

117 ²J. Friend, A. Singh, and N. Zhang, “An investigation of maximum particle velocity as a univer-
118 sal invariant — defined by a statistical measure of failure or plastic energy loss for acoustoflu-
119 idic applications,” Journal of the Acoustical Society of America (JASA-06848) (2021).

120 ³C. S. Centner, J. T. Moore, M. E. Baxter, Z. T. Long, J. M. Miller, E. S. Kovatsenko, B. Xie,
121 R. E. Berson, M. A. Menze, P. J. Bates, K. Yaddanapudi, and J. A. Kopechek, “Acoustofluidic-
122 mediated molecular delivery to human t cells with a 3d-printed flow chamber,” Journal of the
123 Acoustical Society of America (JASA-06877) (2021).

124 ⁴D. Carugo, R. Browning, I. Iranmanesh, W. Messsaoudi, P. Rademeyer, and E. Stride,
125 “Scaleable production of microbubbles using an ultrasound-modulated microfluidic device,”
126 Journal of the Acoustical Society of America (JASA-06878) (2021).

127 ⁵M. R. Dezfuli, A. Shahidian, and M. Ghassemi, “Quantitative assessment of parallel
128 acoustofluidic device,” Journal of the Acoustical Society of America (JASA-06414) (2021).

129 ⁶D. Kong, Y. Qian, M. K. Kurosawa, and M. Aoyagi, “Evaluation method for acoustic underwater
130 propulsion systems,” Journal of the Acoustical Society of America (JASA-06583) (2021).

131 ⁷T. Oyama, C. I. T. Kuriyama, H. Usui, K. Ando, T. Azuma, A. Morikawa, K. Kodeki, O. Takahara,
132 and K. Takemura, “Acoustic streaming induced by mhz-frequency ultrasound extends the
133 volume limit of cell suspension culture,” Journal of the Acoustical Society of America (JASA-
134 06597) (2021).

135 ⁸B. G. Winckelmann and H. Bruus, “Theory and simulation of electroosmotic suppression of
136 acoustic streaming,” Journal of the Acoustical Society of America (JASA-06601) (2021).

- 137 ⁹C. Thompson and K. Chandra, “Acoustic streaming resulting from compression of the
138 cochlear bony capsule,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* (JASA-06880) (2021).
- 139 ¹⁰G. Regnault, C. Mauger, P. Blanc-Benon, A. A. Doinikov, and C. Inserra, “Signatures of mi-
140 crostreaming patterns induced by non-spherically oscillating bubbles,” *Journal of the Acous-
141 tical Society of America* (JASA-06562) (2021).
- 142 ¹¹J. H. Joergensen and H. Bruus, “Theory of pressure acoustics with thermoviscous boundary
143 layers and streaming in elastic cavities,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* (JASA-
144 06403) (2021).
- 145 ¹²X. dong Fan and L. Zhang, “Phase shift approach for engineering desired radiation force:
146 Acoustic pulling force example,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* (JASA-06867)
147 (2021).
- 148 ¹³M. Kim, R. Barnkob, and J. M. Meacham, “Rapid measurement of the local pressure ampli-
149 tude in microchannel acoustophoresis using motile cells,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society
150 of America* (JASA-06875) (2021).
- 151 ¹⁴F. Plazonic, A. Fisher, D. Carugo, M. Hill, and P. Glynne-Jones, “Acoustofluidic device for
152 acoustic capture of bacillus anthracis spore analogues at low concentration,” *Journal of the
153 Acoustical Society of America* (JASA-06879) (2021).
- 154 ¹⁵B. G. Hammarström, N. R. Skov, K. Olofsson, H. Bruus, and M. Wiklund, “Acoustic trapping
155 based on surface displacement of resonance modes,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of
156 America* (JASA-06176) (2021).

- 157 ¹⁶F. Lickert, M. Ohlin, H. Bruus, and P. Ohlsson, “Acoustophoresis in polymer-based microflu-
158 idic devices: modeling and experimental validation,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of*
159 *America* (JASA-06598) (2021).
- 160 ¹⁷A. Tahmasebipour, L. Friedrich, M. Begley, H. Bruus, and C. D. Meinhart, “Toward optimal
161 acoustophoretic microparticle manipulation by exploiting asymmetry,” *Journal of the Acous-*
162 *tical Society of America* (JASA-05440) (2021).
- 163 ¹⁸E. D. los Reyes, V. Acosta, A. Pinto, P. Carreras, and I. Gonzalez, “3d numerical analysis as a tool
164 for optimization of acoustophoretic separation in polymeric chips,” *Journal of the Acoustical*
165 *Society of America* (JASA-06914) (2021).
- 166 ¹⁹X. Xia, Y. Li, F. Cai, H. Zhou, T. Ma, J. Wang, J. Wang, and H. Zheng, “Three-dimensional spi-
167 ral motion of microparticles by a binary-phase logarithmic-spiral zone plate,” *Journal of the*
168 *Acoustical Society of America* (JASA-06614) (2021).
- 169 ²⁰J. Leao-Neto, M. Hoyos, J.-L. Aider, and G. T. Silva, “Acoustic radiation force and torque on
170 spheroidal particles in an ideal cylindrical chamber,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of*
171 *America* (JASA-06083) (2021).
- 172 ²¹M. B. Ozer and B. Çetin, “An extended view for acoustofluidic particle manipulation: Scenar-
173 ios for actuation modes and device resonance phenomenon for bulk-acoustic-wave devices,”
174 *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* (JASA-06319) (2021).
- 175 ²²L. B. Everton and G. T. Silva, “Mean-acoustic fields exerted on a subwavelength axisymmetric
176 particle,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* (JASA-06881) (2021).

177 ²³S. Z. Hoque, A. Nath, and A. K. Sen, “Dynamical motion of a pair of microparticles at the
178 acoustic pressure nodal plane under the combined effect of axial primary radiation and in-
179 terparticle forces,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* (JASA-06697) (2021).

180 ²⁴L. Shan, M. Cui, and J. M. Meacham, “Spray characteristics of an ultrasonic microdroplet
181 generator with a continuously variable operating frequency,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society*
182 *of America* (JASA-06790) (2021).

183 ²⁵W. N. Bodé and H. Bruus, “Numerical study of the coupling layer between transducer and
184 chip in acoustofluidic devices,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* (JASA-06602)
185 (2021).

186 ²⁶A. G. Steckel and H. Bruus, “Numerical study of bulk acoustofluidic devices driven by thin-
187 film transducers and whole-system resonance modes,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of*
188 *America* (JASA-06616) (2021).