

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Gender differences in the impact of daily sadness on 24-h heart rate variability.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0hj2h4ps>

Journal

Psychophysiology, 52(12)

Authors

Verkuil, Bart

Brosschot, Jos

Marques, Andrea

et al.

Publication Date

2015-12-01

DOI

10.1111/psyp.12541

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Psychophysiology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Psychophysiology. 2015 December ; 52(12): 1682–1688. doi:10.1111/psyp.12541.

Gender differences in the impact of daily sadness on 24-hour heart rate variability

Bart Verkuil,
Leiden University

Jos F. Brosschot,
Leiden University

Andrea H. Marques,
University of São Paulo

Kevin Kampschroer,
United States General Services Administration

Esther Sternberg, and
University of Arizona

Julian F. Thayer
The Ohio State University

Abstract

Reduced heart rate variability (HRV) is proposed to mediate the relation between depressive symptoms and cardiovascular health problems. Yet, several studies have found that in women depression is associated with higher HRV levels, whereas in men depression is associated with lower HRV levels. So far, these studies have only examined gender differences in HRV levels using a single assessment. This study aimed to test the interactive effects of gender and sadness on ambulatory assessed HRV levels. A sample of 60 (41 women) employees participated in an ambulatory study. HRV levels (Mean of Successive Differences; MSD) were continuously measured for 24 hours. During the daytime, hourly assessments of sadness and other mood states were taken, while depressive symptoms were assessed with the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CES-D). Gender differences were observed when examining the impact of average daily sadness on MSD. In women, but not in men, the total amount of sadness experienced during the day was associated with higher circadian MSD levels. These findings suggest that researchers need to take gender differences into account when examining the relation between sadness, HRV and cardiovascular problems.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Bart Verkuil, Institute of Psychology, Leiden University, PO Box 9555, Leiden, the Netherlands, bverkuil@fsw.leidenuniv.nl.

Author note: Bart Verkuil, Institute of Psychology, Leiden University; Jos F. Brosschot, Institute of Psychology, Leiden University; Andrea H. Marques, Department of Psychiatry, University of São Paulo; Kevin Kampschroer, Public Buildings Service, United States General Services Administration; Esther Sternberg, Arizona Center for Integrative Medicine, University of Arizona; Julian F. Thayer, Department of Psychology, The Ohio State University.

Keywords

heart rate variability; sadness; depression; gender; ecological momentary assessment

Depressive symptoms, such as severe sadness, have been shown to predict adverse cardiovascular health outcomes (Penninx et al., 2001). Several biological and behavioral pathways have been proposed to mediate this relation, amongst which is reduced cardiac autonomic control (Kemp et al., 2010). Cardiac autonomic control can be assessed by measuring the variability in the heart rate. Heart rate variability (HRV) arises as a result of the interplay between the parasympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system, slowing down heart rate, and the sympathetic branch, accelerating heart rate. HRV provides a useful marker of cardiovascular health and is an independent predictor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (Thayer & Lane, 2007, 2009).

However, not all studies support the hypothesis that depressive symptoms predict adverse cardiovascular health outcomes. Gender differences have been found to exist, with depression being associated with increased morbidity or mortality rates only in men, but not in women (Ferketich, Schwartzbaum, Frid, & Moeschberger, 2000; Penninx et al., 1999; Penninx et al., 1998). Importantly, gender differences have also been found in the proposed mediator of these effects. Thayer et al. (1998) showed in college students that depressed men had lower HRV levels compared to non-depressed men. Yet, depressed women showed elevated HRV levels compared to non-depressed women. In another study, Chambers and Allen (2007) found that in a clinically depressed sample, depressed women had higher HRV levels than depressed men (Chambers & Allen, 2007). Most recently, in a random sample of people from the elderly community, Chen et al. found that in elderly men depressive symptoms were associated with reduced high frequency power, while this association was not apparent for women (Chen, Yang, Kuo, Su, & Chou, 2010). As higher levels of HRV helps to protect against cardiac events, gender differences in the association between depressive symptoms and HRV might explain the observed gender difference in cardiovascular problems. However, previous studies have only examined the effects of gender and depressive symptoms on HRV levels during short (< 10 min) resting periods. It remains unclear whether these gender differences will also be observed when inspecting HRV levels and depressive symptoms - in particular, experiencing sad mood - in daily life.

The aim of the present study was to examine gender differences in the effects of sadness, on ambulatory assessed HRV levels in a non-clinical sample that we have previously reported (Thayer et al., 2010). Excessive sadness is one of the two main criterion symptoms (together with anhedonia) that people have to exhibit in order to qualify for a diagnosis of major depressive disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). We focused on one specific aspect of depression, sadness, instead of focusing on the whole diverse cluster of depressive symptoms (leading to 1030 depression profiles; Fried & Nesse, 2015). Besides being the most commonly reported criterion symptom of depression (Buckner, Joiner, Pettit, Lewinsohn, & Schmidt, 2008; Rakofsky et al., 2013), sadness is the symptom that, of all depressive symptoms, has been associated with the greatest impairment in psychosocial functioning (Fried & Nesse, 2015). In addition, normal variations in sadness and sadness as

observed in depression can be considered to lay on a severity continuum and there is no clear boundary between normal variations in mood and clinical depression (Slade & Andrews, 2005), which makes it reasonable to examine the hypothesized gender by sadness interaction in a non-clinical sample. Theoretically, as mood regulation has been associated with increases in HRV levels in women (Butler, Wilhelm, & Gross, 2006), we specifically expected gender differences in HRV to emerge when examining the mood aspects of depression.

Another advantage of focusing on a non-clinical sample is that the results cannot be accounted for by use of antidepressant medication, which is often used by clinically depressed patients and has been suggested to account for reduced HRV levels in depression (Licht, de Geus, van Dyck, & Penninx, 2010). Furthermore, we primarily focused on daily assessments of sadness, as symptom questionnaires that have been used in previous laboratory studies correspond only to some extent with momentary assessments of the same construct (Verkuil, Brosschot, & Thayer, 2007), which calls for a replication of the previously obtained findings in an ambulatory study.

We hypothesized that in men, higher levels of sadness would be associated with decreased HRV levels, but that in women, higher levels of sadness would be associated with higher HRV levels instead. To operationalize sadness, we used three indices. First, we assessed sadness – along with several other mood states – at random times in daily life. Second, given that previous studies focused on prolonged periods of sadness and depressive symptoms, the expected associations might be more prominent when examining accumulated levels of sadness, rather than short lived moments of sadness, especially since we tested the associations in a non-clinical sample for which these moments might sometimes be rather mild. Therefore we also examined the interaction between average daytime sadness and gender on average HRV levels. Third, to be able to examine the main and interactive effects of (trait) depressive symptoms on HRV levels, we also assessed depressive symptoms as traditionally done with a questionnaire, the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale which is often used to screen for depression (Radloff, 1977). To operationalize HRV, we focused on the mean of successive differences (MSD), which is a common measure of HRV in ambulatory studies. MSD is derived as the mean of the absolute differences between successive interbeat intervals. It was also the metric used by Thayer et al. (1998) and Chambers and Allen (2007) who consistently found that MSD was differentially affected by depression in men and women. We examined both daytime levels, during which sadness was assessed, as well as circadian variation in HRV. Reduced circadian variation in HRV has been associated with cardiovascular disease (Malik, Farrell & Camm, 1990).

Furthermore, we expected that the predicted associations would be independent of possible confounding variables such as physical fitness, smoking and physical activity in daily life. Additionally, we explored whether the findings would be specific for sadness, or would be observed for other negative mood states as well. In sum, we expected to observe the previously found gender difference in the effects of sadness on HRV in a naturalistic setting.

Method

Participants

The present study formed part of a larger study on the effects of work environments on physiological health (Thayer et al., 2010). Participants were employees of a single government facility in the Rocky Mountain region of the United States. Of the 200 workers in the facility, 60 (30%) were able to participate. Participants were enrolled serially after receiving medical clearance. Only participants that were apparently healthy by medical examination and were not on medications that could potentially interfere with HRV levels were included in the final sample. The final sample consisted of 41 women and 19 men. None of them were taking antidepressant or anxiolytic medication. Forty-four participants (31 women, 13 men; $\chi(2)^2 = 1.40, p = .50$) agreed to participate in a second measurement day. This study was approved by the National Institute on Aging (MedStar) IRB.

Procedure

Volunteers were solicited and gave written informed consent. After receiving consent the medical exam was scheduled. Those volunteers who were medically cleared for participation were then scheduled for a 24-hour ambulatory heart rate recording. To obtain this recording, an experimenter fitted the ambulatory ECG device in the morning before the employees started their regular work activities and instructed them on the use of this device as well as on the use of a handheld computer that contained the hourly diary questions. Participants carried both electronic devices for 24 hours during a week working day. For those 47 participants who were measured on a second working day, this same procedure was followed.

Instruments

Diary format—A Palm™ m100 handheld device (Palm Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used for the hourly diary. Customized software (Pendragon Forms, version 3.1.; Pendragon Software Corporation, Libertyville, Illinois) was used to implement questions and to transfer responses from the handheld to MS-Access data format. For the hourly diary, an hourly tone (plus or minus 15 min) was set from 7.00 AM to 7.00 PM on which participants were instructed to fill in the computerized questions. When the participants answered the first question of each entry of the log, the present time was stored to enable comparison between their responses and the cardiac measurements.

Cardiac activity—Ambulatory cardiac measures were acquired continuously by the VU-AMS device (version 4.6. TD-FPP, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). This device has been used extensively and details of its characteristics have been published elsewhere (Pieper, Brosschot, van der Leeden, & Thayer, 2007). The mean of the absolute successive differences between normal to normal beats (MSD) was used to operationalize HRV. The MSD is less affected by breathing and is therefore a suitable outcome measure in ambulatory studies (Penttila et al., 2001).

The VU-AMS includes an accelerometer sensitive to changes in vertical acceleration. This signal was used to measure motility in daily life.

State sadness—During each measurement period, until 7 PM, participants reported on the handheld computer to what extent they currently experienced the following moods: sadness, anger, stress, pain, serenity, interest, relaxed, excitement, happiness, agitation, tired, pleasant, activated (eg., ‘how much sadness do you feel right now?’, with answer option ranging from “None” (1) to “A lot” (5). State sadness was operationalized in two ways: as momentary assessed sadness, and the average amount of sadness aggregated per participants, per measurement day.

Depressive symptoms—Symptoms of depression were assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977). The CES-D is a widely used, valid and reliable questionnaire to assess depressive symptoms. It consists of 20 depressive symptoms and participants are asked to rate the extent to which these symptoms were present during the past week on a 4-point scale. A score of 16 or higher on this scale suggests the possible presence of a current depressive episode.

Biobehavioral variables—Each hourly assessment the participants also reported consumed units of tobacco, coffee and alcohol (0, 1-2, 2-4, more than 4) in the preceding hour (i.e., since the last assessment). In addition, participants rated their habitual physical activity using the University of Houston Non-Exercise Questionnaire, a seven-point behaviorally anchored rating scale that has been shown to provide valid measures of habitual physical activity (Jackson et al., 1990).

Statistical Analysis—Multilevel regression analyses were conducted to estimate the effects of gender, the mood and biobehavioral variables on MSD levels. The distribution of MSD was significantly skewed. This skewness was reduced by logarithmically transforming this variable (lnMSD). The data had a two-level hierarchical structure, in which the 24 hourly assessments of HRV per assessment day were nested within participants. All analyses were performed using the linear mixed model (MIXED) procedure in SPSS 17.0, with maximum likelihood (ML) estimation. All numerical variables were centered on their grand mean.

To examine the effects of gender and sadness on HRV levels, we tested whether the three indices of sadness (momentary sadness, average daytime sadness and CES-D scores) interacted with gender to predict lnMSD levels during the daytime. Biobehavioral variables that were significantly associated with lnMSD levels (time of the day, smoking, motility and physical fitness) were entered as covariates into the models. In addition to the daytime levels of lnMSD, we also examined whether sadness was differentially associated with the circadian variations in lnMSD for men and women. We therefore examined the interaction between Gender, Sadness and two time variables (a linear Time trend (in hours) and a quadratic Time trend (Time²). Two-tailed tests with alpha set at .05 were conducted.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics for the first measurement day are presented in Table 1. Mean age was 44 and the sample covered a broad age range: 24-62. According to the CES-D, women had

more depressive symptoms, ($M = 10.10$, $SD = 6.37$) than men ($M = 5.11$, $SD = 4.86$; $t(58) = 3.03$, $p = .004$), with both scores falling in the normal (non-depressed; < 16) range. Mean motility also differed between men and women, with men showing overall higher levels of movement.

With respect to the momentary assessments, the participants were prompted to answer the hourly forms 1284 times. At 346 occasions (27%) no response was provided. At 15.3% of all momentary assessments the participants reported to have experienced at least some sadness. Twenty-three participants (38.8%) did not report any sadness, whereas 37 participants reported at least some sadness during the study. Data from two 24-hour recording from two participants had to be disregarded because of problems with the ECG monitoring. The average amount of hourly assessments per participant, per assessment day was 21 ($SD = 2.4$).

Furthermore, the CES-D was moderately associated with momentary assessed sadness, $r(60) = .43$, $p = .001$.

Sadness, Depressive Symptoms and Heart Rate Variability

The results of the multilevel analyses are presented in Table 2. The models shows that while controlling for time of the day, smoking and physical fitness, lnMSD levels were significantly associated with the interaction between gender and average daytime sadness ($B = -.53$, $p = .04$ – Model 2 and 4). The interactions between gender and momentary assessed sadness and CES-D were not significant (Models 1, 3 and 4). In addition, to check whether depressive symptoms would aggravate the effect of daytime sadness on lnMSD levels, we examined whether CES-D levels moderated the association between gender, average daytime sadness and lnMSD levels, but this four-way interaction was not significant.

To examine the interaction between average daytime sadness, gender and lnMSD, separate multilevel analyses were run for men and women. In women, the average amount of sadness experienced in daily life was associated with higher levels of lnMSD ($B = .61$, $p = .002$). In men, this association was negative and non-significant ($B = -.27$, $p = .111$). See Figure 1 for a graphical representation of the associations between Gender, Average Daytime Sadness and lnMSD.

Sadness, Depressive Symptoms and Circadian Heart Rate Variability

As average daytime sadness was differentially associated with lnMSD for men and women, we subsequently examined differences in circadian variation in lnMSD. Adding the linear and quadratic time trends into model 2 yielded a significant Gender \times Average Daytime Sadness \times Time² interaction ($B = -.002$, $p = .034$). Figure 2 shows the circadian variation in MSD, split by Gender and Average Daytime Sadness levels (median split for illustrative purposes only). Overall, women seemed to show less circadian variation in (ln)MSD levels. In contrast to men, women with higher levels of sadness showed overall higher levels of (ln)MSD.

Exploratory Analyses

To explore whether the gender difference in the associations between sadness and MSD were specific for HRV levels or were also observed when examining heart rate (HR), we also tested the models with HR as dependent variable. The results showed that HR was significantly associated with CES-D scores ($B = .54$, $p = .018$) HR was not significantly associated with the gender \times sadness interactions ($ps > .09$). In addition, we examined the associations between gender, sadness and another index of HRV, high frequency power (HF power), which was log transformed to follow a normal distribution (lnHF). lnHF power was significantly associated with the gender \times average daytime sadness interaction. Similar to lnMSD, in women, the average amount of sadness experienced in daily life was associated with higher levels of lnHF power ($B = 1.27$, $p < .001$). In men, this association was negative and non-significant ($B = -.35$, $p = .229$).

Next, we explored whether the gender difference in the sadness-MSD association would also be apparent for the other mood states that we assessed (anger, stress, pain, agitation, tired, serenity, interest, relaxed, excitement, happiness, pleasant, activated). This led to two indices of these items (momentary mood and average daily mood). Thereafter, we inspected the gender \times mood interactions, while controlling for the covariates. For momentary feelings of relaxation a significant interaction with gender was observed ($B = .07$, $p = .001$). In men, momentary relaxation was associated with increased lnMSD ($B = .07$, $p < .001$), while this association was not significant in women ($B = .002$, $p = .83$).

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether sadness affected HRV levels in daily life differently for men and women. HRV levels, indexed by lnMSD, were indeed differentially associated with sadness for men and women, but only when sadness was measured as the average sadness across one day. There was no direct association between momentary assessed sadness and HRV. We found that only in women the average amount of sadness experienced during day was associated with higher 24 hour HRV levels. Furthermore, these results were not apparent when focusing on HR, possibly suggesting that the observed gender difference is specific for parasympathetic nervous system activity. In addition, an explorative analysis showed that gender moderated the association between momentary relaxation and HRV.

These findings are in line with studies using single assessments of HRV in more clinical samples, showing that women with depressive symptoms have increased HRV during rest, in contrast to men with depressive symptoms (Chambers & Allen, 2007; Chen et al., 2010; Thayer et al., 1998). Furthermore, in this study we not only observed gender differences in the relation between sadness and HRV, but in the exploratory analyses we also observed gender differences in the relation between ambulatory assessed feelings of relaxation and HRV, showing that relaxation was associated with increased HRV, but only for men. These different associations for men and women between cardiac activity and mood states warrants further research, as these differences have only been scarcely examined, and mainly in relation to feelings of sadness, anxiety and stress. The main findings are consistent with previous studies in which we showed gender differences in HRV responses to worry,

anxiety and rumination – which are all strongly related to feelings of sadness (Verkuil, Brosschot, Borkovec, & Thayer, 2009; Verkuil, Brosschot, de Beurs, & Thayer, 2009; Verkuil, Brosschot, & Thayer, 2014). Furthermore, the results are in line with current views on gender differences in stress responses. Men are believed to show the typical ‘fight-or-flight’ response to stressful events, whereas women might be more likely to show a ‘tend-and-befriend’ response, of which the latter has been suggested to be accompanied by an increase in vagal tone (Taylor et al., 2000). Women might be more likely to regulate their emotions and to talk about their feelings. It is possible that in women, feelings of sadness are followed by attempts to regulate these feelings, for example by suppressing or reappraising them. Both of these strategies have been found to increase levels HRV in women (Butler, Wilhelm, & Gross, 2006). Future research is warranted to examine more precisely what aspects of emotional experiences (e.g., emotion intensity or emotion regulation) can account for these results. Furthermore, it remains unclear why gender differences were only found for sadness when using average daily sadness, and not in the moment-to-moment associations with HRV (which was the case for stress). It might be that the physiological effects of sadness only develop after several hours of sadness. In line with this idea, we found that the average sadness levels were also associated with increased HRV levels during the nighttime in women. Given that daily sadness has also been shown to predict cortisol levels the next day (Adam, Hawkley, Kudielka, & Cacioppo, 2006), it is not unlikely that the effects of sadness remain sustained for several of hours, even during sleep. Future studies that specifically focus on the temporal dynamics between sadness and HRV are clearly warranted.

The observed gender differences in the relation between sadness and HRV and relaxation and HRV challenge the common implicit assumption that emotions and mood states are associated with similar physiological activity in men and women. In line with this, gender differences have also been reported in the neural concomitants of emotion and emotion regulation (see review by Whittle, Yücel, Yap, & Allen, 2011). Specifically, gender differences are apparent in brain function during sadness. In a study using a sadness induction, self-reported sadness was associated with increased right amygdala activity in men, but not in women (Schneider, Habel, Kessler, Salloum, & Posse, 2000). Interestingly, we have previously reported that amygdala activity was negatively correlated with HRV in men but positively correlated with HRV in women (Nugent, Bain, Thayer, Sollers, & Drevets, 2011). Higher HRV is positively associated with self- and emotion regulation and with prefrontal cortical activity which are thought to modulate the subcortical activity, in areas such as the amygdala, involved in sustained emotional reactivity (Thayer & Lane, 2007, 2009). Thus gender differences in a network of neural structures that include the medial prefrontal cortex and the amygdala may represent the neural concomitants of the reported gender differences in the relationship between sadness and HRV found in the present study. Clearly additional studies that combine neuroimaging and HRV during emotional tasks that include sadness are needed to help explicate these associations.

Several limitations to this study should be acknowledged. First, the results from this study are correlational and no definite conclusion can be drawn regarding the direction of the observed associations. Second, several remarks can be made about the current sample. Most notably, this convenience sample was small ($N = 60$), non-clinical and 68% were women.

Overall, the levels of sadness and depressive symptoms were low, and it remains to be examined how higher levels of daily sadness would affect HRV levels in men and women. Yet, the main aim of the present study was to provide a ‘proof-of-principle’, namely that gender differences in the HRV response to sadness would be apparent in daily life. A next step might be to replicate the current findings in a clinical sample with a more balanced gender distribution, which would have to take into account confounding effects such as use of antidepressants. Additionally, here we only focused on sadness, and for its assessment only one item was used, which is a limitation. Future studies could benefit from using a questionnaire that measures more aspects of depressive symptoms in daily life. Finally, this study only measured mood states and did not focus on other symptoms of depression that could be associated with cardiovascular functioning. Neither did we assess anxiety levels. Cognitive biases, rumination, anxiety and worrying are typically observed in depression, and in non-clinical samples and are also associated with altered cardiovascular functioning (Verkuil, Brosschot, Gebhardt, & Thayer, 2010). Future studies could benefit from measuring these aspects of depression as they could provide more direct insight into the observed gender differences.

In conclusion, higher levels of HRV are thought to be cardio-protective and were previously observed in depressed women, albeit only in laboratory settings. This study is the first to use an ambulatory design to study gender differences in cardiac autonomic control in response to sadness, the most pertinent feature of depression. The results added to previous laboratory studies that higher daily levels of sadness are associated with heightened HRV levels in women, but not in men. Further examination of the underlying mechanisms that contribute to higher HRV levels in women seems worthwhile.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the United States General Services Administration, the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Mental Health and National Institutes of Health.

References

- Adam EK, Hawkley LC, Kudielka BM, Cacioppo JT. Day-to-day dynamics of experience–cortisol associations in a population-based sample of older adults. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2006; 103(45):17058–17063.10.1073/pnas.0605053103
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. 5th. Washington, DC: Author; 2013.
- Buckner JD, Joiner TE, Pettit JW, Lewinsohn PM, Schmidt NB. Implications of the DSM's emphasis on sadness and anhedonia in major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Research*. 2008; 159(1):25–30.10.1016/j.psychres.2007.05.010 [PubMed: 18334272]
- Butler EA, Wilhelm FH, Gross JJ. Respiratory sinus arrhythmia, emotion, and emotion regulation during social interaction. *Psychophysiology*. 2006; 43(6):612–622.10.1111/j.1469-8986.2006.00467.x [PubMed: 17076818]
- Chambers AS, Allen JJB. Sex differences in cardiac vagal control in a depressed sample: Implications for differential cardiovascular mortality. *Biological Psychology*. 2007; 75(1):32–36.10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.11.001 [PubMed: 17204359]
- Chen HC, Yang CC, Kuo TB, Su TP, Chou P. Gender differences in the relationship between depression and cardiac autonomic function among community elderly. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2010; 25(3):314–322.10.1002/gps.2341 [PubMed: 19697297]

- Ferketich AK, Schwartzbaum JA, Frid DJ, Moeschberger ML. Depression as an antecedent to heart disease among women and men in the NHANES I study. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2000; 160(9):1261–1268.10.1001/archinte.160.9.1261 [PubMed: 10809028]
- Fried EI, Nesse RM. Depression is not a consistent syndrome: an investigation of unique symptom patterns in the STAR* D study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2015; 172:96–102.10.1016/j.jad.2014.10.010
- Jackson AS, Blair SN, Mahar MT, Wier LT, Ross RM, Stuteville JE. Prediction of functional aerobic capacity without exercise testing. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 1990; 22(6):863–870.10.1249/00005768-199012000-00021 [PubMed: 2287267]
- Kemp AH, Quintana DS, Gray MA, Felmingham KL, Brown K, Gatt JM. Impact of depression and antidepressant treatment on heart rate variability: a review and meta-analysis. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2010; 67(11):1067–1074.10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.12.012 [PubMed: 20138254]
- Licht CM, de Geus EJ, van Dyck R, Penninx BW. Longitudinal evidence for unfavorable effects of antidepressants on heart rate variability. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2010; 68(9):861–868.10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.06.032 [PubMed: 20843507]
- Malik M, Farrell T, Camm AJ. Circadian rhythm of heart rate variability after acute myocardial infarction and its influence on the prognostic value of heart rate variability. *The American Journal of Cardiology*. 1990; 66(15):1049–1054.10.1016/0002-9149(90)90503-S [PubMed: 2220630]
- Nugent AC, Bain EE, Thayer JF, Sollers JJ, Drevets WC. Sex differences in the neural correlates of autonomic arousal: a pilot PET study. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*. 2011; 80(3): 182–191.10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.03.001 [PubMed: 21414364]
- Penninx BW, Beekman AT, Honig A, Deeg DJ, Schoevers RA, van Eijk JT, van Tilburg W. Depression and cardiac mortality: results from a community-based longitudinal study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2001; 58(3):221–227.10.1001/archpsyc.58.3.221 [PubMed: 11231827]
- Penninx BW, Geerlings SW, Deeg DJ, van Eijk JT, van Tilburg W, Beekman AT. Minor and major depression and the risk of death in older persons. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1999; 56(10): 889–895.10.1001/archpsyc.56.10.889 [PubMed: 10530630]
- Penninx BW, Guralnik JM, Mendes de Leon CF, Pahor M, Visser M, Corti MC, Wallace RB. Cardiovascular events and mortality in newly and chronically depressed persons > 70 years of age. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 1998; 81(8):988–994.10.1016/S0002-9149(98)00077-0 [PubMed: 9576158]
- Penttila J, Helminen A, Jartti T, Kuusela T, Huikuri HV, Tulppo MP, Scheinin H. Time domain, geometrical and frequency domain analysis of cardiac vagal outflow: effects of various respiratory patterns. *Clinical Physiology*. 2001; 21(3):365–376.10.1046/j.1365-2281.2001.00337.x [PubMed: 11380537]
- Pieper S, Brosschot JF, van der Leeden R, Thayer JF. Cardiac effects of momentary assessed worry episodes and stressful events. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2007; 69:901–909.10.1097/psy.0b013e31815a9230 [PubMed: 17991822]
- Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. 1977; 1(3):385.10.1177/014662167700100306
- Rakofsky JJ, Schettler PJ, Kinkead BL, Frank E, Judd LL, Kupfer DJ, Rapaport MH. The Prevalence and Severity of Depressive Symptoms. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2013; 74(11):1084–1091.10.4088/JCP.12m08194 [PubMed: 24330894]
- Schneider F, Habel U, Kessler C, Salloum JB, Posse S. Gender differences in regional cerebral activity during sadness. *Human Brain Mapping*. 2000; 9(4):226–238.10.1002/hbm.1029 [PubMed: 10770231]
- Slade T, Andrews G. Latent structure of depression in a community sample: a taxometric analysis. *Psychological Medicine*. 2005; 35(04):489–497.10.1017/S0033291704003708 [PubMed: 15856719]
- Taylor SE, Klein LC, Lewis BP, Gruenewald TL, Gurung RAR, Updegraff JA. Biobehavioral responses to stress in females: Tend-and-befriend, not fight-or-flight. *Psychological Review*. 2000; 107(3):411–429.10.1037//0033-295x.107.3.411 [PubMed: 10941275]

- Thayer JF, Lane RD. The role of vagal function in the risk for cardiovascular disease and mortality. *Biological Psychology*. 2007; 74(2):224–242.10.1097/00149831-200605001-00124 [PubMed: 17182165]
- Thayer JF, Lane RD. Claude Bernard and the heart-brain connection: Further elaboration of a model of neurovisceral integration. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2009; 33(2):81–88.10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.004 [PubMed: 18771686]
- Thayer JF, Smith M, Rossy LA, Sollers JJ, Friedman BH. Heart period variability and depressive symptoms: gender differences. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1998; 44(4):304–306.10.1016/s0006-3223(98)00008-0 [PubMed: 9715364]
- Thayer JF, Verkuil B, Brosschot JF, Kampschroer K, West A, Sterling C, Sternberg EM. Effects of the physical work environment on physiological measures of stress. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation*. 2010; 17(4):431–439.10.1097/Hjr.0b013e328336923a [PubMed: 20404733]
- Verkuil B, Brosschot JF, Borkovec TD, Thayer JF. Acute autonomic effects of experimental worry and cognitive problem solving: why worry about worry? *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*. 2009
- Verkuil B, Brosschot JF, de Beurs DP, Thayer JF. Effects of explicit and implicit perseverative cognition on cardiac recovery after cognitive stress. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*. 2009; 74(3):220–228.10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2009.09.003 [PubMed: 19770006]
- Verkuil B, Brosschot JF, Gebhardt WA, Thayer JF. When worries make you sick: A review of perseverative cognition, the default stress response and somatic health. *Journal of Experimental Psychopathology*. 2010; 1(1):87–118.10.5127/jep.009110
- Verkuil B, Brosschot JF, Thayer JF. Capturing worry in daily life: Are trait questionnaires sufficient? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2007; 45(8):1835–1844.10.1016/j.brat.2007.02.004 [PubMed: 17382896]
- Verkuil B, Brosschot JF, Thayer JF. Cardiac reactivity to and recovery from acute stress: Temporal associations with implicit anxiety. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*. 2014; 92(2):85–91.10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.03.002 [PubMed: 24632102]
- Whittle S, Yücel M, Yap MB, Allen NB. Sex differences in the neural correlates of emotion: evidence from neuroimaging. *Biological Psychology*. 2011; 87(3):319–333.10.1016/j.biopsycho.2011.05.003 [PubMed: 21600956]




Figure 1. Scatterplot of the associations between log transformed MSD levels and average sadness experienced during the day, split by Gender.




Figure 2. MSD levels during the study, split by Gender and average sadness experienced during the day (median split).

Table 1

Descriptive Statistics

	Women (N = 41)			Men (N = 19)		
	M (%)	SD	Min-Max	M (%)	SD	Min-Max
Age	43.73	11.17		46.60	10.06	
Ethnicity						
Caucasian	75.6%			84.2%		
African-American	7.3%			5.3%		
Hispanic/Latino	14.6%			5.3%		
Asian/Pacific Islander	2.4%					
Missing				5.3		
Marital Status						
Single	22%			26.3%		
Married	53.7%			63.2%		
Divorced	24.4%			10.5%		
<i>Psychophysiological variables</i>						
MSD	21.05	13.15	5.27 – 64.36	23.18	12.20	9.51 – 46.74
Motility	0.05*	0.02	0.01 – 0.16	0.10	0.08	0.02 – 0.29
Caffeine intake ^a	2.21	1.96	0 – 9	2.81	2.02	0 – 7
Smoking ^b	0.34	1.22	0 – 7	0.44	1.60	0 – 7
Alcohol intake ^a	0.12	0.29	0 – 1	0.23	0.42	0 – 1
Physical fitness	3.61	1.90	0.50 – 7.00	3.89	1.74	1 – 7
CES-D	10.10*	6.37	1.48 – 27.00	5.11	4.86	0.00 – 15.00
<i>Momentary mood states</i>						
Sadness	1.21	0.56	1 – 5	1.20	0.50	1 – 4
Anger	1.20	0.59	1 – 4	1.22	0.50	1 – 4
Agitation	1.90	1.07	1 – 5	1.86	0.95	1 – 5
Tiredness	2.23	1.01	1 – 5	2.10	0.91	1 – 4
Stress	2.07	1.07	1 – 5	1.92	0.97	1 – 4
Pain	1.28	0.63	1 – 5	1.12	0.37	1 – 3
Serenity	2.84	1.05	1 – 5	3.04	0.94	1 – 5
Interested	3.23	0.98	1 – 5	3.38	0.89	1 – 5
Relaxed	2.97	0.97	1 – 5	3.19	1.02	1 – 5

	Women (N = 41)			Men (N = 19)		
	M (%)	SD	Min-Max	M (%)	SD	Min-Max
Excitement	2.51	1.05	1 - 5	2.69	0.93	1 - 5
Happiness	3.20	0.94	1 - 5	3.22	0.88	1 - 5
Pleasant	3.20	0.84	1 - 5	3.32	0.83	1 - 5
Activated	2.95	0.99	1 - 5	3.05	0.96	1 - 5

Note: MSD = mean of successive differences; CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale;

a = number of drinks;

b = number of cigarettes;

* $p < .05$

Table 2

Multilevel Models Predicting Log Transformed MSD Levels

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3			Model 4		
	B	SE	t	B	SE	t	B	SE	t	B	SE	t
Intercept	2.46	0.19	12.99	2.58	0.18	14.47	2.70	0.19	14.32	2.48	0.19	13.01
Time of the day	-0.01	0.00	-4.57	-0.01	0.00	-13.23	-0.01	0.00	-12.55	-0.01	0.00	-4.18
Smoking	-0.12	0.04	-3.21	-0.11	0.03	-3.18	-0.11	0.04	-3.17	-0.13	0.04	-3.73
Physical fitness	0.00	0.03	-0.02	-0.01	0.02	-0.34	-0.03	0.03	-0.99	0.00	0.03	0.10
Motility	-1.05	0.10	-10.19	-1.66	0.08	-19.81	-1.60	0.09	-18.71	-0.99	0.11	-9.16
Ethnicity - AA vs H/L	0.62	0.32	1.94	0.61	0.30	2.04	0.60	0.31	1.94	0.49	0.31	1.58
Ethnicity - AA vs C/A	0.44	0.16	2.67	0.45	0.15	2.93	0.40	0.16	2.48	0.44	0.16	2.75
Age	-0.02	0.00	-5.03	-0.03	0.00	-5.57	-0.03	0.00	-5.90	-0.02	0.00	-4.62
Gender	0.22	0.10	2.15	0.28	0.10	2.91	0.32	0.11	2.90	0.19	0.11	1.72
Momentary sadness	0.04	0.02	1.60							0.03	0.03	1.25
Gender × Momentary Sadness	-0.01	0.05	-0.26							0.00	0.05	0.08
Average daytime sadness				0.24	0.14	1.72				0.56	0.18	3.14
Gender × Average daytime sadness				-0.53	0.25	-2.10				-0.85	0.29	-2.90
CES-D							-0.01	0.01	-0.92	-0.03	0.01	-2.64
Gender × CES-D							0.02	0.02	1.06	0.29	0.02	1.27

Note: AA = African-American; H/L = Hispanic/Latino; C/A = Caucasian/Asian/Missing; CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale