

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Full waveform inversion of a 3-D source inside an artificial rock

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0v33h5vb>

Journal

Journal of Sound and Vibration, 285(4-5)

ISSN

0022-460X

Authors

To, A C
Glaser, Steven D

Publication Date

2005-08-01

Peer reviewed

Full Waveform Inversion of a 3-D Source Inside an Artificial Rock

Albert C. To and Steven D. Glaser*

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley

485 Davis Hall

Berkeley, CA 94122

USA

Abstract

A full waveform inversion of the kinematics of a 3-D source inside an artificial rock plate is presented. The source is provided by a piezoelectric disc embedded inside a gypsum plate, excited by an arbitrary electrical signal. Elastic waves emitted from the source propagated through the plate and are recorded by an array of wide-band high-fidelity microseismic displacement sensors. This microseismic data is then inverted by deconvolving the recorded signals with the theoretical Green's functions to obtain the kinematics of the source modeled by force couples. The force time functions thus inverted are justified qualitatively to the known mechanical behavior and compared quantitatively to simplified electro-acoustic equations. For the first time, the combined use of wide-band high-fidelity sensor, Green's functions incorporating Q damping, effective point source theory, and linear deconvolution yield physically justified time functions of the source kinematics.

* corresponding author
email: glaser@ce.berkeley.edu
fax: 1-510-642-1800

1. Introduction

Determination of the kinematics of crack growth during its generation is of great importance in engineering and science. The kinematics of a source in a solid can in theory be estimated by inverting recorded microseismic (MS) signals generated by the source. In the present paper, a full waveform inversion of the kinematics of a 3-D source inside an artificial rock plate is presented. The 3-D source is a piezoelectric disc that expands (or contracts) in the axial direction and contracts (or expands) in the radial direction due to the input of an arbitrary electric signal.

Inversion methods can be generally divided into three categories: 1) inversion by use of only the P-wave amplitude, 2) full waveform inversion by empirical Green's function, and 3) full waveform inversion by theoretical Green's function. In the first category, only the amplitude of the first P-wave pulse is used to determine the type of cracks and the amount of source [1-3]. This method will lead to an answer very quickly and does not require the use of Green's functions, but it does not give the complete behavior of the crack as a function of time.

In the second category, the microseismic signals are inverted from the empirical Green's function to obtain time function of the kinematics [4-6]. The resulting source time function is very accurate because the empirical Green's function accounts for the receiver transfer function and anelasticity which arises from crystal defects, grain-boundary processes, and viscoelasticity, which are difficult to account for individually when calculating the theoretical Green's function. However, except when the source is a monopole on the surface of a solid, obtaining the empirical Green's function is practically impossible inside a solid without disturbing the solid.

In the third category, the microseismic signals are inverted from the calculated theoretical Green's functions to obtain the kinematics of the source [7]. The most direct inversion method is by direct linear deconvolution of the microseismic data from the calculated Green's functions [8,9]. The linear deconvolution method can be used to invert for sources of any nature and location, but the success of this method hinges on 1) how close the solid model used to calculate the Green's function is relative to the actual physical properties of the solid, and 2) the estimation of the transfer function of the transducer. Errors in the Green's function and the transducer transfer function are projected onto the resulting source time functions and hence render them physically unjustified. Very few works to date have applied this method successfully to microseismic data. Kim and Sachse [10,11] presented a full waveform inversion of indentation cracks and thermal cracks in glass, respectively. In Enoki and Kishi [11], recorded signals due to cracks were inverted to give the source time function for a fracture toughness testing in steel. Shah and Labuz [12] studied the damage mechanisms in stressed rock by performing full waveform inversion. In these works, the material is assumed to be elastic, isotropic, and homogeneous while the source is assumed to be a point source.

Because of the difficulty of obtaining physically justified source time functions by linear deconvolution, the source time function is usually parametrized a priori to constrain the solution space, which is routinely performed by seismologists and acoustic emission practitioners nowadays [7,14]. The most common parameterization of the source time function consists of assuming a certain waveform with parameters of rise time and amplitude of the first motion. This method is accurate when the waveform of

the source process is known, ie., the source time function of a dynamic mode I crack is a step function, but this method will not work for a complicated source. Another disadvantage associated with parametrization of the source time function is that the inversion problem becomes nonlinear and will always involve more complicated algorithms to solve the problem [15,16].

In this study, high fidelity sensor with flat frequency response is used to record the microseismic data so that the estimation of the sensor transfer function is not needed for inversion [17]. Green's functions that incorporate attenuation in the material, which is realistic for rocks, are employed to give more accurate result [22]. The finiteness of the dimension of the source is also considered, ie. the whole source region is discretized into subregions such that each subregion satisfies the effective point source criterion [7]. The recorded data are inverted by direct linear deconvolution and the inverted results are compared qualitatively to the known mechanical behavior and compared quantitatively to the simplified electro-acoustic equations of piezoelectrics. Although the use of high fidelity sensors, the calculation of the Green's function that includes damping, the summation of point sources into finite source, and the direct linear deconvolution are each not new, the incorporation of all of the above to obtain physically justified source time functions for a finite complex source is a new development in microseismic inversion.

2. Experimental setup

To model the source, a ceramic disc (PZT-5A, Vernitron) of 13.45 mm diameter and 7.16 mm thick is embedded inside a 850×850×42 mm³ gypsum plate, at a vertical

distance of 15.7 mm from the top surface of the plate (Fig. 1). The disc expands (or contracts) in the axial direction parallel to plate surface due to the input of an electric signal and correspondingly contracts (or expands) in the radial direction (Fig. 2). The source is known to resonate and is highly reproducible. The gypsum plate is made out of a ready-mix dry powder called Die-Keen from Modern Materials and is chosen because of its desirable physical properties for modeling. First, the powder is manufactured in a finely ground state so that the plate thus formed is very homogeneous. Second, it is as strong as competent natural intact rock. Third, it has little expansion of 0.41% after setting such that the residual stresses are small and thus shrinkage cracks are not likely to form. Some physical properties of PZT-5A and the gypsum plate are listed in Table 1. As will be discussed, the known mechanical properties of the disc and the gypsum are important not only for formulating the inverse problem, but also for interpreting the resulting time function of the kinematics obtained from the inversion.

High-fidelity, wide band transducers sensitive to displacement normal to the monitored interface are used for this experiment because this provides a time history of surface displacement without the distortion of the waveform [17]. For sensors that are narrow band, the transfer function of the sensor needs to be estimated and the recorded displacements by the sensor need to be deconvolved from its transfer function [4], and frequencies not recorded cannot ever be recovered by any means. On the other hand, the sensors we use have a flat response from 12 kHz to 1 MHz, so only the sensitivity in $V/\mu\text{m}$ needs to be estimated and the displacement in μm can be easily obtained [17]. The sensitivity of the transducer is estimated by a capillary break procedure developed by [4].

As illustrated in Fig. 1, three sensors are placed on the top surface of the plate in a radial pattern, 45° apart from each other and at a radial distance of 70 mm from the source. The axial direction of the source lines up with Sensor 3. The transient responses due to the excitation of the source are digitally sampled at a 0.2 μs interval at 14-bit resolution.

In the experiment, the PZT disc is mechanically deformed by inputting an electric signal and the elastic waves emitted and propagated through the gypsum plate are received by the transducers. The input electric signal is a single cycle sinusoidal wave because of its simplicity and also because the resonant behavior of the disc can be observed and analyzed after the input signal becomes zero. A variety of signals having peak-to-peak voltage of 1 kV and bandpassed between 50 kHz and 20 MHz, but with different center frequencies, is tested. The input signals of center frequencies of 400 kHz, 600 kHz, 800 kHz, and 1 MHz are shown in Fig. 3. An example of the time-displacement waveforms recorded due to the 400 kHz central frequency source is shown in Fig. 4.

3. The forward problem

The kinematics of a point source can be completely described by a linear combination of nine force couples or moments (three components of force and three components of arm directions) as shown in Fig. 5 [18]. Literally, the moment tensor $M_{pq}(\xi, t)$ is defined as the limit of the product of the the q th direction force arm $\Delta\xi_q$ and the p th direction forcing function $F_p(\xi, t)$ at location ξ and time t [18]:

$$M_{pq}(\xi, t) = \lim_{\substack{\Delta\xi_q \rightarrow 0 \\ F_p \rightarrow \infty}} \Delta\xi_q F_p(\xi, t) \quad (1)$$

where F_p is the force time function in the p th direction and $\Delta\xi_q$ is the force arm in the q th direction. The moment tensor $M_{pq}(\xi, t)$ is symmetric in p and q due to the conservation of momentum for an internal source [18], and thus there are six unique moments instead of nine. The displacement time history $u_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ at location \mathbf{x} in n th direction due to a point source can be modeled as a convolution integral of the moment tensor and the Green's displacement tensor:

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{p=1}^3 \sum_{q=1}^3 G_{np,q}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi, 0) * M_{pq}(\xi, t) \quad (2)$$

where an asterisk denotes a convolution integral in the time variable. The Green's displacement tensor $G_{np,q}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi, 0)$ is the displacement in the n th direction at \mathbf{x} and t due to a unit impulsive concentrated moment at ξ and $t=0$.

Unfortunately, instead of being point sources, cracks have areal extent, and the time history of the growing crack is of primary interest. For a source over a finite area A , the displacement at location \mathbf{x} in n th direction can be obtained by integrating Eq. (2) over A :

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \iint_A \sum_{p=1}^3 \sum_{q=1}^3 G_{np,q}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi, 0) * M_{pq}(\xi, t) dA \quad (3)$$

According to Johnson and Stump [7], if the region A is discretized into N_r sub-regions whose largest dimension is smaller than the smallest wavelength of interest, each sub-region i is well approximated by a point source. Therefore, summing the contribution from the sub-regions, we obtain:

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \sum_{p=1}^3 \sum_{q=1}^3 G_{np,q}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi^{(i)}, 0) * M_{pq}(\xi^{(i)}, t) \quad (4)$$

So far, we have focused on modeling the kinematics of a source whose volume is infinitesimal, but the volume of the actual simulated crack in the experiment is not, and thus the force arm in Eq. (1) is no longer infinitesimal. Eq. (4) can be first rewritten as

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \sum_{p=1}^3 \sum_{q=1}^3 \left(\lim_{\Delta \xi_q^{(i)} \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_{np}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi^{(i)} + 0.5\Delta \xi_q^{(i)}, 0) - G_{np}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi^{(i)} - 0.5\Delta \xi_q^{(i)}, 0)}{\Delta \xi_q^{(i)}} * \lim_{\substack{\Delta \xi_q^{(i)} \rightarrow 0 \\ f_p \rightarrow \infty}} \Delta \xi_q^{(i)} F_p(\xi^{(i)}, t) \right) \quad (5)$$

and then removing the limits from Eq. (5), we obtain

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \sum_{p=1}^3 \sum_{q=1}^3 \left((G_{np}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi^{(i)} + 0.5\Delta \xi_q^{(i)}, 0) - G_{np}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi^{(i)} - 0.5\Delta \xi_q^{(i)}, 0)) * F_p(\xi^{(i)}, t) \right) \quad (6)$$

where $G_{np}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi^{(i)}, 0)$ is the displacement in the n th direction at \mathbf{x} and t due to a unit impulsive concentrated force at $\xi^{(i)}$ and $t=0$. $F_p(\xi^{(i)}, t)$ is the force time function in p th direction. Equation (6) can be interpreted as the sum of the displacements due to time variant force couples with finite moment arms on all the sub-regions.

4. Calculation of Green's functions

The Green's functions for an isotropic, homogeneous infinite plate with constant Q damping law by Kjartansson [19] due to a point source is calculated by the frequency-wavenumber method by Kennett [20]. In this method, the equation of motion is transformed into the frequency-wavenumber domain for ease of manipulation. The resulting solution is a frequency-wavenumber integral, which is solved numerically. The computation time needed for the Green's functions calculated by the frequency-wavenumber method is faster than numerical methods such as finite difference and finite elements [20].

4.1. Determination of Q

The dimensionless quality factor Q accounts for various damping mechanisms, and is effectively constant over a wide range of frequencies for many geological materials [22]. These damping mechanisms include crystal defects, grain boundary processes, scattering, microcracks, and viscoelastic processes, which are all interrelated. Q decreases as the number of cracks and crack sizes increase and as the material has higher damping, so when Q decreases, the attenuation of propagating waves increases. The recorded phase amplitude $A(f)$ of a wave by a sensor propagating in a constant Q damping material is [21]:

$$A(f) = I(f)S(f)G(t) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi ft}{Q}\right) \quad (7)$$

where f is the frequency, $I(f)$ is the sensor transfer function, $S(f)$ is the source function and t is the time the wave has travelled. $G(t)$ represents frequency-independent processes that affect the wave along its propagation path, such as geometrical spreading and reflection and transmission at boundaries without phase shift. As will be seen below, only the exponential term in Eq. (7) is important in determining Q , ie. the relative change in attenuation of a wave over a wide range of frequencies is desired, and so a wide band source will serve this purpose.

For a plate of a given material, the dimensionless quality factor Q for the p-wave (Q_p) can be determined by estimating the spectral ratio of a signal generated by breaking a glass capillary on the opposite side of the plate relative to the sensor. The capillary break is generated by loading a rod vertically on a glass capillary placed flat on the plate until the capillary shatters suddenly [4]. Before the capillary breaks, the plate is displaced locally by the vertical force exerted by the rod through the capillary. Once the

capillary breaks, the surface displacement recovers suddenly. This sudden rebound generates a step-like function that has a very short rise time. Since the capillary break is a wide band source from DC to well above 1 MHz [4], so it is a good source to use for estimating Q .

Now consider the spectral ratio:

$$R(f) = \frac{A_{ppp}(f)}{A_p(f)} \quad (8)$$

where $A_p(f)$ is the amplitude of the p phase in the frequency (f) domain and $A_{ppp}(f)$ is the amplitude of the reflected P phase. Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (8) gives

$$R(f) = \frac{G_p(t_p) \exp(-\frac{\pi f t_p}{Q_p})}{G_{ppp}(t_{ppp}) \exp(-\frac{\pi f t_{ppp}}{Q_p})} \quad (9)$$

Notice that both the sensor transfer function and the source function are both cancelled.

Taking natural logarithm of Eq. (9) gives

$$\ln R(f) = \ln\left(\frac{G_p(t_p)}{G_{ppp}(t_{ppp})}\right) - \frac{\pi \Delta t}{Q_p} f \quad (10)$$

where Δt is the time difference between the p phase arrival and the ppp phase arrival.

Notice from Eq. (10) that Q_p can be estimated from the slope of $\ln(R(f))$ vs f plot. The time derivative of the epicentral displacement from the average of three glass capillary breaks on the gypsum plate is plotted in Fig. 6. The time derivative of the epicentral displacement is used rather than the original signal because the p and the ppp phases can be observed and extracted easily from the signal. Also, note that Eq. (10) is still valid since taking time derivative is equivalent to multiplying the original signal by $i2\pi f$ in the

frequency domain, and the factors for the p amplitude and the ppp amplitude cancel each other in Eq. (8). The natural log of the spectral ratio $R(f)$ of the p and the ppp phases as a function of frequency f is plotted in Fig. 7. Q_p is estimated to be 70 from linear regression fitting of a straight line through the $\ln(R(f))$ vs f plot, and it is a typical value for natural rocks [22].

In principle, the same technique above can be used to estimate Q for s-wave (Q_s), ie. by replacing the subscript p with s and ppp with sss in Eqs. (8) to (10). However, the reflected sss amplitude is very small due to the radiation pattern and is dominated by the P reflections and noise, so it is impractical to use this technique. However, assuming that no energy dissipation occurs in pure compression for p-wave, Q_s can be estimated from Q_p by Apsel [23]:

$$Q_s = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{V_s}{V_p} \right)^2 Q_p \quad (11)$$

where V_p and V_s are the respective p-wave and s-wave velocity.

It is simple to include Q damping in the calculation of the Green's functions by making the p-wave velocity (V_p) and s-wave velocity (V_s) complex [19]:

$$V = (V_o) \cos\left(\frac{\pi\gamma}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{i\omega}{\omega_o}\right)^\gamma \quad (12)$$

with

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan\left(\frac{1}{Q}\right) \quad (13)$$

where V is the p-wave or the s-wave velocity. V_o is the reference velocity at frequency ω_o .

5. The Inverse Problem

The goal of the present study is to determine the force time function $F_p(\xi^{(i)}, t)$ in Eq. (6) given several displacement time histories $u_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$, Green's functions $G_{np}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi^{(i)}, 0)$, and the source location. In order to formulate the inverse problem for the experiment, the source needs to be discretized into subregions where each subregion is much less than the shortest wavelength of interest to be considered a point source effectively [7]. The axial face of the disc is discretized into mostly square sub-regions by 26 equally-spaced vertical and horizontal lines, and the largest dimension of each sub-region is 0.52 mm (Fig. 2). The radial face is discretized into 1120 sub-regions whose largest dimension is 0.53 mm. Each sub-region is now effectively a point source and thus the Green's functions for each sub-region can be calculated by the frequency-wavenumber method [20]. For the inverse problem, the unknown force time function of each direction for each subregion needs to be determined, and that means thousands of sensor signals are needed to perform the inversion. However, the number of unknowns can be reduced substantially by applying our knowledge of the mechanical behavior of the PZT disc and the gypsum plate. The following two simplifications are made in the formulation of the inverse problem:

- 1) Each subregion on the axial face can only exert an axial force and each subregion on the radial face can only exert a radial force.
- 2) The axial force time function is identical for each subregion on the axial face and the radial force time function is identical for each subregion on the radial face.

These simplifications follow directly from the assumption that the bonding between the PZT disc and the gypsum is smooth (ie. frictionless) and that the disc expands (or

contracts) in the axial direction due to the input of an electric signal and correspondingly contracts (or expands) in the radial direction. With the first simplification, the Green's function due to an impulsive axial force for each sensor ($G_{axial}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi, 0)$) is calculated for each subregion on the axial face, and in the same manner, the Green's function due to an impulsive radial force for each sensor ($G_{radial}(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi, 0)$) is calculated for each subregion on the radial face. The set of equations to be solved can be written as

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}, k) = \sum_{a=1}^{N_a} \left(G_{axial}(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}, k; \xi^{(a)}, 0) * F_{axial}(\xi^{(a)}, k) \right) + \sum_{r=1}^{N_r} \left(G_{radial}(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}, k; \xi^{(r)}, 0) * F_{radial}(\xi^{(r)}, k) \right);$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, N_s; k = 0, 1, \dots, N_t \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{(j)}$ is the j th sensor location, N_s are the number of sensors, N_a the number of subregions on the axial face, and N_r the number of subregions on the radial face. Since the observed displacements are discretized at an interval Δt , the continuous time t in Eq. (6) is replaced by increment k times Δt . $F_{axial}(\xi^{(a)}, k)$ and $F_{radial}(\xi^{(r)}, k)$ are the axial and radial force time functions at each subregion on the axial and radial face, respectively. With the second simplification that the force time function is identical for all the axial subregions and for all the radial subregions, respectively, the axial and the radial force time functions in Eq. (14) can be taken out of the parentheses as follows:

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}, k) = \sum_{a=1}^{N_a} \left(G_{axial}(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}, k; \xi^{(a)}, 0) \right) * F_{axial}(k) + \sum_{r=1}^{N_r} \left(G_{radial}(\mathbf{x}^{(j)}, k; \xi^{(r)}, 0) \right) * F_{radial}(k);$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, N_s; k = 0, 1, \dots, N_t \quad (15)$$

Now, only two unknown force time functions $F_{axial}(k)$ and $F_{radial}(k)$ need to be determined, and with three sensor recordings from each test, the inverse problem is overdetermined. In this study, the Green's function for each subregion and sensor takes

10 minutes on a 900 kHz Intel Pentium III processor with 500 megabyte memory. A total of 6768 Green's functions were calculated in 47 days of time. The axial and radial Green's functions used for the inversion are shown in Figs. 8 to 9. Seventy time steps were used in the inversion for each electric input signal. The force time functions in Eq. (15) are deconvolved in the time domain by least squares method [24] and their estimates are shown in Figs. 10 to 13 along with their Fourier spectra.

6. Discussions

The most direct method to validate the inverted force time functions is to have a forward model for the PZT disc embedded inside the gypsum plate. Direct calculation of the force field in the whole solid due to an input electric signal that excites the PZT disc is well beyond the scope of this study. Rather, the force time functions are compared qualitatively to the known mechanical behavior and compared quantitatively to the simplified electro-acoustic equations.

As discussed earlier, the general mechanical behavior of the PZT disc is that it contracts (or expands) in the radial direction when it expands (or contracts) in the axial direction. It can be clearly seen that for each frequency excitation, the force time functions F_{axial} and F_{radial} are 180° out of phase. Also, since the disc is excited in the axial direction and the axial face is larger than the radial face, the axial force time function F_{axial} is expected to be larger in amplitude than the radial force time function F_{radial} , which are shown to be the case for each input signal. To determine how accurate the force time functions are for each case, the change in thickness and in diameter calculated from the first peak input voltage can be compared to those calculated from the force from the first

peak in the force time function, which corresponds to the first peak input voltage. The change in thickness Δh due to an input voltage V is:

$$\Delta h = d_{33}V \quad (16)$$

and the change in diameter ΔD due to an input voltage V is:

$$\Delta D = \frac{d_{31}D}{h}V \quad (17)$$

where d_{33} and d_{31} are piezoelectric constants (Table 1). The changes in thickness and in diameter due to applied forces are obtained from the stress-strain relationship by converting the forces into stresses in the axial and radial directions. Adopting the abbreviated notations from Auld [25], the stress-strain relationship is:

$$S_I = s_{IJ}^{E,C} T_J \quad (18)$$

where S_I and T_J are strains and stresses and s_{IJ}^E and s_{IJ}^C are the compliances measured in constant electric field and in constant charge density, respectively (Table 1). Because of the coupling between electric and acoustic fields in the PZT disc, measurement of the mechanical properties depends on the electrical constraints [25]. The dynamic electric field within the PZT disc is unknown during the experiment, so the compliances measured in a constant electric field and in constant charge density are used to calculate the changes in thickness and in diameter. The results are tabulated in Table 2 where positive sign denotes extension and negative sign denotes contraction. For each input electric signal, the changes in thickness and in diameter calculated from the peak forces are larger than those calculated from the peak voltage. The discrepancies may be due to the fact that the simple calculations above assumes idealized boundary conditions and static mechanical and electrical behavior while the real behavior is dynamic; but the

changes in thickness and diameter calculated from the peak forces and from the peak voltage are of the same order of magnitude. Also observed from the table is the change in thickness is always larger than the change in diameter because the disc is excited axially. As expected, the larger the peak voltage, the larger the changes in thickness and in diameter, and this fact is also reflected in the calculation from the peak forces.

The dynamic mechanical behavior of the source can be studied from the waveform of the force time functions. The waveform of the force time functions from 0 to 4 μs looks quite similar to their respective input electric signals in Fig. 3 while the later part of all the force time functions oscillates with varying amplitudes at different frequencies. This is to be expected since the PZT disc is known to resonate due to electrical excitation, and the frequencies at which it resonates can be seen from the Fourier spectra of the force time functions. In all cases, it is apparent that 3 peaks in the Fourier spectra are at the same frequencies: 0.32, 1.1 and 1.7 MHz while 2 peaks that occur at the same frequencies are not as apparent: 0.63 and 0.95 MHz. These results can be explained by the axial normal modes of the PZT disc, assuming axial faces are stress free. This assumption is acceptable because the disc is excited axially and the axial p-wave impedance of piezoelectric solid ($\rho V_p^E = 29.3 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{s}/\text{m}^3$) is almost three times higher than that of gypsum ($\rho V_{pa}^E = 11.0 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{s}/\text{m}^3$), where V_{pa}^E is the axial p-wave velocity measured in constant electric field. The axial normal modes are the solutions to the following equation:

$$\sin(\pi f_n h / V_{pa}^E) = 0, \quad (19a)$$

$$\cos(\pi f_n h / V_{pa}^E) = 0, \quad (19b)$$

which have solutions:

$$f_n = nV_{pa}/2h, n=1,2,3,\dots \quad (20)$$

where f_n are the normal modes, h is the thickness of the PZT disc and V_{pa} is the axial p-wave velocity. The first five modes observed from the Fourier spectra in Figs. 10 to 13 and the modes calculated from Eq. (20) with two different V_{pa} measured in constant electric field and in constant charge density are tabulated in Table 3. Note that all five modes calculated from Eq. (20) are slightly smaller than those observed from the peaks in the Fourier spectra in all cases. The difference is expected since the actual boundary conditions of the disc are not truly stress free as in the calculations and also there are may be other modes in addition to the axial modes being excited.

The fitted data in Figs. 14 to 17 are obtained by convolving the Green's functions with the force time functions through Eq. (15). Since the inverse problem is overdetermined and is solved by least squares, the fitted data is not necessarily identical to the recorded data and so it is meaningful to compare them to examine the misfit. In each case, the fitted data has good match with the original recorded data. There may be several sources that lead to the very slight misfit. First, the Green's function calculated based on Q damping may not truly capture the inhomogeneity in the gypsum plate and all the damping processes during wave propagation. Second, the Green's function is calculated assuming each subregion is a point source, and the error in the Green's function grows with increasing frequency [7]. However, from the good match between the fitted data and the recorded data, these errors are deemed to be minor.

7. Conclusions

In this study, we have successfully used theoretical Green's functions incorporating Q damping to perform a full waveform inversion. There are three crucial factors that we believe lead to the successful inversion: 1) the use of broadband transducers with high fidelity frequency response, 2) the use of Green's functions based on Q damping, and 3) taking the source finiteness into account. The use of narrowband transducers requires obtaining the transfer function each time a test is performed on a different solid and then performing deconvolution of the microseismic data from the transfer function. Since a narrowband transducer has missing data in some frequencies, the deconvolution is fundamentally ill-posed. For broadband transducers, all displacement information present is being transduced and is included in the inversion.

The microseismic data is inverted from inelastic Green's functions, rather than based on a linear, homogenous, elastic solid model. For a plate, Q can be obtained experimentally by breaking a capillary on one side of the plate. It captures the damping behavior of the gypsum quite well.

This study also incorporates the source finiteness in formulating the inverse problem. Since the source size is known a priori, the disc can be discretized into subregions with the largest dimension less than the minimum wavelength such that each subregion is effectively a point source. This is believed to improve the accuracy of the Green's functions and consequently lead to better inversion results.

The success of a full waveform inversion is judged by whether the inverted results can be explained physically. The inverted force time functions match the known

mechanical behavior of the PZT disc and the observed oscillations match well with the theoretical axial normal modes.

Although the use of high fidelity sensors, the calculation of the Green's function that includes damping, the summation of point sources into finite sources, and the technique of inversion are each not new, the incorporation of all the above to obtain physically justified source time functions for a complex source is a new development in microseismic inversion. It should be emphasized that in this study the source time function is not parametrized a priori like many seismologists and acoustic emission researchers do nowadays to obtain physical solutions. For dynamic crack inversion, it is certainly fine to assume the waveform of the source time function to be a step function. In more complicated dynamic processes such as in this study, direct deconvolution must be used to determine the source processes. In fact, recently the source time function on a subfault calculated based on an asperity model for dynamic earthquake faulting shows more than a step function: the source time function is actually a step function followed by periodic undulations [26]. If the parametrization of a step function is used for inversion, the real behavior of the source process will not be obtained. Another problem associated with the parametrization of the source time function is that the inversion problem becomes nonlinear and will always involve more complicated algorithms to solve the problem. In conclusion, this study demonstrates the feasibility of obtaining the most complete and physically justified quantitative information from a dynamic source process in a damped solid.

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by NSF project CMS-9908218, ‘Multi-Scale Experimental Investigation of Sliding Friction.’

References

- [1] C.B. Scruby, G.R. Baldwin, K.A. Stacey, Characterisation of fatigue crack extension by quantitative microseismic, *Int. J. of Fracture* 28 (1985) 201-222.
- [2] C.B. Scruby, K.A. Stacey, G.R. Baldwin, Defect Characterisation in three dimensions by acoustic emission, *J. Phy. D: Appl. Phys.* 19 (1986) 1597-1612.
- [3] M. Ohtsu, Simplified moment tensor analysis and unified decomposition of acoustic emission source: application to in situ hydrofracturing test," *J. Geophys. Res.* 96 (1991) 6211-6221.
- [4] F.R. Breckenridge, T.M. Proctor, N.N. Hsu, S.E. Fick, D.G. Eitzen, Transient sources for acoustic emission work, *Proceedings of the 10th International Acoustic Emission Symposium*, Tokyo, 1990, pp. 20-37.
- [5] J.E. Michaels, T.E. Michaels, W. Sachse, Applications of deconvolution to microseismic signal analysis, *Mater. Eval.* 39 (1981) 1032-1036.
- [6] S.D. Glaser, P.P. Nelson, Acoustic emissions produced by discrete fracture in rock- Part 2: kinematics of crack growth during controlled Mode I and Mode II loading of rock, *Int. J. of Rock Mech.* 29 (1992) 253-265.
- [7] T. Lay, T. Wallace, *Modern Global Seismology*, first edition, Academic Press, San Diego, 1995.
- [8] B.W. Stump, L.R. Johnson, The determination of source properties by the linear inversion of seismograms, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* 67 (1977) 1489-1502.
- [9] R.A. Strelitz, Moment tensor inversions and source models, *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* 52 (1978) 359-364.

- [10] K.Y. Kim, W. Sachse, Acoustic emissions from penny-shaped cracks in glass. II. Moment tensor and source-time function, *J. Appl. Phys.* 59 (1986) 2711-2715.
- [11] K.Y. Kim, W. Sachse, Characteristics of an microseismic source from a thermal crack in glass, *Int. J. of Fracture* 31 (1986) 211-231.
- [12] M. Enoki, T. Kishi, Theory and analysis of deformation moment tensor due to microcracking, *Int. J. of Fracture* 38 (1988) 295-310.
- [13] K.R. Shah, J.F. Labuz, Damage mechanisms in stressed rock from acoustic emission, *J. Geophys. Res.* 100 (1995) 15527-15539.
- [14] T. Kishi, M. Ohtsu, S. Yuyama (Ed.), *Acoustic Emission—Beyond the Millennium*, Elsevier, New York, NY, 2000.
- [15] S.H. Hartzell, T.H. Heaton, Inversion of strong ground motion and teleseismic waveform data for the fault rupture history of the 1979 Imperial Valley, California, earthquake, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* 73 (1983) 1553-1583.
- [16] J.E. Michaels, Y.H. Pao, The inverse problem for an oblique force on an elastic plate, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 77 (1985) 2005-2011.
- [17] S.D. Glaser, G. Weiss, L.R. Johnson, Body waves recorded inside an elastic half space by an embedded, wideband velocity sensor, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 104 (1998) 1404-1412.
- [18] K. Aki, P.G. Richards, *Quantitative Seismology, Theory and Method*, second edition, University Science Books, Sausalito, CA, 2000.
- [19] E. Kjartansson, Constant Q-wave propagation and attenuation, *J. Geophys. Res.* 84 (1979) 4737-4748.

- [20] B.L.N. Kennett, *Seismic Wave Propagation in Stratified Media*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1983.
- [21] M. Niazi, L.R. Johnson, Q in the inner core, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 74 (1992) 55-62.
- [22] L. Knopoff, Q, *Review of Geophysics*, 2 (1964) 625-660.
- [23] R. Apsel, Dynamic Green's Functions for Layered Media and Applications to Boundary-Value Problems, PhD. Thesis, University of California, San Diego, 1979.
- [24] E.A. Robinson, S. Treitel, *Geophysical Signal Analysis*, first edition, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1980.
- [25] B.A. Auld, *Acoustic Fields and Waves in Solids* (two volumes), second edition, Krieger Publications, Florida, 1990
- [26] L.R. Johnson, R. Nadeau, An asperity model of an earthquake: dynamic problem, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, submitted for publication.

List of Figures

Figure 1 Gypsum Test Plate with a Piezoelectric Disc Embedded Inside and Sensors on the Top Surface

Figure 2 Orientation of the 3-D Source. Discretization of the Source into Sub-Regions for Calculation of Green's Functions

Figure 3 Input Electric Signals of Single Cycle Sinusoidal Functions to the Piezoelectric Disc with Various Central Frequencies: a) 400 kHz, b) 600 kHz, c) 800 kHz, and d) 1 MHz.

Figure 4 An Example Set of Time-Displacement Signals Recorded on Plate Surface for the Electric Signal with Central Frequency of 400 kHz in Fig. 3a.

Figure 5 Nine Components of the Moment Tensor (Aki and Richards, 2002)

Figure 6 Time Derivative of the Epicentral Displacement due to a Glass Capillary Break. The P Phase and the PPP Phase are Indicated in the figure.

Figure 7 The Spectral Ratio of the PPP Phase and the P Phase

Figure 8 Green's Functions in the Axial Direction Corresponding to Sensors 1, 2, and 3

Figure 9 Green's Functions in the Radial Direction Corresponding to Sensors 1, 2, and 3

Figure 10 The Force Time Function of the 3-D Source Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 400 kHz and the Fourier Spectrum of the Force Time Function

Figure 11 The Force Time Function of the 3-D Source Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 600 kHz and the Fourier Spectrum of the Force Time Function

Figure 12 The Force Time Function of the 3-D Source Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 800 kHz and the Fourier Spectrum of the Force Time Function

Figure 13 The Force Time Function of the 3-D Source Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 1 MHz and the Fourier Spectrum of the Force Time Function

Figure 14 Comparison of Recorded Signals Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 400 kHz and the Fitted Data from the Inversion.

Figure 15 Comparison of Recorded Signals Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 600 kHz and the Fitted Data from the Inversion.

Figure 16 Comparison of Recorded Signals Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 800 kHz and the Fitted Data from the Inversion.

Figure 17 Comparison of Recorded Signals Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 1 MHz and the Fitted Data from the Inversion.

Table 1 Physical Properties of the PZT-5A Disc and the Gypsum Plate

| | PZT-5A | Gypsum Plate |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Density ρ (10^3 kg/m ³) | 7.75 | 2.6 |
| p-wave velocity V_p (10^3 m/s) | 3.78 ^E , 4.36 ^C | 4.23 |
| s-wave velocity V_s (10^3 m/s) | - | 2.35 |
| Q_p | - | 70 |
| Q_s | - | 29 |
| Piezoelectric constants (10^{-12} m/V) | | |
| d_{31} | -171 | - |
| d_{33} | 374 | - |
| d_{15} | 584 | - |
| Elastic compliance at constant electric field (10^{-12} m ² /N) | | |
| s_{11}^E | 16.4 | - |
| s_{33}^E | 18.8 | - |
| s_{44}^E | 47.5 | - |
| s_{12}^E | -5.74 | - |
| s_{13}^E | -7.22 | - |
| Elastic compliance at constant charge density (10^{-12} m ² /N) | | |
| s_{11}^D | 14.4 | - |
| s_{33}^D | 9.46 | - |
| s_{44}^D | 25.2 | - |
| s_{12}^D | -7.71 | - |
| s_{13}^D | -2.98 | - |

^E axial p-wave velocity measured at constant electric field

^C axial p-wave velocity measured at constant charge density

Table 2 Deformation of the Source Calculated from the Peak Forces and Peak Voltage

| Input electrical signal central frequency (MHz) | Peak axial force (N) | Peak radial force (N) | Change in thickness ^E (μm) | Change in thickness ^C (μm) | Change in diameter ^E (μm) | Change in diameter ^C (μm) |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 0.4 | 1240 | -45 | 1.05 | 0.52 | -0.81 | -0.36 |
| 0.6 | 1720 | -90 | 1.46 | 0.73 | -1.16 | -0.54 |
| 0.8 | 1560 | -34 | 1.31 | 0.66 | -0.98 | -0.43 |
| 1 | 1400 | -110 | 1.20 | 0.60 | -1.00 | -0.49 |

| Input electrical signal central frequency (MHz) | Peak voltage (V) | Change in thickness (μm) | Change in diameter (μm) |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0.4 | 346 | 0.13 | -0.11 |
| 0.6 | 622 | 0.23 | -0.20 |
| 0.8 | 586 | 0.22 | -0.19 |
| 1 | 482 | 0.18 | -0.15 |

^Ecalculated from compliances measured in constant electric field

^Ccalculated from compliances measured in constant density charge

Table 3. Axial Normal Modes of the PZT Disc

| | Modes (MHz) | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------|------|-----|-----|
| | n=1 | n=2 | n=3 | n=4 | n=5 |
| Calculated from normal mode theory ^E | 0.26 | 0.53 | 0.79 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Calculated from normal mode theory ^C | 0.30 | 0.61 | 0.91 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Observed from the Fourier spectra of the force time functions | 0.32 | 0.63 | 0.95 | 1.1 | 1.7 |

^Ecalculated from axial p-wave velocity measured in constant electric field (see Table 1)

^Ccalculated from axial p-wave velocity measured in constant density charge (see Table 1)




Figure 1 Gypsum Test Plate with a Piezoelectric Disc Embedded Inside and Sensors on the Top Surface




Figure 2 Orientation of the 3-D Dislocation Source. Discretization of the Source into Sub-Regions for Calculation of Green's Functions




Figure 3 Input Electric Signals of Single Cycle Sinusoidal Functions to the Piezoelectric Disc with Various Central Frequencies: a) 400 kHz, b) 600 kHz, c) 800 kHz, and d) 1 MHz.




Figure 4 An Example Set of Time-Displacement Signals Recorded on Plate Surface for the Electric Signal with Central Frequency of 400 kHz in Fig. 3a.




Figure 5 Nine Components of the Moment Tensor (Aki and Richards, 2002)




Figure 6 Time Derivative of the Epicentral Displacement due to a Glass Capillary Break. The P Phase and the PPP Phase are Indicated in the figure.




Figure 7 The Spectral Ratio of the PPP Phase and the P Phase




Figure 8 Green's Functions in the Axial Direction Corresponding to Sensors 1, 2, and 3




Figure 9 Green's Functions in the Radial Direction Corresponding to Sensors 1, 2, and 3




Figure 10 The Force Time Function of the 3-D Dislocation Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 400 kHz and the Fourier Spectrum of the Force Time Function




Figure 11 The Force Time Function of the 3-D Dislocation Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 600 kHz and the Fourier Spectrum of the Force Time Function




Figure 12 The Force Time Function of the 3-D Dislocation Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 800 kHz and the Fourier Spectrum of the Force Time Function




Figure 13 The Force Time Function of the 3-D Dislocation Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 1 MHz and the Fourier Spectrum of the Force Time Function




Figure 14 Comparison of Recorded Signals Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 400 kHz and the Fitted Data from the Inversion.




Figure 15 Comparison of Recorded Signals Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 600 kHz and the Fitted Data from the Inversion.




Figure 16 Comparison of Recorded Signals Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 800 kHz and the Fitted Data from the Inversion.




Figure 17 Comparison of Recorded Signals Due to the Input Signal with Central Frequency of 1 MHz and the Fitted Data from the Inversion.