UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

In Situ Potentiodynamic Analysis of the Electrolyte/Silicon Electrodes Interface Reactions - A Sum Frequency Generation Vibrational Spectroscopy Study

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0qd4f09v

Journal Journal of the American Chemical Society, 138(3)

ISSN 0002-7863

Authors

Horowitz, Yonatan Han, Hui-Ling Ross, Philip N <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2016-01-27

DOI

10.1021/jacs.5b10333

Peer reviewed





In Situ Potentiodynamic Analysis of the Electrolyte/Silicon Electrodes Interface Reactions - A Sum Frequency Generation Vibrational Spectroscopy Study

4 Yonatan Horowitz,^{§,†,‡} Hui-Ling Han,^{§,†} Philip N. Ross,[†] and Gabor A. Somorjai^{*,†,‡}

s [†]Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, California 94720, United States 6 [‡]Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

7 Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The key factor in long-term use of batteries 8 is the formation of an electrically insulating solid layer that 9 allows lithium ion transport but stops further electrolyte 10 redox reactions on the electrode surface, hence solid 11 electrolyte interphase (SEI). We have studied a common 12 electrolyte, 1.0 M LiPF₆/ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl 13 carbonate (DEC), reduction products on crystalline silicon 14 (Si) electrodes in a lithium (Li) half-cell system under 15 reaction conditions. We employed in situ sum frequency 16 17 generation vibrational spectroscopy (SFG-VS) with interface sensitivity in order to probe the molecular 18 composition of the SEI surface species under various 19 applied potentials where electrolyte reduction is expected. 20 We found that, with a Si(100)-hydrogen terminated wafer, 21 a Si-ethoxy (Si-OC $_2H_5$) surface intermediate forms due to 2.2 DEC decomposition. Our results suggest that the SEI 23 surface composition varies depending on the termination 24 of Si surface, i.e., the acidity of the Si surface. We provide 25 the evidence of specific chemical composition of the SEI 26 27 on the anode surface under reaction conditions. This supports an electrochemical electrolyte reduction mecha-28 nism in which the reduction of the DEC molecule to an 29 ethoxy moiety plays a key role. These findings shed new 30 light on the formation mechanism of SEI on Si anodes in 31 particular and on SEI formation in general. 32

ithium ion batteries are one of the most common forms of 33 ✓ energy storage devices.^{1,2} For electric vehicles where 35 higher capacity is needed, the silicon based anodes are attractive 36 candidates to replace graphite based anodes due to its 37 theoretical capacity³ of 4008 mAh/g. However, the Si lattice 38 expands up to four times its volume,⁴ which results in 39 irreversible capacity loss and short cycling lifetime due to 40 continued cracking and electrolyte consumption on the 41 exposed Si surface.⁵ The key factor in long-term use (cyclability 42 and stability) of such devices is the formation of an electrically 43 insulating layer that allows lithium ion transport at a reasonable 44 rate while hindering electrolyte consumption on the Si anode 45 surface, and is termed the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI).⁵⁻⁷ Previous studies from this laboratory have indicated that the 46 47 nature of the electrolyte consuming reactions in lithium 48 batteries is electrode material dependent.^{8,9}

Specifically, a study using ex situ infrared vibrational ⁴⁹ spectroscopy observed two different SEI compositions on Sn ⁵⁰ and Ni electrodes¹⁰ even though the same electrolyte solution ⁵¹ was used. Therefore, we may expect the electrolyte consuming ⁵² reactions on Si may be unique to this surface and that the ⁵³ nature of the reactions may be a critical factor in determining ⁵⁴ the functioning of the surface layer formed, i.e. whether it ⁵⁵ functions as an SEI. The successful replacement of graphite by ⁵⁶ Si may require a detailed understanding of these surface¹¹ ⁵⁷ reactions and the ability to manipulate them by surface^{12–14} or ⁵⁸ electrolyte modification¹⁵ in particular by adding fluorinated ⁵⁹ EC to the electrolyte solution.^{16,17}

A major obstacle in determining the SEI formation and 61 composition is the practice of ex situ, post-cycling examination 62 of lithiated samples that inevitably leads to loss of information. 63 The need for a surface-sensitive technique that enables 64 nondestructive and in situ analysis of the SEI chemistry such 65 as SFG-VS¹⁸ is crucial. SFG-VS was used successfully in 66 previous electrochemical systems¹⁹ on metallic electrodes 67 (copper, gold)^{20,21} as well as on cathode oxide materials ⁶⁸ (LiCoO₂).^{22,23} We present the SFG-VS spectra of surface-⁶⁹ electrochemical reactions in situ on a silicon anode and the 70 differences between an oxide termination (SiO_2) and hydrogen 71 one (Si-H).²⁴ We took the SFG-VS spectra under working 72 conditions at three potential ranges. The voltagram shown in 73 Figure 1 was taken with a Si(100)-hydrogen terminated surface 74 fl and has three reduction peaks at ~1.5, ~0.5, and ~0.10 V that $_{75}$ are consistent with values reported in the literature.²⁵ 76

Therefore, we divide the potential range into three narrower 77 ones. The first potential range (referred as ~ 1 V) is at 1.1 to 0.8 78 V versus Li/Li⁺ since no major reduction of EC molecules is 79 expected. The second potential ranges between 0.65 V and 0.35 80 V (referred as ~ 0.5 V) since EC molecules undergo several 81 reduction reactions at this potential range. The third potential 82 range was chosen between -0.05 to 0.10 V where lithiation is 83 expected (referred as ~ 0.1 V). 84

In Figure 2a, for the Si(100)-H electrode, we show the 85 f2 divided SFG spectrum after applying a 30 cycle cyclic- 86 voltammetry (CV) potential near 1 V by the SFG in open 87 circuit potential (OCP). Dividing the SFG spectra emphasizes 88 the appearance of ethoxy group vibrational peaks (black line). 89 The SFG from the Si/SEI is interferred with by the SFG 90

Received: October 5, 2015





Figure 1. Three reduction peaks at a Si(100)-H anode of the electrolyte (1.0 M LiPF₆ in EC: DEC, 1:2 v/v) are presented in this CV plot. The reduction of DEC is around 1.5 V. The reduction of EC is about 0.5 V, and Li intercalation (lithiation) occurs around 0.10 V. Scan rate was 1 mV/s.



Figure 2. (a) We show the evolution of SFG signal under reaction conditions of crystalline silicon Si(100)-hydrogen terminated anode. The SFG spectra were taken at open circuit potential and after cyclic voltammetry at 1.1 V \leftrightarrow 0.8 V, 0.65 V \leftrightarrow 0.35 V, and 0.1 V \leftrightarrow -0.05 V. In order to emphasize the evolution of the Si-ethoxy peaks we divided the SFG spectra by their former potentials, as follows: SFG_{1.1 V \leftrightarrow 0.8 V/OCP (black), SFG_{0.65 V \leftrightarrow 0.35 V/SFG_{1.1 V \leftrightarrow 0.8 V (blue), and SFG_{-0.05 V \leftrightarrow 0.1 V/SFG_{0.65 V \leftrightarrow 0.35 V/SFG_{1.1 V \leftrightarrow 0.8 V (blue), and SFG_{-0.05 V \leftrightarrow 0.1 V/SFG_{0.65 V \leftrightarrow 0.35 V/CP (black) the SFG profiles of crystalline silicon oxide Si(100) anode after cycling between 0.5 V \leftrightarrow 2.0 V (blue) and -0.05 V \leftrightarrow 3.0 V (red). All CVs were repeated for 30 cycles at a scan rate of 1 mV/s.}}}}}}}}

91 generated from the Si substrate.²⁶ We assume that if an 92 intermediate species ethoxy radical^{27,28} \cdot OCH₂CH₃ (or anion, 93 -OCH₂CH₃)²⁹ is formed near the Si anode surface, it will react 94 with Si-H to produce a Si-OCH₂CH₃ bond. This reaction 95 cannot take place if a thick passivating oxide layer is present. In 96 Figure 2a, we assigned the SFG peaks corresponding to Si-97 ethoxy bonds according to the work by Bateman et al.,³⁰ and 98 SFG peaks relating to the various SEI components are as follows: 31,32 2875 cm $^{-1}$ (s-CH₃), 2895 cm $^{-1}$ (s-OCH₂), and 99 2975 and 3025 cm $^{-1}$ (both as-OCH₂). 100

After a 30 cycle CV at ~0.5 V (blue line), we observed peaks 101 appearing at 2845 cm⁻¹ (s-CH₂), 2895 cm⁻¹ (s-OCH₂), 2920 102 cm⁻¹ (as-CH₂), and 2975 and 3025 cm⁻¹ (as-OCH₂). Most 103 hydrocarbon molecules cannot be identified conclusively 104 without using the whole IR spectrum. For example, poly-EC 105 cannot be identified as such without using the asymmetric C- 106 O-C band around 1100 cm⁻¹ that is unique to poly-EC vs 107 either DEC or EC. Therefore, we can only suggest our 108 interpretation to the assigned products. Nevertheless, we 109 attribute these observations to the EC molecules undergoing 110 a reduction reaction into poly-EC and other ethyl carbonate 111 species, as well as interact with DEC moieties. These reduction 112 reactions are attributed to the beginning of the SEI 113 formation.³³⁻³⁵

The SFG spectra taken after 30 CV between 0.1 V and -0.05_{115} V (red line) show increasing peaks at 2850 cm⁻¹, and 2960 116 cm⁻¹, presumably due to the formation of lithium ethylene 117 dicarbonate (LiEDC) and poly-EC. The peaks broaden due to 118 surface deterioration after lithiation.

In the case of Si(100) oxide we did not observe any change 120 at ~1 V; therefore, we extended the CV potential range. In 121 Figure 2b, we compare the SFG spectra of the crystalline 122 Si(100) oxide surface before and after lithiation. We performed 123 a potential sweep in the range of 0.5 V to 2.0 V (blue profile) 124 and between -0.05 V and 3.0 V. Each CV had 30 cycles, and 125 the rate was 1 mV/s. The SFG profile of the first potential 126 range (blue) has some SEI features but none that are related to 127 a Si-O to Si-OC₂H₅ substitution reaction. After lithiation 128 (red) prominent peaks appear and we assign them accordingly: 129 2817 cm⁻¹ (s-CH₂), 2848 cm⁻¹ (s-CH₃), 2895 and 2908 cm⁻¹ 130 (both s-OCH₂), 2960 cm⁻¹ (as-CH₃), 2980 and 3022 cm⁻¹ 131 (both as-OCH₂).

We suggest that at ~1.0 V the ethoxy radical (or anion, 133 $CH_3CH_2O^-$) reacts with acidic surface Si sites (Si–H) and 134 substitutes the proton with an ethoxy group to produce a Si-135 ethoxy bond (Si–OCH₂CH₃). It has been proposed that all 136 linear carbonates decompose via a linear alkyl anion (in our 137 case the ethoxy anion $CH_3CH_2O^-$ featured in Scheme 1).³⁶ 138 s1

We assume that the ethoxy radical/anion is the most likely 139 species to chemically react with the oxide layer of the Si anode 140 surface. The other electrolyte component, usually cyclic ether 141 (ethylene carbonate in this study), cannot form an ethoxy 142 radical. Therefore, even if EC is reduced before DEC it is the 143 reduction of DEC to ethoxy that is significant in the anode 144 surface substitution reaction. 145

In order to acquire a spectrum of a Si-ethoxy, we produced a 146 Si-ethoxy wafer and the obtained SFG-VS spectrum of this 147 sample is presented in Figure 3. The procedure is similar to the 148 f3 one reported by Michalak et al.^{37,38} and is discussed in the 149 Supporting Information (SI). The major peaks that we 150 observed were at the following frequencies, and we have 151 assigned them accordingly:³⁹ 2875 cm⁻¹ (s-CH₃), 2895 cm⁻¹ 152 (s-OCH₂), 2912 cm⁻¹ (*Fermi*), 2952 cm⁻¹ (as-CH₃), and 2975 153 and 3040 cm⁻¹ (both as-OCH₂). Obviously, the presence (or 154 absence) of these peaks tells us if indeed Si-ethoxy sites are 155 present.

According to previous calculations by Wang et al.⁴⁰ we 157 assume that EC does not react with the Si-H surface as its 158 intermediate anions swiftly reduce to LiEDC. Furthermore, we 159 postulate that even if there is such bond formation, the surface 160 concentration will be below our detection limit (less than a 0.1 161 Scheme 1. Proposed Formation Pathways of Electrolyte Reduction Products^{*a*}



 $a^{(a)}$ Common to all DEC decomposition chemical pathways is the CH₃CH₂O⁻ anion formation. In accordance with our findings this anion replaces the hydrogen terminated Si with an ethoxy group. (b) A proposed mechanism to the reduction of EC to poly-EC by a Lewis acid (PF₅).⁹ (c) A suggested ring opening mechanism to form LiEDC.



Figure 3. SFG profile of Si(100)-OC₂H₅. The peaks frequencies and their bond assignments are noted in the figure. Experimental data is presented in dots, and fitting with a Lorentzian peak function is shown as a solid line.

¹⁶² monolayer) due to steric effects blocking neighboring sites.^{37,38} ¹⁶³ To further exclude the reduction of EC near the Si anode ¹⁶⁴ surface in the presence of LiPF₆ to form a Si compound, we ¹⁶⁵ have taken SFG spectra of 1.0 M LiPF₆:EC (diluted in *d*-THF ¹⁶⁶ to 3%, v/v) in contact with the Si(100)-H terminated wafer at ¹⁶⁷ two potential ranges (~1.0 V and ~0.5 V).

In Figure 4, we present the SFG intensity (i.e., the SFG of 169 CV divided by the OCP spectrum) of EC on Si(100)-hydrogen 170 terminated after cyclic voltammetry at 1.1 V ↔ 0.8 V (black) 171 and 0.65 V ↔ 0.35 V (red). The SFG intensity profile at ~1 V 172 has no detectible features as expected since EC reduction onset 173 potential is at ~0.5 V. Once we lowered the applied potential to 174 about 0.5 V (red curve), new peaks appeared that we assigned 175 to poly-EC and LiEDC. Nevertheless, at ~1 V the absence of a 176 peak at 2895 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the s-OCH₂ group stretch 177 associated with the Si-ethoxy formation reveals that only the 178 reduction of DEC leads to Si-ethoxy formation. For poly-EC 179 we assign the peaks at 2948 and 3000 cm⁻¹, and the peaks 180 related to LiEDC are assigned at 2890, 2965, and 2980 cm^{-1.29}



Figure 4. SFG intensity (i.e., divided by the OCP spectrum) of ethylene carbonate (EC) on Si(100)-hydrogen terminated after cyclic voltammetry at 1.1 V \leftrightarrow 0.8 V (cyan) and 0.65 V \leftrightarrow 0.35 V (blue).

In conclusion, by preforming SFG-VS together with CV we 181 have observed that the Si-hydrogen terminated layer has been 182 changed to Si-ethoxy (Si-OCH₂CH₃) at a potential close to 1.0 183 V only when DEC is present. The role of each electrolyte 184 component (EC and DEC) was investigated separately. This 185 substitution reaction at ~1.0 V did not take place when we 186 changed the electrolyte to 1.0 M LiPF₆ in EC or when the 187 $Si(100) - O_x$ was used as the anode material. When we further 188 reduced the potential to ~0.5 V only poly-EC and LiEDC 189 formation was observed. Further in situ spectroelectrochemical 190 (SFG-VS and CV) experiments of EC at reduction potentials of 191 \sim 1.0 V and \sim 0.5 V suggest that it has been possibly reduced to 192 poly-EC, but no Si-ethoxy termination was detected. Future 193 SFG-VS in the C=O carbonyl stretch range and CV 194 experiments are planned. 195

ASSOCIATED	CONTENT	190
ASSOCIATED	CONTENT	19

197

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the 198 ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b10333. 199

Additional information about the SFG apparatus, 200 spectroelectrochemical half-cell set up, and general 201 chemical description (PDF) 202

AUTHOR INFORMATION	203
Corresponding Author	204
*E-mail: Somorjai@Berkeley.edu.	205
Author Contributions	206
These authors contributed equally.	207
Notes	208
The authors declare no competing financial interest.	209

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 210

This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Energy 211 Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Freedom CAR and 212 Vehicle Technologies of the U.S. Department of Energy under 213 Contract No. DE-AC02 O5CH1123. The SFG instrumentation 214 was purchased with funding from the Director, Office of Basic 215 Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Engineering Division of 216 the U.S. Department of Energy. This work was also funded 217 through a grant from Honda Research Institute. The authors 218 also wish to thank E. Edri and N. Kornienko for their technical 219 insights and support. 220

Journal of the American Chemical Society

221 **REFERENCES**

- 222 (1) Goodenough, J. B.; Park, K.-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1167.
- 223 (2) Kraytsberg, A.; Ein-Eli, Y. Adv. Energy Mater. 2012, 2, 922.
- 224 (3) Obrovac, M. N.; Krause, L. J. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2007, 154, A103.
- 225 (4) Liu, N.; Lu, Z.; Zhao, J.; McDowell, T. M.; Lee, H.-W.; Zhao, W.;
- 226 Cui, Y. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2014, 9, 187.
- 227 (5) Xu, K.; von Cresce, A. V. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 9849.
- 228 (6) Peled, E. J. Electrochem. Soc. **1979**, 126, 2047.
- 229 (7) Peled, E.; Golodnitsky, D.; Ardel, G. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1997, 230 144, L208.
- 231 (8) Ross, P. N. Catal. Lett. 2014, 144, 1370.
- 232 (9) Shi, F.; Ross, P. N.; Zhao, H.; Liu, G.; Somorjai, G. A.;
- 233 Komvopoulos, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3181.
- 234 (10) Shi, F.; Zhao, H.; Liu, G.; Ross, P. N.; Somorjai, G. A.; 235 Komvopoulos, K. J. Phys. Chem. C **2014**, 118, 14732.
- 236 (11) Zhao, J.; Lu, Z.; Wang, H.; Liu, W.; Lee, H.-W.; Yan, K.; Zhuo,
- 237 D.; Lin, D.; Liu, N.; Cui, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8372.
- (12) Philippe, B.; Dedryveře, R.; Allouche, J.; Lindgren, F.; Gorgoi,
 M.; Rensmo, H.; Gonbeau, D.; Edström, K. *Chem. Mater.* 2012, 24,
 1107–1115.
- 241 (13) Schroder, K. W.; Dylla, A. G.; Harris, S. J.; Webb, L. J.;
- 242 Stevenson, K. J. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 21510–21524.
 243 (14) Schroder, K.; Alvarado, J.; Yersak, T. A.; Li, J.; Dudney, N.;
- 244 Webb, L. J.; Meng, Y. S.; Stevenson, K. J. Chem. Mater. 2015, 27, 245 5531-5542.
- 246 (15) Li, S. R.; Sinha, N. N.; Chen, C. H.; Xu, K.; Dahn, J. R. J. 247 Electrochem. Soc. 2013, 160, A2014.
- (16) Nie, M.; Abraham, D. P.; Chen, Y.; Bose, A.; Lucht, B. L. J. Phys.
 249 Chem. C 2013, 117, 13403–13412.
- (17) Xu, C.; Lindgren, F.; Philippe, B.; Gorgoi, M.; Björefors, F.;
 Edström, K.; Gustafsson, T. *Chem. Mater.* 2015, *27*, 2591–2599.
- 252 (18) Shen, Y. R. Nature 1989, 337, 519.
- 253 (19) Romero, C.; Baldelli, S. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 11936.
- 254 (20) Mukherjee, P.; Lagutchev, A.; Dlott, D. D. J. Electrochem. Soc.
- 255 2012, 159, A244.
- 256 (21) Nicolau, B. G.; Garciá-Rey, N.; Dryzhakov, B.; Dlott, D. D. J. 257 Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 10227.
- 258 (22) Liu, H.; Tong, Y.; Kuwata, N.; Osawa, M.; Kawamura, J.; Ye, S.
- 259 J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 20531.
- 260 (23) Yu, L.; Liu, H.; Wang, Y.; Kuwata, N.; Osawa, M.; Kawamura, J.;
- 261 Ye, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5753.
- 262 (24) Kolasinski, K. W. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2003, 5, 1270.
- 263 (25) Schroder, K. W.; Celio, H.; Webb, L. J.; Stevenson, K. J. J. Phys.
- 264 Chem. C 2012, 116, 19737.

265 (26) Malyk, S.; Shalhout, F. Y.; O'Leary, L. E.; Lewis, N. S.; 266 Benderskii, A. V. J. Phys. Chem. C 2013, 117, 935.

- 267 (27) Ein-Eli, Y. Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 1999, 2, 212.
- 268 (28) Zhang, X.; Kostecki, R.; Richardson, T. J.; Pugh, J. K.; Ross, P. 269 N. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2001, 148, A1341.
- 270 (29) Haregewoin, A. M.; Leggesse, E. G.; Jiang, J.-C.; Wang, F.-M.;
- 271 Hwang, B.-J.; Lin, S.-D. Electrochim. Acta 2014, 136, 274.
- 272 (30) Bateman, J. E.; Eagling, R. D.; Horrocks, B. R.; Houlton, A. J. 273 Phys. Chem. B **2000**, 104, 5557.
- 274 (31) Zhuang, G. V.; Xu, K.; Yang, H.; Jow, T. R.; Ross, P. N. J. Phys.
 275 Chem. B 2005, 109, 17567.
- 276 (32) Xu, K.; Zhuang, G. V.; Allen, J. L.; Lee, U.; Zhang, S. S.; Ross, P. 277 N.; Jow, T. R. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 7708.
- 278 (33) Chan, C. K.; Peng, H.; Liu, G.; McIlwrath, K.; Zhang, X. F.;
- 279 Huggins, R. A.; Cui, Y. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2008, 3, 31.
- 280 (34) Peng, K.; Jie, J.; Zhang, W.; Lee, S.-T. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, 93, 281 033105.
- 282 (35) Baranchugov, V.; Markevich, E.; Pollak, E.; Salitra, G.; Aurbach, 283 D. Electrochem. Commun. 2007, 9, 796.
- 284 (36) Haregewoin, A. M.; Shie, T.-D.; Lin, S.-D.; Hwang, B.-J. ECS 285 Trans. 2013, 53, 23.
- 286 (37) Michalak, D. J.; Amy, S. R.; Aureau, D.; Dai, M.; Estève, A.;
- 287 Chabal, Y. J. Nat. Mater. 2010, 9, 266.
- (38) Michalak, D. J.; Amy, S. R.; Aureau, D.; Estève, A.; Chabal, Y. J.
 J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, *112*, 11907.

(39) Gomes, J. F.; Bergamaski, K.; Pinto, M. F.S.; Miranda, P. B. J. 290 Catal. 2013, 302, 67. 291

(40) Wang, Y.; Nakamura, S.; Ue, M.; Balbuena, P. B. J. Am. Chem. 292 Soc. 2001, 123, 11708–11718. 293

Communication