# UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

## Title

Characterization of socioeconomic status of Japanese patients with atopic dermatitis showing poor medical adherence and reasons for drug discontinuation

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0v07d95m

**Journal** Journal of Dermatological Science, 79(3)

**ISSN** 0923-1811

## Authors

Murota, Hiroyuki Takeuchi, Satoshi Sugaya, Makoto <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2015-09-01

## DOI

10.1016/j.jdermsci.2015.05.010

Peer reviewed

#### Poor adherence to oral and topical medication in 3096 dermatological patients as assessed by the Morisky Medication Adherence Scale-8

#### DOI: 10.1111/bjd.13377

DEAR EDITOR. Recent studies have shown that adherence to treatment is an important factor for good therapeutic outcome in various chronic disorders such as hypertension and diabetes.<sup>1,2</sup> In dermatology, patient nonadherence to therapy is also very problematic and has been associated with poor therapeutic outcomes in common skin diseases.<sup>3–5</sup> Although there is no 'gold standard' to measure medication adherence, an eight-item self-reported scale called the Morisky Medication Adherence Scale-8 (MMAS-8) has been developed by Morisky et al.<sup>1</sup> MMAS-8 originally targeted oral medication for hypertensive patients, but it is now applied to measure medication adherence in a wide range of disorders such as diabetes and osteoporosis.<sup>2,6</sup> However, there are no reports of studies investigating dermatological adherence using this scale. Therefore, this study assessed medication adherence for oral and topical remedies using a translated version of MMAS-8 together with other socioeconomic background factors in 3096 Japanese dermatological patients.

This study was conducted among patients registered in a monitoring system established by Macromill Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). The registered individuals (n = 4144) were prescreened in terms of skin diseases and their intention to participate in this study. In total 3096 eligible patients were enrolled, 1327 with atopic dermatitis, 751 with urticaria, 237 with psoriasis and 781 with tinea. Our web-based guestionnaire included the following items: age, sex, marital status, annual income, employment status, educational status, smoking habit, alcohol consumption, frequency of hospital visits, main healthcare institution, oral or topical medication, experience of the effectiveness of oral medication, experience of the effectiveness of topical medication, experience of adverse events associated with oral medication, experience of adverse events associated with topical medication, overall satisfaction with treatment, MMAS-8 for oral medication and MMAS-8 for topical medication.

The original MMAS-8 was translated into Japanese according to international guidelines.<sup>7</sup> Forward translation of the original questionnaire was undertaken by translation from English into Japanese to produce a version that was semantically and conceptually as close as possible to the original questionnaire. Translation was carried out by two qualified independent linguistic translators; both are native speakers of Japanese and proficient in English. Back translation from Japanese into English was then carried out by another translator, who is a native speaker of English and proficient in Japanese. The back translation form was sent to the original author. Inconsistencies were resolved after repeated discussion among the original author, the English translator and the Japanese investigators and a final version was generated. According to the MMAS-8 score (range 0-8), adherence was defined as high (score 8), medium (score 6 to < 8) or low (score < 6).<sup>1</sup>

The proportions and frequencies for categorical variables were calculated, while means and SDs were calculated for continuous variables. The characteristics of the whole sample and of the groups with different levels of adherence in terms of the MMAS-8 score are presented. The  $\chi^2$ -test for categorical variables or ANOVA for continuous variables was used to evaluate the differences in the study variables among the three adherence groups. Internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's  $\alpha$ . An acceptable Cronbach's  $\alpha$  value is considered to be  $\geq 0.7$ .<sup>8</sup> Known group validity was assessed through the association of items and MMAS categories using a correlation coefficient and covariance. All analyses were performed using STATA version 9 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, U.S.A.). The significance level was set at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

The demographic data of the 3096 patients are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of the subjects was 46·3 years (range 17–85), and 50·4% were male. Among the 3096 participants, 1984 took oral medication and 2763 were treated with topical medication. The mean adherence scores by MMAS-8 were 4·8 for oral and 4·3 for topical medication. The reliability scores (Cronbach's  $\alpha$ ) were 0·710 for oral MMAS-8 and 0·715 for topical MMAS-8,<sup>8</sup> which demonstrates the high reliability of the Japanese version of MMAS-8.

Adherence levels were compared by the type of medication (oral and topical) (Table 2). The percentages of high, medium and low adherence were 9.5%, 24.2% and 66.3% for oral medication, and 6.9%, 17.7% and 75.5% for topical medication, respectively. The overall adherence status was significantly better for oral medication than for topical medication (Table 2).

As shown in Table 3, the adherence to oral medication was significantly associated with age, sex, alcohol consumption, disease classification, frequency of hospital visits, experience of drug effectiveness and overall satisfaction with treatment. Lower adherence was found in younger subjects, female patients, heavier drinkers, cases of atopic dermatitis, those who visited their hospitals less than once per half year or at an unknown frequency, those who had not experienced drug effectiveness and those who were not satisfied with their

© 2014 The Authors. British Journal of Dermatology

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Association of Dermatologists.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Гable 1	Basic	characteristics	of	the	study	subjects	(n	=	3096
---------	-------	-----------------	----	-----	-------	----------	----	---	------

Characteristic	n	%
Age (years), mean $\pm$ SD	$46{\cdot}3\pm13{\cdot}0$	
(min-max)	(17-85)	
Sex		
Male	1559	50.4
Female	1537	49.6
Marital status		
Married	1160	37.5
Unmarried	1936	62.5
Annual income	1074	20.7
$\geq$ 6 million yen"	1074	39.7
< 6 million yen	1629	60.3
Employment	1060	(( )
Inemployed	1969	22.2
Education	911	33.7
Luciuonity graduate	1524	40 F
Not university graduate	1524	49.5
Smoking	1556	50.5
Smokar	605	19.6
Nonsmoker	2480	19.0
Alcohol consumption	2400	00.4
More than once per month	1027	62.5
Loss than once per month	1727	27.5
Diseases	1136	37.3
Atopic dormatitic	1227	12.9
Linticaria	751	74.2
Difficaria	227	24.3
Tipoa	237	25.2
Frequency of hospital visits	701	23.2
At least once per half year	2769	89.4
Less than once per half	327	10.6
vear or unknown	527	10 0
Main healthcare institution		
University hospital	141	4.6
Municipal hospital	555	18.0
Private clinic or other	2381	77.4
Oral medication	2001	,, 1
Experience of drug	1634/350	82.4/17.6
effectiveness ves/no	1001,000	02 1, 1, 0
Experience of adverse	349/1635	17.6/82.4
events, ves/no	017, 1000	1, 0, 02 1
Topical medication		
Experience of drug	2365/398	85.6/14.4
effectiveness ves/no	2000, 0, 0	00 0, 11 1
Experience of adverse	382/2381	13.8/86.2
events ves/no	002, 2001	10 0, 00 2
Overall satisfaction with treatm	ent	
Satisfied	1798	58.1
Unsatisfied	1298	41.9
Adherence, mean $\pm$ SD	1270	
(min-max)		
Oral medication	$4.8 \pm 2.0 (0-$	8)
(n = 1984)	(-	-)
Topical medication $(n = 276)$	3) $4 \cdot 3 \pm 2 \cdot 0 (0 -$	8)
Cronbach's $\alpha$ of adherence me	asures	
Oral medication	0.710	
Tonical modication	0.715	

Table 2 Adherence levels by type of medication

	High, n (%)	Medium, n (%)	Low, n (%)	P- value
Oral medication (n = 1984)	188 (9.5)	480 (24·2)	1316 (66.3)	< 0.001
Topical medication (n = 2763)	190 (6.9)	488 (17.7)	2085 (75.5)	

treatments. Variables affecting the adherence to topical medication included age, frequency of hospital visits, experience of drug effectiveness and overall satisfaction with treatment. Sex and disease classification tended to be associated with adherence to topical medication; however, they did not reach statistical significance (Table 3).

Poor adherence to treatment may be associated with poor clinical efficacy, increased healthcare costs and unnecessary treatments that include nonstandard folk medicine.<sup>9</sup> In general, a low adherence rate has been reported in patients with chronic dermatological diseases such as atopic dermatitis,<sup>3</sup> psoriasis,<sup>4</sup> urticaria<sup>5</sup> and acne;<sup>9</sup> however, few comparative studies have been performed among dermatological diseases. In the present study, the adherence rates as assessed by MMAS-8 were lower than those in other systemic diseases (Table S1; see Supporting Information). Previous studies have indicated that adherence to topical remedies is poorer than that to systemic drugs.<sup>4,10</sup> The present study supports this.

Younger age was associated with lower adherence in both the oral and topical drug groups. It was also previously implicated in decreased drug adherence in cases of acne.<sup>10,11</sup> As expected, lower adherence was observed in those who had not experienced drug effectiveness and those who were not satisfied with their treatments, both in oral and topical medication. Female sex and heavier drinking were additional factors associated with poorer adherence to oral medication. The adherence to medication tended to be lower in patients with atopic dermatitis than in those with psoriasis or tinea. Although the exact reason for this remains unclear, it is conceivable that topical corticosteroid phobia or anxiety may underlie poor adherence, as suggested by Aubert-Wastiaux *et al.*<sup>12</sup>

There are many limitations in the present study. Although we checked the diagnosis of patients in the web registration system, the diagnosis was still self-reported. Because of the length of the questionnaire, we did not include items about quality of life, the dosage of topical medications or steroid phobia.

In conclusion, medication adherence, especially to topical drugs, was very poor in 3096 dermatological patients. MMAS-8 is likely to be a reliable tool for comparing adherence in various disorders. Poor adherence to dermatological remedies was variably associated with younger age, female sex, heavier alcohol consumption, atopic dermatitis, no experience of drug effectiveness and dissatisfaction with treatment. Further analyses of disease-specific adherence are warranted in order Table 3 Prevalence of study variables among the three adherence levels: oral and topical medication

	Oral medication (n = 1984)				Topical medication (n = 2763)				
Characteristic	High adherence n = 188	Medium adherence n = 480	Low adherence n = 1316	P- value	High adherence n = 190	Medium adherence n = 488	Low adherence n = 2085	P- value	
Age (years)	$47{\cdot}28\pm13{\cdot}13$	$46.89\pm12.27$	$43.75 \pm 12.09$	< 0.001	48.01 ± 12.97	$47{\cdot}51\pm13{\cdot}76$	45.57 ± 12.98	0.001	
mean $\pm$ SD									
Sex									
Male	98 (10.7)	239 (26.0)	583 (63.4)	0.030	105 (7.5)	267 (19.0)	1034 (73.5)	0.057	
Female	90 (8.5)	241 (22.7)	733 (68.9)		85 (6.3)	221 (16·3)	1051 (77.5)		
Marital status									
Married	80 (10.0)	194 (24.3)	523 (65.6)	0.757	67 (6.4)	205 (19.5)	780 (74.1)	0.121	
Unmarried	108 (9.1)	286 (24.1)	793 (66.8)		123 (7.2)	283 (16.5)	1305 (76.3)		
Annual income									
$\geq$ 6 million yen <sup>a</sup>	71 (10.6)	170 (25.4)	428 (64·0)	0.469	70 (7.4)	154 (16.2)	724 (76.4)	0.282	
< 6 million yen	96 (9·3)	250 (24.1)	692 (66.7)		98 (6.7)	273 (18.7)	1091 (74.6)		
Employment									
Employed	113 (8.8)	314 (24.4)	860 (66.8)	0.306	122 (7.0)	299 (17.1)	1331 (76.0)	0.624	
Unemployed	65 (11.0)	137 (23.1)	391 (65.9)		59 (6.7)	163 (18.6)	655 (74.7)		
Education									
University	80 (8.4)	237 (24.8)	637 (66.8)	0.264	84 (6.1)	245 (17.8)	1046 (76.1)	0.283	
graduate	~ /				~ /	~ /	~ /		
Not university	107 (10.5)	241 (23.6)	672 (65.9)		105 (7.6)	242 (17.6)	1027 (74.8)		
graduate									
Smoking									
Smoker	39 (9.9)	90 (22.8)	265 (67.3)	0.760	32 (5.9)	90 (16.6)	421 (77.5)	0.380	
Nonsmoker	149(9.4)	389 (24.6)	1044 (66.0)	0,00	158(7.2)	398 (18.0)	1653 (74.8)	0 000	
Alcohol use	11) () 1)	303 (210)	1011 (00 0)		130 (7 2)	570 (10 0)	1033 (710)		
More than once	104 (8.6)	277 (23.0)	825 (68.4)	0.033	112 (6.5)	298 (17·2)	1325 (76.4)	0.244	
Less than once	84 (10.9)	202 (26·2)	484 (62.9)		78 (7.7)	190 (18.7)	749 (73.7)		
Diseases									
Atopic dermatitis	74 (8.1)	181 (19.7)	664 (72.3)	< 0.001	76 (5.9)	223 (17.3)	993 (76.9)	0.080	
Urticaria	(0.1)	171(25.4)	440(65.4)	< 0.001	33 (6.3)	97 (18.4)	398 (75.4)	0.080	
Psoriasis	14(12.5)	36(32.1)	62 (55.4)		12(5.6)	39 (18.1)	165(75.4)		
Tines	38(13.6)	97(32.1)	150(53.6)		69 (9.5)	129(17.7)	529(72.8)		
Frequency of hospital	38 (13.0)	92 (32.9)	150 (55.0)		09 (9.3)	129 (17.7)	329 (72.8)		
At least once nor	181 (0.0)	421 (227)	1210(664)	0.022	179 (7 2)	445 (19.0)	1946 (74 9)	0.021	
At least once per	181 (9.9)	431 (23.7)	1210 (66.4)	0.077	178 (7.2)	445 (18.0)	1846 (74.8)	0.031	
half year	7(4,2)	40 (20 2)	10( ((5 4)		12 (4 1)	42 (14 ()	220 (01.2)		
Less than once	7 (4.3)	49 (30.3)	106 (65.4)		12 (4.1)	43 (14.6)	239 (81.3)		
per nair									
year or unknown									
Main healthcare instit	ution	()	()		- (		()		
University hospital	13 (13.7)	27 (28.4)	55 (57.9)	0.383	8 (6.6)	17 (13.9)	97 (79.5)	0.336	
Municipal hospital	34 (10.0)	81 (23.9)	224 (66.1)		36 (7.3)	101 (20.4)	358 (72-3)		
Private clinic	138 (9.0)	369 (24.0)	1031 (67.0)		145 (6.8)	365 (17.1)	1620 (76.1)		
or other									
Experience of drug ef	fectiveness								
Yes	168 (10.3)	416 (25.5)	1050 (64.3)	< 0.001	175 (7.4)	423 (17.9)	1767 (74.7)	0.016	
No	20 (5.7)	64 (18.3)	266 (76.0)		15 (3.8)	65 (16.3)	318 (79.9)		
Experience of adverse	events								
Yes	28 (8.0)	87 (24.9)	234 (67.1)	0.585	24 (6.3)	65 (17.0)	293 (76.7)	0.810	
No	160 (9.8)	393 (24.0)	1082 (66.2)		166 (7.0)	423 (17.8)	1792 (75.3)		
Overall satisfaction w	ith treatment								
Satisfied	115 (9.9)	310 (26.6)	740 (63.5)	0.005	126 (7.9)	291 (18.2)	1180 (73.9)	0.023	
Unsatisfied	73 (8.9)	170 (20.8)	576 (70.3)		64 (5.5)	197 (16.9)	905 (77.6)		

Values are n (% of row total) unless stated otherwise.  ${}^{a}6$  million yen is about £34 000 (at the time of writing).

to elucidate the disease-specific sociomedical factors that are associated with it.

#### Acknowledgments

We deeply thank Professor Akihito Hagihara for his extensive

- revision of this manuscript. <sup>1</sup>Department of Dermatology and <sup>2</sup>Department of Health Services Management and Policy, Kyushu University, Maidashi 3-1-1, Higashiku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan <sup>3</sup>Department of Dermatology, Federation of National Public Service Personnel Mutual Aid Associations, Hamanomachi Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan <sup>4</sup>Department of Dermatology, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan <sup>5</sup>Department of Dermatology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan <sup>7</sup>Department of Dermatology, Integrated Health Sciences, Institute of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan <sup>8</sup>Department of Dermatology, Shimane University Faculty of Medicine, Shimane, Japan <sup>9</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan <sup>10</sup>Department of Geriatric and Environmental Dermatology, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Nagoya, Japan <sup>11</sup>Department of Dermatology, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan <sup>12</sup>Department of Dermatology, Kanazawa Medical University, Ishikawa, Japan <sup>13</sup>Department of Dermatology, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu, Japan <sup>14</sup>Department of Dermatology, Showa University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan <sup>15</sup>Department of Dermatology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan <sup>16</sup>Department of Dermatology, Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan <sup>17</sup>Sapporo Skin Clinic, Sapporo, Japan
- <sup>18</sup>Department of Environmental Immuno-Dermatology, Yokohama City University

Correspondence: Masutaka Furue M. Furue<sup>1</sup> D. Onozuka<sup>2</sup> References S. TAKEUCHI<sup>3</sup> H. Murota<sup>4</sup> M.  $Sugaya^5$ K. MASUDA<sup>6</sup> T. HIRAGUN<sup>7</sup> S. KANEKO<sup>8</sup> H. SAEKI<sup>9</sup> Y. Shintani<sup>10</sup> Y. Tsunemi<sup>11</sup> S. Abe<sup>12</sup> M. Kobayashi<sup>13</sup> Y. Kitami<sup>14</sup> M. TANIOKA<sup>15</sup>

- S. Imafuku<sup>16</sup>
- $M.\ Abe^{1\,7}$
- N. Inomata<sup>18</sup>
- D.E. Morisky<sup>19</sup> N. KATOH<sup>6</sup>

Graduate School of Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan <sup>19</sup>UCLA Fielding School of Public Health, Department of Community Health Sciences, Los Angeles, CA, U.S.A.

E-mail: furue@dermatol.med.kyushu-u.ac.jp

- 1 Morisky DE, Ang A, Krousel-Wood M, Ward HJ. Predictive validity of a medication adherence measure in an outpatient setting. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2008; 10:348-54.
- 2 Al-Qazaz HKh, Hassali MA, Shafie AA et al. The eight-item Morisky Medication Adherence Scale MMAS: translation and validation of the Malaysian version. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2010; 90:216-21.
- 3 Torrelo A, Ortiz J, Alomar A et al. Health-related quality of life, patient satisfaction, and adherence to treatment in patients with moderate or severe atopic dermatitis on maintenance therapy: the CONDA-SAT study. Actas Dermosifiliogr 2013; 104:409-17.
- 4 Zschocke I, Mrowietz U, Karakasili E, Reich K. Non-adherence and measures to improve adherence in the topical treatment of psoriasis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2014; 28(Suppl. 2):4-9.
- 5 Conlon NP, Edgar JD. Adherence to best practice guidelines in chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU) improves patient outcome. Eur J Dermatol 2014; 24:385-6.
- 6 Reynolds K, Viswanathan HN, Muntner P et al. Validation of the Osteoporosis-Specific Morisky Medication Adherence Scale in longterm users of bisphosphonates. Qual Life Res 2014; 23:2109-20.
- 7 Wild D, Grove A, Martin M et al. Principles of good practice for the translation and cultural adaptation process for patient-reported outcomes (PRO) measures: report of the ISPOR Task Force for Translation and Cultural Adaptation. Value Health 2005; 8:94-104.
- 8 Cortina JM. What is coefficient alpha? An examination of theory and applications. J Appl Psychol 1993; 78:98-104.
- 9 Snyder S, Crandell I, Davis SA, Feldman SR. Medical adherence to acne therapy: a systematic review. Am J Clin Dermotol 2014; 15:87-94
- 10 Dréno B, Thiboutot D, Gollnick H et al. Large-scale worldwide observational study of adherence with acne therapy. Int J Dermatol 2010; 49:448-56.
- 11 Brown KK, Rehmus WE, Kimball AB. Determining the relative importance of patient motivations for nonadherence to topical corticosteroid therapy in psoriasis. J Am Acad Dermatol 2006; 55:607-13.
- 12 Aubert-Wastiaux H, Moret L, Le Rhun A et al. Topical corticosteroid phobia in atopic dermatitis: a study of its nature, origins and frequency. Br J Dermatol 2011; 165:808-14.

### Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's website:

Table S1. Adherence studies using the Morisky Medication Adherence Scale-8.

Funding sources: The web questionnaire was supported by Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation and MPR KK.

Conflicts of interest: none declared.

© 2014 The Authors. British Journal of Dermatology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Association of Dermatologists.