Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Genetic differences in transcript responses to low-dose ionizing radiation identify tissue functions associated with breast cancer susceptibility.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0kh8075s

Journal PloS one, 7(10)

ISSN 1932-6203

Authors

Snijders, Antoine M Marchetti, Francesco Bhatnagar, Sandhya <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2012

DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0045394

Peer reviewed

Genetic Differences in Transcript Responses to Low-Dose Ionizing Radiation Identify Tissue Functions Associated with Breast Cancer Susceptibility

Antoine M. Snijders, Francesco Marchetti^{¤a}, Sandhya Bhatnagar, Nadire Duru, Ju Han, Zhi Hu^{¤b}, Jian-Hua Mao, Joe W. Gray^{¤b}, Andrew J. Wyrobek^{*}

Life Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, United States of America

Abstract

High dose ionizing radiation (IR) is a well-known risk factor for breast cancer but the health effects after low-dose (LD, <10 cGy) exposures remain highly uncertain. We explored a systems approach that compared LD-induced chromosome damage and transcriptional responses in strains of mice with genetic differences in their sensitivity to radiation-induced mammary cancer (BALB/c and C57BL/6) for the purpose of identifying mechanisms of mammary cancer susceptibility. Unirradiated mammary and blood tissues of these strains differed significantly in baseline expressions of DNA repair, tumor suppressor, and stress response genes. LD exposures of 7.5 cGy (weekly for 4 weeks) did not induce detectable genomic instability in either strain. However, the mammary glands of the sensitive strain but not the resistant strain showed early transcriptional responses involving: (a) diminished immune response, (b) increased cellular stress, (c) altered TGFβ-signaling, and (d) inappropriate expression of developmental genes. One month after LD exposure, the two strains showed opposing responses in transcriptional signatures linked to proliferation, senescence, and microenvironment functions. We also discovered a pre-exposure expression signature in both blood and mammary tissues that is predictive for poor survival among human cancer patients (p = 0.0001), and a post-LD-exposure signature also predictive for poor patient survival (p<0.0001). There is concordant direction of expression in the LD-exposed sensitive mouse strain, in biomarkers of human DCIS and in biomarkers of human breast tumors. Our findings support the hypothesis that genetic mechanisms that determine susceptibility to LD radiation induced mammary cancer in mice are similar to the tissue mechanisms that determine poor-survival in breast cancer patients. We observed non-linearity of the LD responses providing molecular evidence against the LNT risk model and obtained new evidence that LD responses are strongly influenced by genotype. Our findings suggest that the biological assumptions concerning the mechanisms by which LD radiation is translated into breast cancer risk should be reexamined and suggest a new strategy to identify genetic features that predispose or protect individuals from LD-induced breast cancer.

Citation: Snijders AM, Marchetti F, Bhatnagar S, Duru N, Han J, et al. (2012) Genetic Differences in Transcript Responses to Low-Dose Ionizing Radiation Identify Tissue Functions Associated with Breast Cancer Susceptibility. PLoS ONE 7(10): e45394. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394

Editor: Yi Li, Baylor College of Medicine, United States of America

Received May 30, 2012; Accepted August 22, 2012; Published October 15, 2012

This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Funding: This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, with additional support from the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) program funding to AJW and with separate funding to AMS under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: ajwyrobek@lbl.gov

¤a Current address: Mechanistic Studies Division, Environmental Health Centre, Health Canada, Ottawa, Canada ¤b Current address: Biomedical Engineering Department, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon, United States of America

Introduction

Human population exposures to low-dose ionizing radiation (LD, <10 cGy) are a growing medical and public health concern due to the increasing use in medical diagnostics, therapies, security screening, and exposure to emissions from nuclear power generation and unexpected events. The human breast is sensitive to radiation-induced cancer after higher doses [1] with risks depending on exposure regimen, age at exposure, and genetic background [2,3]. However, we know remarkably little of the molecular tissue responses after LD exposures, of response mechanisms that may be protective or risky for cancer, and how individuals may vary in their tissue repair and cancer risks. The consequences of these gaps in knowledge are not trivial and there

can be serious public misconceptions and fears as dramatically illustrated in Japan and the rest of the world after the radiation releases from the Fukushima reactor complex after the Great East Japan Earthquake and tsunami of 2011.

Advanced genomic technologies have demonstrated the rich molecular responses in cells and tissues exposed to LD radiation in transcriptome, metabolome, epigenome, proteome and other omics. We have learned that LD responses can vary dramatically with genetic backgrounds and that there is very little overlap between LD and HD responses at the level of genes, pathways, networks, and functions [4,5,6,7]. Although the linear-no-threshold (LNT) model remains the regulatory standard for estimating LD risks [8], it is under increasing scientific challenge because of the mounting evidence that many, and maybe most, cellular and

tissue responses are not linear into the LD range [7,9]. Dose rate is also an important variable for risk, with fractionated LD and adaptive response regimens providing protection against radiationinduced cell damage, genomic damage, and cancer endpoints [10,11,12,13]. In the mammary glands (MG) of mice, lifetime tumor incidence was associated with how the exposure was fractionated, ranging from full protection to additivity of risk [12]. In the mouse p53-null chimera model, 10 cGy LD exposure to the MG stroma reduced tumor latency, suggesting that LD altered the tissue microenvironment [6], although 50 cGy did not show this effect, warranting further inquiry. While LD expression studies have provided evidence for conserved as well as cell-type specific low-dose responses [4,5,6,7], the roles of genetic background on resulting tissue damage and down-stream cancer risks remain poorly understood.

Mouse models facilitate exploration of the biological and genetic features that influence risk of developing MG cancer as a result of LD exposure. The risk estimates for radiation-induced breast cancer, lung cancer and leukemia do not vary significantly between humans and mice, supporting the mouse as a reasonable surrogate model [14]. We selected two inbred strains of mice that differ in their genetic susceptibility to radiation-induced MG cancer: BALB/c as more sensitive, and C57BL/6 as more resistant [14]. BALB/c mice carry two DNA-PKcs polymorphisms with reduced protein expression, reduced catalytic activity and defective non-homologous-end-joining (NHEJ) of double strand breaks [15]. But, as we will show in this report, BALB/c and C57BL/6 also vary in RNA processing and stress response functions (including other DNA repair genes) that may contribute to their genetic differences in radiation sensitivity.

Our research strategy employs a system biology approach to examine LD-induced genomic instability and expression responses (transcriptome with *in situ* protein analyses) in radiation sensitive and resistant strains, with the purpose of identifying candidate mechanisms of genetic susceptibility for LD tissue damage and cancer risks. Radiation-induced genomic instability is a hallmark of cancer, with strong evidence that it can be induced by high dose exposures. Using a sensitive flow method for detecting chromosomal damage in white blood cells, we demonstrate that high-dose exposure induces persistent genomic instability, but only in the cancer-sensitive BALB/c mice (not in C57BL/6). In contrast, LD exposure does not induce persistent genomic instability in either strain, even though BALB/c mice are more susceptible to LDinduced cancer.

We then launched a system search for molecular mechanisms that might explain the strain differences in breast cancer susceptibility to LD exposure using transcript profiling [5,6], since previously we found recurrent expression changes in cell lines from unrelated individuals after doses as low as 1 cGy [7]. We investigated three exposure scenarios (Figure 1A): (1) low dose (LD) group – four weekly doses of 7.5 cGy, (2) high dose (HD) group – four weekly doses of 1.8 Gy, (3) unexposed group – four weekly sham exposures. We analyzed expression profiles to identify expression signatures associated with biological functions that might explain the differential LD cancer susceptibility between these strains. We then tested LD susceptibility associated signatures in other murine and human knowledgebases (TGFβresponsive, pubertal mammary development, human DCIS and breast cancer biomarkers, and disease free survival in human breast cancer patients) to understand their relevance to breast cancer [16,17,18,19,20]. We test the hypothesis that genetic variation in baseline expression (i.e., expression levels before radiation exposure) and in responses to LD exposures can be used to identify tissue functions that determine susceptibility to LD-

induced MG cancer and tissue functions that determine individual variation for better or poorer survival among breast cancer patients. We identified several tissue functions and two transcriptional signatures that are associated with susceptibility to LDinduced cancer in mice and with poor survival in breast cancer patients. This research lays the foundation for a new systemsbiology approach for identifying the mechanisms of LD radiationinduced breast cancer, and suggests a new strategy to identify genetic features that predispose/protect individuals from risk of LD radiation-induced breast cancer.

Results

The baseline frequencies of micronuclei in red blood cells and transcription of 131 genes in nucleated white blood cells and mammary gland tissues differ between BALB/c and C57BL/6 female mice

We used a highly sensitive flow-cytometric assay to assess the frequency of micronucleated red cells as a measure of genome instability in unirradiated young adult female mice [21]. Figure 1B shows that the frequencies of immature reticulocytes (MN-RET) and mature normochromatic erythrocytes (MN-NCE) carrying micronuclei were $\sim 36\%$ and $\sim 57\%$ higher in the radiation-sensitive BALB/c strain than in the more radiation-resistant C57BL/6 strain [14] (p<0.0001; Table S1). These differences are at the high end of baseline variation among mouse strains [22], and are consistent with the significant associations that have been reported between blood micronuclei frequencies and cancer risks in human studies [23].

We also compared the transcript profiles for nucleated cells from the peripheral blood and mammary glands (after excising the inguinal lymph nodes) from BALB/c and C57BL/6 female mice to identify common variations in gene expression and their associated tissue functions. Figure 2A shows that the BALB/c to C57BL/6 transcript ratios for the 131 genes comprising the "systemic baseline signature" are strongly correlated in blood and mammary gland cells ($r^2 = 0.83$, Figure 2A). Figure 2B shows that the systemic baseline signature is significantly enriched for genes involved in stress response and RNA processing and includes DNA repair-associated genes. BALB/c tissues showed lower transcript levels than C57BL/5 for the DNA repair genes PARP3 and RAD23A (not reported previously), and higher transcript levels for MSH5 and SMC6. The PARP3, MSH5, and SMC6 expression findings were confirmed by qPCR (Table S7).

LD did not induce or contribute to genomic instability in either strain

We measured the frequencies of micronucleated red blood cells after whole-body LD and HD exposures in sensitive BALB/c and resistant C57BL/6 female mice at the three times indicated in Figure 1A. The LD exposures induced small transient increases in MN-NCE in both strains at the two early sampling times (p < 0.02), but neither strain showed evidence of radiation-induced genomic instability at 1 month after exposure (Figure 1C). The HD reference exposures (Figures 1B and 1C) increased in the frequencies of MN-RET and MN-NCE at the early sampling times in both strains (p<0.0001, Tables S2 and S3). Interestingly, the radiation-sensitive BALB/c showed significant radiationinduced genomic instability at 1 month after HD exposure while the radiation-resistant C57BL/6 mice showed no evidence of this (p<0.0001; Figure 1B, Tables S2 and S3). We confirmed this finding in a separate study of mice treated with a combined LD/ HD regimen where each 7.5 cGy dose was followed 6 hr later by a



Figure 1. Radiation induced micronuclei in erythrocytes of mice that differ in LD-induced mammary cancer sensitivity. A. BALB/c mice are sensitive to radiation induced mammary gland, lung and ovarian cancer, whereas C57BL/6 mice are more resistant [14]. Mice were divided into three exposure groups: (1) low dose group: four weekly doses of 7.5 cGy, (2) high dose reference group: four weekly doses of 1.8 Gy and (3) unexposed group: four weekly sham irradiations (n = 6 per group). All mice were approximately 8 wks of age at the start of the radiation regimen. Saphenous vein blood was collected for micronucleus analysis at 6 days after the third dose (-1 day in relation to the 4th dose), 6 days after the 4th dose, and 1 month after the 4th dose (n = 6 per group). Mammary gland tissues were collected for microarray (n = 4 per group) and molecular analyses at 4 hrs and 1 month after the last exposure. B. Relative frequencies of MN-RETs in high (left) and low (right) dose groups of C57BL/6 (black bars) and BALB/c mice (white bars). Significance was tested by ANOVA with Dunnett adjustment for multiple comparisons. An asterisk indicates significant difference in treated groups compared to the respective frequencies in sham of that same strain (* p<0.0001; ** p<0.02). The number sign (#) indicates significant baseline differences in MN RET and NCE among strains (p<0.0001). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.g001

1.8 Gy dose (Tables S2 and S3). The BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice that received the combined LD/HD regimen were indistinguishable from the animals that received HD alone, confirming that the LD regimen did not induce or contribute to genomic instability in either strain.

These findings led to the hypothesis that the increased MG cancer risks in BALB/c mice after LD radiation are more likely to

be associated with genetically driven differences in oncogenic barriers in their tissues. This motivated our comprehensive comparative analysis of gene expression profiles in the BALB/c and C57BL/6 strains to identify mammary tissue functions that might explain the differences in LD-induced mammary cancer rates. **B** Biological functions



A Set of 131 differential baseline genes

Figure 2. Genetic differences in baseline gene expression are correlated among tissues and associated with diverse functions. A. Transcript profiles of BALB/c (n = 4) and C57BL/6 (n = 4) mice in mammary glands and blood identified 131 genes with the same relative ratio of expression in mammary gland and blood ($r^2 = 0.83$). B. Distributions of functions (GO – biological processes) associated with the 131-gene set. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.g002

Early transcriptional responses to LD radiation are associated with immune, epithelial, and microenvironment signaling in BALB/c

Analysis of transcription profiles in MG tissues from BALB/c and C57BL/6 strains at 4 hrs (i.e., for the early response) and 1 month after LD exposure (Figure 1A; n = 4 per group) revealed response functions unique for each strain that were not induced by HD exposures. Figure 3 shows that there were $\sim 4 \times$ more modulated genes in BALB/c tissue than in C57BL/6 at 4 hours after LD exposure (Table S4). The differentially expressed gene sets were computationally mapped to curated functions (Figure S1A), canonical pathways (Figure 4A), and networks (Figure 4B, S2). These analyses suggested that early LD responses of BALB/c mice involved down-regulation of immune, epithelial, and microenvironment functions (14)canonical pathways, $0.002 \le p \le 0.02$), which were not affected by LD in C57BL/6 nor by HD exposure in either strain (Figure 4A, and Table S5 for an inclusive listing). LD exposure in BALB/c but not C57BL/6 also altered expression in networks consistent with increased HIF1A stress response (Figure 4B), decreased immune and endothelial function (Figure 4A), and altered MG developmentaland TGF β - regulation (Table 1).

The LD induced HIF1A transcription factor network has been associated with cellular responses to genotoxic stress and low O₂, (Figure 4B). L2L analyses (http://depts.washington.edu/l2l/) identified 11 genes upregulated in LD-exposed BALB/c mice that were also upregulated under hypoxic conditions in renal epithelial and carcinoma cell systems (4.3e-05<p<9.0e-03) [24,25,26]. The HIF1A, STC2 and RAB20 responses were confirmed by qPCR (Table S7).

The LD modulated immune system network in BALB/c (Figure 4A, S2; Table S5) was associated with lymphocyte activation, and expression of cytokines, chemokines, and macrophage markers. The early BALB/c responses of selected genes in this network (IRF8, IL7R and TREM2) were confirmed by qPCR (Table S7). The early BALB/c specific LD transcriptional response also was associated with down-regulation of coagulation and leukocyte extravasation signaling (Figure 4A). These functions are an essential part of the inflammatory reaction. Down-regulation of

the macrophage-specific marker EMR1 (F4/80) and macrophageassociated proteins (TREM2 and GPNMB) suggested that LDexposure led to a reduction in macrophages in BALB/c mice. This prediction was tested and confirmed by IHC in tissue sections of sham and low-dose irradiated BALB/c mice (Figure 4C; p = 0.01). There was no significant change or downregulation of the expression of any of these macrophage-associated genes in similarly treated C57BL/6 female mice.



Figure 3. Radiation responses are dependent on genotype, dose and time after exposure. Early and 1-month response gene lists were generated based on (fold-change = 1.5 fold; p-value \leq 0.01 for high dose; \leq 0.1 for low dose). BALB/c mice in white, C57BL/6 in black, overlap in orange.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.g003

A Early (4hr) LD response pathways in BALB/c and C57BL/6

Immune Response Signaling Pathways

Vascular System Signaling Pathways Leukocyte Extravasation Signaling

Extrinsic Prothrombin Activation Pathway

Caveolar-mediated Endocytosis Signaling

Coagulation System

Other signaling pathways

Oncostatin M Signaling

Rac Signaling Integrin Signaling

Primary Immunodeficiency Signaling Production of NO and ROS in Macrophages IL-2 Signaling Pattern Recognition Receptors in Recognition of Bacteria and Viruses Natural Killer Cell Signaling Altered T Cell and B Cell Signaling in Rheumatoid Arthritis Interferon Signaling Activation of IRF by Cytosolic Pattern Recognition Receptors TREM1 Signaling GM-CSF Signaling

1		1	\sim		
	BAL	.B/c	C57	BL/6	
	L	Н	L	Н	
1					



um

Prolactin Signaling Biosynthesis of Steroids LXR/RXR Activation

B Early HIF1A subnetwork in LD response



C Absence of MG associated macrophages after LD

	Number of	F4/8	0 pos per	itive duct	cells
	ducts scored	0	1	2	>2
Sham #1	22	14	7	0	1
Sham #2	16	8	5	2	1
Sham #3	17	11	1	2	3
Low-dose #1	17	17	0	0	0
Low-dose #2	20	20	0	0	0
Low-dose #3	14	14	0	0	0

Figure 4. Unique early LD radiation response pathways and networks in mammary glands of BALB/c and C57BL/6. A. Early (4 hr) response for canonical pathways in BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice after low (L) or high (H) dose radiation. Downregulated pathways in green; upregulated pathways in red; pathways containing both have diagonal line; pathways not reaching statistical significance in gray. B. HIF1A Subnetwork. Protein network centered on HIF1A in the BALB/c. Downregulated genes in green; upregulated genes in red. C. Immunohistochemical (IHC) analyses of mammary glands of sham and low-dose irradiated BALB/c mice. In sham irradiated BALB/c mice at least 35% of mammary gland ducts have a surrounding macrophage. In contrast, in low-dose irradiated BALB/c mice, no macrophages were observed in 3/3 animals. A representative image of IHC analysis of EMR1 (F4/80) protein in the mammary gland of a sham irradiated BALB/c mouse is shown on the right.

The early BALB/c specific LD induced transcriptional response signature included \sim 90 MG development genes (Table 1, S6). These genes are typically expressed at 3–7 weeks of age during

puberty and not at 12 weeks (the age of the irradiated mice). These genes normally are involved in terminal end-bud development (e.g. GATA3, RUNX1, MSX2 and STAT5a), differentiation and

Table 1. The early LD radiation response in BALB/c mice is mediated by TGF β , involves inappropriate expression of mammary development genes, and involves breast cancer associated genes.

Early (4-hr) LD responsive genes in BALB/c mice (total = 313)
144 (46%)
89 ^b (28%)
41 (13%)

^aTGF β signaling and interaction database (http://actin.ucd.ie/tgfbeta/) and [20].

^b42 genes overlap with TGFβ responsive genes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.t001

ductal branching and morphogenesis. L2L analyses also showed that the set of LD upregulated genes in BALB/c were highly associated with the developing MG of pubertal mice in other studies (p = 5.14e-30) [19]. CD24, KRT19, WNT4, AREG and IDO1 responses in BALB/c mice were confirmed by qPCR (Table S7). Importantly, $\sim 50\%$ of these early BALB/c LD response genes (144/313) were TGF β responsive (Table 1, S6; http://actin. ucd.ie/tgfbeta/, [20]). Extracellular TGFB activation occurs in response to the generation of ROS [27] and regulates broad epithelial and stromal radiation damage response functions of the BALB/c LD genes. The differential activation of TGF β responsive genes that were activated in BALB/c were not activated in C57BL/6 mice, indicating that there is a major genetic difference in TGF β response to LD radiation in the MGs in these two strains. This is consistent with the increasing evidence of the regulatory role of the TGFB response in radiation carcinogenesis of the mammary gland [6].

Late MG transcriptional responses to LD radiation are associated with proliferation, senescence, and microenvironment function

We saw a dramatic transition in the transcript profiles between the early and 1-month responses in MG tissues in radiationsensitive BALB/c and radiation-resistant C57BL/6 strains of mice. While, similar numbers of genes were modulated in these two strains 1 month after LD exposures (Figure 3, Table S4), only a few functions significantly modulated at 4 hours in BALB/c remained so 1 month after LD exposure (Figure 5A, S1 and Table S5). One month after exposure, 5 canonical pathways were uniquely associated with C57BL/6 and a different 11 pathways were unique to BALB/c (Figure 5A). BALB/c mice acquired an enhanced proliferation phenotype (referenced to sham) while C57BL/6 acquired a diminished proliferation phenotype, consistent with senescence (Figure 5; S3). The BALB/c 1-month LD response showed upregulation of a MYC-centric network consisting of mitosis genes (Figure 5B) plus a subnetwork associated with minichromosome maintenance, (Figure S3A). In contrast, the 1month response of C57BL/6 mice showed a highly saturated protein interaction network with the network node, CDKN1A, a negative regulator of cell cycle progression, (Figure 5B) and with down-regulation of many genes associated with DNA replication, cell-cycle progression and development. L2L analyses of the genes in the C57BL/6-specific senescence signature identified significant associations with expression signatures of cell cycle arrest and senescence (1.13E-11<p<1.15E-04; [28,29,30]) including downregulation of SOX9, SKP2, CCNA2 and CDKN1A (confirmed by qPCR; Table S7 and Figure 5B). SOX9 is a mark for adult human progenitor cells, mediates deposition of ECM component and is a major transcriptional regulator of mitotic activity in breast cancer [31,32]. Consistent with its role in the control of expression of ECM, we observed down-regulation of genes associated with ECM remodeling and epithelial differentiation (not seen in BALB/ c mice) suggesting a reduced turn-over of the ECM in C57BL/6 mice (Figure S3B). Figure 6 shows that expression of SOX9 protein in the MG was limited to the nuclei of luminal and myoepithelial cells and that the fraction of SOX9-positive cells was significantly reduced after LD exposure in C57BL/6 mice (p<0.0001), consistent with reduced mitotic activity in MG of C57BL/6 mice at 1 month after LD. Transcript levels of SOX9 were unaffected in similarly treated BALB/c mice.

LD regulated genes in MG are associated with human breast cancer survival duration

We asked whether any of the strain specific baseline transcripts or LD modulated transcripts were associated with human breast cancer by using human knowledgebases that link expression profiles with breast cancer outcomes.

We began by testing the hypothesis that expression levels of the 131 genes that are differentially expressed between non-irradiated BALB/c and C57BL6 mice in blood and MG tissues are associated with breast cancer outcomes. We tested the association of transcript levels for the 94 human orthologs that we were able to associate with the mouse baseline signature with outcome in 156 breast cancer patients for which information on disease-free survival was available [17]. We accomplished this by calculating for each patient, the sum of the normalized expression intensities of the human orthologs. As shown in Figure 7A, patients with above-median expression had significantly reduced survival duration compared to patients with below-median expression (p < 8.16 E-05) and had significantly worse prognosis (Figure 7B; p<0.0001) [16,17]. Interestingly, murine genes that showed significant strain differences only in MG or only in blood cells did not show significant associations with cancer survival, underscoring the importance of selecting genes that show "systemic differences" across tissues. As a negative control, expression levels of a set of 131 mouse genes that showed the "least" differential expression in both MG and blood between the two strains were not significantly associated with breast cancer survival (p = 0.4). Among the 94 human orthologs in the murine baseline signature, we identified 55 cancer outcome associated (COA) genes (Table 2) that individually showed differential expression between the above-median and below-median patient groups (5.3E-12<p<8.4E-03). This set of COA genes was enriched for stress response (11 genes) and RNA processing (9 genes) (Figure 2B and Table 2). Interestingly, this COA gene set contained a small number of genes (n=9), which showed a significant association with breast cancer survival when expressed at lower levels in the above-median patient group. Among these are a number of previously proposed tumor suppressor genes: RUNX1, CBX7, PRDX2 and PRDX3. Concordant with this

A 1-Month LD response pathways in BALB/c and C57BL/6

Cell cycle control

ATM Signaling Role of CHK Proteins in Cell Cycle Checkpoint Control Cell Cycle: G1/S Checkpoint Regulation

Cancer

Small Cell Lung Cancer Signaling Bladder Cancer Signaling Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Signaling Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Signaling Basal Cell Carcinoma Signaling Molecular Mechanisms of Cancer

Metabolism

Pyrimidine Metabolism Nitrogen Metabolism Pantothenate and CoA Biosynthesis

Other signaling pathways

GM-CSF Signaling Coagulation System PDGF Signaling Oncostatin M Signaling Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Signaling Hepatic Fibrosis / Hepatic Stellate Cell Activation

_		Ŋ	\sim	
	BAL	.B/c	C57	BL/6
	L	н	_	Н
l				
I				



/		
		/

B 1-Month LD response mitotic gene enriched gene interaction networks.



Figure 5. Unique 1-month LD radiation response pathways and networks in mammary glands of BALB/c and C57BL/6. A. 1-Month LD responses for canonical pathways in BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice (See legend Figure 4A). B. 1-Month LD response mitotic gene enriched interaction networks. Mitosis gene enriched interaction networks in MG of BALB/c (left) and C57BL/6 (right) mice. In the BALB/c network the majority of genes were upregulated (red) and in the C57BL/6 network the majority of genes were downregulated (green). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.g005

human finding, all four genes were also expressed at lower levels in BALB/c compared to C57BL/6 in the systemic baseline signature. This subset of 9 COA genes was significantly associated with disease free survival when down-regulated in the cancer patients (p = 5.5E-04). The signature of the remaining 46 genes was associated with disease-free survival when expressed at higher levels (55 minus 9 = 46 genes) and showed a similar association

with disease-free survival as compared with the full systemic baseline signature of 94 genes (p = 6.8 E-03 vs 8.16E-05). These strain differences in baseline expression point to the importance of systemic differences in stress response, RNA processing and tumor suppressor status as candidate predictors of resistance vs susceptibility to mammary gland cancer in unirradiated individuals.



Figure 6. Reduction in SOX9-labelled luminal and myoepithelial cells after LD exposure in C57BL/6 mammary glands. A. The percent SOX9 positive luminal and myoepithelial cells are significantly reduced in low-dose irradiated mammary glands 1-month after low-dose exposure (p<0.0001). B. Representative images of immunohistochemical analysis of SOX9 protein in mammary glands of sham (left) or LD (right) irradiated C57BL/6 mice. Myoepithelial cells were defined as those cells directly surrounding the luminal cell layer. Counterstaining was performed using hematoxylin. Note positive staining is limited to the nucleus in cells of luminal or myoepithelial origin. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.g006

We then tested the hypothesis that the 1-month BALB/c signature (i.e., the genes that are significantly upregulated at 1 month after LD exposure in relation to sham) was associated with disease-free survival among breast cancer patients. We selected the full and unbiased set of 105 BALB/c genes with significantly increased expression at 1 month after LD exposure. We examined the association of this signature with disease-free survival in breast cancer patients using two human knowledgebases that contain tumor expression profiles obtained at surgery linked to patient survival [16,17]. Similar to our analyses of the baseline signature, we summed the expression intensities of all corresponding human orthologs (n = 96) from tumor samples and divided the patients into two groups by the median. The patients with "above median" expression values experienced higher rates of breast-cancer related deaths than "below median" patients, (Figure 7A, p<0.0001) and had significantly worse prognosis (Figure 7B; p = <0.0021) [16,17]. As a negative control, we selected 105 of the 1-month BALB/c genes with the "least" differential expression between irradiated and sham mice, and showed that the corresponding set of human orthologs was not significantly associated with breast cancer survival (p = 0.2). Among the 96 human orthologs of the 1month BALB/c signature, we identified 36 additional COA genes (Table 3) that individually showed differential expression between the above-median and below-median patient groups (5.4E-14<p<9.2E-03). Of these, 25 were related to mitosis, many of which have individually been associated with breast cancer survival in prior studies. Six of the 11 non-mitosis related genes were previously associated with poor survival in breast cancer patients: KRT17, MMP12, SLC7A5, SQLE, GABRP and PA2G4 [33,34,35,36,37,38], but the remaining 5 had not been previously associated with cancer risk: CCDC86, NUP107, NUTF2, WASF1 and ELOVL6. The association between the 11 non-mitosis genes and breast-cancer-related death was comparable to using the full set of 96 human orthologs or the refined set of 36 genes suggesting strong involvement of both mitosis and non-mitosis radiation responses in defining the poor prognosis for breast cancer patients. These strain differences in 1-month post LD expression responses point to the importance of both mitosis and non-mitosis genes as candidate predictors of resistance vs susceptibility to mammary gland cancer in individuals exposed to LD ionizing radiation.

We then addressed the hypothesis that the direction of expression changes in the resistant and sensitive strains at 1month after LD exposures are concordant with the differences in gene expression of human DCIS and invasive breast cancer, and poor prognosis. (Concordance is defined as up-regulated in BALB/c, no change or down-regulated in C57BL/6 and up in human DCIS or human breast cancer; or vice versa). The BALB/ c 1 month COA genes that were associated with poor prognosis when upregulated were enriched for mitosis associated genes (Table 3), whereas in C57BL/6 many of the same genes were down-regulated. As a further test of the importance of concordance in direction of expression, we compared the full set of genes modulated at 1-month after LD exposure in BALB/c and C57BL/ 6 mice against a meta-gene signature of 946 human breast cancer biomarkers [18], and the direction of expression for overlapping genes was compared against expression in human DCIS and breast cancer [39,40,41,42,43]. This analyses (Figure 8A) identified 45 concordant genes (34 mitosis and 11 stromal genes) with opposing responses in BALB/c and C57BL/6 where the direction of the BALB/c response matched the direction of response in independent studies of human breast cancers. Furthermore, a subset of 19 genes (Figure 8A) showed concordant responses between the mouse strains and human DCIS.

Lastly, we tested the association between the 1-month LD BALB/c signature and poor prognosis for human breast cancer. Figure 8B shows strong concordance in the direction of LD-induced expression in mammary tissue in the resistant and sensitive strains of mice and the direction of expression in patients with poor prognosis (Figure 8B). The human poor prognosis signature is under transcriptional control of SOX9, which we demonstrated to be down-regulated at both the transcript level and protein level in the resistant C57BL/6 strain (Figure 5B and 6).

Discussion

We employed *in vivo* systems analyses to identify genetic differences in baseline (i.e., before radiation exposure) and LD-induced mammary gland gene expression in radiation-sensitive and resistant strains of mice that are at the far ends of the mammary cancer sensitivity spectrum [12], and then used *in situ* protein validation and multi-species bioinformatic resources to identify distinct tissue functions and signatures associated with cancer risks and with properties of breast cancer behavior in humans. Strain variations in baseline and LD-response expression signatures were associated with differential susceptibility to LD-

Α				_			
		Median E	xpression			Median E	xpression
	Baseline blood - MG	Below	Above	1 mc	onth low-dose BALB/c	Below	Above
	Alive/non-BC death	75	55	Aliv	e/non-BC death	76	54
	BC related death	5	24	BC	related death	4	25
	p<8.16E-05			p<0.	0001	-	·
в	, Baseline bloc	od - MG		1.0	1 month low-dose BAI	_B/c	
		Below med	dian	1.0	Below	median	
	<u>.</u> 8.0 <u>8</u>	(n=8	30)	8.0 \$		(n=80)	
	S oc	۱ <u>۰۰۰۰۰ م</u>		Sun	······································		
	e 0.0	Above median		ee.	Above me	edian	
	± ± 0.4 ⋅	(n=79)		9, 0.4	(1	n=79)	
	0.2 ·			Disea			
	$0.0 \frac{1}{0}$	p<0.(2001	0.0	2 4 6	p=0.0021	
	Time	e (Years)	10	Ū	Time (Years)	0 10	
	Baseline bloc	od - MG		10-	1 month low-dose BAI	_B/c	
	I.V	Below median		1.0	Belo	w median (n=207)	
	8.0 Ki		/	8.0 <u>ki</u>		······································	
	Sur Sur	₩ <u>₩</u> ₩		Sur of	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	*****	
		Above median (n=206)		Lee	Above	median	
		()		-9.0 g	((n=206)	
	jises			jises			
		n-0 (001			0 0001	
	$0.0 \downarrow 2 4$	6 8	10	0.0	2 4 6	p<0.0001 8 10	
	Time	e (Years)	-	-	Time (Years)		

Figure 7. Unbiased baseline and 1-month BALB/c LD signatures are associated with human breast cancer disease-free survival. The full set of significantly differentially expressed genes between BALB/c and C57BL/6 in blood and mammary gland (left) and one-month LD up-regulated BALB/c genes (right) were used to calculate the overall sum of expression values of the same genes in human breast tumors (n = 159). A. For both signatures patients with sum expression above the group median expression had a worse prognosis than patients below the median. B. Kaplan-Meier disease-free survival curves indicate that patients with above median survival have a worse 10-year survival compared to patients with below median survival in two independent data-sets (top: GSE1456, bottom: GSE1456 and GSE6532).

induced mammary cancer in mice and with inter-individual variations in human breast cancer survival. Our findings support the hypothesis that mechanisms that control susceptibility to LD radiation induced mammary cancer in mice are similar to those that determine poor-survival in breast cancer patients. In mice, differential baseline expression of tumor suppressor genes and genes associated with stress response and RNA processing, reduced immune activity early after LD exposure and differential expression of proliferation associated genes at 1 month after LD exposure were strongly associated with higher sensitivity to LDinduced mammary tumors in mice. The strong association of baseline and 1-month signatures with disease-free survival in human breast cancer patients points to tissue mechanisms of individual variation to LD-induced mammary cancer, and provide compelling evidence for non-linear dose responses after LD exposures.

Genomic instability is a critical step in the genesis of cancers after high dose exposures [44,45], and we like others observed that BALB/c was more sensitive to HD-induced genomic instability than C57Bl/6 [46]. Surprisingly, we found no evidence for genomic instability after LD exposures in BALB/c despite its sensitivity to LD-induced mammary cancer, which led us to search for genetic variation in molecular barrier functions that may control susceptibility to LD-induced cancer.

The genetic differences in baseline and LD expression profiles identified several unique tissue response functions associated with mammary cancer risk (Figure 9). The baseline differences in unirradiated animals (systemic signature) were significantly enriched for stress response and RNA processing genes, and included several DNA repair genes and tumor suppressor genes (Table 2). The stunted immune response observed early after LDirradiation in BALB/c but not C57BL/6 strains was consistent with prior findings in spleen cells of LD-irradiated BALB/c mice that also received a concanavalin A challenge [47]. As predicted from the microarray data, we demonstrated in tissue sections that the stunted immune response involved reduced numbers of tissue **Table 2.** Cancer Outcome Associated (COA) genes in the baseline signature are defined by their significant association with breast cancer disease-free survival.

COA Genes* of the Systemic Baseline Signature (n=55)					
Gene	Affymetrix ID	T-test			
RNA processing genes					
MAGOHB	218894_s_at	7.39E-05			
PAPOLA	212720_at	8.98E-06			
PNPT1	225291_at	5.46E-10			
POP4	202868_s_at	1.99E-03			
РРІН	204228_at	1.77E-05			
RBM39	208720_s_at	9.41E-05			
RPS6	209134_s_at	4.64E-03			
SUPT16H	233827_s_at	7.69E-05			
TXNL4A	202836_s_at	5.81E-07			
Stress response genes					
EIF2S1	201144_s_at	1.75E-07			
GNA13	224761_at	7.16E-09			
GNB1	200744_s_at	8.14E-05			
HLA-DRA	208894_at	2.33E-05			
PRDX2	215067_x_at	3.39E-04			
PRDX3	209766_at	4.39E-03			
RAD23A	201039_s_at	2.33E-04			
RPS6	209134_s_at	4.64E-03			
RUNX1	210365_at	4.85E-06			
SMC6	218781_at	2.95E-05			
SUPT16H	 233827_s_at	7.69E-05			
Other genes					
ABCB10	223320_s_at	3.50E-03			
ABCF1	200045_at	1.04E-09			
BAT5	224756_s_at	2.01E-06			
ВМР2К	37170_at	6.77E-03			
C17orf95	225808_at	5.28E-08			
C19orf56	217780_at	1.64E-03			
C5orf22	203738 at	5.40E-03			
CAP1	213798 s at	7.70E-06			
CBX7	212914 at	2.68E-04			
CHCHD3	 217972 at	5.68E-07			
CHCHD4	 229595 at	1.90E-05			
CLASP2	212308 at	8.48E-03			
CLDND1	 208925 at	1.06E-04			
DDX19A	 202578 s at	1.52E-05			
DNAJC10	221781 s at	9.41E-12			
GADD45GIP1	212891 s at	2.13E-03			
GBP1	202270 at	1.17E-05			
HLA-B	208729 x at	9.92E-06			
KIF5B	224662_at	2.39E-05			
MCART1	 232092_at	1.86E-06			
MCM6	 201930_at	1.79E-07			
MTFR1	203207 s at	1.79E-07			
NRD1	208709_s_at	4.64E-08			

i able 2. Cont	nt.
----------------	-----

LOA Genes* of the Systemic Baseline Signature (n = 55)						
Gene	Affymetrix ID	T-test				
PDK1	206686_at	5.81E-06				
PDXDC1	212053_at	1.30E-05				
PEBP1	211941_s_at	9.61E-05				
PHF20	235389_at	1.62E-03				
PI4K2B	222631_at	1.87E-11				
PIGO	209998_at	5.92E-03				
PPME1	217841_s_at	2.47E-04				
RAB6B	221792_at	1.08E-04				
SAPS3	222467_s_at	3.76E-06				
SCAND1	231059_x_at	3.21E-08				
SHC1	201469_s_at	2.47E-03				
SLC15A2	240159_at	6.86E-03				
SNX6	222410_s_at	5.35E-12				
TM2D2	224413_s_at	3.83E-08				

*Cancer Outcome Assocaited (COA) genes were identified as follows. For each gene in the unbiased signature, a t-test was applied comparing average expression in the above median patient group vs the below median patient group. Patients were assigned to each group based on median expression of all baseline genes (94 genes). All genes with p<0.01 that were expressed at higher or lower (genes in italic) levels in the above median patient group are listed here (n = 55 genes).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.t002

macrophages in BALB/c mice but not C57BL/6 (Figure 4C), and there are ongoing efforts to examine differential production versus recruitment. The stunted immune response may also be related to the very high TGFβ-associated expression in BALB/c (not seen in C57BL/6 mice), given that 10 cGy exposures can activate TGF β in BALB/c [6] which in turn can lead to immune dysfunction [48]. The early HIF1A-associated response in BALB/c may indicate tissue hypoxia or a more generalized tissue stress response [49]. Among the 12 hypoxia-inducible genes in irradiated BALB/c [24,25,26], both HIF1a and STC2, a HIF1A target gene, were overexpressed in human cancers and pre-neoplastic breast lesions [50]. The early expression of the transcriptional activators and repressors (i.e., STAT5a, GATA3, RUNX1 and MSX2) may be the reason for the inappropriate expression of the "pubertal-like" mammary development genes in BALB/c mice, which in their TGF_β-modified microenvironment may be associated with the increased cancer risk observed in that strain. In studies with human keratinocytes, the promoter regions of genes modulated by LD radiation were enriched for GATA3 binding sites, which supports the hypothesis that GATA3 plays an important role in the LD transcriptional response in BALB/c but not C57BL/6 [51].

Finally, our model predicts that at 1-month after LD exposures, the MG tissue of the resistant C57BL/6 mice appears to mount a barrier against cell cycle progression and ECM remodeling, similar to a senescence-like phenotype, which may block the division of residual damaged cells, thereby acting like a global tumor repressor [52]. In support of this prediction, we demonstrated that both the transcript levels of SOX9 in mammary tissue and the frequencies of SOX9-protein-positive epithelial cells were reduced in mammary tissue of C57BL/6 (but not in BALB/c) at 1 month after LD exposures. In contrast, similarly treated BALB/c mice did not show any significant changes in SOX9 transcripts. **Table 3.** Cancer Outcome Associated (COA) genes in the

 BALB/c 1 month LD signature are defined by their significant

 association with breast cancer disease-free survival.

COA Genes* of the BALB/c 1 month LD Signature (n=36)				
Gene	Affymetrix ID	T-test		
Mitosis genes				
CAD	202715_at	2.63E-03		
CCNK	225824_at	2.07E-03		
CDC7	204510_at	4.27E-06		
CDT1	228868_x_at	1.21E-08		
CENPH	231772_x_at	1.45E-04		
CHEK1	205393_s_at	6.03E-09		
EZH2	203358_s_at	8.18E-12		
GINS1	206102_at	2.95E-07		
HELLS	220085_at	3.39E-04		
MCM2	202107_s_at	8.02E-07		
MCM3	201555_at	6.17E-05		
MCM4	212141_at	5.50E-07		
MCM5	201755_at	4.47E-09		
MCM6	201930_at	9.09E-13		
MCM7	208795_s_at	2.14E-05		
МҮС	202431_s_at	2.92E-03		
POLD1	203422_at	1.51E-04		
PRIM1	205053_at	9.19E-03		
RFC5	203209_at	5.46E-04		
RRM2	201890_at	1.74E-13		
SNRPD3	202567_at	1.18E-06		
TK1	202338_at	1.14E-11		
TYMS	202589_at	3.61E-09		
UHRF1	225655_at	3.07E-10		
WDHD1	216228_s_at	2.34E-06		
Other genes				
CCDC86	203119_at	1.05E-05		
ELOVL6	204256_at	8.42E-04		
GABRP	205044_at	5.67E-06		
KRT17	205157_s_at	5.87E-03		
MMP12	204580_at	2.68E-05		
NUP107	218768_at	5.26E-04		
NUTF2	202397_at	1.34E-04		
PA2G4	208676_s_at	3.67E-03		
SLC7A5	201195_s_at	5.40E-14		
SQLE	209218_at	1.45E-06		
WASF1	204165_at	6.87E-03		

*Cancer Outcome Assocaited (COA) genes were identified as follows. For each gene in the unbiased signature, a t-test was applied comparing average expression in the above median patient group vs the below median patient group. Patients were assigned to each group based on median expression of all BALB/c 1 month up genes (96 genes). All genes with p<0.01 that were expressed at higher levels in the above median patient group are listed here (n=36 genes).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.t003

We used fold-change and graded p-value criteria to generate our initial LD gene lists to provide sufficient numbers of genes for downstream bioinformatics analyses, which is not meant to address the biological meaningfulness of individual genes. Rather it is the beginning of a process to identify candidate tissue functions and pathways that require follow-on validation, such as: (a) confirm tissue expression of genes associated with candidate functions and pathways using molecular and biochemical methods (ie, IHC for *in situ* protein expression) and (b) testing the prediction of candidate genes and functions in independent animal or human gene expression profiling data sets. We applied both of these confirmatory approaches in our paper.

Our transcript and protein expression analyses measured the individual responses of four individuals per experimental group. Indeed, we expected young adult females to be cycling through estrous. However, given the nature of our study design (multiple timed fractionated exposures and rigid sampling times), we did not synchronize animals for estrous cycle at radiation nor at sampling. Instead, we relied on the group response to "average" out the possible effects of estrous cycling. Future studies are underway to investigate whether estrous cycling affects baseline expression and LD responses of mammary tissue. Our findings also warrant additional studies using traditional genetic linkage analyses in mice as well as molecular manipulation of the expression of candidate genes to determine whether the baseline and LD response functions that we have discovered are determinants for breast cancer susceptibility.

Comparative systems analyses of the expression profiles in unirradiated mice (i.e., baseline signature) and human breast cancer outcomes identified 55 genes, each significantly associated with patient survival. In the majority of genes, poor survival was associated with increased expression. Unexpectedly, 9 genes showed the inverse association, including the tumor suppressor genes: RUNX1, CBX7, PRDX2 and PRDX3. These genes were expressed at lower levels both in the blood and MG tissues of unirradiated BALB/c compared to C57BL/6 suggesting that increased cancer sensitivity could be associated with less effective tumor suppressor mechanisms in BALB/c. CBX7 is a known tumor suppressor in both mice and humans and several PRDXs have been shown to have tumor preventive functions [53,54,55]. RUNX1 was special in our study, in that it was further downregulated in BALB/c at 1 month after LD exposure. RUNX1 is a classic tumor suppressor gene in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and loss of RUNX1 causes hyperproliferation and abnormal morphogenesis in a 3D model of breast epithelial cells [56,57]. The differential baseline expression of tumor suppressor genes, multiple DNA repair and stress response genes in normal blood and mammary tissue of unirradiated BALB/c mice raises the intriguing hypothesis that the collective influence of the systemic functions that we have discovered, which are not themselves directly associated with mitotic status, predispose BALB/c mice to mammary cancer.

Comparative systems analyses of expression profiles at 1-month after LD exposure in mice and human breast cancer outcomes identified 36 genes that were each associated with patient disease free survival in cancer patients when they were upregulated. This signature includes mitosis-associated genes (Table 3), consistent with the observation that human cancer signatures include proliferation genes and that increased proliferation status of tumors is strongly associated with poor survival [58]. It is noteworthy that the statistical association between the 11 nonmitosis genes and breast-cancer-related death was comparable to using all 36 genes suggesting strong involvement of both mitosis and non-mitosis radiation responses in the 36 gene signature. Five

В Α Human Mouse: C57BL/6 Low Dose 1-Month Response Mouse: C57BL/6 Low Dose 1-Month Response Mouse: BALB/c Low Dose 1-Month Response Mouse: BALB/c Low Dose 1-Month Response Breast tumor vs normal adjacent to tumor Breast tumor vs unpaired normal breast breast breast carcinoma in situ vs norma east tumor vs paired normal Breast carcinoma vs normal Primary breast cancer Ductal Mitosis genes Mitosis genes CHEK1 MYC EZH2 SOX9 MCM6 RRM2 RRM2 CHEK1 MCM7 TYMS CDCA5 SMC4 STMN1 **MKI**67 NCAPD2 CCNA2 CENPA PRC1 DBF4 CENPA ECT2 CCNA2 H2AFX CDCA8 g.f. **MKI**67 CCNB1 g.f. NCAPD2 CENPN g.f. NCAPH CENPE g.f. PRC1 CCNB2 g.f. RFC4 FAM64A g.f. RRM1 CDC20 g.f. SMC4 CDCA3 g.f. MUC1 FOXM1 CKS2 MCM10 g.f. HMGB2 RFC3 g.f. TK1 NCAPG2 g.f. GINS1 KIF14 g.f. MCM2 KIF18B g.f. NCAPG HELLS g.f. UHRF1 KIF4A g.f. KIF20A WDHD1 g.f. MCM4 NCAPH g.f. CCND1 KIF2C g.f. SH3D19 KIF23 g.f. TGFBR2 PLK1 g.f. BCL6 CDKN1A Extracellular space genes and others SQLE COL5A2 WISP1 TRIP13 ADAMDEC1 ENPP1 Up-regulated genes. VCAM1 FADS2 Down-regulated genes. LTF Transcript level not significant. F3

Evidence found for both up- and downregulation.

Gene family member match in low dose mouse gene list.

Human: Mitotic Breast Cancer Signature

F

NTN4

Figure 8. Concordance of expression between 1-month mammary gland LD radiation responses and human breast cancer signatures. A. Comparison of directionality of expression of 1-month low dose genes in BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice that overlap with 946 human breast cancer biomarkers [18] with expression in DCIS and breast cancer [39,40,41,42,43]. Upregulated genes in red; downregulated genes in green. Genes with a diagonal line had evidence for both up- and downregulation. B. A human poor prognosis signature compared against expression of 1-month low dose genes in BALB/c and C57BL/6 response genes. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.g008

of the 11 non-mitosis genes had not been previously associated with breast cancer survival. We observed minimal overlap between our signatures and the 'intrinsic' gene signature that defines the molecular breast cancer subtypes (SQLE and KRT17) and the 70gene poor prognosis signature (MCM6) [59,60], suggesting that our LD radiation-response signatures are different from those developed independently of radiation exposures and provide new



Figure 9. Integrative model of genetic differences in tissue functions in radiation-sensitive and resistant mice. Unirradiated BALB/c mice have significantly higher levels of micronucleated cells compared to C57BL/6. Strain differences in gene expression are associated with RNA processing and stress response and associated expression signatures are associated with poor survival in breast cancer patients. The early (4-hr) LD response in BALB/c mice is largely driven by TGF β activation, HIF1A activity, and immune deficiency. These functions are not detected in the early expression profiles of C57BL/6 mice. At 1-month after LD irradiation, BALB/c MGs exhibits increased expression of transcriptional regulators associated with proliferation, senescence-like, and cancer-associated functions, while C57BL/6 exhibits decreased expression of proliferation-associated genes. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.g009

information related to breast cancer risks from LD radiation exposures. These findings support the hypothesis that certain mechanisms that control susceptibility to low-dose radiation induced mammary cancer in mice are similar to those that determine poor-survival in breast cancer patients.

Our studies provide strong evidence for dose non-linearities in expression and tissue functions after LD exposures, and strong evidence against the validity of the LNT for molecular responses. The LNT model uses linear extrapolations of cancer risk from high to LD exposures, with the assumption that underlying mechanisms are also linear. Our study provides overwhelming evidence for dose non-linearities in gene expression (Figure 3), tissue functions (Figure S1) and canonical pathways (Figure 4; Table S5). We also found a number of genes showing plateau-like responses with dose. As shown in Figure S4, 76 BALB/c genes were modulated in the same direction and at similar magnitudes after low- versus high-dose exposures, in striking contrast to the 24-fold difference in doses. We also found opposing directions for low- versus high-dose responses (Figure S4B). But most surprising in regards to non-linearity was our finding of strain differences in low-dose thresholds of induction, with the sensitive BALB/c strain showing lower thresholds. Table 4 lists genes similarly modulated at high dose in both BALB/c and C57BL/6 but that differed dramatically in their low-dose responses. While the BALB/c low dose responses were generally lower compared to their high-dose responses, none of these genes were induced after low dose in C57BL/6. Interestingly, the magnitude of the C57BL/6 high-dose responses were significantly different from the BALB/c high-dose responses (p = 0.003), but were not different from the BALB/c low-dose responses (p = 0.7). Taken together our findings provide strong evidence that the high dose response is not an enhancement of the low-dose response, rather it is remarkably different and strongly argues, at least at the gene expression level, against using the LNT model for low-dose risk predictions.

The fractionated low-dose exposure regimen used in our study is relevant to various human LD radiation exposure scenarios. The maximum yearly allowable dose for radiation workers in the recent nuclear crisis at a Japanese nuclear power plant is 100– 250 mSv, which is similar to the whole body fractionated dosing used in our study ($4 \times 75 = 300$ mSv). Also, multiple abdominal CT scans can yield doses of ~60 mGy and full body CT scans can involve doses of ~100 mGy, similar to the individual doses in our study. Also, the penumbra of radiotherapy fields for breast cancer can deliver doses to the contralateral breast similar to the doses in our study [2].

On the assumption that there is substantial genetic variation in molecular tissue responses and mammary cancer risks in human women exposed to LD ionizing radiation, as observed in mice, our findings provide a novel approach for developing predictive tools to identify individual with higher or lower cancer risks from LD exposures, and for distinguishing breast cancers induced by LD radiation versus other causes. Our work also points to a reexamination of the assumptions associated with biological processes controlling transduction of low-dose radiation into breast cancer risk and suggest a new strategy to identify genetic and molecular features that predispose or protect individuals from LD-induced breast cancer.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

Female, virgin C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice (\sim 6 weeks old; Harlan Laboratories, Livermore, CA) were acclimatized for 2 weeks, and the study was carried out in strict accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (Approval number: 25001). At 8 weeks of age, mice (n = 6 per group) were exposed to 4 weekly doses of (a) 7.5 cGy, (b) 1.8 Gy, or (c) sham, using a Pantak 320 kVp X-ray machine, operated at 300 kV (2 mA and 196 mGy/min for low dose, 10 mA and 783 mGy/min for high dose).

Micronucleus Analyses

For the analyses of micronucleated red blood cells, peripheral blood was collected from each mouse (n = 6 per group) at 6 days after each weekly irradiation and at 28 days after the fourth

Table 4. Strain differences in the induction of LD'thresholded' genes as evidence for genetic differences in LDresponse mechanisms.

				C57Bl/6
Gene	Low ¹ BALB/c	High ¹ BALB/c	Low ² C57Bl/6	High ¹
Hist1h2ad	-1.23	-1.84	Ns	-1.25
Mpeg1	-1.18	-1.13	Ns	-1.13
Fbn2	-1.11	-1.66	Ns	-1.08
H19	-1.01	-1.56	Ns	-1.68
Cdt1	-0.94	-1.14	Ns	-0.99
Clec7a	-0.92	-1.41	Ns	-1.19
Mcm5	-0.89	-1.15	Ns	-0.60
Irf8	-0.87	-1.06	Ns	-0.71
Cdt1	-0.85	-1.11	ns	-0.75
Stmn1	-0.84	-1.48	ns	-1.11
Lst1	-0.81	-1.12	ns	-0.58
Uhrf1	-0.71	-1.13	ns	-0.84
Col9a1	-0.71	-1.82	ns	-0.89
Irf8	-0.70	-1.53	ns	-1.07
Fyb	-0.69	-1.04	ns	-1.02
Tlr1	-0.69	-0.72	ns	-0.60
Mcm6	-0.69	-1.02	ns	-1.18
Gzma	-0.68	-1.08	ns	-0.89
Cybb	-0.66	-0.98	ns	-0.66
Ptprc	-0.64	-1.21	ns	-1.00
Ccl5	-0.61	-0.79	ns	-0.91
Copg2as2	0.65	0.85	ns	0.82
Fbxo21	0.66	0.62	ns	1.08
Anxa8	0.69	1.04	ns	0.63
Zbtb16	0.70	1.13	ns	0.90
Zbtb16	0.76	1.13	ns	0.74
Cdh13	0.96	0.84	ns	0.63
Zbtb16	1.19	1.46	ns	1.10

¹Fold change (log₂) with respect to sham irradiated animals (p<8.7E-02). ²ns - not significantly modulated after low dose with respect to sham. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045394.t004 irradiation. Approximately 100 μ l of blood was collected per time point from the saphenous vein [61] and processed with the MicroFlow^{BASIC} kit for the mouse (Litron Laboratories, Rochester, NY) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were kept at -80° C until shipment to Litron Laboratories where they were analyzed by flow cytometry for the frequencies of micronucleated reticulocytes (MN-RET) and micronucleated normochromatic erythrocytes (MN-NCE) [21]. Frequencies of MN-RET and MN-NCE of exposed mice were compared against the respective frequencies in sham of that same strain by ANOVA with Dunnett adjustment for multiple comparisons. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Differences in baseline MN frequencies were compared using two-tailed T-test with unequal variance.

RNA isolation, expression profiling and bioinformatics

At 4 hours and 1-month after the last exposure we harvested the 4th pair of mammary glands and removed their inguinal lymph nodes; mice were randomized and individually processed for RNA isolations (See Text S1, for details). Microarray hybridizations were carried out on each of four independent mice per dose group (n = 4) using Affymetrix's HT Mouse Genome 430A 96-Array Plate. The data has been deposited at NCBI GEO with accession number GSE40066 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). RMA was used to create an expression matrix and NUSE was used to assess array quality. The following bioinformatics software tools and databases were used (see Text S1, for details.): L2L (http:// depts.washington.edu/l2l/), KEGG (http://bioinfo.vanderbilt. edu/webgestalt/) DAVID (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/), pubertal mammary gland development genes [19], TGF\beta-responsive genes (http://actin.ucd.ie/tgfbeta/ and [20]), 942 biomarkers of breast cancer [18] and gene expression in human DCIS and breast cancers (http://www.nextbio.com/).

Human breast cancer datasets of disease-free survival

Expression levels of human orthologs of overlapping genes in blood and mammary gland tissue of unirradiated BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice and an unbiased set of 105 up-regulated 1-month BALB/c-specific low dose genes (i.e., genes not up-regulated in C57BL/6) were summed in breast cancer samples of patients from two independent curated breast cancer data sets (GSE1456 and GSE6532) [16,17]. The median expression value was used as a cut-point to assess group survival outcomes. A Kaplan-Meier disease-free survival curve was generated for patients with above median and below median expression. Log-rank tests were performed to compare the difference in disease-free survival between patients in the two clusters. See Text S1 for details.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Tissue functions associated with the early and 1-month LD response in BALB/c and C57BL/6 mammary glands. Distributions of predicted functions (based on GO, KEGG, IPA Canonical Pathways, Genes of Interest) at early (4 hr) and 1-month sampling times in both strains. Numbers of genes used to generate charts are listed (unique genes in parentheses). (EPS)

Figure S2 Early LD radiation response networks in the mammary glands of BALB/c mice show broad downregulation of immune response genes. The top two protein interaction networks for the BALB/c low dose genes include the functions of Inflammatory Disease/Cell Mediated Immune Response/Cellular Movement (top) and Cellular Growth, Proliferation/Hematological System Development, and Function/ Humoral Immune Response (bottom). Upregulated and downregulated genes are represented in red and green, respectively. (EPS)

Figure S3 Genetic differences in protein-interaction networks in mammary glands of BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice at 1-month after LD exposures. A. Gene interaction network enriched for genes involved in DNA replication containing mostly MCM family genes were found to contain mostly upregulated genes (highlighted in red) in mammary gland of BALB/c mice at 1 month after low dose radiation exposure. B. Protein interaction analyses of the mammary gland of C57BL/6 mice at 1-month after low dose radiation show an extracellularmatrix network and a keratin-enriched network. Genes upregulated after low dose radiation are shown in red and downregulated genes in green. In each of these networks, the majority of genes were downregulated. (EPS)

Figure S4 Early expression non-linearities in the mammary glands of BALB/c mice exposed to LD radiation. A. Fold changes in responses were calculated with respect to shamirradiated mammary glands for genes modulated after low dose and high dose radiation exposures, represented in blue and orange, respectively. Genes were filtered on fold change (+/-1.5) and pvalue (<0.1 low dose and <0.05 high dose). 76 BALB/c genes were modulated in the same direction and at similar magnitudes after low-versus high-dose exposures (left two panels). These genes are enriched for DNA metabolism (p = 0.002), DNA replication (p = 0.009) and immune responses (p < 0.05) as well as mitosis. Note the large cluster of 35 genes that was differentially modulated, i.e., upregulated after low dose and downregulated after high dose (far right panel). B. Mammary epithelial markers with opposite direction of response after low and high dose exposures in BALB/c. The markers that are associated with mammary epithelium are upregulated after low dose radiation, whereas after high dose radiation the same genes were found to be downregulated. (EPS)

Table S1Baseline levels of micronucleated reticulocytes(RET) and normochromatic erythrocytes (NCE) are significantly higher in BALB/c compared to C57BL/6 mice.(PDF)

References

- Mole RH (1978) The sensitivity of the human breast to cancer induction by ionizing radiation. Br J Radiol 51: 401–405.
- Boice JD Jr, Harvey EB, Blettner M, Stovall M, Flannery JT (1992) Cancer in the contralateral breast after radiotherapy for breast cancer. N Engl J Med 326: 781–785.
- Hancock SL, Tucker MA, Hoppe RT (1993) Breast cancer after treatment of Hodgkin's disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 85: 25–31.
- Coleman MA, Yin E, Peterson LE, Nelson D, Sorensen K, et al. (2005) Lowdose irradiation alters the transcript profiles of human lymphoblastoid cells including genes associated with cytogenetic radioadaptive response. Radiat Res 164: 369–382.
- Lowe XR, Bhattacharya S, Marchetti F, Wyrobek AJ (2009) Early brain response to low-dose radiation exposure involves molecular networks and pathways associated with cognitive functions, advanced aging and Alzheimer's disease. Radiat Res 171: 53–65.
- Nguyen DH, Oketch-Rabah HA, Illa-Bochaca I, Geyer FC, Reis-Filho JS, et al. (2011) Radiation Acts on the Microenvironment to Affect Breast Carcinogenesis by Distinct Mechanisms that Decrease Cancer Latency and Affect Tumor Type. Cancer Cell 19: 640–651.
- Wyrobek AJ, Manohar CF, Krishnan VV, Nelson DO, Furtado MR, et al. (2011) Low dose radiation response curves, networks and pathways in human lymphoblastoid cells exposed from 1 to 10 cGy of acute gamma radiation. Mutat Res 722: 119–130.
- (2006) Health Risks From Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation BEIR VII Phase 2. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Table S2Time-course of micronuclei (MN) induction in
blood reticulocytes (RET) and normochromatic eryth-
rocytes (NCE) of C57BL/6 female mice after fractionat-
ed exposures to low and high doses of ionizing radiation.
(PDF)

Table S3 Time-course of micronucleus (MN) induction in blood reticulocytes (RET) and normochromatic erythrocytes (NCE) of BALB/c female mice after fractionated exposures to low and high doses of ionizing radiation.

(PDF)

Table S4 Number of genes modulated after low and high dose exposures in the mammary glands of BALB/c and C57BL/6 at early (top) and late (bottom) timepoints after exposure.

(PDF)

Table S5 Canonical pathways significantly modulated after fractionated low or high dose exposures in the mammary gland of BALB/c or C57B1/6. (PDF)

Table S6 BALB/c early response genes associated with TGFβ response, MG development, and breast cancer. (PDF)

Table S7Summary of quantitative RT PCR confirma-tions of microarray findings.

(PDF)

Text S1 Supplementary methods. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mina Bissell for discussions on mammary gland biology and this manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AMS FM JWG AJW. Performed the experiments: AMS FM SB. Analyzed the data: AMS JH AJW ND. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: ZH JHM JWG. Wrote the paper: AMS AJW. Advised on presentation: JWG.

- Neumaier T, Swenson J, Pham C, Polyzos A, Lo AT, et al. (2011) Evidence for formation of DNA repair centers and dose-response nonlinearity in human cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109: 443–448.
- Ina Y, Tanooka H, Yamada T, Sakai K (2005) Suppression of thymic lymphoma induction by life-long low-dose-rate irradiation accompanied by immune activation in C57BL/6 mice. Radiat Res 163: 153–158.
- Olivieri G, Bodycote J, Wolff S (1984) Adaptive response of human lymphocytes to low concentrations of radioactive thymidine. Science 223: 594–597.
- Ullrich RL, Jernigan MC, Satterfield LC, Bowles ND (1987) Radiation carcinogenesis: time-dose relationships. Radiat Res 111: 179–184.
- Wolff S (1998) The adaptive response in radiobiology: evolving insights and implications. Environ Health Perspect 106 Suppl 1: 277–283.
- Storer JB, Mitchell TJ, Fry RJ (1988) Extrapolation of the relative risk of radiogenic neoplasms across mouse strains and to man. Radiat Res 114: 331– 353.
- Yu Y, Okayasu R, Weil MM, Silver A, McCarthy M, et al. (2001) Elevated breast cancer risk in irradiated BALB/c mice associates with unique functional polymorphism of the Prkdc (DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit) gene. Cancer Res 61: 1820–1824.
- Loi S, Haibe-Kains B, Desmedt C, Lallemand F, Tutt AM, et al. (2007) Definition of clinically distinct molecular subtypes in estrogen receptor-positive breast carcinomas through genomic grade. J Clin Oncol 25: 1239–1246.
- Pawitan Y, Bjohle J, Amler L, Borg AL, Egyhazi S, et al. (2005) Gene expression profiling spares early breast cancer patients from adjuvant therapy: derived and validated in two population-based cohorts. Breast Cancer Res 7: R953–964.

- Abba MC, Lacunza E, Butti M, Aldaz CM (2010) Breast cancer biomarker discovery in the functional genomic age: a systematic review of 42 gene expression signatures. Biomark Insights 5: 103–118.
- McBryan J, Howlin J, Kenny PA, Shioda T, Martin F (2007) ERalpha-CITED1 co-regulated genes expressed during pubertal mammary gland development: implications for breast cancer prognosis. Oncogene 26: 6406–6419.
- Xu XL, Kapoun AM (2009) Heterogeneous activation of the TGFbeta pathway in glioblastomas identified by gene expression-based classification using TGFbeta-responsive genes. J Transl Med 7: 12.
- Dertinger SD, Torous DK, Tometsko KR (1997) Flow cytometric analysis of micronucleated reticulocytes in mouse bone marrow. Mutat Res 390: 257–262.
- Bhilwade HN, Chaubey RC, Chauhan PS (2004) Gamma ray induced bone marrow micronucleated erythrocytes in seven strains of mouse. Mutat Res 560: 19–26.
- Bonassi S, Znaor A, Ceppi M, Lando C, Chang WP, et al. (2007) An increased micronucleus frequency in peripheral blood lymphocytes predicts the risk of cancer in humans. Carcinogenesis 28: 625–631.
- Harris AL (2002) Hypoxia–a key regulatory factor in tumour growth. Nat Rev Cancer 2: 38–47.
- Leonard MO, Cottell DC, Godson C, Brady HR, Taylor CT (2003) The role of HIF-1 alpha in transcriptional regulation of the proximal tubular epithelial cell response to hypoxia. J Biol Chem 278: 40296–40304.
- Jiang Y, Zhang W, Kondo K, Klco JM, St Martin TB, et al. (2003) Gene expression profiling in a renal cell carcinoma cell line: dissecting VHL and hypoxia-dependent pathways. Mol Cancer Res 1: 453–462.
 Barcellos-Hoff MH, Dix TA (1996) Redox-mediated activation of latent
- Barcellos-Hoff MH, Dix TA (1996) Redox-mediated activation of latent transforming growth factor-beta 1. Mol Endocrinol 10: 1077–1083.
- Chang HY, Sneddon JB, Alizadeh AA, Sood R, West RB, et al. (2004) Gene expression signature of fibroblast serum response predicts human cancer progression: similarities between tumors and wounds. PLoS Biol 2: E7.
- Johung K, Goodwin EC, DiMaio D (2007) Human papillomavirus E7 repression in cervical carcinoma cells initiates a transcriptional cascade driven by the retinoblastoma family, resulting in senescence. J Virol 81: 2102–2116.
- Wu Q, Kirschmeier P, Hockenberry T, Yang TY, Brassard DL, et al. (2002) Transcriptional regulation during p21WAF1/CIP1-induced apoptosis in human ovarian cancer cells. J Biol Chem 277: 36329–36337.
- Hanley KP, Oakley F, Sugden S, Wilson DI, Mann DA, et al. (2008) Ectopic SOX9 mediates extracellular matrix deposition characteristic of organ fibrosis. J Biol Chem 283: 14063–14071.
- Furuyama K, Kawaguchi Y, Akiyama H, Horiguchi M, Kodama S, et al. (2011) Continuous cell supply from a Sox9-expressing progenitor zone in adult liver, exocrine pancreas and intestine. Nat Genet 43: 34–41.
- Furuya M, Horiguchi J, Nakajima H, Kanai Y, Oyama T (2012) Correlation of L-type amino acid transporter 1 and CD98 expression with triple negative breast cancer prognosis. Cancer Sci.
- Helms MW, Kemming D, Pospisil H, Vogt U, Buerger H, et al. (2008) Squalene epoxidase, located on chromosome 8q24.1, is upregulated in 8q+ breast cancer and indicates poor clinical outcome in stage I and II disease. Br J Cancer 99: 774–780.
- 35. Liu ZB, Wu J, Ping B, Feng LQ, Di GH, et al. (2009) Basal cytokeratin expression in relation to immunohistochemical and clinical characterization in breast cancer patients with triple negative phenotype. Tumori 95: 53–62.
- McGowan PM, Duffy MJ (2008) Matrix metalloproteinase expression and outcome in patients with breast cancer: analysis of a published database. Ann Oncol 19: 1566–1572.
- Ou K, Kesuma D, Ganesan K, Yu K, Soon SY, et al. (2006) Quantitative profiling of drug-associated proteomic alterations by combined 2-nitrobenzenesulfenyl chloride (NBS) isotope labeling and 2DE/MS identification. J Proteome Res 5: 2194–2206.
- Symmans WF, Fiterman DJ, Anderson SK, Ayers M, Rouzier R, et al. (2005) A single-gene biomarker identifies breast cancers associated with immature cell type and short duration of prior breastfeeding. Endocr Relat Cancer 12: 1059– 1069.

- Cheng AS, Culhane AC, Chan MW, Venkataramu CR, Ehrich M, et al. (2008) Epithelial progeny of estrogen-exposed breast progenitor cells display a cancerlike methylome. Cancer Res 68: 1786–1796.
- Emery LA, Tripathi A, King C, Kavanah M, Mendez J, et al. (2009) Early dysregulation of cell adhesion and extracellular matrix pathways in breast cancer progression. Am J Pathol 175: 1292–1302.
- Hu Z, Fan C, Livasy C, He X, Oh DS, et al. (2009) A compact VEGF signature associated with distant metastases and poor outcomes. BMC Med 7: 9.
- Pedraza V, Gomez-Capilla JA, Escaramis G, Gomez C, Torne P, et al. (2010) Gene expression signatures in breast cancer distinguish phenotype characteristics, histologic subtypes, and tumor invasiveness. Cancer 116: 486–496.
- Yu K, Ganesan K, Tan LK, Laban M, Wu J, et al. (2008) A precisely regulated gene expression cassette potently modulates metastasis and survival in multiple solid cancers. PLoS Genet 4: e1000129.
- Ullrich RL, Ponnaiya B (1998) Radiation-induced instability and its relation to radiation carcinogenesis. Int J Radiat Biol 74: 747–754.
- Selvanayagam CS, Davis CM, Cornforth MN, Ullrich RL (1995) Latent expression of p53 mutations and radiation-induced mammary cancer. Cancer Res 55: 3310–3317.
- Ponnaiya B, Cornforth MN, Ullrich RL (1997) Radiation-induced chromosomal instability in BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice: the difference is as clear as black and white. Radiat Res 147: 121–125.
- Shankar B, Premachandran S, Bharambe SD, Sundaresan P, Sainis KB (1999) Modification of immune response by low dose ionizing radiation: role of apoptosis. Immunol Lett 68: 237–245.
- Gold LI (1999) The role for transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) in human cancer. Crit Rev Oncog 10: 303–360.
- Bristow RG, Hill RP (2008) Hypoxia and metabolism. Hypoxia, DNA repair and genetic instability. Nat Rev Cancer 8: 180–192.
- Zhong H, De Marzo AM, Laughner E, Lim M, Hilton DA, et al. (1999) Overexpression of hypoxia-inducible factor lalpha in common human cancers and their metastases. Cancer Res 59: 5830–5835.
- Bonin F, Molina M, Malet C, Ginestet C, Berthier-Vergnes O, et al. (2009) GATA3 is a master regulator of the transcriptional response to low-dose ionizing radiation in human keratinocytes. BMC Genomics 10: 417.
- Rodier F, Campisi J (2011) Four faces of cellular senescence. J Cell Biol 192: 547–556.
- Agrawal-Singh S, Isken F, Agelopoulos K, Klein HU, Thoennissen NH, et al. (2011) Genome wide analysis of histone H3 acetylation patterns in AML identifies PRDX2 as an epigenetically silenced tumor suppressor gene. Blood.
- Forzati (2012) CBX7 is a tumor suppressor in mice and humans. J Clin Invest.
 Neumann CA, Fang Q (2007) Are peroxiredoxins tumor suppressors? Curr
- Opin Pharmacol 7: 375–380.
 56. Silva FP, Morolli B, Storlazzi CT, Anelli L, Wessels H, et al. (2003) Identification of RUNX1/AML1 as a classical tumor suppressor gene. Oncogene 22: 538–547.
- Wang L, Brugge JS, Janes KA (2011) Intersection of FOXO- and RUNX1mediated gene expression programs in single breast epithelial cells during morphogenesis and tumor progression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: E803– 812.
- Whitfield ML, George LK, Grant GD, Perou CM (2006) Common markers of proliferation. Nat Rev Cancer 6: 99–106.
- Sorlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, et al. (2001) Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 10869–10874.
- van 't Veer LJ, Dai H, van de Vijver MJ, He YD, Hart AA, et al. (2002) Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. Nature 415: 530– 536.
- Hem A, Smith AJ, Solberg P (1998) Saphenous vein puncture for blood sampling of the mouse, rat, hamster, gerbil, guinea pig, ferret and mink. Lab Anim 32: 364–368.