UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Strong Axiality in a Dysprosium(III) Bis(borolide) Complex Leads to Magnetic Blocking at 65 K

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0p11p0q0>

Journal

Journal of the American Chemical Society, 145(3)

ISSN

0002-7863

Authors

Vincent, Alexandre H Whyatt, Yasmin L Chilton, Nicholas F [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0p11p0q0#author)

Publication Date 2023-01-25

DOI 10.1021/jacs.2c08568

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Strong Axiality in a Dysprosium(III) Bis(borolide) Complex Leads to Magnetic Blocking at 65 K

[Alexandre](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Alexandre+H.+Vincent"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) H. Vincent, Yasmin L. [Whyatt,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yasmin+L.+Whyatt"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Nicholas](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Nicholas+F.+Chilton"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) F. Chilton,[*](#page-6-0) and [Jeffrey](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jeffrey+R.+Long"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) R. Long[*](#page-6-0)

Cite This: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2023, 145, [1572−1579](https://pubs.acs.org/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1021/jacs.2c08568&ref=pdf) **Read [Online](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c08568?ref=pdf)**

ACCESS IN THE META [Metrics](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c08568?goto=articleMetrics&ref=pdf) & More **Article [Recommendations](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c08568?goto=recommendations&?ref=pdf) Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c08568?goto=supporting-info&ref=pdf)**

ABSTRACT: Substituted dysprosocenium complexes of the type [Dy(Cp^R)₂]⁺ exhibit slow magnetic relaxation at cryogenic temperatures and have emerged as top-performing single-molecule magnets. The remarkable properties of these compounds derive in part from the strong axial ligand field afforded by the cyclopentadiene anions, and the design of analogous compounds with even stronger ligand fields is one promising route toward identifying new single-molecule magnets that retain a magnetic memory at even higher temperatures. Here, we report the synthesis and characterization of a dysprosium bis(borolide) compound, $[K(18\text{-}crown-6)][Dy(BC_4Ph_5)_2]$ (1), featuring the dysprosocenate anion $[Dy(BC_4Ph_5)_2]^-$ with a pseudoaxial coordination environment afforded by two dianionic

pentaphenyl borolide ligands. Variable-field magnetization data reveal open magnetic hysteresis up to 66 K, establishing 1 as a topperforming single-molecule magnet among its dysprosocenium analogues. Ac magnetic susceptibility data indicate that 1 relaxes via an Orbach mechanism above ~80 K with $U_{eff} = 1500(100)$ cm⁻¹ and $\tau_0 = 10^{-12.0(9)}$ s, whereas Raman relaxation and quantum tunneling of the magnetization dominate at lower temperatures. Compound 1 exhibits a 100 s blocking temperature of 65 K, among the highest reported for dysprosium-based single-molecule magnets. *Ab initio* spin dynamics calculations support the experimental *U*_{eff} and *τ*₀ values and enable a quantitative comparison of the relaxation dynamics of 1 and two representative dysprosocenium cations, yielding additional insights into the impact of the crystal field splitting and vibronic coupling on the observed relaxation behavior. Importantly, compound 1 represents a step toward the development of alternatives to substituted dysprosocenium singlemolecule magnets with increased axiality.

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Slow magnetic relaxation of molecular origin can be observed in paramagnetic complexes possessing a bistable magnetic ground state. Known as single-molecule magnets, such compounds can exhibit magnetic memory effects at the single-molecule level. $1,2}$ $1,2}$ $1,2}$ $1,2}$ A characteristic of single-molecule magnets is an intrinsic energy barrier to magnetization reversal, similar to that occurring in superparamagnetic nanoparticles, 2 which can be overcome by sequential spin-phonon excitations. Relaxation via this mechanism is known as the Orbach process, and the experimentally determined barrier is denoted U_{eff} .^{[1](#page-7-0)} Some of the most promising single-molecule magnets discovered to date are based on trivalent lanthanide ions, where the electronic states that define the U_{eff} barrier are the M_I levels of the ground Russell–Saunders spin–orbit term.^{[3](#page-7-0)} The splitting and mixing of these M_I states, and hence the nature of the magnetic anisotropy, can been manipulated using coordination chemistry.[4](#page-7-0) As such, single-molecule magnets have attracted considerable attention for their potential use as a bit-patterned information storage medium as well as their potential utility in the nascent field of quantum information science.^{[5](#page-7-0)−}

While lanthanide single-molecule magnets have been the subject of intense study for nearly two decades, only recently was it discovered that mononuclear compounds containing dysprosocenium cations can exhibit open magnetic hysteresis near liquid nitrogen temperatures, $8-10$ $8-10$ $8-10$ with 100 s blocking temperatures as high as $T_b = 65$ K in the case of $[Cp^{iPr5}DyCp^{*}][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ $(Cp^{iPr5}$ = pentaisopropylcyclopentadienyl; Cp^* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl).^{[8](#page-7-0)} These studies validated the notion that molecular magnets could retain magnetic memory at practical temperatures and have also contributed to the body of evidence showing that high-temperature hysteresis is not obtained solely through maximization of U_{eff} ^{[11,12](#page-7-0)} This general observation is illustrated through a comparison of the dysprosocenium compound $[Dy(Cp^{ttt})₂][B(C₆F₅)₄] (Cp^{ttt} = 1,2,4-tri(*tert*-butyl)cyclo-$

Received: August 12, 2022 Published: January 11, 2023

pentadienyl $)^{13}$ $)^{13}$ $)^{13}$ and the pentagonal bipyramidal complex salt $\left[\text{Dy}(\text{O}'\text{Bu})_2(\text{py})_5\right]\left[\text{B}(C_6\text{H}_5)_4\right] (\text{py} = \text{pyridine})^{14}$ $\left[\text{Dy}(\text{O}'\text{Bu})_2(\text{py})_5\right]\left[\text{B}(C_6\text{H}_5)_4\right] (\text{py} = \text{pyridine})^{14}$ $\left[\text{Dy}(\text{O}'\text{Bu})_2(\text{py})_5\right]\left[\text{B}(C_6\text{H}_5)_4\right] (\text{py} = \text{pyridine})^{14}$ Although the experimental relaxation barrier of the former is comparable to that of the latter compound (1223(28) versus 1251(14) $\rm cm^{-1}$, respectively), 15 the dysprosocenium compound exhibits open magnetic hysteresis at much higher temperatures (up to 60 versus 14 K). In the case of $[Dy(O^tBu)₂(py)₅][B(C₆H₅)₄],$ additional magnetization reversal pathways, namely twophonon (Raman) and quantum tunneling of magnetization $processes₁¹ supersede Orbach relaxation at temperatures below$ 70 K and occur on faster time scales than in $[Dy(Cp^{ttt})_2][B (C_6F_5)_4$. It has been shown that the remarkable performance of dysprosocenium-based compounds arises due to their strong axial ligand field, high-energy intramolecular vibrations, and low-energy intermolecular vibrations. The latter have the effect of suppressing the Raman relaxation mechanism,¹⁶ while it is speculated that the high-energy intramolecular vibrations also play a role in suppressing the quantum tunneling of magnetization.¹⁷

Recently, some of us have developed *ab initio* methods to understand the factors contributing to the very slow magnetic relaxation observed for dysprosocenium cations.^{[10](#page-7-0),[18](#page-7-0)−[20](#page-7-0)} These studies revealed that both a large crystal field splitting (with appropriate uniaxial magnetic anisotropy) and a low density of vibrational modes near electronic excitation energies are important characteristics. However, these computations also suggest that the upper limit of the relaxation barrier in biscyclopentadienyl dysprosium compounds may have already been achieved, and as such, enhancements in blocking temperatures within that family should focus on structural modifications to minimize the vibrational modes that are on resonance with electronic excitations.^{[19](#page-7-0)} An alternative strategy is to target complexes analogous to the dysprosocenium compounds but possessing even stronger ligand fields in order to enhance the crystal field splitting, such as via heteroatom substitution in the cyclopentadienyl ring. To date, there is only one example of a dysprosium sandwich complex featuring a five-membered heterocycle, namely $[Dy(P(C^tBuCMe)_2)_2][B-V]$ $(C_6F_5)_4$,¹⁹ which features substituted phospholyl ligands and exhibits similar performance to some dysprosocenium single-molecule magnets^{[10](#page-7-0)} with a $U_{\text{eff}} = 1220(50) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and a 100 s blocking temperature of 23 K^2 ^{[20](#page-7-0)}

In considering alternatives to the substituted dysprosocenium archetype, we sought to identify heterocycles that could provide structural rigidity and a stronger ligand field. Inspired by the linear divalent lanthanide metallocenes $\text{Ln}(\text{Cp}^{\text{Ph5}})_{2}$ (Ln = Eu, Yb; Cp^{Ph5} = pentaphenylcyclopentadienyl $\hat{D}_{\mu}^{21,22}$ $\hat{D}_{\mu}^{21,22}$ $\hat{D}_{\mu}^{21,22}$ we hypothesized that a dysprosium sandwich complex featuring the dianionic pentaphenylborolide $(BC_4Ph_5)^{2-}$ ligand^{[23](#page-7-0)} might exhibit an even larger crystal field splitting—and hence a larger barrier to magnetic relaxation-than members of the dysprosocenium family, as a result of the larger negative charge on the ligands. In addition, the phenyl substituents might lead to higher-energy intramolecular C−C vibrational modes than characterized for dysprosocenium compounds with alkyl substituents. Here, we present the synthesis and characterization of $[K(18\text{-}crown-6)][Dy(BC_4Ph_5)_2]$ (1), featuring such an anionic Dy^{III} sandwich complex. Of note, while the present work was under review, a related study was published on the magnetic properties of the compound $[K(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]$ $((BC_4Ph_4Pip)^{2-} = 1-$ (piperidino)-2,3,4,5-tetraphenylborolide), 24 24 24 which features a similar anionic Dy^{III} bis(borolide) sandwich complex. We find

that our compound 1 exhibits a large relaxation barrier of U_{eff} = 1500(100) cm⁻¹ and a 100 s blocking temperature of $T_b = 65$ K that are among the highest reported to date among dysprosium single-molecule magnets and comparable with the magnitudes of U_{eff} and T_b reported for [K(2.2.2-cryptand)]- $[Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{8,24,25}$ $[Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{8,24,25}$ $[Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{8,24,25}$ $[Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{8,24,25}$ $[Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{8,24,25}$ $[Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{8,24,25}$ $[Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{8,24,25}$

■ **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Synthesis and Structural Characterization. The compound $[K(18\text{-}crown-6)][Dy(BC_4Ph_5)_2]$ (1) was synthesized via salt metathesis of anhydrous $DyCl_3$ with $K_2BC_4Ph_5$ in tetrahydrofuran (THF) followed by the addition of a small stoichiometric excess of 18-crown-6 (Scheme 1; see the

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 1**·**2THF from Potassium Pentaphenyl Borolide and DyCl3 *a*

^aThe $[K(18\text{-}crown\text{-}6)(\text{THF})_2]^+$ countercation in the product is not shown.

Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) for details). Dark red parallelepiped crystals were grown by layering a concentrated THF solution of the crude compound with diethyl ether. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed these crystals to be the solvated compound $\left[K(18\text{-}crown-6)(\text{THF})_2\right][\text{Dy}(BC_4\text{Ph}_5)_2]$ (1.2THF; [Figure](#page-3-0) 1), in which two THF molecules are axially bound to the $[K(18\text{-}crown-6)]^+$ countercation.

The compound 1·2THF crystallizes in the space group *P*−1 with two unique complex ions in the unit cell, each having identical connectivity but with slightly different bond lengths and angles (see [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S2). The Dy ^{III} center in each unique anionic complex is disordered about a crystallographic inversion center located at the center of the molecule. For each unique anion, two partially occupied dysprosium sites were identified with variable occupancies totaling to 0.5. Applying the inversion operation yielded two more symmetry equivalent positions for each anion, affording a total Dy occupancy across all disordered positions of ∼1. The average Dy−centroid distance is 2.326 Å, and the average centroid− Dy−centroid bend angle is 156.5°, within the range of reported values (2.273(7)−2.358(6) Å and 146.4(5)°− $162.50(12)$ ^o, respectively) for substituted dysprosocenium cations. $8,9,13$ $8,9,13$ $8,9,13$ In the structure of $[K(2.2.2-cryptand)][Dy (BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2$, the dysprosium(III) was modeled as disordered over two sites with average Dy−centroid distances and centroid−Dy−centroid bend angles of 2.259 Å and 161.4(3)° and 2.269 Å and 158.6(3)°, respectively, which are slightly larger than those determined for $1.2THF²⁴$ $1.2THF²⁴$ $1.2THF²⁴$ We ascribe these differences to the greater electron-donating ability of piperidyl over phenyl and the greater overall steric bulk of $(BC_4Ph_4Pip)^{2-}$ relative to $(BC_4Ph_5)^{2-}$. Noncovalent edge-toface interactions between phenyl groups of the individual $(BC_4Ph_5)^{2-}$ ligands in 1·2THF are also apparent from close edge-to-face distances. These interactions, in concert with the steric bulk of the ligands, stabilize the desired axial

$[Dy(BC_4Ph_5)_2]$

Figure 1. Structure of one of the unique $[Dy(BC_4Ph_5)_2]^-$ anions in the structure of 1·2THF as determined via single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Green, gray, and pink spheres represent Dy, C, and B atoms, respectively. Hydrogen atoms, the lower occupancy dysprosium site, inversion-related dysprosium sites, and the [K(18 crown-6) $(\mathrm{THF})_2]^{+}$ cation are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances and angles are provided in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S2.

coordination geometry. We note that all magnetic characterization data described below were collected for a sample of 1 . 2THF dried under reduced pressure for a minimum of 30 min to remove bound solvent. This was done to avoid any uncertainty in the bulk composition (molecular mass) of the sample being measured and therefore the quantitative magnetic results (see Section 1.6 of the [Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf)). Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry analysis of a sample prepared in this way revealed Dy, K, and B content consistent with the fully desolvated formula $\lfloor\text{K}(18\text{-}\text{crown-6})\rfloor\lfloor\text{Dy}(\text{BC}_4\text{Ph}_5)_2\rfloor\ \text{I}$ (1). The local coordination environment around the $\mathrm{Dy}^{\mathrm{III}}$ centers is not expected to change substantially with loss of the two outersphere THF molecules, and therefore we expect there to be limited impact on the magnetic properties (see the discussion of the magnetic properties below for additional details).

Electronic Structure Calculations. State-average complete active space self-consistent field spin-orbit (CASSCF-SO) calculations were performed in OpenMolcas^{[26](#page-7-0)} using the singlecrystal structure of one of the $[Dy(BC_4Ph_5)_2]$ ⁻ anions in 1· 2THF; the active space comprised nine electrons in seven 4f orbitals of Dy^{III}. These calculations predict that the crystal field splitting of the ${}^{6}\mathrm{H}_{15/2}$ ground state is approximately 1800 cm ${}^{-1}$, which is comparable with that predicted for the two disordered components in $[K(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{24}$ $[K(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{24}$ $[K(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]^{24}$ (1713 and 1638 cm[−]¹) and slightly smaller than the total crystal field splitting of 2104 cm[−]¹ calculated for [Cp*ⁱ*Pr5DyCp*][B(C6F5)4].[8](#page-7-0) Projecting the spin−orbit states onto a crystal field Hamiltonian with SINGLE $ANISO_i²⁷$ $ANISO_i²⁷$ $ANISO_i²⁷$ the calculations predict a pure $M_1 = \pm 15/2$ ground state (easy-axis magnetic anisotropy; see [Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S8 and S9), and the first four excited state terms (well-approximated by $M_1 = \pm 13/2, \pm 11/2,$ and \pm 9/2, respectively) are also highly axial; however, the effective *g* values of the remaining Kramers doublets are significantly nonaxial. On the basis of the calculated energies of

the sixth Kramers doublet from two different levels of theory, U_{eff} is predicted to be between 1420 and 1480 cm^{-1} .

Magnetic Properties. Variable-field magnetization data were collected for 1 between \pm 7 T as an initial probe of slow magnetic relaxation. Open-loop magnetic hysteresis was apparent at temperatures as high as 66 K (Figure 2),

Figure 2. (a) Variable-field magnetization curves for 1 at select temperatures. A sweep rate of 84 Oe/s was used for data collected below 3 T, whereas a sweep rate of 165 Oe/s was used for data collected above 3 T. (b) Expanded view of the variable-field magnetization curves for 1 between ± 1 T at the indicated temperatures.

comparable to the highest hysteresis temperature of 70 K reported for $[K(2.2.2-cryptand)][Dy(BC₄Ph₄Pip₂)$ (deter-mined using a sweep rate of 9 Oe/s).^{[24](#page-7-0)} At 2 K, the slightly waist-restricted hysteresis may arise due to quantum tunneling of the magnetization. The magnetic remanence and coercive field at this temperature are 1.77 μ _B and 0.34 T, respectively. Frequency-dependent ac magnetic susceptibility data were collected for 1 to investigate the mechanism (s) of magnetic relaxation at higher temperatures (see the [Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) for details). Under zero dc field, peaks were apparent in the out-of-phase magnetic susceptibility at temperatures ranging from 78 to 112 K. Plots of the molar in-phase $(\chi_M)'$ and out-of-phase (χ_M'') components of the ac susceptibility versus frequency [\(Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S18 and S19) were fit to the generalized Debye model¹⁵ to extract temperaturedependent relaxation times (*τ*). As shown in [Figure](#page-4-0) 3a, the resulting plot of *τ* (natural log scale) versus *T* (inverse scale) is

approximately linear, indicating relaxation via an Orbach mechanism.[28](#page-7-0)

Figure 3. (a) Plot of the magnetic relaxation times (*τ*, log scale) versus 1/*T* determined for 1 from ac susceptibility data (blue symbols) and corresponding fit using eq 1 as described in the text. Estimated standard deviations at the 1σ level from the generalized Debye model are indicated with error bars.^{[15](#page-7-0)} (b) Plot of the magnetic relaxation times (τ) , log scale) versus $1/T$ determined for 1 from dc relaxation data (orange symbols) and ac susceptibility data (blue symbols) and corresponding fit using eq 1 as described in the text. Estimated standard deviations at the 1*σ* level for the ac data are from the generalized Debye model and indicated with error bars.^{[15](#page-7-0)} Estimated standard deviations at the 1σ level for the dc data were calculated according to the empirical formula given in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) $S3$.^{[20](#page-7-0)}

Temperature-dependent dc magnetic relaxation measurements were also performed to determine relaxation times at lower temperatures from 2 to 64 K. These data could be adequately fit using a stretched exponential function (see [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S12−S14, [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S3, and the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) for details), which is frequently employed to extract relaxation times from magnetization decay data.^{[2,28](#page-7-0)} The τ values derived from the dc relaxation measurements (log scale) are plotted versus 1/*T* in Figure 3b along with the corresponding data from the ac relaxation measurements. Below ∼10 K the data are nearly temperature-independent, consistent with relaxation via quantum tunneling of the magnetization. Between 10 and 64 K, the relaxation times for each process exhibit a weak temperature dependence consistent with a two-phonon Raman process.

$$
\log[\tau^{-1}] = \log[10^{-Q} + 10^{R}T^{n} + 10^{-A}e^{-U_{\text{eff}}/k_{\text{B}}T}] \tag{1}
$$

The combined relaxation times obtained from the ac susceptibility and dc magnetic relaxation data were fit with CCFIT2^{[15](#page-7-0)} using eq 1 (where *A* and *Q* are the negative logarithms of the attempt time (τ_0) and tunneling time (τ_{tun}) , respectively, and *C* and *n* are the Raman prefactor exponent and temperature exponent, respectively) to give U_{eff} = 1500(100) cm⁻¹, $\tau_0 = 10^A = 10^{-12.0(9)}$ s, $C = 10^R = 10^{-5(1)}$ s^{-1} K^{-*n*}, *n* = 1.3(8), and τ_{tun} = 10^Q = 10^{3.1(3)} s (Figure 3, black curve). Importantly, the fitted value of U_{eff} is in very good agreement with that predicted from CASSCF-SO calculations using the optimized structure of 1·2THF, supporting our assertion that desolvation should not significantly impact the magnetic properties. We also attempted to prepare a magnetic sample of the pristine solvated compound, 1·2THF, immediately following recrystallization and after only brief (a few seconds) exposure to dynamic vacuum to remove crystallization solvent. However, dc magnetic susceptibility data collected for this sample (see [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S10 and S11) suggest that the sample readily desolvates (see Section 1.6 of the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) for details). Even still, a suite of magnetic data collected for this second sample (see [Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S4, S6, [and](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S7; [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S10, S11, S15−S17, and S21−S24) support the reproducibility of the data collected for 1. Indeed, the fit parameters (U_{eff} = 1600(100) cm⁻¹, τ_0 = 10^A = 10^{-12.6(8)} s, *C* = $10^R = 10^{-5(2)}$ s⁻¹ K^{-*n*}, *n* = 1(1), and $\tau_{\text{tun}} = 10^Q = 10^{3.1(3)}$ s) are within error of those determined for 1.

The estimated $U_{\text{eff}} = 1500(100) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for 1 is among the highest values reported to date for dysprosium-containing single-molecule magnets^{[8](#page-7-0)–[10,19](#page-7-0)} and comparable to the magnitudes of the two U_{eff} values reported for [K(2.2.2cryptand)][$Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2$] (1600(100) and 1300(300) cm[−]¹), which were ascribed to the two disordered components in the structure.^{[24](#page-7-0)} Interestingly, quantum tunneling in 1 is at least an order of magnitude faster than in [Cp*ⁱ*Pr5DyCp*][B- $(C_6F_5)_4$] ($\tau_{\text{tun}} \sim 10^4$ s), as suggested by the narrowed hysteresis loops observed for compound 1 [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2a). The Raman exponent is quite small but similar in magnitude to those determined for dysprosocenium cations and $K(2.2.2$ cryptand)] $[Dy(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2]$.^{10,[16](#page-7-0),[24](#page-7-0)} Such low values arise in the so-called "high-temperature" limit of traditional approximations to the Raman mechanism, where the Debye frequency of the lattice acoustic phonons is larger than $k_{\rm B}T/\hbar^{16,29}$ $k_{\rm B}T/\hbar^{16,29}$ $k_{\rm B}T/\hbar^{16,29}$ $k_{\rm B}T/\hbar^{16,29}$ $k_{\rm B}T/\hbar^{16,29}$

In addition to U_{eff} another metric often used to compare single-molecule magnets is the so-called magnetic blocking temperature (T_b) , which has been defined as the temperature at which the magnetic relaxation time is equal to 100 s^2 100 s^2 . From the fit of the ac and dc relaxation data for 1, we can estimate T_b = 65 K, which is among the highest values reported to date for a dysprosium single-molecule magnet. The same blocking temperature was reported for $[Cp^{iPr5}DyCp^{*}][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (T_b = 65 K), 8 while a 100 s blocking temperature of 66 K was determined for $[K(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Dy}(BC_4\text{Ph}_4\text{Pip})_2]^{24}$ $[K(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Dy}(BC_4\text{Ph}_4\text{Pip})_2]^{24}$ $[K(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Dy}(BC_4\text{Ph}_4\text{Pip})_2]^{24}$ To our knowledge, the only compound to exhibit a higher 100 s blocking temperature is the mixed-valent dinuclear complex $(Cp^{iPr5})_2Dy_2I_3$, with $T_b = 72$ K.²⁵

Computational Analysis of Relaxation Dynamics. To gain insight into the molecular origin of the high-temperature relaxation in 1, we performed spin-dynamics calculations using a method previously described by some of us.^{[19](#page-7-0)} Gas-phase geometry optimizations and vibrational normal mode calculations were performed on one of the $[{\rm Dy}({\rm BC_4Ph_5})_2]^-$ anions in 1.2THF using Gaussian 09d, 30 where the PBE (leading to

structure 1-PBE) and PBE0 (leading to structure 1-PBE0) density functionals and Grimme's empirical dispersion correction were employed[.31](#page-7-0)[−][33](#page-8-0) The root-mean-square deviations between the optimized $[Dy(BC_4Ph_5)_2]^-$ structures and the experimental structure were found to be only 0.245 and 0.236 Å for 1-PBE and 1-PBE0, respectively. We then used OpenMolcas²⁶ to perform state-average CASSCF-SO calculations on the optimized equilibrium structures of the anion (active space: nine electrons in seven 4f orbitals) and projected the spin–orbit states onto a crystal field Hamiltonian.^{[27](#page-7-0)} The spin-phonon coupling coefficients of each vibrational mode were determined by distorting the equilibrium geometry of 1- PBE and 1-PBE0 along their normal mode coordinates in positive and negative directions and performing CASSCF-SO calculations to obtain the derivatives of the crystal field parameters via finite differences.

With the spin-phonon coupling coefficients in hand, we calculated magnetic relaxation times using Fermi's Golden Rule.¹⁹ Here, the only free parameter is the full width at halfmaximum (FWHM) vibrational line width, and we considered values of 5, 10, and 20 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}.$ The relaxation rate was generally found to increase with increasing line width [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S27) due to more modes coming into resonance with the relevant electronic transitions. The calculated relaxation rates are in good agreement with experimental data (Figures 4a and [S27](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf)).

Figure 4. (a) Temperature dependence of calculated (red line, $FWHM = 10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 1-PBE) and experimental (blue symbols) relaxation rates. Error bars are 1*σ* estimated standard deviations determined from the generalized Debye model.¹⁵ (b) Electronic states of the eight Kramers doublets of the ground ${}^{6}\mathrm{H}_{15/2}$ multiplet of 1-PBE. Red arrows represent relaxation pathways, where the opacity of each arrow is proportional to the normalized spin-phonon transition probability.

In particular, at a fixed line width of 10 $\rm cm^{-1}$, the results for 1-PBE0 are in excellent agreement with experiment [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) [S28\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf). The results for 1-PBE would only require a slight decrease in the FWHM line width in order to bring them to the same level of agreement (Figure 4a), but we do not perform such an optimization here. Instead, to be consistent with our previous work, we will here focus on results using the PBE density functional and a FWHM line width of 10 cm^{-1.[19](#page-7-0)} On the basis of the results of our *ab initio* spin-phonon coupling calculations, relaxation via the Orbach mechanism likely proceeds via the fifth or sixth excited Kramers doublets, as illustrated in the diagram in Figure 4b.

In order to facilitate a more direct comparison of factors dictating Orbach relaxation in 1 and dysprosocenium complexes, we selected $[Cp^{iPr5}DyCp^{*}][B(C_6F_5)_4]^8$ and $[Dy (Cp^{iPr4})_2$][B($C_6F_5)_4$],⁹ which exhibit the slowest and fastest relaxation rates in the Orbach region for this family of compounds. A comparison of the experimental temperaturedependent relaxation times revealed that compound 1 exhibits faster relaxation than the former but slower relaxation than the latter compound ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S29a), and this ordering is also borne out by our *ab initio* calculations [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S29b). To gain insight into this result, we performed a mode-weighted analysis comparing 1 with these two dysprosocenium compounds (see Section 2.4 of the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf)). Briefly, in this approach, we decompose the relaxation rate matrix of a compound into its components (spin-phonon coupling $\langle \overline{H}_{SP} \rangle$, phonon occupation ⟨*Q*̿⟩, phonon density of states ⟨*ρ*̿⟩, and average number of phonon modes $\langle \overline{n} \rangle$), substitute one of these components for the equivalent component from another compound, solve the master equation by diagonalizing the rate matrix, and assesses how this changes the relaxation rate. However, as the rates are eigenvalues of the rate matrix, substitution of components does not always result in exact reciprocity. For example, substituting the spin-phonon coupling $\langle \overline{H}_{SP} \rangle$ from molecule X into the rate matrix for molecule Y may increase the relaxation rate by an order of magnitude, but one may not observe the reciprocal numerical decrease in the relaxation rate if the $\langle \overline{H}_{SP} \rangle$ from molecule Y is used for molecule X. Hence, the safest analysis is made by looking at the largest changes, and for consistency in the direction of changes under reciprocal swaps.

The largest change for any swap between 1 and $[Cp^{iPr5}DyCp^{*}][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ occurs when $\langle \overline{H}_{SP} \rangle$ from 1 is used for [Cp*ⁱ*Pr5DyCp*][B(C6F5)4], rendering relaxation in $[Cp^{iPr5}DyCp^{*}][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ approximately 5 times faster; the reciprocal swap $(\langle \overline{H}_{SP} \rangle \text{ from } [Cp^{iPr5}DyCp^{*}][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ into 1) results in a decrease in the relaxation rate of 1 by a factor of ∼2 ([Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S17). These changes are consistent in their direction and with the overall difference in relaxation rates between the two compounds ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S29); swapping other components has a smaller effect and also shows nonreciprocity. Hence, this analysis suggests that compound 1 exhibits faster relaxation than $[Cp^{iPr5}DyCp^{*}][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ due to overall stronger spin-phonon coupling. Comparing 1 with [Dy- $(Cp^{i\overline{Pr}4})_2$][B $(C_6F_5)_4$], the largest change is observed when the phonon occupation $\langle \overline{Q} \rangle$ from 1 is substituted into $[Dy(Cp^{iPr4})_2][B(C_6F_5)_4]$, which renders relaxation in [Dy- (Cp^{iPr4}) ₂][B(C_6F_5)₄] 14 times slower; the reciprocal swap (when $\langle \overline{Q} \rangle$ is swapped from $[Dy(Cp^{iPr4})_2][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ into 1) results in nearly an order of magnitude increase in the relaxation of 1; all other swaps have a far less significant effect ([Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S18). Hence, this analysis suggests that 1 is a higherperformance single-molecule magnet than $[Dy(Cp^{iPr4})_2][B (C_6F_5)_4$] because of its larger crystal field splitting.

While our original hypothesis was that the formally dianionic borolide ligands could engender a larger crystal field splitting in the ground state of dysprosium (III) —and therefore give rise to a larger *U_{eff}*—than monoanionic cyclopentadienide ligands, the performance of 1 is similar to its dysprosocenium relatives. Given the similar structural metrics between the dysprosocenate anion in 1 and known dysprosocenium cations, this suggests that the effective charges on the ligands are also similar. To explore this possibility, we performed DFT calculations on the isolated ligands $(BC_4Ph_5)^{2-}$, $(Cp^{\text{ttt}})^{-}$, $(Cp^{iPr5})^-$, $(Cp^*)^-$, and $[P(C^tBuCMe)_2]^-$ in the gas phase and used Löwdin population analysis to infer effective atomic charges (see the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) for details). These calculations give aggregate charges on the five-membered rings of −0.71, −0.90, −0.60, −0.68 and −0.78, respectively [\(Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S19 and [S20\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf). It is clear from these data that the BC_4 ring in $(BC_4Ph_5)^{2-}$ does not hold double the anionic charge compared to its monoanionic counterparts and that the phenyl rings withdraw significant charge (approximately −0.2 to −0.3, [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf) S19). Hence, along with the structural similarities described above, these data serve to explain the similar performance of 1 compared to dysprosocenium-based singlemolecule magnets. Our results also suggest that the design of dysprosium bis(borolide) complexes featuring ligands with electron-donating groups is a worthwhile pursuit.

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

We have detailed the synthesis and characterization of a new dysprosium(III) compound featuring dianionic borolide ligands, $[K(18\text{-}crown-6)][Dy(BC_4Ph_5)_2]$ (1), which is a single-molecule magnet with performance comparable to the state-of-the art dysprosocenium compounds and the recently discovered dysprosium(III) bis(borolide) complex [Dy- $(BC_4Ph_4Pip)_2$]^{-[24](#page-7-0)} In particular, compound 1 displays magnetic hysteresis with a 100 s magnetic blocking temperature of 65 K, and analysis of ac magnetic susceptibility data showed it to exhibit a single Orbach relaxation process with $U_{\text{eff}} = 1500(100) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\tau_0 = 10^{-A} = 10^{-12.0(9)} \text{ s. } Ab \text{ initio}$ calculations of the spin dynamics of 1 predicted relaxation rates in the Orbach regime in excellent agreement with the experimentally determined rates. In addition, our modeweighted analysis suggests that although the crystal field splitting of 1 is slightly smaller than that of the top-performing dysprosocenium single-molecule magnet [Cp*ⁱ*Pr5DyCp*][B- $(C_6F_5)_4$,⁸ stronger spin-phonon coupling in 1 is the more important factor influencing its faster relaxation dynamics. As such, an exciting area of future investigation will be the synthesis and study of other substituted dysprosocenate complexes with the goal of identifying substituents that will minimize spin-phonon coupling in this system. In addition, our DFT calculations suggest that enhancement of the crystal field splitting in dysprosium bis(borolide) complexes may also be achieved through judicious choice of electron-donating functional groups. Notably, there are a large number of reported substituted boroles, $34,35$ which could conceivably undergo reduction and subsequent metalation with Dy ^{III}. As a result of the more diverse substitution chemistry of the borole heterocycle relative to cyclopentadiene, a potentially large and diverse family of new dysprosocenate compounds featuring strongly axial borolide ligands is accessible.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c08568.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c08568?goto=supporting-info)

Supplementary structural, spectroscopic, magnetic, and computational data [\(PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c08568/suppl_file/ja2c08568_si_001.pdf)

Accession Codes

CCDC [2201059](https://summary.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structure-summary?pid=ccdc:2201059&id=doi:10.1021/jacs.2c08568) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing [data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk,](mailto:data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk) or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors

- Jeffrey R. Long − *Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States*; **@** orcid.org/0000-0002-5324-1321; Email: jrlong@berkeley.edu
- Nicholas F. Chilton − *Department of Chemistry, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, U.K.;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-8604-0171;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8604-0171) Email: nicholas.chilton@manchester.ac.uk

Authors

- Alexandre H. Vincent − *Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States*; ● orcid.org/0000-0002-9134-0435
- Yasmin L. Whyatt − *Department of Chemistry, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, U.K.;* [orcid.org/](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6483-5635) [0000-0002-6483-5635](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6483-5635)

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.2c08568](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c08568?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was funded by NSF Grant CHE-2102603. The research used resources of the Advanced Light Source, Beamline 12.2.1, a U.S. DOE Office of Science User Facility under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Powder X-ray diffraction data were collected at Beamline 17-BM-B of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility operated by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. We thank the Computational Shared Facility at The University of Manchester, The Royal Society for a University Research Fellowship (URF191320 to NFC), and the European Research Council for a Starting Grant (ERC-2019-STG-851504). We are grateful to Henry Jiang, Maria V. Paley, and Yuto Yabuuchi for their assistance with powder X-ray diffraction data collection. We thank Dr. N. Settineri for helpful discussions regarding structural refinement of the presented single crystal data. We thank Dr. K. R. Meihaus for editorial assistance.

(1) Chilton, N. F. Molecular [Magnetism.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-matsci-081420-042553) *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* 2022, *52*, 79−101.

(2) Gatteschi, D.; Sessoli, R.; Villain, J. *Molecular Nanomagnets* 2006, *1*, 108−159.

(3) Woodruff, D. N.; Winpenny, R. E. P.; Layfield, R. A. [Lanthanide](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr400018q?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Single-Molecule](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr400018q?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Magnets. *Chem. Rev.* 2013, *113* (7), 5110−5148.

(4) Rinehart, J. D.; Long, J. R. Exploiting Single-Ion [Anisotropy](https://doi.org/10.1039/c1sc00513h) in the Design of f-Element [Single-Molecule](https://doi.org/10.1039/c1sc00513h) Magnets. *Chem. Sci.* 2011, *2* (11), 2078−2085.

(5) McAdams, S. G.; Ariciu, A. M.; Kostopoulos, A. K.; Walsh, J. P. S.; Tuna, F. Molecular Single-Ion Magnets Based on [Lanthanides](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2017.03.015) and Actinides: Design [Considerations](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2017.03.015) and New Advances in the Context of Quantum [Technologies.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2017.03.015) *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2017, *346*, 216−239.

(6) Troiani, F.; Affronte, M. [Molecular](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cs00158a) Spins for Quantum Information [Technologies.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cs00158a) *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, *40* (6), 3119−3129. (7) Gaita-Ariño, A.; Luis, F.; Hill, S.; Coronado, E. [Molecular](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-019-0232-y) Spins for Quantum [Computation.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-019-0232-y) *Nat. Chem.* 2019, *11* (4), 301−309.

(8) Layfield, R. A.; Tong, M.-L.; Day, B. M.; Chen, Y.-C.; Guo, F.-S.; Mansikkamäki, A. Magnetic Hysteresis up to 80 K in a [Dysprosium](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav0652) Metallocene [Single-Molecule](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav0652) Magnet. *Science* 2018, *362* (6421), 1400−1403.

(9) Randall McClain, K.; Gould, C. A.; Chakarawet, K.; Teat, S. J.; Groshens, T. J.; Long, J. R.; Harvey, B. G. [High-Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8SC03907K) Magnetic Blocking and [Magneto-Structural](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8SC03907K) Correlations in a Series of [Dysprosium\(Iii\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8SC03907K) Metallocenium Single-Molecule Magnets. *Chem. Sci.* 2018, *9* (45), 8492−8503.

(10) Goodwin, C. A. P.; Ortu, F.; Reta, D.; Chilton, N. F.; Mills, D. P. Molecular Magnetic Hysteresis at 60 K in [Dysprosocenium.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23447) *Nature* 2017, *548* (7668), 439−442.

(11) Blagg, R. J.; Ungur, L.; Tuna, F.; Speak, J.; Comar, P.; Collison, D.; Wernsdorfer, W.; McInnes, E. J. L.; Chibotaru, L. F.; Winpenny, R. E. P. Magnetic Relaxation Pathways in Lanthanide [Single-Molecule](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1707) [Magnets.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1707) *Nat. Chem.* 2013, *5* (8), 673−678.

(12) Giansiracusa, M. J.; Al-Badran, S.; Kostopoulos, A. K.; Whitehead, G. F. S.; Collison, D.; Tuna, F.; Winpenny, R. E. P.; Chilton, N. F. A Large Barrier [Single-Molecule](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9DT01791G) Magnet without [Magnetic](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9DT01791G) Memory. *Dalton Trans.* 2019, *48* (29), 10795−10798.

(13) Goodwin, C. A. P.; Ortu, F.; Reta, D.; Chilton, N. F.; Mills, D. P. Molecular Magnetic Hysteresis at 60 K in [Dysprosocenium.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23447) *Nature* 2017, *548* (7668), 439−442.

(14) Ding, Y. S.; Chilton, N. F.; Winpenny, R. E. P.; Zheng, Y. Z. [On](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201609685) [Approaching](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201609685) the Limit of Molecular Magnetic Anisotropy: A Near-Perfect Pentagonal Bipyramidal [Dysprosium\(III\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201609685) Single-Molecule [Magnet.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201609685) *Angew. Chem. - Int. Ed.* 2016, *55* (52), 16071−16074.

(15) Reta, D.; Chilton, N. F. [Uncertainty](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CP04301B) Estimates for Magnetic Relaxation Times and Magnetic Relaxation [Parameters.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CP04301B) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2019, *21* (42), 23567−23575.

(16) Chiesa, A.; Cugini, F.; Hussain, R.; Macaluso, E.; Allodi, G.; Garlatti, E.; Giansiracusa, M.; Goodwin, C. A. P.; Ortu, F.; Reta, D.; Skelton, J. M.; Guidi, T.; Santini, P.; Solzi, M.; De Renzi, R.; Mills, D. P.; Chilton, N. F.; Carretta, S. [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.174402) Magnetic Relaxation in Single-Ion Magnets with High Blocking [Temperature.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.174402) *Phys. Rev. B* 2020, *101* (17), 174402.

(17) Ortu, F.; Reta, D.; Ding, Y.-S.; Goodwin, C. A. P.; Gregson, M. P.; McInnes, E. J. L.; Winpenny, R. E. P.; Zheng, Y.-Z.; Liddle, S. T.; Mills, D. P.; Chilton, N. F. Studies of [Hysteresis](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9DT01655D) and Quantum Tunnelling of the Magnetisation in [Dysprosium\(III\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9DT01655D) Single Molecule [Magnets.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9DT01655D) *Dalton Trans.* 2019, *48* (24), 8541−8545.

(18) Briganti, M.; Santanni, F.; Tesi, L.; Totti, F.; Sessoli, R.; Lunghi, A. A Complete *Ab Initio* View of Orbach and Raman [Spin-Lattice](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c05068?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Relaxation in a Dysprosium [Coordination](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c05068?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Compound. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, *143* (34), 13633−13645.

(19) Reta, D.; Kragskow, J. G. C.; Chilton, N. F. Ab Initio [Prediction](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c01410?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of [High-Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c01410?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Magnetic Relaxation Rates in Single-Molecule [Magnets.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c01410?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, *143* (15), 5943−5950.

(20) Evans, P.; Reta, D.; Whitehead, G. F. S.; Chilton, N. F.; Mills, D. P. [Bis-Monophospholyl](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b11515?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Dysprosium Cation Showing Magnetic [Hysteresis](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b11515?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) at 48 K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141* (50), 19935−19940. [Luminescence](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.organomet.5b00842?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Properties. *Organometallics* 2015, *34* (23), 5624−5636. (22) Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M.; Jaroschik, F.; Junk, P. C.; Kay, D. L.; Maschmeyer, T.; Masters, A. F.; Wang, J.; Field, L. D. [Accessing](https://doi.org/10.1021/om800501z?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Decaphenylmetallocenes](https://doi.org/10.1021/om800501z?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Ytterbium, Calcium, and Barium by Desolvation of [Solvent-Separated](https://doi.org/10.1021/om800501z?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ion Pairs: Overcoming Adverse Solubility [Properties.](https://doi.org/10.1021/om800501z?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Organometallics* 2008, *27* (18), 4772−4778.

(23) Herberich, G. E.; Buller, B.; Hessner, B.; Oschmann, W. Derivative Des Borols: II. [Pentaphenylborol:](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-328X(00)93308-4) Synthese, Reduktion Zum Dianion Und [Komplexe](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-328X(00)93308-4) von Kobalt Und Platin. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1980, *195* (3), 253−259.

(24) Vanjak, J. C.; Wilkins, B. O.; Vieru, V.; Bhuvanesh, N. S.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Martin, C. D.; Chibotaru, L. F.; Nippe, M. A [High-](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c06698?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)Performance [Single-Molecule](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c06698?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Magnet Utilizing Dianionic Amino[borolide](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c06698?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ligands. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2022, *144* (39), 17743−17747.

(25) Gould, C. A.; McClain, K. R.; Reta, D.; Kragskow, J. G. C.; Marchiori, D. A.; Lachman, E.; Choi, E.-S.; Analytis, J. G.; Britt, R. D.; Chilton, N. F.; Harvey, B. G.; Long, J. R. Ultrahard [Magnetism](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl5470) from [Mixed-Valence](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl5470) Dilanthanide Complexes with Metal-Metal Bonding. *Science* 2022, *375* (6577), 198−202.

(26) Galván, I. F.; Vacher, M.; Alavi, A.; Angeli, C.; Aquilante, F.; Autschbach, J.; Bao, J. J.; Bokarev, S. I.; Bogdanov, N. A.; Carlson, R. K.; Chibotaru, L. F.; Creutzberg, J.; Dattani, N.; Delcey, M. G.; Dong, S. S.; Dreuw, A.; Freitag, L.; Frutos, L. M.; Gagliardi, L.; Gendron, F.; Giussani, A.; González, L.; Grell, G.; Guo, M.; Hoyer, C. E.; Johansson, M.; Keller, S.; Knecht, S.; Kovačević, G.; Källman, E.; Manni, G. L.; Lundberg, M.; Ma, Y.; Mai, S.; Malhado, J. P.; Malmqvist, P. Å.; Marquetand, P.; Mewes, S. A.; Norell, J.; Olivucci, M.; Oppel, M.; Phung, Q. M.; Pierloot, K.; Plasser, F.; Reiher, M.; Sand, A. M.; Schapiro, I.; Sharma, P.; Stein, C. J.; Sørensen, L. K.; Truhlar, D. G.; Ugandi, M.; Ungur, L.; Valentini, A.; Vancoillie, S.; Veryazov, V.; Weser, O.; Wesołowski, T. A.; Widmark, P.-O.; Wouters, S.; Zech, A.; Zobel, J. P.; Lindh, R. [OpenMolcas:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00532?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) From Source Code to [Insight.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00532?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2019, *15* (11), 5925−5964.

(27) Ungur, L.; Chibotaru, L. F. Ab Initio [Crystal](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201605102) Field for [Lanthanides.](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201605102) *Chem.*�*Eur. J.* 2017, *23* (15), 3708−3718.

(28) Orbach, R. On the Theory of [Spin-Lattice](https://doi.org/10.1088/0370-1328/77/4/301) Relaxation in [Paramagnetic](https://doi.org/10.1088/0370-1328/77/4/301) Salts. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* 1961, *77* (4), 821−826.

(29) Gu, L.; Wu, R. Origin of the [Anomalously](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.014401) Low Raman [Exponents](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.014401) in Single Molecule Magnets. *Phys. Rev. B* 2021, *103* (1), 14401.

(30) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J.*Gaussian09d*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(31) Perdew, J.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. [Generalized](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) Gradient [Approximation](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1996, *77* (18), 3865− 3868.

(32) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. Toward Reliable Density [Functional](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.478522) Methods without Adjustable Parameters: The [PBE0Model.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.478522) *J. Chem. Phys.* 1999, *110* (13), 6158−6170.

(33) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A [Consistent](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344) and Accurate Ab Initio [Parametrization](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344) of Density Functional Dispersion [Correction](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344) (DFT-D) for the 94 Elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *132* (15), 154104.

(34) Heitkemper, T.; Sindlinger, C. P. [Electronic](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201900826) Push-Pull Modulation by Peripheral [Substituents](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201900826) in Pentaaryl Boroles. *Chem.*�*Eur. J.* 2019, *25* (26), 6628−6637.

(35) Braunschweig, H.; Dyakonov, V.; Jimenez-Halla, J. O. C.; Kraft, K.; Krummenacher, I.; Radacki, K.; Sperlich, A.; Wahler, J. An [Isolable](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201108632) Radical Anion Based on the Borole [Framework.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201108632) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2012, *51* (12), 2977.