## UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

## Title

Vitamin D Receptor Gene Polymorphisms and Prognosis of Breast Cancer among African-American and Hispanic Women

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0jk7g1p3

Journal PLOS ONE, 8(3)

**ISSN** 1932-6203

### Authors

| Mishra, Dhruva K     |
|----------------------|
| Wu, Yanyuan          |
| Sarkissyan, Marianna |
| et al.               |

### **Publication Date**

2013

## DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0057967

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

## Vitamin D Receptor Gene Polymorphisms and Prognosis of Breast Cancer among African-American and Hispanic Women

# Dhruva K. Mishra<sup>1</sup>, Yanyuan Wu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Marianna Sarkissyan<sup>1</sup>, Suren Sarkissyan<sup>1</sup>, Zujian Chen<sup>1</sup>, Xiying Shang<sup>1</sup>, May Ong<sup>1</sup>, David Heber<sup>2,3</sup>, H. Phillip Koeffler<sup>4,5</sup>, Jaydutt V. Vadgama<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*

1 Division of Cancer Research and Training, Center to Eliminate Cancer Health Disparities, Department of Internal Medicine, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 2 David Geffen UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 3 UCLA-Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 4 Division of Hematology and Oncology, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 5 National Cancer Institute of Singapore, National University, Singapore, Singapore

#### Abstract

**Background:** Vitamin D plays a role in cancer development and acts through the vitamin D receptor (VDR). Although African-Americans have the lowest levels of serum vitamin D, there is a dearth of information on VDR gene polymorphisms and breast cancer among African-Americans and Hispanics. This study examines whether VDR gene polymorphisms are associated with breast cancer in these cohorts.

*Methods:* Blood was collected from 232 breast cancer patients (Cases) and 349 non-cancer subjects (Controls). Genotyping for four polymorphic variants of VDR (*Fokl, Bsml, Taql* and *Apal*) was performed using the PCR-RFLP method.

**Results:** An increased association of the VDR-Fok1 *f* allele with breast cancer was observed in African-Americans (OR = 1.9, p = 0.07). Furthermore, the FbTA, FbtA and fbtA haplotypes were associated with breast cancer among African-Americans (p < 0.05). Latinas were more likely to have the VDR-Apal alleles (*Aa or aa*) (p = 0.008). The VDR-Apal *aa* genotype was significantly associated with poorly-differentiated breast tumors (p = 0.04) in combined Cases. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed decreased 5-year disease-free-survival (DFS) in breast cancer patients who had the VDR-Fok1 *FF* genotype (p < 0.05). The Cox regression with multivariate analysis revealed the independent predictor value of the VDR-Fokl polymorphism for DFS. The other three variants of VDR (*Bsml, Taql* and *Apal*) were not associated with disease outcome.

*Conclusions:* VDR haplotypes are associated with breast cancer in African-Americans, but not in Hispanic/Latinas. The VDR-Fokl *FF* genotype is linked with poor prognosis in African-American women with breast cancer.

Citation: Mishra DK, Wu Y, Sarkissyan M, Sarkissyan S, Chen Z, et al. (2013) Vitamin D Receptor Gene Polymorphisms and Prognosis of Breast Cancer among African-American and Hispanic Women. PLoS ONE 8(3): e57967. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057967

Editor: Ming Tat Ling, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Received November 5, 2012; Accepted January 29, 2013; Published March 12, 2013

**Copyright:** © 2013 Mishra et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This work was supported by National Institutes of Health(NIH)/NCI (Grant numbers U56CA101599-01; CA15083-25S3; U54CA14393); NIH/NIDDK (Grant number R25DK067015-01); Department of Defense (BCRP) (Grant number BC043180) – to JVV. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: jayvadgama@cdrewu.edu

#### Introduction

Several studies have demonstrated a decrease in Vitamin D receptor (VDR) expression in breast cancer cells compared to normal breast cells [1]. Decreased levels of VDR in breast cancer cells could be due to VDR gene polymorphisms [2], and/or DNA methylation [3–5]. Alterations in VDR expression and activity could lead to deregulation of Vitamin D uptake, metabolism, and serum levels of biologically active Vitamin D.

VDR gene has multiple gene polymorphisms [6], and four important single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in exon 2 and 3'UTR region. These are VDR-FokI (rs2228570), VDR-BsmI (rs1544410), VDR-TaqI (rs731236) and VDR-ApaI (rs7975232). Functional studies have identified that the VDR-FokI polymorphism leads to a shorter VDR protein by altering a translation initiation site [7,8]. Large meta-analyses have demonstrated a significant association of the VDR FokI polymorphisms with breast cancer [9,10], and other cancers across multiple ethnic cohorts [11,12]. The functional role of VDR-BSMI, VDR-TaqI, and VDR-ApaI, which are all located near the 3'UTR region, are not as clear. However, studies do suggest that these polymorphisms may alter the polyadenylation of the VDR mRNA transcript, and thus affect mRNA stability [13]. Several studies show contradictory results on these polymorphisms and risk for breast cancer. Some studies found an association of breast cancer with BsmI, TaqI and ApaI [14–18] polymorphisms while others found no associations [19–21].

We have examined four VDR polymorphisms (FokI, TaqI, BsmI, ApaI) in African-American and Hispanic women from South Los Angeles and their association with breast cancer risk and survival.

Table 1. Characteristics of Breast Cancer Cases and Controls.

| Subject<br>Characteristics               | African-<br>American | Latina    | <i>P</i> -Value <sup>*</sup> |
|--|----------------------|-----------|------------------------------|
|  | (N = 188)            | (N = 393) |                              |
| Mean Age±SD<br>(Range)                   |                      |           |                              |
| Cases                                    | 53±9                 | 49±11     | 0.006                        |
| Controls                                 | 51±10                | 46±10     | 0.001                        |
| P-Value**                                | 0.13                 | 0.003     |                              |
| Mean BMI±SD<br>(Range)                   |                      |           |                              |
| Cases                                    | 34±9                 | 32±7      | 0.01                         |
| Controls                                 | 35±8                 | 31±6      | <0.001                       |
| P-Value <sup>**</sup>                    | 0.74                 | 0.19      |                              |
| Cases Only<br>(N = 232)                  | N (%)                | N (%)     |                              |
| ER/PR Status                             |                      |           |                              |
| ER/PR+                                   | 60 (52)              | 54 (46)   | 0.24                         |
| ER/PR-                                   | 42 (37)              | 43 (37)   |                              |
| Unknown                                  | 13 (11)              | 20 (17)   |                              |
| HER2 Status                              |                      |           |                              |
| 3+                                       | 16 (14)              | 22 (19)   | 0.64                         |
| 2+                                       | 12 (10)              | 14 (12)   |                              |
| 1+                                       | 27 (24)              | 21 (18)   |                              |
| Negative                                 | 40 (35)              | 35 (30)   |                              |
| Unknown                                  | 20 (17)              | 25 (21)   |                              |
| Histology                                |                      |           |                              |
| Infiltrating<br>Ductal Carcinoma         | 72 (63)              | 72 (62)   | 0.77                         |
| Infiltrating<br>Lobular Carcinoma        | 20 (17)              | 15 (13)   |                              |
| Infiltrating<br>Adenocarcinoma           | 4 (4)                | 2 (2)     |                              |
| Infiltrating<br>Intraductal<br>Carcinoma | 0 (0)                | 1 (1)     |                              |
| Ductal                                   | 0 (0)                | 1 (1)     |                              |
| Lobular                                  | 2 (2)                | 2 (2)     |                              |
| Unknown                                  | 17 (15)              | 24 (2)    |                              |
| Differentiation                          |                      |           |                              |
| Well                                     | 10 (9)               | 6 (5)     | 0.23                         |
| Moderately                               | 33 (29)              | 30 (26)   |                              |
| Poorly                                   | 55 (48)              | 55 (47)   |                              |
| Unknown                                  | 17 (15)              | 26 (22)   |                              |
| Stage                                    |                      |           |                              |
| 0  | 7 (6)                | 8 (7)     | 0.05                         |
| I  | 23 (20)              | 8 (7)     |                              |
| II                                       | 46 (40)              | 47 (40)   |                              |
| Ш  | 22 (19)              | 29 (25)   |                              |
| IV                                       | 4 (4)                | 7 (6)     |                              |
| Unknown                                  | 13 (11)              | 18 (15)   |                              |

\*P-value<0.05 is significant.

\*\*P-value assesses significance within the column. (P<0.05 is significant). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057967.t001

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Ethics Statement**

This study, including the consent protocol, was approved by the Charles R. Drew University of Science and Medicine Institutional Review Board (Approval # 00-06-041-13). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

#### Study Population

This is a retrospective cohort hospital-based study. Subjects were selected from our current breast study database in the Division of Cancer Research and Training, at Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science. We selected 232 women with breast cancer as Cases (115 African-Americans and 117 Hispanic/Latinas), and 349 normal, healthy women as Controls (73 African-Americans and 276 Latinas). Subjects were recruited between 1995 and 2007, and had documented personal history, clinical history, and tumor pathology data.

#### VDR polymorphisms

Genomic DNA was extracted from buffy coat samples using the Qiagen DNA extraction kit. Vitamin D receptor genotyping for all four single-nucleotide polymorphic sites (SNPs) was done by the PCR-RFLP method (polymerase chain reaction- restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis). We used the following flanking primers [16,21]. The BsmI polymorphism was detected using one primer originating in exon 7 (Forward: 5'-CAACCAA-GACTACAAGTACCGCGTCAGTGA-3') and a second in intron 8 (Reverse: 5'-AACCAGCGGAAGAGGTCAAGGG-3'), amplifying an 823 base pair (bp) fragment spanning the BsmI site. The ApaI and TaqI polymorphisms were detected using one primer in intron 8 (Forward: 5'- AGAGCATGGACAGGGAG-CAAG- 3') and the other in exon 9 (Reverse: 5'-GCAACTCCT-CATGGCTGAGGTCTCA- 3'), yielding a 745 bp fragment spanning the ApaI and TaqI site. VDR-FokI polymorphic site was amplified using following primers Forward -5'GATGC-CAGCTGGCCCTGGCACTG3' and Reverse - 5'ATGGAAA-CACCTTGCTTCTTCTCCCTC 3'. PCR conditions were as follows: denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of PCR at 94°C (30 sec), 60°C–63.5°C (30 sec), and 72°C (30 sec). Annealing temperature for BsmI, ApaI/TaqI and FokI PCR are 63.5°C, 61°C and 59.5°C, respectively. Following PCR, aliquots of the amplified PCR products was digested with BsmI, ApaI, TaqI and FokI in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, USA). The presence (lowercase) or absence (uppercase) of the enzyme recognition site was identified by ethidium bromide staining of fragments separated in a 2% agarose gel. Genotypes were assigned as BB, Bb, bb for the BsmI polymorphisms, AA, Aa, aa for the ApaI polymorphisms, TT, Tt and tt for the TaqI polymorphisms and FF, Ff and ff for the VDR-FokI polymorphisms. For the BsmI cleaved PCR products, the single resultant fragment was 823 bp, whereas the two resultant fragments were 648 bp and 175 bp. The PCR products digested with TaqI enzyme resulted in fragments of 496 bp and 249 bp in the presence of the polymorphic cutting site. PCR products digested with ApaI enzyme result in fragments of 531 bp and 214 bp in the presence of the polymorphic cutting site. The PCR products of VDR-FokI polymorphism (273 bp) digested with FokI enzyme result in 198 bp and 75 bp products in the presence of restriction site.

#### Statistical Analysis

We used SPSS (ver 11.5) software to analyze our data. The Pearson Chi-square test was used to determine the statistically

| rols.       |
|-------------|
| Cont        |
| and         |
| Cases       |
| tina (      |
| ic/La       |
| ispan       |
| H pu        |
| can a       |
| meri        |
| can-A       |
| n Afri      |
| oes ir      |
| inotyl      |
| al ge       |
| JR-Ap       |
| JV br       |
| aql aı      |
| DR-T        |
| , v         |
| OR-Bs       |
| kl, VI      |
| DR-Fc       |
| of VI       |
| ution       |
| istrib      |
| <b>2.</b> D |
| able        |
| <b>⊢</b> _  |

|  | All Subjects†  |                   |                                      | African-Americ                | an Subjects††              |                             |              | Hispanic/Latina                         | i Subjects††              |   |
|--|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|---------------------------|---|
|  | Control N (%)  | Case N (%)        | OR at 95%<br>CI * <i>P-</i> value    | Control N (%)                 | Case N (%)                 | OR at 95% Cl *              | P-value      | Control N (%)                           | Case N (%)                | OR at 95%<br>Cl *P-value                        |
|  | N=73   | N=115             |                                      | N = 73                        | N=115                      |                             |              | N = 276                                 | N=117                     |   |
| VDR-Fokl   |  |                   |                                      |                               |                            |                             |              |   |                           |   |
| FF   | 148 (42)   | 95 (41)           | 1.0                                  | 38 (52)                       | 53 (46)                    | 1.0                         |              | 110 (40)                                | 42 (36)                   | 1.0   |
| Ff   | 144 (41)   | 110 (47)          | 1.3 (0.8–2.1) 0.26                   | 30 (41)                       | 55 (48)                    | 2.2 (0.95–5.1) 0            | 0.06         | 114 (41)                                | 55 (47)                   | 1.0 (0.5–1.8) 0.98                              |
| ff   | 57 (16)  | 27 (12)           | 1.3 (0.7–2.6) 0.43                   | 5 (7)                         | 7 (6)                      | 2.9 (0.3–26.3) C            | .33          | 52 (19)                                 | 20 (17)                   | 1.0 (0.5–2.2) 0.91                              |
| F allele   | 440 (63)   | 300 (64)          | 1.0                                  | 106 (73)                      | 161 (70)                   | 1.0                         |              | 334 (61)                                | 139 (59)                  | 1.0   |
| f allele   | 258 (37)   | 164 (35)          | 1.2 (0.9–1.7) 0.29                   | 40 (27)                       | 69 (30)                    | 1.9 (0.9–3.7) 0             | .07          | 218 (40)                                | 95 (41)                   | 1.0 (0.7–1.5) 0.92                              |
| VDR-Bsml   |  |                   |                                      |                               |                            |                             |              |   |                           |   |
| BB   | 26 (7)   | 19 (8)            | 1.0                                  | 8 (11)                        | 9 (8)                      | 1.0                         |              | 18 (7)                                  | 10 (9)                    | 1.0   |
| Bb   | 141 (40)   | 90 (39)           | 0.8 (0.3–1.9) 0.57                   | 31 (43)                       | 40 (35)                    | 0.7 (0.1–3.6) 0             | .63          | 110 (40)                                | 50 (43)                   | 0.9 (0.3–2.4) 0.76                              |
| bb   | 182 (52)   | 123 (53)          | 0.9 (0.3–1.9) 0.59                   | 34 (47)                       | 66 (57)                    | 1.4 (0.3–7.9) C             | .68          | 148 (54)                                | 57 (49)                   | 0.6 (0.2–1.7) 0.34                              |
| B allele   | 193 (28)   | 128 (28)          | 1.0                                  | 47 (32)                       | 58 (25)                    | 1.0                         |              | 146 (26)                                | 70 (30)                   | 1.0   |
| b allele   | 505 (72)   | 336 (72)          | 0.9 (0.7–1.3) 0.76                   | (89) 66                       | 172 (75)                   | 1.6 (0.9–2.9) 0             | .15          | 406 (74)                                | 164 (70)                  | 0.75 (0.5–1.1) 0.19                             |
| VDR-Taql   |  |                   |                                      |                               |                            |                             |              |   |                           |   |
| Щ  | 223 (64)   | 141 (61)          | 1.0                                  | 40 (55)                       | 66 (57)                    | 1.0                         |              | 183 (66)                                | 75 (64)                   | 1.0   |
| Tt   | 106 (30)   | 66 (28)           | 0.8 (0.5–1.3) 0.31                   | 28 (38)                       | 35 (30)                    | 0.6 (0.3–1.4) 0             | 0.24         | 78 (28)                                 | 31 (27)                   | 0.9 (0.5–1.6) 0.66                              |
| tt   | 20 (6)   | 25 (11)           | 1.3 (0.5–3.0) 0.58                   | 5 (7)                         | 14 (12)                    | 1.1 (0.3–4.4) C             | .91          | 15 (5)                                  | 11 (9)                    | 1.4 (0.5–4.0) 0.59                              |
| T allele   | 552 (79)   | 348 (75)          | 1.0                                  | 108 (74)                      | 167 (73)                   | 1.0                         |              | 444 (80)                                | 181 (77)                  | 1.0   |
| t allele   | 146 (21)   | 116 (25)          | 0.9 (0.7–1.4) 0.85                   | 38 (26)                       | 63 (27)                    | 0.8 (0.5–1.6) C             | 09.0         | 108 (20)                                | 53 (23)                   | 1.0 (0.6–1.6) 0.91                              |
| VDR-Apal   |  |                   |                                      |                               |                            |                             |              |   |                           |   |
| АА   | 110 (32)   | 82 (35)           | 1.0                                  | 28 (38)                       | 49 (43)                    | 1.0                         |              | 82 (29)                                 | 33 (28)                   | 1.0   |
| Aa   | 185 (53)   | 115 (50)          | 1.0 (0.6–1.7) 0.88                   | 33 (45)                       | 54 (47)                    | 0.8 (0.3–1.8) 0             | .51          | 152 (55)                                | 61 (52)                   | 1.3 (0.7–2.4) 0.49                              |
| aa   | 54 (16)  | 35 (15)           | 1.1 (0.5–2.1) 0.88                   | 12 (16)                       | 12 (10)                    | 0.6 (0.2–2.2) C             | .45          | 42 (15)                                 | 23 (20)                   | 1.3 (0.6–3.0) 0.47                              |
| A allele   | 405 (58)   | 279 (60)          | 1.0                                  | 89 (61)                       | 152 (66)                   | 1.0                         |              | 316 (57)                                | 127 (54)                  | 1.0   |
| a allele   | 293 (42)   | 185 (40)          | 1.0 (0.7–1.4) 0.88                   | 57 (39)                       | 78 (34)                    | 0.8 (0.4–1.4) 0             | .42          | 236 (43)                                | 107 (46)                  | 1.2 (0.8–1.7) 0.47                              |
| Note: For analysis of alleles F and<br>polymorphic Apal.<br>*P-value is significant if P<0.05;<br>*Analysis adjusted for age, BMI &<br>*Analysis adjusted for age and I<br>doi:10.1371/journal.pone.005796 | l f, B and b, T and t,<br>and ethnicity;<br>BMI.<br>7.t002 | A and a, each suk | oject was used twice. <b>F-</b> wilc | d type Fokl, <b>f</b> - polyr | norphic Fokl; <b>B</b> - w | riid type Bsml, <b>b</b> -p | olymorphic I | ssml; <b>T</b> - wild type <sup>-</sup> | Taql, <b>t</b> - polymorp | nic Taql; <b>A</b> - wild type Apal, <b>a</b> - |

**Table 3.** VDR hanlotynes. Breast Cancer, and Ethnicity.

| Association of VDR haplotype | es and breast cancer in African-A | merican and Hispanic/ | Latina women |                       |                 |          |                       |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------|
|                              |                                   | African-American      |              |                       | Hispanic/Latina |          |                       |
| VDR Haplotypes               | Nucleo-tides                      | Frequencies (%)       |              | Odds Ratio            | Frequencies (%) |          | Odds Ratio            |
|                              |                                   | Cases                 | Controls     | OR^ (95% CI) <i>P</i> | Cases           | Controls | OR^ (95% CI) <i>P</i> |
| FBTA                         | TGTC                              | 17.0                  | 25.4         | -                     | 19.5            | 16.7     | -                     |
| FbTA                         | TATC                              | 26.3                  | 20.6         | 1.9 (1.1–3.3) 0.02    | 12.4            | 15.6     | 0.7 (0.4–1.2) 0.20    |
| FbTa                         | TATA                              | 7.1                   | 13.4         | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) 0.53    | 14.6            | 11.4     | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) 0.76    |
| fbTa                         | CATA                              | 12.1                  | 5.8          | 1.1 (1.0–1.2) 0.002   | 16.8            | 16.4     | 0.9 (0.9–1.0) 0.65    |
| fbTA                         | CATC                              | 5.8                   | 5.2          | 1.1 (0.9–1.4) 0.22    | 8.0             | 7.4      | 0.9 (0.8–1.2) 0.82    |
| FbtA                         | TACC                              | 7.6                   | 4.5          | 1.6 (1.1–2.4) 0.03    | 3.1             | 2.9      | 0.9 (0.6–1.6) 0.86    |
| FBTa                         | TGTA                              | 1.8                   | 2.1          | 1.0 (0.9–1.2) 0.70    | 2.2             | 2.7      | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) 0.57    |
| fBTA                         | CGTC                              | 1.8                   | 2.1          | 1.0 (0.8–1.2) 0.70    | 2.2             | 4.0      | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) 0.18    |
| fBTa                         | CGTA                              | 0.9                   | 0.7          | 1.0 (0.9–1.2) 0.51    | 0.9             | 1.6      | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) 0.38    |
| fbtA                         | CACC                              | 4.5                   | 2.4          | 1.4 (1.1–1.9) 0.05    | 5.8             | 4.8      | 1.0 (0.8–1.3) 0.94    |
| FBtA                         | TGCC                              | 3.1                   | 4.8          | 1.0 (0.8–1.2) 0.96    | 3.1             | 2.9      | 0.9 (0.8–1.2) 0.86    |
| Fbta                         | TACA                              | 6.3                   | 4.8          | 1.1 (0.9–1.1) 0.12    | 3.1             | 4.8      | 0.9 (0.8–1.0) 0.23    |
| fBta                         | CGCA                              | 0.0                   | 1.7          |                       | 0.9             | 0.3      | 1.1 (0.9–1.3) 0.40    |
| fBtA                         | CGCC                              | 0.0                   | 1.7          |                       | 0.4             | 1.1      | 0.8 (0.7–1.2) 0.37    |
| FBta                         | TGCA                              | 0.4                   | 0.0          | ı                     | 1.3             | 0.0      | ı                     |
| fbta                         | CACA                              | 5.4                   | 4.8          | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) 0.25    | 5.8             | 7.4      | 0.9 (0.9–1.0) 0.29    |
| Association of VDR haplotypu | es and ethnicity in Cases and Co  | ntrols                |              |                       |                 |          |                       |
|                              |                                   | Cases                 |              |                       | Controls        |          |                       |
| VDR Haplotypes               | Nucleo-tides                      | Frequencies (         | (%           | Odds Ratio            | Frequencies (%) |          | Odds Ratio            |
|                              |                                   | AA                    | Latina       | OR* (95% CI) P        | AA              | Latina   | OR* (95% CI) P        |
| FBTA                         | TGTC                              | 17.0                  | 19.5         | -                     | 25.4            | 16.7     | -                     |
| FbTA                         | TATC                              | 26.3                  | 12.4         | 2.4 (1.3–4.6) 0.005   | 20.6            | 15.6     | 0.9 (0.5–1.4) 0.87    |
| FbTa                         | TATA                              | 7.1                   | 14.6         | 0.9 (0.8–1.0) 0.13    | 13.4            | 11.4     | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) 0.36    |
| fbTa                         | CATA                              | 12.1                  | 16.8         | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) 0.56    | 5.8             | 16.4     | 0.8 (0.8-0.9) <0.001  |
| fbTA                         | CATC                              | 5.8                   | 8.0          | 1.0 (0.8–1.2) 0.68    | 5.2             | 7.4      | 0.8 (0.7-0.9) 0.03    |
| FbtA                         | TACC                              | 7.6                   | 3.1          | 1.7 (1.0–2.7) 0.04    | 4.5             | 2.9      | 1.0 (0.6–1.6) 0.99    |
| FBTa                         | TGTA                              | 1.8                   | 2.2          | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) 0.91    | 2.1             | 2.7      | 0.9 (0.8–1.0) 0.22    |
| fBTA                         | CGTC                              | 1.8                   | 2.2          | 1.0 (0.8–1.2) 0.91    | 2.              | 4.0      | 0.8 (0.7-1.0) 0.04    |
| fBTa                         | CGTA                              | 6.0                   | 0.9          | 1.0 (0.9–1.2) 0.87    | 0.7             | 1.6      | 0.9 (0.8–1.0) 0.13    |
| fbtA                         | CACC                              | 4.5                   | 5.8          | 1.0 (0.7–1.3) 0.81    | 2.4             | 4.8      | 0.7 (0.6–0.9) 0.02    |
| FBtA                         | TGCC                              | 3.1                   | 3.1          | 1.0 (0.8-1.2) 0.80    | 4.8             | 2.9      | 1.0 (0.9–1.2) 0.86    |

| Table 3. Cont.  |  |                 |        |                    |                 |        |                     |
|---|--|-----------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|
|   |  |                 |        |                    |                 |        |                     |
| Association of VDR haplotypes and   | l ethnicity in Cases and Controls                    |                 |        |                    |                 |        |                     |
|   |  | Cases           |        |                    | Controls        |        |                     |
| VDR Haplotypes  | Nucleo-tides   | Frequencies (%) |        | Odds Ratio         | Frequencies (%) |        | Odds Ratio          |
|   |  | AA              | Latina | OR* (95% CI) P     | AA              | Latina | OR* (95% CI) P      |
| Fbta  | TACA   | 6.3             | 3.1    | 1.1 (0.9–1.2) 0.10 | 4.8             | 4.8    | 1.0 (0.9–1.0) 0.30  |
| fBta  | CGCA   | 0.0             | 0.9    |                    | 1.7             | 0.3    | 1.1 (0.9–1.4) 0.19  |
| fBtA  | CGCC   | 0.0             | 0.4    | ,                  | 1.7             | 1.1    | 1.0 (0.9–1.2) 0.93  |
| FBta  | TGCA   | 0.4             | 1.3    | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) 0.42 |                 | 1      |                     |
| fbta  | CACA   | 5.4             | 5.8    | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) 0.88 | 4.8             | 7.4    | 0.9 (0.9–0.99) 0.02 |
| ^Cases vs. Controls, Significance is P<br>*African-American vs. Hispanic/Latine<br>doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057967.t00 | <0.05;<br>a ethnicity, Significance is P<0.05.<br>33 |                 |        |                    |                 |        |                     |

| panic/Latina women. |
|---------------------|
| I His               |
| and                 |
| frican-American     |
| in A                |
| oolymorphisms i     |
| DR                  |
| between VI          |
| (LD                 |
| disequilibrium      |
| 4. Linkage          |
| Table 4             |

|  | Locus 1  | Locus 2   | D' (CI)                            | TOD                                 | r²                                    |
|--|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| African-American   | VDRF   | VDRB  | 0.09 (0.00-0.04)                   | 0.06                                | 0.001                                 |
|  | VDRF   | VDRA  | 0.14 (0.02–0.29)                   | 0.56                                | 0.01                                  |
|  | VDRF   | VDRT  | 0.17 (0.00-0.44)                   | 0.21                                | 0.004                                 |
|  | VDRB   | VDRA  | 0.09 (0.00-0.37)                   | 0.07                                | 0.002                                 |
|  | VDRB   | VDRT  | 0.16 (0.03-0.28)                   | 1.01                                | 0.02                                  |
|  | VDRA   | VDRT  | 0.32 (0.07–0.53)                   | 0.94                                | 0.02                                  |
| Hispanic/Latina  | VDRF   | VDRB  | 0.12 (0.00-0.30)                   | 0.27                                | 0.003                                 |
|  | VDRF   | VDRA  | 0.08 (0.00-0.18)                   | 0.58                                | 0.006                                 |
|  | VDRF   | VDRT  | 0.14 (0.01–0.30)                   | 0.30                                | 0.003                                 |
|  | VDRB   | VDRA  | 0.25 (0.08-0.40)                   | 1.48                                | 0.02                                  |
|  | VDRB   | VDRT  | 0.38 (0.27–0.48)                   | 7.80                                | 0.10                                  |
|  | VDRA   | VDRT  | 0.66 (0.49–0.77)                   | 8.44                                | 0.09                                  |
| Note: All samples were in Hardy-Weinberg Equili<br>odds ratio, a measure of confidence in the D'val<br>doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057967.t004 | brium (HWE) among the groups. LD $^\prime$ lue; $r^2$ is the correlation coefficient b | vas calculated between markers am<br>etween the two loci. | ong African-Americans or Hispanics | Latinas. D' is the prime between th | he loci; LOD is the log of likelihood |

| Table 5. Association of V   | /DR genotyp               | es with Turr      | ior Patholog | y and Ethnic | ity. |     |          |     |     |           |     |     |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|------|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----------|-----|-----|
|   | VDR-Fokl                  |                   |              | VDR-Bsml     |      |     | VDR-Taql |     |     | VDR-Apal  |     |     |
|   | ۲                         | Ff                | Ħ            | BB           | Bb   | qq  | F        | Ħ   | Ħ   | AA        | Аа  | aa  |
| Ethnicity   |                           |                   |              |              |      |     |          |     |     |           |     |     |
| АА  | 46%                       | 48%               | 6%           | 8%           | 35%  | 57% | 57%      | 30% | 12% | 43%       | 47% | 10% |
| Latina  | 36%                       | 47%               | 17%          | 9%           | 43%  | 49% | 64%      | 27% | %6  | 28%       | 52% | 20% |
|   | p=0.02                    |                   |              | p=n.s.       |      |     | p = n.s. |     |     | p = 0.008 |     |     |
| ER/PR Status  |                           |                   |              |              |      |     |          |     |     |           |     |     |
| ER/PR+ve  | 42%                       | 47%               | 11%          | 8%           | 41%  | 51% | 59%      | 29% | 12% | 36%       | 49% | 15% |
| ER/PR -ve   | 42%                       | 46%               | 12%          | 6%           | 39%  | 55% | 62%      | 25% | 13% | 35%       | 51% | 14% |
|   | p = n.s.                  |                   |              | p=n.s.       |      |     | p = n.s. |     |     | p = n.s.  |     |     |
| HER2 Status   |                           |                   |              |              |      |     |          |     |     |           |     |     |
| HER2 +ve  | 40%                       | 55%               | 5%           | 11%          | 40%  | 50% | 63%      | 21% | 16% | 42%       | 45% | 13% |
| HER2 -ve  | 42%                       | 45%               | 13%          | 7%           | 40%  | 54% | 60%      | 28% | 11% | 36%       | 52% | 12% |
|   | p = n.s.                  |                   |              | p=n.s.       |      |     | p = n.s. |     |     | p = n.s.  |     |     |
| Distant Metastasis  |                           |                   |              |              |      |     |          |     |     |           |     |     |
| Yes   | 44%                       | 56%               | %0           | 11%          | 33%  | 56% | 56%      | 22% | 22% | 33%       | 33% | 33% |
| No  | 41%                       | 47%               | 12%          | 7%           | 40%  | 53% | 61%      | 28% | 11% | 37%       | 48% | 15% |
|   | p = n.s.                  |                   |              | p=n.s.       |      |     | p = n.s. |     |     | p = n.s.  |     |     |
| Differentiation   |                           |                   |              |              |      |     |          |     |     |           |     |     |
| Well  | 44%                       | 33%               | 22%          | %0           | 44%  | 56% | 56%      | 44% | 0%  | 67%       | 33% | 0%0 |
| Moderate  | 34%                       | 51%               | 15%          | 7%           | 53%  | 41% | 59%      | 31% | 10% | 33%       | 61% | 7%  |
| Poor  | 44%                       | 47%               | 9%           | 8%           | 38%  | 55% | 61%      | 24% | 16% | 34%       | 46% | 20% |
|   | p = n.s.                  |                   |              | p=n.s.       |      |     | p = n.s. |     |     | p = 0.04  |     |     |
| Stage   |                           |                   |              |              |      |     |          |     |     |           |     |     |
| 0   | 53%                       | 33%               | 13%          | 13%          | 27%  | %09 | 60%      | 40% | %0  | 40%       | 27% | 33% |
| Ι   | 39%                       | 48%               | 13%          | 10%          | 32%  | 58% | 55%      | 36% | 10% | 32%       | 48% | 19% |
| Π   | 39%                       | 50%               | 12%          | 5%           | 42%  | 53% | 63%      | 24% | 13% | 41%       | 47% | 12% |
| Ш   | 46%                       | 47%               | 8%           | 6%           | 43%  | 51% | 65%      | 24% | 12% | 31%       | 55% | 14% |
| IV  | 36%                       | 46%               | 18%          | 9%           | 36%  | 55% | 46%      | 27% | 27% | 36%       | 36% | 27% |
|   | p = n.s.                  |                   |              | p=n.s.       |      |     | p = n.s. |     |     | p = n.s.  |     |     |
| Note: N.s. is not-significant with a doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057967 | a P-value>0.05.<br>7.t005 | Significance is l | P<0.05.      |              |      |     |          |     |     |           |     |     |



**Figure 1. Five-year disease free survival (DFS) of breast cancer patients in relation to VDR gene polymorphisms.** Kaplan-Meier survival curves were used to compare the 5-year DFS between the VDR gene polymorphisms in (a) VDR-FokI, (b) VDR-Bsml, (c) VDR-Apal, and (d) VDR-TaqI. The differences between the curves were estimated by log-rank test, where P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057967.g001

significant differences in frequency distribution of VDR genotypes between Cases and Controls. If the Table had cells with a frequency less than 5, Fisher's exact test was utilized. The 2-sided exact p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant. The deviation of distribution of VDR genotypes from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was estimated using the web tool HWE Testing calculator, available online [22]. Associations between each VDR polymorphism and breast cancer was estimated using the Logistic Regression test at 95% confidence interval, and

**Table 6.** Relative Risk of worse Disease-Free-Survival in

 relation to VDR gene polymorphisms (Multivariate Analysis).

| Polymorphism | RR*  | (95% CI)  | <i>P</i> -value |
|--------------|------|-----------|-----------------|
| Fokl         |      |           |                 |
| FF           | 1    | (0.3–0.9) | 0.05            |
| Ff+ff        | 0.57 |           |                 |
| Bsml         |      |           |                 |
| BB           | 1    | (0.3–1.9) | 0.45            |
| Bb+bb        | 0.67 |           |                 |
| Apal         |      |           |                 |
| AA           | 1    | (0.5–1.7) | 0.80            |
| Aa+aa        | 0.92 |           |                 |
| Taql         |      |           |                 |
| Π            | 1    | (0.7–2.4) | 0.48            |
| Tt+tt        | 1.3  |           |                 |

\*RR: Relative Risk adjusted for tumor characteristics and ethnicity. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057967.t006 controlled for age and BMI. For each polymorphic site, the wild type genotype (FF, BB, AA, TT) was considered as the reference based on published literature. We also performed analysis of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between polymorphic sites in a locus, to identify "clusters" of highly correlated sites based on LD statistics. We calculated the haplotype frequencies and LD in Cases and Controls using the Haplotype software package available online [23,24].

#### Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of subjects in the study. The mean age of African-American Cases and Controls is 52.9 and 50.7 years, respectively, and for Hispanic/Latinas Cases and Controls is 49.2 and 45.6 years, respectively. The mean BMI is  $>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ . Among Cases, the distribution of histopathological characteristics between African-American and Hispanic women with breast cancer was similar (Table 1), and approximately  $\sim$ 59.9% Cases had Stage I/II disease and 26.7% had Stage III/V disease. The majority of our patients had infiltrating ductal carcinoma (62.2%), moderately to poorly differentiated (>70%).

The VDR gene polymorphisms were genotyped in 188 African-American and 393 Hispanics/Latina women. No deviation was observed from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in the genotypic distribution of the VDR-FokI, VDR-BsmI and VDR-ApaI polymorphisms in the study subjects. However, the VDR-TaqI distribution was not within HWE. Further analysis identified that the deviation of VDR-TaqI was contributed from Cases (p allele = 0.75, q allele = 0.25,  $\chi^2 = 13.52$ ), but not from Controls (p allele = 0.79, q allele = 0.21,  $\chi^2 = 2.34$ ) – data not shown.

Logistic regression analysis was also performed (adjusted for age and BMI) and showed no significant association of breast cancer with any of the VDR polymorphisms when all of the Cases were Table 7. Summary of Studies on Breast Cancer and VDR polymorphisms.

| Reference                     | Study design        | Ethnicity          | No. of<br>Cases | No. of<br>Controls | Association betwee<br>cancer   | en VDR polj          | ymorphism            | and breast |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
|                               |                     |                    |                 |                    | Fokl                           | Bsml                 | Taql                 | Apal       |
| Curran, 1999 [16]             | Hospital            | Caucasian          | 135             | 110                | n.s.                           |                      | n.s.                 | a allele   |
| Dunning, 1999 [27]            | Hospital/Population | Caucasian          | 951             | 627                |                                |                      | n.s.                 |            |
| Ingles, 2000 [14]             | Population          | Latinas            | 143             | 300                | n.s.                           | B allele             |                      |            |
| Bretherton-Watt, 2001<br>[28] | Hospital            | Caucasian          | 181             | 241                | n.s.                           | bb type              |                      |            |
| Guy, 2004 [17]                | Hospital            | Caucasian          | 398             | 427                | n.s.                           | bb type              |                      |            |
| Hefler, 2004 [29]             | Hospital/Population | Caucasian          | 390             | 1,699              |                                | n.s                  |                      |            |
| Chen, 2005 [30]               | Population          | Caucasian          | 1,234           | 1,676              | ff type                        | n.s.                 |                      |            |
| Vande Vord, 2006 [31]         | Hospital            | Mixed              | 220             | 192                |                                | n.s.                 |                      |            |
|                               |                     | Caucasian (50.3%)  |                 |                    |                                |                      |                      |            |
|                               |                     | AA(49.7%)          |                 |                    |                                |                      |                      |            |
| John, 2007 [32]               | Population          | Mixed              | 1,786           | 2,127              | n.s.                           | n.s.                 | n.s.                 |            |
|                               |                     | Caucasian          | 596             | 646                |                                |                      |                      |            |
|                               |                     | AA                 | 543             | 598                |                                |                      |                      |            |
|                               |                     | Hispanic           | 647             | 883                |                                |                      |                      |            |
| McCullough, 2007 [15]         | Population          | Caucasian          | 500             | 500                | n.s.                           | n.s.                 | n.s.                 | n.s.       |
| Trabert, 2007 [21]            | Population          | Caucasian          | 1,143           | 987                |                                | bb type*             |                      |            |
|                               |                     | AA                 | 488             | 448                |                                | n.s.                 |                      |            |
| Abbas, 2008 [26]              | Population          | Caucasian          | 1,403           | 2,609              | n.s.                           |                      | n.s.                 |            |
| Gapska, 2008 [33]             | Population          | Caucasian          | 800^            | 550                | f allele                       | n.s.                 | n.s.                 |            |
| Sinotte, 2008 [34]            | Hospital/Population | Caucasian          | 859             | 1,381              | ff type                        | n.s.                 |                      |            |
| Barroso, 2008 [35]            | Hospital            | Caucasian          | 549             | 556                | ff type $^{\#}$                |                      | tt type <sup>a</sup> |            |
| McKay, 2009 [25]              | Population          | Caucasian          | 378             | 421                | n.s.                           | n.s.                 |                      |            |
|                               |                     | AA                 | 325             | 419                | n.s.                           | n.s.                 |                      |            |
|                               |                     | Hispanic           | 318             | 378                | n.s.                           | n.s.                 |                      |            |
|                               |                     | Asian              | 401             | 405                | ff type                        | Bb type <sup>a</sup> |                      |            |
|                               |                     | Hawaiian           | 104             | 278                | n.s.                           | n.s.                 |                      |            |
| Tang, 2009 [10]               | Meta-Analysis       | All ethnicities    | >5,000          | >7,000             | ff type                        | n.s.                 | n.s.                 | n.s.       |
| Raimondi, 2009 [9]            | Review              | All ethnicities    | 14,863          | 21,318             | ff type                        | n.s.                 |                      |            |
| Rollinson, 2012 [19]          | Population          | Non-Hispanic White | 1,527           | 1,599              | n.s                            | n.s.                 |                      |            |
|                               |                     | Hispanic           | 791             | 922                |                                |                      |                      |            |
| Vadgama, 2012                 | Hospital            | AA                 | 115             | 73                 | f vs. F, OR = 1.9,<br>p = 0.07 | n.s.                 | n.s.                 | n.s.       |
|                               |                     | Latina             | 117             | 267                | n.s.                           | n.s.                 | n.s.                 | n.s.       |

AA: African-American.

\*for postmenopausal women.

^for early-onset breast cancer (age<50).

<sup>#</sup>OR adjusted for age at diagnosis, number of live births, age at menarche and menopause.

<sup>a</sup>associated with low risk of breast cancer.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057967.t007

pooled together (Table 2). However, when the analysis was performed based on ethnic stratification of the African-American and Hispanic/Latina subjects, the VDR-FokI *Ff* genotype (OR = 2.2, 95% CI = 0.95-5.1, p = 0.06) and the *f* allele (OR = 1.9, 95% CI = 0.9-3.7, p = 0.07) showed an increased association with breast cancer among African-American subjects (Table 2) compared with the Hispanic/Latina subjects. In the Hispanic/Latina population, we found no significant difference in the frequency distribution of the VDR genotypes between Cases and Controls, and no association with any of the genotypes with breast cancer based on logistic regression analysis (Table 2).

Haplotype analysis of the polymorphic variants produced 16 different haplotypes (Table 3). The FBTA (*FokI-F, BsmI-B, TaqI-T and ApaI-A*) was the most common haplotype in Controls and Hispanic/Latina Cases (Table 3). The most common haplotype in African-American Cases was the FbTA haplotype (*FokI-F, BsmI-b, TaqI-T and ApaI-A*). Several VDR-haplotypes were significantly associated with breast cancer in the African-American cohort, however, no association was observed between breast cancer and VDR-haplotypes in the Hispanic/Latina cohort. Logistic regression analysis, using wild-type alleles (FBTA) as the reference category, revealed that FbTA was 1.9 times higher (p = 0.02), FbtA

was 1.6 times higher (p = 0.03) and fbtA was 1.4 times higher (p = 0.05) in Cases than in Controls in the African-American cohort (Table 3). Logistic regression analysis performed in Cases showed that African-American women with breast cancer were more likely to have the FbTA (OR = 2.4, p = 0.005) and FbtA (OR = 1.7, p = 0.04) haplotypes compared with Hispanic/Latina women with breast cancer. In contrast to Cases, African-American Controls with the fbTa (OR = 0.8, p<0.001), fbTA (OR = 0.8, p = 0.03), fBTA (OR = 0.8, p = 0.04) and fbtA (OR = 0.7, p = 0.02) haplotypes were significantly less frequently observed than among Hispanic/Latina Controls.

Table 4 demonstrates the results from linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis performed on the four VDR variants. The strongest LD is observed between VDR-TaqI and VDR-ApaI among Hispanic/Latina subjects (D' = 0.66). Weaker LDs were observed between VDR-FokI and the other 3 variants (VDR-BsmI, VDR-ApaI and, VDR-TaqI) in both African-American and Hispanic/Latinas.

The associations between the VDR genotypes and breast tumor histopathology, tumor stage, and metastatic disease progression were assessed in Table 5. The VDR-FokI FF genotype was significantly more common in African-American patients than in Hispanic/Latina patients (46.1% vs. 35.9%, respectively, p = 0.02), while the VDR-FokI *ff* genotype was more common in Hispanic/Latina patients as compared to African-American patients (17.1% vs. 6.1%, respectively). African-American patients were more likely to have VDR-ApaI AA genotype and Hispanic/ Latina patients were likely to have VDR-ApaI Aa or aa genotypes (p = 0.008). There was also a significant association identified between VDR-ApaI polymorphisms and tumor differentiation (p = 0.04). Poorly differentiated tumors were more likely to express a polymorphic allele of VDR-ApaI (Aa or aa). There were no other significant associations found between any of the polymorphic VDR variants and tumor stage, tumor differentiation, and ER/ PR/HER2 receptor status.

The 5-year disease-free-survival (DFS) from breast cancer in association with VDR polymorphisms is shown in Figure 1. The 5-year DFS in patients carrying VDR-FokI *FF* genotype is reduced significantly compared to VDR-FokI *Ff* and *ff* genotypes (p = 0.05). The VDR-BsmI, VDR-ApaI and VDR-TaqI polymorphisms did not influence DFS significantly. Results of multivariate analyses, adjusted for the tumor characteristics, ethnicity, and the four VDR polymorphic variants, confirm the independent predictor value of VDR-FokI in DFS as shown in Table 6. The relative risk of tumor recurrence or metastases was decreased by 43% in patients who had the polymorphic VDR-FokI alleles, *Ff* or *ff*, compared to subjects who had VDR-FokI *FF* genotype (Table 6).

#### Discussion

The results from our study reveal an increased association between one of the VDR polymorphic variants, VDR-FokI, and breast cancer in the African-American cohort. The odds ratio (OR) for breast cancer in association with the VDR-FokI *f* allele was 1.9 (95% CI = 0.9–3.7; p = 0.07). This is consistent with other studies [25] which have identified a positive association between the VDR-FokI *ff* genotype and/or *f* allele and breast cancer (Table 7). Our study further identifies that this trend is present among African-American women, but not Hispanic/Latina women. The other most commonly studied VDR polymorphism, VDR-BsmI, was not associated with breast cancer in our study, similar to most of the other studies conducted on this polymorphism (Table 7). While Ingles *et al* [14] identified a significant association of the *B* allele with breast cancer among Hispanics, recent large studies and meta-analyses on various ethnic groups, including Hispanics, have suggested otherwise. For example, the large analyses conducted by Rollison *et al* [19] and Tang *et al* [10] have confirmed that there is no significant association between VDR-BsmI and breast cancer. Furthermore, data from our current study shows no associations between the two other VDR variants (TaqI and ApaI) and breast cancer, consistent with most studies to date on Caucasian populations (Table 7). The VDR-TaqI (*tt* genotype) and VDR-ApaI (*a* allele) were significantly associated with breast cancer in only two hospital-based studies [15,16]. However, these polymorphisms were found to be non-significant in recent large population meta-analyses [10]. Linkage-disequilibrium analysis of the polymorphisms in our study is also consistent with findings from previous studies, confirming linkage between VDR-TaqI and VDR-ApaI in Hispanic/Latina women, and absence of LD between the VDR-FokI and other SNPs [6].

Additional studies on VDR SNPs [26–35] are presented in Table 7. Abbas *et al* [26], suggested that VDR polymorphisms may affect postmenopausal breast cancer risk, and be associated with receptor status. Our study shows no receptor-specific association (ER/PR/HER +/-) with any of the VDR polymorphic variants; however, the VDR-ApaI *aa* genotype is significantly associated with poorly differentiated tumors (p = 0.04). Since the VDR-ApaI *a* allele is most common in Hispanic/Latinas, and the Latinas in the present study were slightly younger than the African-American subjects, these findings may potentially implicate VDR-ApaI in the progression of cancer among younger breast cancer patients. Further investigation among larger numbers of younger women with breast cancer is necessary in order to discern a definite association.

Lastly, recent reviews have concluded that the scientific evidence between vitamin D status and breast cancer risk and outcome are fairly strong, and improving vitamin D levels could improve health status [36]. Unfortunately, studies to date which have utilized vitamin D supplementation to overcome vitamin D insufficiency have not vielded conclusive improvements in cancer health risks and outcomes [37,38]. Hence examination of the Vitamin D receptor (VDR), and genetic changes such as polymorphisms potentially impacting VDR protein function may be central in identifying confounding factors that play a role in Vitamin D activity and ultimately patient outcome. Our study identifies that the 5-year disease-free-survival from breast cancer is significantly reduced among breast cancer patients with the VDR-FokI FF genotype (Log rank = 6.06 and p = 0.05). Cox regression with multivariate analysis demonstrates the independently predictive value of VDR-FokI on DFS. Hence, findings from our study suggest that while the VDR-FokI f allele may play a role in early association with breast cancer development, the Fallele may play a role on tumor progression and patient outcome. The findings on these VDR variants, together with significant differences in haplotypes between Cases and Controls suggest the potential need to screen for VDR polymorphisms in the context of breast cancer, particularly before considering Vitamin D supplementation.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all of the women who participate in the studies conducted by the Division of Cancer Research and Training at CDU.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: JVV DH HPK DKM. Performed the experiments: DKS SS XS ZC. Analyzed the data: JVV YW MS MO. Wrote the paper: JVV DKM YW MS HPK.

#### References

- Lopes N, Sousa B, Martins D, Gomes M, Vieira D (2012) Alterations in Vitamin D signaling and metabolic pathways in breast cancer progression: a study of VDR, CYP27B1 and CYP24A1 expression in benign and malignant breast lesions. BMC Cancer. 10:483. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-10-483.
- Engel LS, Orlow I, Sima CS, Satagopan JM, Mujumdar UJ, et al. (2012) Vitamin D receptor gene haplotypes and polymorphisms and risk of breast cancer: A nested case-control study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 21(10):1856–67. PMID:22892281.
- Marik R, Fackler M, Gabrielson E, Zeiger MA, Sukumar S, et al. (2010) DNA methylation-related vitamin D receptor insensitivity in breast cancer. Cancer Biol Ther. 10(1):44-53. PMID: 20431345
- Abedin SA, Banwell CM, Colston KW, Carlberg C, Campbell MJ (2006) Epigenetic corruption of VDR signaling in malignancy. Anticancer Res. 26(4A): 2557–66. PMID: 16886664
- Banwell CM, O'Neill LP, Uskokovic MR, Campbell MJ (2004) Targeting lalpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 antiproliferative insensitivity in breast cancer cells by co-treatment with histone deacetylation inhibitors. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 90: 245–249.PMID: 15225779
- Nejentsev S, Godfrey L, Snook H, Rance H, Nutland S, et al. (2004) Comparative high-resolution analysis of linkage disequilibrium and tag single nucleotide polymorphisms between populations in the vitamin D receptor gene. Hum Mol Genet. 13: 1633–9. PMID: 15175274
- Alimirah F, Peng X, Murillo G, Mehta RG (2011) Functional significance of vitamin D receptor FokI polymorphism in human breast cancer cells. PLoS One. 6(1): e16024. PMID: 21283672
- Jurutka PW, Remus LS, Whitfield GK, Thompson PD, Hsieh JC, et al. (2000) The polymorphic N terminus in human vitamin D receptor isoforms influences transcriptional activity by modulating interaction with transcription factor IIB. Mol Endocrinol.14: 401–20. PMID: 10707958
- Raimondi S, Johansson H, Maisonneuve P, Gandini S (2009) Review and metaanalysis on vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and cancer risk. Carcinogenesis. 30: 1170–1180. PMID: 19403841
- Tang C, Chen N, Wu M, Yuan H, Du Y (2009) FokI polymorphism of vitamin D receptor gene contributes to breast cancer susceptibility: a meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 117: 391–399. doi: 10.1007/s10549-008-0262-4. PMID: 19145484
- Mishra DK, Bid HK, Srivastava DS, Mandhani A, Mittal RD (2005) Association of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer in India. Urol Int. 74: 315–8.PMID:15897695
- Xu Y, Shibata A, Mcneal JE, Stamey TA, Feldman D, et al. (2003) Vitamin D receptor start codon polymorphism (FokI) and prostate cancer progression. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 12: 23–7.PMID:12540499
- Uitterlinden AG, Fang Y, Van Meurs JB, Pols HA, Van Leeuwen JP (2004) Genetics and biology of vitamin D receptor polymorphisms. Gene. 338(2): 143– 56. PMID:15315818
- Ingles SA, Garcia DG, Wang W, Nieters A, Henderson BE, et al. (2000) Vitamin D receptor genotype and breast cancer in Latinas (United States). Cancer Causes Control. 11: 25–30.PMID:10680726
- McCullough ML, Stevens VL, Diver WR, Feigelson HS, Rodriguez C, et al. (2007) Vitamin D pathway gene polymorphisms, diet, and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer: a nested case-control study. Breast Cancer Res. 9: R9. (doi:10.1186/bcr1642)
- Curran JE, Vaughan T, Lea RA, Weinstein SR, Morrison NA, et al. (1999) Association of A vitamin D receptor polymorphism with sporadic breast cancer development. Int J Cancer. 83: 723–6.PMID:10597185
- Guy M, Lowe LC, Bretherton-Watt D, Mansi JL, Peckitt C, et al. (2004) Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and breast cancer risk. Clin Cancer Res. 10: 5472–81.PMID:15328186
- Yao S, Zirpoli G, Bovbjerg DH, Jandorf L, Hong CC, et al. (2012) Variants in the vitamin D pathway, serum levels of vitamin D, and estrogen receptor negative breast cancer among African-American women: a case-control study. Breast Cancer Res. 14(2): R58. PMID:22480149
- Rollison DE, Cole AL, Tung KH, Slattery ML, Baumgartner KB, et al. (2012) Vitamin D intake, vitamin D receptor polymorphisms, and breast cancer risk among women living in the southwestern U.S. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 132(2): 683-91. PMID:22130867

- Buyru N, Tezol A, Yosunkaya-Fenerci E, Dalay N (2003) Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms in breast cancer. Exp Mol Med. 35: 550–5. PMID:14749534
- Trabert B, Malone KE, Daling JR, Doody DR, Bernstein L, et al. (2007) Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and breast cancer risk in a large populationbased case control study of Caucasian and African-American women. Breast Cancer Res. 9: R84. PMID:18067661
- Rodriquez S, Gaunt TR, Day INM (2012) Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium Testing of Biological Ascertainment for Mendelian Randomization Studies. Available: http://www.oege.org/software/hwe-mr-calc.html. Accessed 2012 Jun 30.
- Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ (2005) Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinformatics. 21(2): 263–5. PMID:15297300
- 24. Broad Institute Website. Available: http://www.broad.mit.edu/mpg/haploview. Accessed 2012 Sep 15.
- McKay JD, McCullough ML, Ziegler RG, Kraft P, Saltzman BS, et al. (2009) Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and breast cancer risk: results from the national cancer institute breast and prostate cancer cohort consortium. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 18: 297–305. PMID:19124512
- Abbas S, Nieters A, Linseisen J, Slanger T, Kropp S, et al. (2008) Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and haplotypes and postmenopausal breast cancer risk. Breast Cancer Res. 10: R31.PMID:18419802
- Dunning AM, McBride S, Gregory J (1999) No association between androgen or vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and risk of breast cancer. Carcinogenesis. 20: 2131–5. PMID:10545416
- Bretherton-Watt D, Given-Wilson R, Mansi JL, Thomas V, Carter N, et al. (2001) Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms are associated with breast cancer risk in a UK Caucasian population. Br J Cancer. 85: 171–5. PMID:11461072
- Hefler LA, Tempfer CB, Grimm C, Lebrecht A, Ulbrich E, et al. (2004) Estrogen-metabolizing gene polymorphisms in the assessment of breast carcinoma risk and fibrodenoma risk in Caucasian women. Cancer. 101: 264– 9. PMID:15241822
- Chen WY, Bertone-Juhnson ER, Hunter DJ, Willett WC, Hankinson SE (2005) Associations between polymorphisms in the Vitamin D receptor and breast cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 14: 2335–9. PMID:16214913
- VandeVord PJ, Wooley PH, Darga LL, Severson RK, Wu Bin, et al. (2006) Genetic determinants of bone mass do not relate with breast cancer risk in US white and African-American women. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 100: 103–7. PMID:16791482
- John EM, Schwartz GG, Koo J, Wang W, Ingles SA (2007) Sun exposure, Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms, and breast cancer risk in a multiethnic population. American J Epidemiology. 166: 1409–19. PMID:17934201
- Gapska P, Scott RJ, Serrano-Fernandez P, Huzarski T, Byrski T, et al. (2009) Vitamin D receptor variants and breast cancer risk in the Polish population. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 115: 629–33. PMID:18587672
- 34. Sinotte M, Rousseau F, Ayotte P, Dewailly E, Diorio C, et al. (2008) Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms (Fokl, Bsml) and breast cancer risk: association replication in two case-control studies within French Canadian population. Endocrine-Related Cancer. 15: 975–983. PMID:18719092
- Barroso E, Fernandez LP, Milne RL, Pita G, Sendagorta E, et al. (2008) Genetic analysis of the vitamin D receptor gene in two epithelial cancers: melanoma and breast cancer case-control studies. BMC Cancer. 8: 385. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-8-385. PMID:19105801
- Mohr SB, Gorham ED, Alcaraz JE, Kane CI, Macera CA, et al. (2012) Does the evidence for an inverse relationship between serum vitamin D status and breast cancer risk satisfy the Hill criteria? Dermatoendocrinol. 4(2): 152–7. PMID: 22928071
- Chlebowski RT, Johnson KC, Kooperberg C, Pettinger M, Wactawski-Wende J, et al. (2008) Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and the risk of breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 100:1581–1591. PMID: 19001601
- Buttigliero C, Monagheddu C, Petroni P, Saini A, Dogliotti L, et al. (2011) Prognostic role of vitamin d status and efficacy of vitamin D supplementation in cancer patients: a systematic review. Oncologist. 16(9):1215–27. Epub 2011 Aug 11. PMID: 21835895