# **UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works**

# **Title**

EFFECTS OF SOIL NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY ON INVESTMENT IN ACQUISITION OF N AND P IN HAWAIIAN RAIN FORESTS

# **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0fw94171>

# **Journal**

Ecology, 82(4)

# **ISSN**

0012-9658

# **Authors**

Treseder, Kathleen K Vitousek, Peter M

**Publication Date**

2001-04-01

# **DOI**

10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[0946:eosnao]2.0.co;2

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, availalbe at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

# **EFFECTS OF SOIL NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY ON INVESTMENT IN ACQUISITION OF N AND P IN HAWAIIAN RAIN FORESTS**

# **KATHLEEN K. TRESEDER' AND PETER M. VITOUSEK**

# **Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305 USA**

**Abstract. We determined the influence of nutrient availability on the mechanisms used by plants to acquire nitrogen and phosphorus from the soil. Extracellular acid phosphatase production, mycorrhizal colonization, and N and P uptake capacities were measured in control, N-, and P-fertilized forests in three sites that varied in nutrient status from N limited to relatively fertile to P limited. Nitrogen fertilization increased extracellular phosphatase activity in all sites. Phosphorus additions consistently reduced phosphatase activity, mycorrhizal colonization, and P uptake capacity across sites. Our results indicate that these plants efficiently allocate resources to nutrient acquisition as suggested by an economic model. Investment in acquisition of a nutrient was greatest when that nutrient was limiting to growth, and plants appeared to allocate excess N to construction of extracellular phosphatases to acquire P. This increase in phosphatase production with N fertilization implies that even P-limited systems might respond to N deposition with greater productivity.** 

**Key words: extracellular acid phosphatases; fertilization; Hawaii; Metrosideros polymorpha; mycorrhizal colonization; nitrogen uptake capacity; nutrient availability; nutrient limitation; phosphorus uptake capacity; plant economics; resource allocation; root physiology.** 

### **INTRODUCTION**

**Nitrogen and phosphorus, either individually or in combination, limit primary productivity in most terrestrial ecosystems, and investment by plants in acquisition of these soil nutrients can have important implications for plant growth and nutrient dynamics. Economic-based theories suggest that plants optimize growth by allocating more reserves to the acquisition of nutrients that most limit growth (Bloom et al. 1985, Read 1991). It follows that plants may use resources that are available in excess to capture those that are not. For example, carbon, if abundant, may be used to acquire P through allocation to mycorrhizal fungi. In addition, an increase in availability of one nutrient may increase the demand for, and investment in procurement of, another. For instance, a greater P availability may elicit greater allotment to N acquisition. Because nutrients interact in this manner, it is important to consider the availability of several essential resources (e.g., C, N, and P) simultaneously to adequately assess regulation of N and P acquisition by plants.** 

**It is equally important to concurrently examine each of several acquisition methods, because N or P can be procured through various mechanisms. Plants can obtain P through the manufacture of enzymes that mineralize organic P in the soil, through the cultivation of associations with mycorrhizal fungi, or through the production of P-carrier enzymes in roots. Likewise,** 

**nitrogen can be acquired through N-carrier enzymes. In addition, root production increases the foraging capacity for several nutrients, including N and P. Each option demands distinct levels of investment of carbon, nitrogen, or phosphorus, and each has its own potential consequences for nutrient cycling. Environmental characteristics such as nutrient availability may determine the optimal combination of methods in each system, yet few studies have assessed investment comprehensively. Fig. 1 synthesizes potential and documented effects of C, N, and P availability on investment in these mechanisms, and each will be addressed individually.** 

**One widespread mechanism, through associations with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi, expands the volume of soil from which plants may draw phosphorus. Because P is relatively immobile in the soil, contributions by mycorrhizal fungi are particularly important for its procurement (Allen 1991, Smith and Read 1997 and references therein). AM fungi require primarily carbon from the plant for fungal growth, and are more efficient foragers than are plant roots, as their hyphae have smaller diameters (Smith and Read 1997). Therefore, AM fungi may be the most efficient option for plants that are P limited and have carbon available in excess of that needed for growth (Fig. 1). Several recent studies have indicated that elevated carbon dioxide levels augment investment in AM fungi, either by increasing the carbon available for investment, or by increasing demand for soil nutrients (Diaz 1996, Hodge 1996, Staddon and Fitter 1998). Likewise, many studies have also noted that colonization levels of AM fungi decrease upon an increase in P availability (Fig. 1; e.g., Mosse 1973, Baath and Spokes 1989, Furlan** 

**Manuscript received 22 April 1999; revised 24 January 2000; accepted 13 March 2000.** 

**<sup>&#</sup>x27; Present address: Center for Conservation Biology, University of California, Riverside, California 92521 USA. E-mail: treseder@mail.ucr.edu** 

**carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus availability on investment in methods of nutrient acquisi**zymes are used to obtain P from soil. Nitrogen **carrier enzymes assist in N acquisition. Literature documenting individual nutrient effects is cited in the Introduction and Discussion sec-**



**and Bernier-Cardou 1989, Raju et al. 1990). In contrast, effects of increased N on the growth of AM fungi in field systems are inconsistent, and may be positive (Lussenhop et al. 1998, Eom et al. 1999) or negative (Klironomos et al. 1997).** 

**Another mechanism, extracellular enzymes, enables plants to exploit pools of organic nutrients in the soil. Acid phosphatase activity, in particular, may provide a significant portion of phosphorus for plants (Harrison and Pearce 1979, Kroehler and Linkins 1988, Moorhead et al. 1993), although its role in phosphorus acquisition has not been directly demonstrated (Colpaert et al. 1997). These extracellular enzymes are present on root surfaces and are also secreted by roots into the rhizosphere. AM hyphae produce extracellular phosphatases as well (e.g., Gianinazzi et al. 1979, Dodd et al. 1987). Phosphatases hydrolyze the ester-phosphate bonds in soil organic P, which releases the phosphate into soil solution for uptake by nearby roots or microbes (Tarafdar and Claassen 1988, Duff et al. 1994, Pant and Warman 2000). Extracellular phosphatases anchored on the root or hyphal surface ensure that mineralization occurs in close proximity to P uptake, although other plants may receive some fraction of the mineralized P.** 

**As proteins, phosphatases have relatively high N concentrations (between 8% and 32%), and may represent a significant investment of N. Therefore, increased nitrogen availability may raise the extracellular phosphatase activity of plants (Fig. 1), although few studies have examined that possibility. Clarholm and Rosengren-Brinck (1995) found that N fertilization increased phosphatase activity in soils under a Norway spruce forest, although free-living soil microbes could have contributed to phosphatase production. Numerous studies have reported reductions in extracellular acid phosphatase activity per unit root in response to increased P availability (Fig. 1; see McLachlan 1980, McLachlan and DeMarco 1982, Caradus and Snaydon 1987).** 

**Plants may also invest in P- or N-carrier enzymes in roots to improve their ability to compete for P or N,**  **respectively. Nye and Tinker (1977) showed that the rate of nutrient uptake by a single, isolated root is limited primarily by the speed of diffusion of nutrients through the soil, but they also noted that under competitive conditions, "absorbing powers" of roots from different individuals may also affect the nutrient uptake rates of each. Reductions in P uptake capacity in the field upon P fertilization (Fig. 1; see Harrison and Helliwell 1979, Harrison et al. 1991, Jones and Dighton 1991, McDonald and Malcolm 1991) and in N uptake capacity upon N fertilization (Fig. 1; see Jones and Dighton 1991, Jones et al. 1991) have been observed. Moreover, previous studies have indicated that an increase in nitrogen status can raise uptake capacity for phosphorus (Fig. 1; see Cole et al. 1963, Thien and McFee 1972, White 1972), and vice versa (Williams 1948, Hills et al. 1970, Jones and Dighton 1991). As with phosphatases, these enzymes have a relatively high N content and may also represent a notable N investment.** 

**Overall, because these different methods of nutrient acquisition vary in their resource demands, plants may shift among them depending on which nutrients are available in excess. For example, in a P-limited system, plants may increase investment in extracellular phosphatases, but not in AM fungi, in response to N deposition. This change may essentially produce a shift toward phosphatases (vs. AM fungi) as the dominant mechanism. In this case, measurements of AM fungi only would limit the interpretation of possible consequences of N deposition on P uptake and plant growth. In this study, we examined the potential of ecosystems to modify allocation of resources among several nutrient acquisition mechanisms (including extracellular phosphatases, mycorrhizal fungi, and nutrient carrier enzymes) in response to N and P availability. Few studies have measured these variables concurrently, even though such a synthesis could provide insight into ecosystem-level responses to changes in nutrient accessibility.** 

**We tested the relationship between nutrient availability and N and P acquisition in N- and P-fertilized** 



**FIG. 2. Location of study sites across the Molokai Hawaiian Island chain. The N-limited, fertile, Maui** and P-limited sites are 300, 20000, and **P-limited 4 100 000 yr old, respectively.** 

**plots in three rain forests in Hawaii (Fig. 2). These sites are at different stages of soil development (300, 20 000, and 4 100 000 yr old) and therefore vary in soil nutrient availability. Phosphorus availability is low in the youngest and oldest sites and greatest at the 20 000 yr-old site. Nitrogen availability is lowest at the youngest site, moderately high in the intermediate-aged site, and highest at the oldest site (Table 1; see Crews et al. 1995). Moreover, nutrient availability exerts a strong influence on plant growth across the sites. Long-term fertilization experiments have indicated that aboveground productivity is limited primarily by N in the youngest site (Vitousek et al. 1993), P in the oldest site (Herbert and Fownes 1995), and by neither N nor P independently in the relatively fertile 20 000-yr-old site (Vitousek and Farrington 1997). Because these forests vary in nutrient status, we were able to compare both baseline levels and responses to fertilization of N or P-limited vs. relatively fertile ecosystems.** 

#### **SITES**

**The sites are described in detail by Crews et al. (1995). Briefly, all sites are near 1200 m elevation and**  have a mean annual temperature of  $\sim$ 16<sup>o</sup>C. All receive **-2500 mm of rainfall annually, which is relatively evenly distributed throughout the year. At each site, volcanic tephra is the parent material, with slope angles**   $\leq$ 2°. The vegetation at each site consists of native for**est dominated by the evergreen tree Metrosideros polymorpha (Kitayama and Mueller-Dombois 1995).**  Cheirodendron trigynum and Ilex anomala are also pre-

**TABLE 1. In situ phosphorus and nitrogen availability (mean**   $\pm$  1 sE), by site nutrient status and age.

Site status	Site age	Resin P	Resin N
	(yr)	$(\mu g \cdot bag^{-1} \cdot d^{-1})$	$(\mu g \cdot bag^{-1} \cdot d^{-1})$
N limited	300	$0.20 \pm 0.08$	$3.31 \pm 1.56$
Fertile	20 000	$1.21 \pm 0.28$	$12.37 \pm 3.32$
P limited	4 100 000	$0.41 \pm 0.17$	$14.41 \pm 7.20$

**Notes: Resin N is the sum of resin ammonium-N and resin nitrate-N. Data are from Crews et al. (1995).** 

**sent in the upper canopy. Subcanopy plants at all sites include Coprosma spp., Myrsine spp., Vaccinium calycinum, and tree ferns (Cibotium spp). An exotic ginger (Hedychium gardenrianum) is abundant at the youngest and oldest sites.** 

Fertilized plots receive 100 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> of either N **(half as ammonium nitrate and half as urea) or P (as triple superphosphate) in two applications per year (Vitousek and Farrington 1997). Fertilization has been ongoing for at least four years in each site. Plots are 15 X 15 m in the N- and P-limited sites. At the fertile site, plots are centered on a single adult Metrosideros individual and are 10 m in diameter. There are 4-6 replicate plots per treatment per site.** 

### **METHODS**

### **Sample collection**

**All measurements involved sampling roots from control, N-fertilized, and P-fertilized plots in the three sites. Because the majority of root biomass occupies the top 10 cm of soil (Ostertag 2001), we used 5 cm diameter soil corers to excise roots growing to 10 cm depth. One core was collected at a random location in each of 3-4 plots per treatment per site. Roots were not sorted by species, and thus represent the community of plants at each site.** 

**Roots were collected in October 1997 for analyses of extracellular phosphatase; in January and March 1996 and July and October 1997 for mycorrhizal colonization; in June 1998 for P-uptake capacity; and in May 1998 for N-uptake capacity. For mycorrhizal colonization, samples were only taken from plots in the N- and P-limited sites in January and March 1996, and from the fertile site in July 1997.** 

**Assays were performed within 12 h of root collec**tion. Soil cores were stored at 4<sup>o</sup>C prior to starting lab **analyses. Live roots <2 mm in diameter were selected and were gently washed four times in deionized water.** 

### **Extracellular phosphatase activity**

**Following McLachlan (1980), with modifications by Z. Cardon (personal communication), we placed roots**  **in a 25-mL solution of 3.5 mmol/L para-nitro phenyl phosphate (PNPP) in 50 mmol/L sodium citrate buffer (pH 5.5) within 12 h of collection. Roots were incubated in the dark at 20'C for 1 h, after which 1 mol/L sodium hydroxide was added to stop the reaction. Roots were removed from the solution by filtration through Whatman Number 1 filter paper (Whatman, Maidstone, UK). The solution was frozen until it was transported back to Stanford University, where absorbance at 410 nm was measured on a spectrophotometer (U-2000, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) and was compared to a standard curve of para-nitrophenol. Roots were dried for 3 d at 70'C and weighed. Results are expressed as micromoles PNPP cleaved per gram of root per hour.** 

# **Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization**

We used  $\sim$  10 mg of roots that had been dried at 70 $^{\circ}$ C **for 3 d. Roots were rehydrated and stained with Trypan blue as reported in Koske and Gemma (1989). Colonization of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi was quantified using the magnified intersections method (McGonigle et al. 1990). Results are reported as percentage of root length with mycorrhizal structures (vesicles, arbuscules, or hyphae). We found no evidence of ectomycorrhizal fungi in our samples.** 

# **Phosphorus uptake capacity**

**Roots were first cut into 1 cm long pieces; from each sample, a subsample was weighed, dried for 3 d at 70'C, and was then reweighed to calculate the percentage dry mass. The remainder of each sample (4- 30 mg) was weighed for fresh mass and was placed into a small scintillation tube. Following McDonald and Malcolm (1991), we incubated roots for 30 min in enough 0.5-mmol/L calcium sulphate to cover the roots (usually 1-2 mL). We then incubated the roots for 30 min in a solution containing 0.5-mmol/L calcium sul**phate, 5- $\mu$ mol/L potassium dihydrogen orthophos**phate, and 1 MBq 32P/L as orthophosphate. Roots were washed by soaking in four changes of 0.5 mmol/L cal**cium sulphate plus 5- $\mu$ mol/L potassium dihydrogen or**thophosphate for 5 min each. Enough scintillation fluid was added to cover the roots, and radioactivity was measured in a liquid scintillation counter (LS-9600, Packard Instruments, Meriden, Connecticut, USA). Data were corrected for radioactive decay, instrument efficiency, and dry mass, and results are reported as micromoles P per gram dry root per hour.** 

# **Nitrogen uptake capacity**

Following Jackson and Reynolds  $(1996)$ ,  $\sim$  25 mg of **roots were placed in cheesecloth bags and incubated in a 0.5 mmol/L calcium chloride solution for 20 min. Roots were then incubated for 30 min in solutions containing 0.5 mmol/L calcium chloride and 0.01 mol/L**  sucrose plus 100  $\mu$ mol/L of either <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub>Cl or  $K^{15}NO_3$ . **Roots were washed by soaking in four changes of 1 mmol/L potassium chloride for 5 min each. Roots were**  **dried for 3 d at 70'C and ground to 40 mesh, and 3- 5 mg of sample were weighed and rolled in tin cups. Atomic percentage of 15N and percentage of total N were measured in a mass spectrometer combined with an elemental analyzer (Tracermass, Europa Scientific, Waynesville, Ohio, USA) and compared to a peach standard (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, D.C., USA). Results are reported as micromoles N per gram dry root per hour. Because preliminary results indicated that roots were taking up**  1/10 as much nitrate as ammonium  $(\sim 0.1 \text{ \mu mol } n\text{)}$ trate. [g dry root]<sup> $-1$ </sup>**.** h<sup> $-1$ </sup>**)**, in later measurements we in**cubated roots in 15NH4Cl only. Because root excision can reduce absorption of ammonium, our measurements may underestimate actual uptake (Bloom and Caldwell 1988).** 

#### **Statistics**

**Statistical analyses were performed with SYSTAT version 8.0 for Windows (SPSS 1998). Data were log-, square-root-, or arcsine-transformed. ANOVAs and Tukey post hoc tests were conducted with site and treatment as grouping variables. We tested for normal distribution of data by calculating standard deviates separately for each sample, pooling all deviates, then applying a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for goodness of fit.**  We used an  $F_{\text{max}}$  test to confirm homogeneity of vari**ances (Sokal and Rohlf 1995). The latter two tests indicated that normality and homogeneity of variances were achieved for each ANOVA.** 

# **Calculation of "total" investment**

**All of the measurements described thus far were made per unit root mass or length. The product of these results and the standing stock of live roots per square meter of soil provides an approximation of total ecosystem-level investment. To estimate total investment, we used data reported by Ostertag (2001) for standing biomass and length of live, fine roots from control plots in the N-limited, P-limited, and fertile sites, and from N- and P-fertilized plots in the N- and P-limited sites. We used standing live biomass instead of annual root production for our calculations because each of the acquisition methods, especially secreted phosphatases and mycorrhizal fungi, requires investment and maintenance while roots are active. Root lifetimes are longest in the P-limited site (Ostertag 2001), so standing biomass is greatest there despite low root production. Table 2 summarizes these data and the estimated investment per unit soil area for each method.** 

### **RESULTS**

#### **Extracellular phosphatase activity**

**Fertilization significantly altered investment in phos**phatases (Fig. 3;  $F_{2,22} = 23.327$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Specifi**cally, phosphorus additions significantly and consistently reduced extracellular phosphatase activity per** 



**TABLE 2. The length and biomass of live, fine roots (from Ostertag 2001) and calculated "total" investment in each acquisition method.** 

**Notes: Total investment is the product of activity (or colonization) and standing root mass (or length) in each plot. Data**  are means  $(\pm 1 \text{ SE})$  of 2-4 replicates.

**t Data are from Ostertag (2001).** 

gram root ( $P < 0.023$ ). Nitrogen fertilization increased specific activity significantly across sites  $(P < 0.002)$ **and more than doubled activity in the oldest site. There were no significant differences among sites.** 

#### **Colonization of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi**

**Nutrient additions significantly affected mycorrhizal**  colonization in October 1997 (Fig. 4;  $F_{2,23} = 7.560$ , P **< 0.004). Fertilization with P consistently reduced the percentage of colonization across the sites and at each sampling date. Phosphorus fertilization effects were**  significant in October 1997 ( $P < 0.004$ ) and marginally significant in March 1996 ( $P < 0.068$ ). A significant site by fertilization interaction in March 1996  $(F_{2,18} =$ 4.843,  $P < 0.022$ ) was driven by response to N; i.e., **N additions reduced colonization in the P-limited (P < 0.057) but not in the N-limited site. Otherwise, sites did not differ significantly from one another.** 

### **Phosphorus uptake capacity**

**Phosphorus uptake capacities were significantly altered by fertilization (Fig. 5;**  $F_{2,23} = 8.202, P < 0.003$ **). In particular, phosphorus fertilization markedly reduced specific phosphorus uptake capacity across sites**   $(P < 0.012)$ . Nitrogen fertilization had no significant **effect on activity, and there were no significant differences among sites.** 

# **Nitrogen uptake capacity**

**Nutrient additions significantly affected N uptake capacity** (Fig. 6;  $F_{2,22} = 5.070$ ,  $P < 0.016$ ). In particular, **fertilization with N significantly reduced specific N up**take capacity  $(P < 0.031)$ . There was a significant site by fertilization effect  $(F_{4,22} = 3.118, P \le 0.037)$ . Spe**cifically, a trend toward reduction in uptake capacity was most apparent in the N-limited site, and did not occur in the N-rich, P-limited site where N uptake capacity was already low. Likewise, a trend toward an increase in N uptake capacity with P fertilization occurred only in the P-limited site.** 

# **Specific root vs. total investment**

**The effects of fertilization on ecosystem-level patterns of nutrient acquisition consistently mirrored those in investment per unit root (Table 2, Figs. 3-6). Because of the pattern of root biomass, the rank of each site was similar at both scales, although differences among sites were greater at the ecosystem level in mycorrhizal colonization and P uptake capacity (where the P-limited site had much higher levels of activity than did the N-limited site). Differences among sites were less pronounced in extracellular phosphatase activity (levels at the P-limited site were only slightly higher than those at the fertile site).** 

#### **DISCUSSION**

#### **Phosphorus acquisition**

**One of the interesting findings of this study is that nitrogen availability markedly increased investment in extracellular phosphatases (Table 2, Fig. 3). Nitrogenfertilized trees at these sites may be using the most efficient approach to obtain P by allocating N, which is relatively abundant following fertilization, to construction of phosphatases. This interaction has received little attention in past studies and may be widespread.** 

**How much N is invested in exuded phosphatases? We estimated this amount using published information on kinetic properties of isolated phosphatases. Duff et al. (1994) lists four acid phosphatases that are known to be secreted from plants, and an additional 16 that have an undetermined cellular location. The maximum**  rate of activity  $(V_{\text{max}})$  of these enzymes ranges from 2.6 to  $1250 \mu \text{mol}$  PNPP cleaved. [mg enzyme]<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, with a median of 148  $\mu$ mol PNPP cleaved [mg enzyme]<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. We used a  $V_{\text{max}}$  of 1250  $\mu$ mol PNPP **cleaved.[mg enzyme]-'-min-', with its corresponding**   $K_M$  of 1.1 mmol/L (Gellatly et al. 1993), as a high **estimate of the activity (and low estimate of enzyme mass) of the extracellular phosphatases produced in the**  Hawaiian sites. A  $V_{\text{max}}$  of 2.6  $\mu$ mol PNPP cleaved [mg enzyme]<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (and corresponding  $K_M$  of 0.35-mmol/





**L; Miernyk 1992) was used as a low estimate. We also assumed that the enzymes were 16% N by mass, and that phosphatases were not secreted from roots. With**  these constraints, we estimated that  $\sim 0.041 - 16.6$  mg **N/M2 were invested in extracellular phosphatases in the standing stock of live roots in control plots at the Plimited site in October 1997 (calculated from data in Table 2). These numbers are a lower bound for N investment, as some fraction of extracellular phosphatases are secreted from roots into the soil (e.g., Rubio et al. 1990). Annual investment in production of these phosphatases may be much higher than the standing stock in roots at any given time.** 

**There may be a limit to the cost effectiveness of extracellular phosphatases, even in the N-rich, P-limited site. As more phosphatases are exuded, the probability that mineralized P will be tapped by other plants increases. In other words, plants will receive diminishing, marginal returns of P as invested N increases (sensu Bloom et al. 1985). At some point, the increase in P acquisition provided by an increase in investment will not offset the cost of that additional investment. However, an increase in availability of N through fertilization could reduce the relative cost of N involved** 



**FIG. 3. Root-associated extracellular phosphatase activity in roots from control and fertilized plots. Error bars are + 1 SE. Each bar represents an average of 3-4 plots per site.** 

**in phosphatase production, and could raise the level of phosphatase production. This may explain the response seen even in the P-limited, N-rich site, where investment in extracellular phosphatases more than doubled with N fertilization (Fig. 3).** 

**Another possible explanation for the increase in extracellular phosphatase activity with N fertilization is that N additions stimulate plant growth, which in turn raises demand for P. Although this scenario may not be a factor in the P-limited site, it could be important in the youngest site, where aboveground productivity increases with N fertilization. Regardless of the underlying mechanism, it appears that an increase in N availability could result in an increase in P availability through the production of extracellular phosphatases. This mechanism could allow even P-limited systems to respond to N deposition and other anthropogenic N inputs with an increase in productivity.** 

**Nitrogen fertilization had a less striking impact on P uptake capacity. An increase in growth and demand for P may be responsible for the nonsignificant increase in uptake capacity per gram root (Fig. 5), and the more than twofold increase in ecosystem-level investment (Table 2) with N fertilization in the N-limited plot. An increase in the amount of N available for construction of carrier enzymes may also be a factor. However, this latter factor seems to have a much more subtle influence than that of extracellular phosphatases, possibly because uptake enzymes require less N for construction. Secreted phosphatases are lost from the plant and therefore are likely to be much more costly than root-bound carrier enzymes. In addition, there may be a maximum concentration of carriers that can be contained on the root surface, so the production of additional carrier enzymes may not always be feasible.** 

**Although phosphatases represent a substantial cost to plants in terms of N investment, mycorrhizal fungi can require a large fraction of the net C fixed by plants (up to 15-20%; Jakobsen and Rosendahl 1990, Allen 1991). It is not surprising that P fertilization consistently decreased investment in each of these methods of acquisition. These responses have also been observed in many previous studies (Mosse 1973, Mc-Lachlan 1980, McLachlan and DeMarco 1982, Caradus** 



**FIG. 4. Percentage of arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization of roots from control and fertilized plots. Error bars are + 1 SE. Each bar represents an average of 3-4 plots per site. In January and March 1996, samples were not collected from the fertile site. In July 1997, samples were collected from the fertile site only.** 

**and Snaydon 1987, Baath and Spokes 1989, Furlan and Bernier-Cardou 1989, Raju et al. 1990, McDonald and Malcolm 1991). Nitrogen fertilization either reduced (P-limited site, March 1996) or had no effect on AM fungi. Because extracellular phosphatase activity increased in the same conditions, N fertilization seems to induce a shift among P acquisition methods in this system.** 

**Finally, root biomass and production in these sites have been characterized by Ostertag (2001), who found that the P-limited site has the lowest rates of root production. Moreover, although nitrogen fertilization had no effect on root production in the N-limited or P-** 



**FIG. 5. Phosphorus uptake capacity of roots from fertil**ized plots. Error bars are  $+1$  se. Each bar represents an av**erage of 3-4 plots per site.** 

**limited sites, phosphorus fertilization significantly increased it in the P-limited site. This response suggests that plants in the P-limited site lack the necessary phosphorus reserves to maximize root growth (Ostertag 2001). We suggest that alternate methods of nutrient acquisition, such as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and 05 7 2.05**  acquisition, such as arbuscular higcorrinzar fungi and extracellular phosphatases, may be more efficient in **the P-limited site.** 

### **Nitrogen acquisition**

**Nitrogen uptake capacity responded to both N and P fertilization. Investment in carrier enzymes decreased with N fertilization in all but the P-limited, N-rich site 0.00 (Fig. 6). Nitrogen previously allocated to N-carrier enzymes could have been invested instead in photosynthetic enzymes, reproductive biomass, or tissue growth. Finally, the observed increase of investment in N-carrier enzymes with P fertilization in the P-limited site** 



**FIG. 6. Nitrogen uptake capacity of roots from fertilized**  plots. Error bars are  $+1$  se. Each bar represents an average **of 3-4 plots per site.** 

**(Fig. 6) may be attributable primarily to a higher growth demand for N.** 

#### **Conclusion**

**As suggested by Bloom et al. (1985), plants appear to invest resources efficiently in nutrient acquisition. Extracellular phosphatase activity increases substantially with nitrogen fertilization, while investment in AM fungi decreases or has no response. Plants therefore seem to shift investment in P acquisition among different methods depending on the status of excess nutrients. Finally, our observation that N fertilization consistently increases extracellular phosphatase production in forests with a wide range in N availability has the implication that both N-limited and P-limited ecosystems could respond to inputs of anthropogenic N with increased productivity.** 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

**We are grateful to: the Divisions of Forestry and Wildlife and State Parks of the State of Hawaii, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, and the Joseph Souza Center at Kokee State**  Park for access to the field sites and logistical support; J. **Scott and the University of Hawaii at Hilo for laboratory space and assistance; R. Ostertag for advice and support**  throughout the course of this study; J. Whitbeck and R. Koske **for help with identification of mycorrhizal fungi; H. Mooney, C. Field, and D. Ackerly for critical feedback on this work; H. Farrington and J. Moen for field assistance; D. Turner, H. Farrington, and C. Chu for help in the laboratory; Z. Cardon for assistance with protocol development; J. Randerson, S. Hobbie, J. Verville, L. Olander; J. Neff, and S. Hall for discussion; and J. Verville, J. Randerson, and A. Finzi for comments on drafts of this manuscript. This research was supported by NSF grant DEB-9628803 and a USDA-NRI grant to Stanford University and an NSF graduate research fellowship and ARCS graduate fellowship to K. Treseder.** 

#### **LITERATURE CITED**

- **Allen, M. E 1991. The ecology of mycorrhizae. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.**
- **Baath, E., and J. Spokes. 1989. The effect of added nitrogen and phosphorus on mycorrhizal growth response and infection in Allium schoenoprasum. Canadian Journal of Botany 67:3227-3232.**
- **Bloom, A. J., and R. M. Caldwell. 1988. Root excision decreases nutrient absorption and gas fluxes. Plant Physiology 87:794-796.**
- **Bloom, A. J., E S. Chapin III, and H. A. Mooney. 1985.**  Resource limitation in plants—an economic analogy. An**nual Review of Ecology and Systematics 16:363-393.**
- **Caradus, J. R., and R. W. Snaydon. 1987. Aspects of the phosphorus nutrition of white clover populations. II. Root exocellular acid phosphatase activity. Journal of Plant Nutrition 10:287-301.**
- **Clarholm, M., and U. Rosengren-Brinck. 1995. Phosphorus and nitrogen fertilization of a Norway spruce forest: effects on needle concentrations and acid phosphatase activity in the humus layer. Plant and Soil 175:239-249.**
- **Cole, C., D. Grunes, L. Porter, and S. Olsen. 1963. The effects of nitrogen on short-term phosphorus absorption and trans**location in corn (Zea mays). Soil Science Society of Amer**ica Proceedings 27:671-674.**
- **Colpaert, J. V., A. Van Laere, K. K. Van Tichelen, and J. A. Van Assche. 1997. The use of inositol hexaphosphate as a phosphorus source by mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal**

**Scots Pine (Pinus sylvestris). Functional Ecology 11:407- 415.** 

- **Crews, T. E., K. Kitayama, J. H. Fownes, R. H. Riley, D. A. Herbert, D. Mueller-Dombois, and P. M. Vitousek. 1995. Changes in soil phosphorus fractions and ecosystem dynamics across a long chronosequence in Hawaii. Ecology 76:1407-1424.**
- Diaz, S. 1996. Effects of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] at the community **level mediated by root symbionts. Plant and Soil 187:309- 320.**
- **Dodd, J., C. C. Burton, R. G. Burns, and P. Jeffries. 1987. Phosphatase activity associated with the roots and the rhizosphere of plants infected with vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytologist 107:163-172.**
- **Duff, S. M. G., G. Sarath, and W. C. Plaxton. 1994. The role of acid phosphatases in plant phosphorus metabolism. Physiologia Plantarum 90:791-800.**
- **Eom, A.-H., D. C. Hartnett, G. W. T. Wilson, and D. A. H. Figge. 1999. The effects of fire, mowing, and fertilizer amendment on arbuscular mycorrhizas in tallgrass prairie. American Midland Naturalist 142:55-70.**
- **Furlan, V., and M. Bernier-Cardou. 1989. Effects of N, P, and K on formation of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae, growth, and mineral content of onion. Plant and Soil 113: 167-174.**
- **Gellatly, K., G. B. G. Moorhead, S. M. G. Duff, D. D. Lefebvre, and W. C. Plaxton. 1993. Purification of a potato tuber acid phosphatase having characteristics of a protein tyrosine phosphatase. Plant Physiology 102 Supplement:27.**
- **Gianinazzi, S., V. Gianinazzi-Pearson, and J. Dexheimer. 1979. Enzymatic studies on the metabolism of vesiculararbuscular mycorrhiza. III. Ultrastructural localisation of acid and alkaline phosphatase in onion roots infected by Glonius. New Phytologist 82:127-132.**
- **Harrison, A. E, and D. R. Helliwell. 1979. A bioassay for comparing phosphorus availability in soils. Journal of Applied Ecology 16:497-505.**
- **Harrison, A. E, and T. Pearce. 1979. Seasonal variation of phosphatase activity in woodland soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 11:405-410.**
- **Harrison, A. F, K. Taylor, J. C. Hatton, J. Dighton, and D. M. Howard. 1991. Potential of a root bioassay for determining P-deficiency in high altitude grassland. Journal of Applied Ecology 28:277-289.**
- **Herbert, D. A., and J. H. Fownes. 1995. Phosphorus limitation of forest leaf area and net primary production on a highly weathered soil. Biogeochemistry 29:233-245.**
- **Hills, E J., R. L. Sailsberry, A. Ulrich, and K. M. Sipitanos. 1970. Effect of phosphorus on nitrate in sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.). Agronomy Journal 62:91-92.**
- Hodge, A. 1996. Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on mycorrhizal **associations and implications for plant growth. Biology and Fertility of Soils 23:388-398.**
- **Jackson, R. B., and H. L. Reynolds. 1996. Nitrate and ammonium uptake for single- and mixed-species communities**  grown at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Oecologia 105:74-80.
- **Jakobsen, I., and L. Rosendahl. 1990. Carbon flow into soil and external hyphae from roots of mycorrhizal cucumber plants. New Phytologist 115:77-83.**
- **Jones, H. E., and J. Dighton. 1991. The use of nutrient bioassays to assess the response of Eucalyptus grandis to fertilizer application. 2. A field experiment. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 23:7-13.**
- **Jones, H. E., C. Quarmby, and A. E Harrison. 1991. A root bioassay test for nitrogen deficiency in forest trees. Forest Ecology and Management 42:267-282.**
- **Kitayama, K., and D. Mueller-Dombois. 1995. Vegetation changes along gradients of long-term soil development in the Hawaiian montane rainforest zone. Vegetatio 120:1- 20.**
- **Klironomos, J. N., M. C. Rillig, M. E Allen, D. R. Zak, M. Kubiske, and K. S. Pregitzer. 1997. Soil fungal-arthropod responses to Populus tremuloides grown under enriched**  atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> under field conditions. Global Change **Biology 3:473-478.**
- **Koske, R. E., and J. N. Gemma. 1989. A modified procedure for staining roots to detect VA mycorrhizas. Mycological Research 92:486-505.**
- **Kroehler, C. J., and A. E. Linkins. 1988. The roots surface phosphatases of Eriophorum vaginatum: effects of temperature, pH, substrate concentration and inorganic phosphorus. Plant and Soil 105:3-10.**
- **Lussenhop, J., A. Treonis, P. S. Curtis, J. A. Teeri, and C. S. Vogel. 1998. Response of soil biota to elevated atmo**spheric CO<sub>2</sub> in Poplar model systems. Oecologia 113:247-**251.**
- **McDonald, M. A., and D. C. Malcolm. 1991. The use of a 32P root bioassay to indicate the phosphorus status of forest trees. 1. Seasonal variation. Canadian Journal of Forestry 21:1180-1184.**
- **McGonigle, T. P., M. H. Miller, D. G. Evans, G. L. Fairchild, and J. A. Swan. 1990. A new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytologist 115:495-501.**
- **McLachlan, K. D. 1980. Acid phosphatase activity of intact roots and phosphorus nutrition in plants. I. Assay conditions and phosphatase activity. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 31:429-440.**
- **McLachlan, K. D., and D. G. DeMarco. 1982. Acid phosphatase activity of intact roots and phosphorus nutrition in plants. III. Its relations to phosphorus garnering by wheat and a comparison with leaf activity as a measure of phosphorus status. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 31:429-440.**
- **Miernyk, J. A. 1992. Purification and characterization of the major acid phosphatase isozyme secreted by maize endosperm cultures. Phytochemistry 31:2613-2616.**
- **Moorhead, D. L., C. J. Kroehler, A. E. Linkins, and J. E Reynolds. 1993. Extracellular acid phosphatase activities in Eriophorum vaginatum tussocks: a modeling synthesis. Arctic and Alpine Research 25:50-55.**
- **Mosse, B. 1973. Plant growth responses to vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae: IV. In soil given additional phosphate. New Phytologist 72:127-136.**
- **Nye, P. H., and P. B. Tinker. 1977. Solute movement in the soil-root system. University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.**
- **Ostertag, R. 2001. Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus avail-**

**ability on fine-root dynamics in Hawaiian montane forests. Ecology, 82:485-499.** 

- **Pant, H. K., and P. R. Warman. 2000. Enzymatic hydrolysis of soil organic phosphorus by immobilized phosphatases. Biology and Fertility of Soils 30:306-311.**
- **Raju, P. S., R. B. Clark, J. R. Ellis, and J. W. Maranville. 1990. Mineral uptake and growth of sorghum colonized with VA mycorrhiza at varied soil phosphorus levels. Journal of Plant Nutrition 13:843-859.**
- Read, D. J. 1991. Mycorrhizas in ecosystems—Nature's re**sponse to the "Law of the Minimum." Pages 101-131 in D. L. Hawksworth, editor. Frontiers in mycology. CAB International, Regensburg, Germany.**
- **Rubio, R., E. Moraga, and F Borie. 1990. Acid phosphatase activity and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal infection associated with roots of four wheat cultivars. Journal of Plant Nutrition 13:585-598.**
- **Smith, S. E., and D. J. Read. 1997. Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.**
- **Sokal, R. R., and F J. Rohlf. 1995. Biometry. Third edition. W. H. Freeman, New York, New York, USA.**
- **SPSS. 1998. SYSTAT version 8.0. SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA.**
- **Staddon, P. L., and A. H. Fitter. 1998. Does elevated carbon dioxide affect arbuscular mycorrhizas? Trends in Ecology and Evolution 13:455-458.**
- **Tarafdar, J. C., and N. Claassen. 1988. Organic phosphorus compounds as a phosphorus source for higher plants through the activity of phosphatases produced by plant roots and microorganisms. Biology and Fertility of Soils 5:308-312.**
- **Thien, S. J, and W. W. McFee. 1972. Effect of nitrogen on phosphorus transport systems in Zea mays L. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 36:617-620.**
- **Vitousek, P. M., and H. Farrington. 1997. Nutrient limitation and soil development: experimental test of a biogeochemical theory. Biochemistry 37:63-75.**
- **Vitousek, P. M., L. R. Walker, L. D. Whiteaker, and P. A. Matson. 1993. Nutrient limitation to plant growth during primary succession in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. Ecology 23:197-215.**
- **White, R. E. 1972. Studies on mineral ion absorption by plants. II. The absorption and utilization of phosphates by Stylosanthes humilis, Phaseolus atropurpureus and Desmodium intortum. Plant and Soil 36:427-447.**
- **Williams, R. F 1948. The effects of phosphorus supply on the rates of intake of phosphorus and nitrogen and upon certain aspects of phosphorus metabolism in gramineous plants. Australian Journal of Scientific Research Series B 1:333-361.**