Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Reaction of Hydrogen Sulfide with Oxygen in the Presence of Sulfite

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0bd5z70b

Authors Weres, Oleh Tsao, Leon

Publication Date

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

BL-113



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

EARTH SCIENCES DIVISION

MAY 17 1983

LIBRARY AND DOCUMENTS SECTION

REACTION OF HYDROGEN SULFIDE WITH OXYGEN IN THE PRESENCE OF SULFITE

Oleh Weres and Leon Tsao

January 1983

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782.



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-11377

REACTION OF HYDROGEN SULFIDE WITH OXYGEN

IN THE PRESENCE OF SULFITE

Oleh Weres and Leon Tsao

Earth Sciences Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory 1 Cyclotron Road Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

January 14, 1983

(Ņ

ABSTRACT

Commonly, abatement of hydrogen sulfide emissions from a geothermal powerplant requires that hydrogen sulfide dissolved in the cooling water be eliminated by chemical reaction. Oxidation by atmospheric oxygen is the preferred reaction, but requires a suitable catalyst. Nickel is the most potent and thereby cheapest catalyst for this purpose. One mg/L nickel in the cooling water would allow 99% removal of hydrogen sulfide to be attained. A major drawback of catalytic air oxidation is that colloidal sulfur is a major reaction product; this causes rapid sludge accumulation and deposition of sulfur scale.

We studied the kinetics and product distribution of the reaction of hydrogen sulfide with oxygen, catalyzed by nickel. Adding sodium sulfite to the solution completely suppresses formation of colloidal sulfur by converting it to thiosulfate. The oxidation reaction is an autocatalytic, free radical chain reaction. A rate expression for this reaction and a detailed reaction mechanism were developed. Nickel catalyzes the chain initiation step, and polysulfidoradical ions propagate the chains.

Several complexes of iron and cobalt were also studied. Iron citrate and iron N-hydroxyEDTA are the most effective iron based catalysts. Uncomplexed cobalt is as effective as nickel, but forms a precipitate of cobalt oxysulfide and is too expensive for practical use.

wê.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract

يو بر بر

é.

1

Table of Contents	
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	3
2. A review of inorganic sulfur chemistry.	. 4
2.1. Forward	4
2.2. Inorganic sulfur compounds	4
2.2.1. The polysulfides, sulfanes, and elemental sulfur	6
2.2.2. Thiosulfate	. 8
2.2.3. Thiophilic reactions	9
2.2.4. Polythionates	· 9
2.2.5. Sulfanemonosulfonates	. 11
2.2.6. Dithionate	11
2.2.7. Sulfate	12
2.3. Oxygen and hydrogen peroxide	12
2.4. The transition metals	15
2.5. Oxidation of hydrogen sulfide	17
2.5.1. Reaction of hydrogen sulfide with oxygen	17
2.5.2. Catalysis and inhibition	19
2.5.3. Reaction with oxygen over solid catalysts	21
2.5.4. Reaction with hydrogen peroxide	22
2.5.5. Summary	23
2.6. Oxidation of sulfite	24
2.6.1. Free radical chain reaction with oxygen	• 24
2.6.2. Proposed reaction mechanism	25
2.6.3. Other oxidation reactions	27
2.7. Oxidation of thiosulfate	28
3. The reaction catalyzed by nickel	30
3.1. Forward	30
3.2. Experimental methods	30
3.2.1. Synthetic cooling water with recharge	30
3.2.2. Work at lower pH	32
3.2.3. Determination of reactivity	32
3.2.4. Measurement of turbidity	33
3.2.5. Determination of reaction products	33
3.2.6. Safety considerations	34
3.3. Development of reactivity and turbidity	34
3.3.1. Reactivity and autocatalysis	35
3.3.2. The effect of sodium sulfite	37
3.3.3. The effect of thiosulfate	37
3.3.4. The effect of pH	37

3.4. Reaction kinetics	37
3.4.1. The rate expression	41
3.5. Distribution of reaction products	
3.6. The reaction mechanism	45
3.7. Discussion	48
App. 3.1. Preparation and storage of solutions	49
App. 3.2. UV absorption spectra	51
App. 3.3. Computer code OXTAB	53
App. 3.4. Analysis of variance in rate data	53
4. Catalysis by iron, cobalt, and other transition metals	57
4.1. Forward	· 57
4.2. Experimental methods	57
4.2.1. Method for screening catalysts	57
4.2.2. Continuous flow kinetic system	57
4.3. Screening of potential catalysts	59
4.4. Wackenroder's reaction	60
4.5. Catalysis by iron compounds	61
4.6. Catalysis by cobalt	64
App. 4.1. Continuous flow kinetic system (cont.)	66
5. Summary and discussion	· 69
References	· 71
an a	
n an	
n en	
	Č N
and the second secon	Yan.
a de la companya de A companya de la comp	•
	2

a da serie de la composición de la comp La serie de la composición de la composi

and and a second A second and a second

a de la companya de l

LIST OF TABLES

1.1.	Steam Composition: Geysers Unit 15	1
2.1.	Free energies of formation of sulfur compounds	5
2.2.	Chemical species of sulfur	· 7
2.3.	Free energies of the system $O_2 + 2 H_2$	14
2.4.	Mechanism of sulfite oxidation	26
3.1.	Empirical rate law for H ₂ S oxidation	41
3.2.	Proposed reaction mechanism	46
3.3.	Listing of computer code OXTAB	54
4.1.	Screening of potential catalysts	59
4.2.	Solutions used with flowing kinetic system	66

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1.	Electronic structure of O ₂	13
2.2.	A typical reaction of singlet oxygen	13
2.3.	Reduction potentials of the oxygen system at pH 7	13
2.4.	Cobalt(II) tetrasulfophthalocyanine	20
3.1.	Effect of Wackenroder's Solution on reactivity	35
3.2.	Turbidity and reactivity; sulfite: sulfide $= 0.75$	35
3.3.	Turbidity and reactivity at pH 7	36
3.4.	Reactivity vs. initial H ₂ S	38
3.5.	Reactivity vs. nickel concentration	38
3.6.	Residual H ₂ S vs. reaction time	.39
3.7.	Reactivity vs. temperature	39
3.8.	Reactivity vs. pH	40
3.9.	Reactivity vs. oxygen concentration	40
3.10	Reaction product distribution; no sulfite added	43
3.11	Reaction product distribution vs. pH	43
3.12	Reaction product distribution with 100µM Ni	44
3.13	Reaction products vs. sulfite:sulfide ratio	44
3.14	Reaction products vs. pH; no sulfite added	45
3.15	Reaction products vs. pH; $SO_3^{=}/H_2S = 0.75$	45
3.16	Apparatus for preparing anoxic solutions	50
3.17	UV absorption spectra of sulfur compounds	52
3.18	Absorption spectrum of SCW	52
4.1.	Continuous flow kinetic system	58
4.2.	Wackenroder's reaction	60
4.3.	Catalysis by iron compounds	62
4.4.	Effect of catalyst oxidation time	62
4.5.	Comparison of FeHEDTA and FeCitrate	63
4.6.	Reaction product distribution with FeCitrate	63
4.7.	Reaction catalyzed by cobalt	64
4.8.	Autocatalysis with CoCitrate	65
4.9.	Reactivity vs. oxygen concentration	65
4.10.	Mixing blocks	67
4.11.	Electrode block	68

- vii -

 \triangleright

:

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

At The Geysers Geothermal Power Plant of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, surface condensers are used in combination with Stretford Units to reduce atmospheric emissions of hydrogen sulfide. Surface condensers reduce the fraction of H_2S originally in the steam that dissolves in the condensate. That fraction which does not dissolve in the condensate, but stays in the vapor phase and departs the condenser with the vent-gas is converted to elemental sulfur by the Stretford Unit. Even with surface condensers, a substantial fraction of the H_2S dissolves in the condensate (20-35%). The geothermal steam at The Geysers contains ammonia (Table 1.1), which increases the solubility of H_2S in the condensate by reacting with it as a base:

 $H_2S + NH_3 \rightarrow HS - + NH_4^+$

The CO₂ in the steam has little effect on the solubility of H_2S , because the hydration of CO₂ to carbonic acid is slow.

The H_2S in the condensate must be destroyed before it is emitted to the atmosphere. At present, this is done by adding hydrogen peroxide and a trace amount of iron hydroxyacetate to the condensate, to oxidize the H_2S to thiosulfate. This procedure is expensive.

Table 1.1Steam Composition: Geysers Unit 15					
Component Concentration					
·	(ppm)	(mmoles/kg H_2O)			
H_2S	135	4.0			
NH ₃	90	5.3			
CO ₂	1530	34.8			
B (OH) ₃	166	2.7			
H_2	38	19.			
CH 4	118	7.4			
N_2	60	2.1			

Sample collected by Henderson *et al.* (1980), on September 12, 1979. This is a representative analysis for The Geysers. Steam composition varies with time, and is different at each Unit.

-

1

Units 1 through 12 at The Geysers are equipped with contact condensers, and retrofitting them with surface condensers is not a practical proposition. In these Units 60-75% of the H_2S dissolves in the cooling water. Emission of H_2S from these Units can be abated only by oxidizing the H_2S in the cooling water. At present, oxidation is effected with hydrogen peroxide. Sodium hydroxide is used to help scrub H_2S from the condenser vent-gas into the cooling water.

Air would be the ideal oxidizing agent for such applications. The cooling water is saturated with atmospheric oxygen in the cooling tower; in principle, one need only add an appropriate catalyst to induce reaction between the dissolved oxygen and H_2S .

For a time, iron sulfate was added to the cooling water of Geysers Units 11 and 12 to catalyze the reaction (Allen *et al.*, 1975). A 30 ppm (mg/l) concentration of iron was maintained in the cooling water. The vent gas was discharged into the bottom of the cooling tower, enabling the H_2S in it to dissolve in the cooling water and be oxidized as well. Overall abatement efficiency better than 90% was achieved. However, the iron hydrolyzed to ferric hydroxide, and a large fraction of the H_2S was oxidized to colloidal sulfur. The iron hydroxide and colloidal sulfur combined to form a voluminous, hard to deal with sludge. This sludge was hard to dewater, and had to be handled and disposed of as toxic solid waste because of its arsenic and mercury content (from the geothermal steam). Also, the iron rich cooling water was highly corrosive. These problems caused the use of iron sulfate to be abandoned.

Nickel is the most potent catalyst for the reaction of H_2S with oxygen. Use of nickel sulfate was first considered by PG&E in 1973. In the first full scale field test (with Unit 2), nickel completely eliminated H_2S emissions from the cooling tower (Payette, *et al.*, 1974, pp. 53-55; Weres, *et al.*, 1977, p. XI-37; PG&E-DER, private communication). Unfortunately, the major reaction product was colloidal sulfur which accumulated in the water distribution trays of the cooling tower, and formed a solid, hard to remove scale. After this test, Unit 2 had to be removed from service for a month to undo the scaling damage. Consequently, work with nickel salts was abandoned.

Recently, catalysis by iron HEDTA was successfully demonstrated at The Geysers (Henderson and Dorighi, 1981; Yancey, 1981). With a concentration of iron in the cooling water between 5 to 20 ppm, 98% abatement was achieved without formation of iron hydroxide sludge. Adding sulfur dioxide to the cooling water of Unit 1 (obtained by burning the vent-gas) prevented the formation of sulfur sludge (Yancey, 1981). With Unit 9, addition of SO_2 was not necessary (Henderson and Dorighi, 1981).

In 1978, the Department of Engineering Research of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company funded LBL to perform basic and applied research in support of PG&E's work on H_2S emission abatement at The Geysers. Beginning in 1979, the Division of Geothermal Energy of the U.S. Department of Energy provided additional support to LBL for this work. This report describes in detail the experimental work performed under these contracts.

Catalyst screening tests (described in Chapter 4) confirmed that nickel and cobalt are the most potent catalysts for air oxidation of H_2S . Because nickel is the less expensive of the two and does not form an oxysulfide precipitate as does cobalt, nickel alone was chosen for extensive further testing.

Chapter 2 reviews the literature on inorganic sulfur chemistry, with emphasis on aspects relevant to our work.

Chapter 3 describes our study of the kinetics and product stoichiometry of the nickel catalyzed reaction of hydrogen sulfide with oxygen in the presence of sulfite ion.

The kinetics and stoichiometry of reactions catalyzed by cobalt sulfate, cobalt citrate, iron citrate, iron EDTA, and iron HEDTA also were briefly studied. This work is described in Chapter 4, together with the preliminary screening of catalysts.

The practical implications of our results are summarized in Chapter 5.

HEDTA = N-hydroxyethylenediaminetriacetate. These tests took place after the work described herein had largely been completed.

The major results reported here are summarized in a journal paper (Weres and Tsao, 1983). Weres (1983, 1983a, 1983b) evaluated several condenser designs for geothermal power plants by computer modeling. These include novel, advanced design concepts. The computer code used in the modeling studies was documented by Weres (1983c). An overview of the environmental problems at The Geysers and other geothermal fields, and the technology available to deal with them was given in earlier reports (Weres *et al.*, 1977; Weres, 1980; Weres, 1983d).

Acknowledgements

, F

. . We wish to thank S.G. Sharp of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for providing us with chemical and test data from The Geysers Geothermal field. This report was critically reviewed by R.E. Russo of LBL.

This work was supported in part by the Assistant Secretary for Resource Applications, Office of Industrial and Utility Applications and Operations, Geothermal Energy Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098. It was also supported by the Department of Engineering Research of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company under Contract No. 5-50-78.

CHAPTER 2 A REVIEW OF INORGANIC SULFUR CHEMISTRY

2.1. Forward

In this chapter is summarized information from the chemical literature that was found useful in planning and interpreting the experimental work described in Chapters 3 and 4. This summary is not intended to be an exhaustive literature survey. It is intended to make the information we found in the literature conveniently accessible to a reader with interests similar to our own.

Sulfur compounds that may be produced by oxidation of hydrogen sulfide are reviewed in Section 2.2. Substantial literature exists on the oxidation of H_2S , SO_2 , and thiosulfate. The oxidation reactions of these compounds are reviewed separately in Sections 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7, respectively. The relevant chemical properties of oxygen and hydrogen peroxide are summarized in Section 2.3, and the transition metals are discussed in Section 2.4.

Several earlier reviews were useful in conducting our own. The book edited by Nickless (1968) gives an excellent overview of inorganic sulfur chemistry. The book edited by Karchmer (1970) and its sequel review the analytic chemistry of sulfur compounds in great detail; The chapter by Haff (1970) was particularly useful. The two volumes edited by Nriagu (1978) review the chemistry and transport of sulfur in the environment. In his own chapter, Nriagu (1978) reviewed the chemistry of sulfur compounds. In 1972, the International Journal of Sulfur Chemistry published the proceedings of a symposium devoted to chemical aspects of sulfur dioxide emission abatement (see the reference to Schmidt, 1972). Benson (1978) reviewed the thermochemistry and chemical bond strengths of sulfur containing molecules and radicals. Sprung (1977) reviewed the atmospheric chemistry of H_2S . Gmelins Handbuch der Anorganischen Chemie contains voluminous information on inorganic sulfur chemistry.

The literature is full of speculative reaction mechanisms, intermediates, etc. Some of the chemical reactions proposed contain obvious errors or inconsistencies. In many cases, we corrected such reactions before quoting them. In other cases, the presentation of the reaction schemes was modified for the sake of brevity, clarity or nomenclatural consistency.

In many studies, H_2S and HS^- are not distinguished in the presentation or interpretation of the data. "Total sulfide" or " H_2S " is used in the sense of " H_2S + HS^- + S^- " in many places in this review as well.

2.2. Inorganic sulfur compounds

The most stable and abundant forms of sulfur in nature are sulfate minerals, sulfide minerals, and "organic sulfur" in buried organic matter. Deposits of elemental sulfur also exist. Hydrogen sulfide and sulfate ion are the dominant forms of sulfur in natural fluids. They occur in reducing and oxidizing environments, respectively. Because most underground waters and gases are formed in reducing environments, they contain mostly hydrogen sulfide, in which the valence of sulfur is -2. When such fluids are brought to the surface and exposed to oxygen the H_2S ultimately is converted to sulfate ion in which the valence is +6. The oxidation reactions are very complex, and generally involve many intermediate oxidation states of sulfur. Many compounds and ions in which sulfur has an intermediate valence are known.

The free energy/sulfur atom decreases fairly regularly with increasing valence of sulfur (Table 2.1). However, thermodynamic stability correlates poorly with reactivity in the presence of oxygen. Species likely to be involved in the oxidation of H_2S are presented in Table 2.2 in the order of decreasing ease of oxidation. Polysulfides and the ions of H_2S and SO_2 are readily oxidized; the other compounds oxidize so slowly that these reactions are difficult to study.

Sulfur dioxide and the sulfites. Disulfite (also called pyrosulfite) is formed by dimerization of bisulfite in concentrated solutions:

Table 2.1

Free energy of formation and acidity of sulfur compounds

Kcal/mole at 25^oC

ģ

Species	ΔG ^e f	pK _a	Source
H_2S (g)	-8.02		1
H_2S (aq)	-6.66	6.99	1
H_2S_2	-1.0	5.0	2
H_2S_3	1.6	4.2	2
H_2S_4	2.7	3.8	2
H_2S_5	3.1	3.5	2
HS —	2.88	12.9*	1
HS_2^{-}	12.2	9.7	2
HS 3 ⁻	11.9	7.5	2
HS 4	11.3	6.3	2
HS 5	10.9	5.7	2
<i>s</i> =	20.5*		. 1 .
$S_2^{=}$	19.0		1
<i>S</i> ₃	17.6		1
S 4	16.5		- 1
S 5	15.7		1
SO 2(g)	-71.748		1
SO 2(aq)	-71.871	1.77	.1
HSO 3	-126.15	7.2	1
SO ₃ ⁻	-116.3		1
$S_{2}O_{3}^{-}$	-127.2	н С. С. С	3

$S_{2}O_{6}^{-}$	-248.*		4	
S 30 6	-247.*		4	. "
S 40 6	-240.		4	·.
$S_{5}O_{6}^{-}$	-237.*		4 4 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· . 11
HSO 4 [—]	-180.69	1.99	1	
SO 4 ⁼	-177.97		$\left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] = \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2} \left[\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2}$	
H 20	-56.687	14.000	1	

- 6

Not reliable.

Sources of data:

- (1) Wagman et al. (1968), pp.43-48.
- (2) The pK_a values are from Schwarzenbach and Fischer (1960). The ΔG_f^o values were calculated from the corresponding pK_a values, and the ΔG_f^o values for polysulfide anions.
- (3) Rossini et al. (1952), p.38.
- (4) Latimer (1952), p.307.

 $2 HSO_3^- \rightarrow S_2O_5^= + H_2O$

Despite their high valence, sulfite and disulfite are the species most easily oxidized. Both have an exposed, reactive, free electron pair, and readily undergo one electron oxidation to radical-ions. The radical-ions then rapidly react with oxygen. Bisulfite cannot easily be converted to a free radical in this way because a proton shields the otherwise reactive free electron pair. Sulfur dioxide is a Lewis acid, and therefore relatively unreactive. Consequently, lowering pH greatly increases the resistance of the sulfite system toward oxidation. The oxidation of sulfite is discussed further in Section 2.6.

Hydrogen sulfide and bisulfide. The bisulfide ion (HS^{-}) can also be oxidized to a radical ion, but not as easily as sulfite; in bisulfide, there are no oxygen atoms to which the electron deficit can be spread. In practice, rapid oxidation of HS^{-} requires the presence of polysulfides to catalyze the reaction. Because hydrogen sulfide has no basic free electron pairs, is much less reactive. As with sulfites, lowering pH increases the resistance of the sulfide system to oxidation. The oxidation of H_2S is discussed further in Section 2.5.

2.2.1. The polysulfides, sulfanes, and elemental sulfur

The polysulfide ions are produced by partial oxidation of HS^{-} , or by reaction of sulfide salts with elemental sulfur. For example:

$$2 HS^{-} + S_{8} + 2 OH^{-} \rightarrow 2 S_{5}^{-} + 2 H_{2}O$$

In solution, different polysulfides coexist in equilibrium, with tetra-, penta-, and hexasulfide usually dominant. A substantial concentration of polysulfides will be present if both HS — and elemental sulfur are present, and pH > 8. Under these conditions, polysulfides may be the dominant form of sulfur in solution (Boulegue, 1976; Boulegue and Marchand, 1979).

Table 2.2

Sulfur species in order of decreasing ease of oxidation

Sulfites	$SO_3^{=}$, HSO $_3^{-}$, S $_2O_5^{=}$
Polysulfides	$S_n =$
Bisulfide	HS —
Hydrogen sulfide, sulfur dioxide	H_2S , SO ₂
Colloidal sulfur	S ⁽⁰⁾
Higher polythionates	$S_n > 40_6^{}$
Tetrathionate	S 40 6
Thiosulfate	$S_2 O_3^{=}$
Trithionate	$S_{3}O_{6}^{-}$
Dithionate	$S_2O_6^{=}$
Sulfate	<i>SO</i> ₄ ⁼

e.

The equilibrium relations between HS^{-} , elemental sulfur, OH^{-} and polysulfides have been studied by Teder (1971), Giggenbach (1972), and Boulegue (1976, 1978). Boulegue (1978) and Boulegue and Marchand (1979) have applied this information to interpreting the sulfur chemistry of natural waters. They also describe the electrochemical characteristics of the system HS^{-} -polysulfides, and describe how electrode potentials may be used to infer the presence of polysulfides and elemental sulfur.

Polysulfides are readily oxidized to elemental sulfur; the terminal atoms are prone to lose their negative charge and link up.

In acid solutions, polysulfides are protonated to sulfanes, H_2S_n . In aqueous solution sulfanes are unstable, and decompose to H_2S and elemental sulfur. This decomposition is catalyzed by base. A metastable "sulfane oil" can be obtained by slowly adding concentrated polysulfide solution to cold, concentrated acid with vigorous stirring. Individual sulfanes are obtained from this oil by fractional distillation (Burton and Machmer, 1968).

Schwarzenbach and Fischer (1960) determined the dissociation constants of di- through pentasulfane using a rapid flow method: rapidly flowing streams of acid and polysulfide solution were combined and the resulting pH measured before the sulfanes produced could decompose. The sulfanes are much stronger acids than is H_2S (Table 2.1), and their acidity increases with chain length. This increase is due to delocalization of the negative charge among the sulfur atoms of the polysulfide ion; the excess electrons are able to enter the empty, low-lying 3d-orbitals of the midchain sulfur atoms.

Delocalization of charge lowers the energy of the excited electronic states of the polysulfides, and greatly increases their absorption of light. Dilute polysulfide solutions have a strong yellow color, and are nearly opaque in the ultraviolet (Giggenbach, 1972; Appendix 3.2 in Chapter 3 of this report). Likely, delocalization also favors the formation of polysulfido- radical-ions, $\neg S_n$; the electron "hole" is spread over the chain, and this lowers the free energy of the radical.

The most stable form of elemental sulfur is orthorhombic S_8 ; metastable phases that contain S_6 rings, other ring molecules or long, open chains of sulfur atoms also are known (Meyer, 1976). Reactions in aqueous solution that produce elemental sulfur produce colloidal sulfur; for example, the oxidation of $H_{2}S$ and the acid decomposition of thiosulfate. Solid elemental sulfur is fairly unreactive; the reactivity of colloidal sulfur toward oxidizing agents and thiophilic agents (Section 2.2.3) is like that of the polythionates (Section 2.2.4).

2.2.2. Thiosulfate

Thiosulfate has free electron pairs on the peripheral sulfur atom, which back-bond into the empty 3d-orbitals of the central sulfur atom. Back-bonding greatly reduces the negative charge on the peripheral sulfur and thereby also its basicity and susceptibility to oxidation. The oxidation of thiosulfate is further discussed in Section 2.7.

At pH < 4, thiosulfate decomposes to colloidal sulfur and bisulfite or SO₂. There is an induction period that precedes the formation of colloidal sulfur (LaMer and Kenyon, 1947). The small amount of sulfur produced during the induction period remains in solution, and may be measured by spectrophotometry. During the induction period, the rate of formation of elemental sulfur is one-half order in hydrogen ion concentration, and three-halves order in thiosulfate concentration. The formation of colloidal sulfur is indicated by the sudden appearance of turbidity in the solution. In the turbid solution the rate of sulfur formation is first order in hydrogen ion concentration and second order in thiosulfate concentration, and the reaction is autocatalytic.

Davis (1958, 1964) demonstrated that the kinetic data are consistent with this mechanism:

$$S_{2}O_{3}^{--} + H^{+} \leftrightarrow HS_{2}O_{3}^{--}$$

$$HS_{2}O_{3}^{--} + S_{2}O_{3}^{--} \rightarrow HS_{3}O_{3}^{--} + SO_{3}^{--}$$

$$HS_{n}O_{3}^{--} + S_{2}O_{3}^{--} \rightarrow HS_{n+1}O_{3}^{--} + SO_{3}^{--}$$

where 3 < n < 8, followed by

$$HS_{9}O_{3}^{-} + S_{2}O_{3}^{-} \to HS_{2}O_{3}^{-} + S_{8} + SO_{3}^{-}$$

 $SO_3 = +H^+ \rightarrow HSO_3^-$

The postulated intermediates, $HS_n O_3^-$, called "sulfane monosulfonates", are discussed in Section 2.2.5. The limit of stability for thiosulfate is approximately pH 2. Near pH 4, the presence of HSO_3^- will prevent decomposition by favoring the back-reaction. The concentrates from which photographic fixers are prepared contain sodium or ammonium thiosulfate, with smaller amounts of sodium bisulfite, acetic acid, and other additives. The function of bisulfite is to prevent the acid decomposition of thiosulfate.

On heating, alkali this sulfate salts in solution slowly decompose to HS^{-} and trithionate:

$$2S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + H_{2}O \rightarrow HS^{-} + S_{3}O_{6}^{=} + OH^{-}$$

Trithionate will itself decompose on further heating; the ultimate products of decomposition of thiosulfate probably are H_2S and sulfate, with the net reaction:

 $S_2O_3 = +H_2O \rightarrow H_2S + SO_4 =$

Although it is unstable in aqueous solution, pure thiosulfuric acid has been prepared by combining H_2S and SO_3 in ether solution at low temperature (Schmidt, 1957).

2.2.3. Thiophilic reactions

Q

Many reactions involving nucleophilic attack upon a sulfur atom are known. Often, the reaction center is a formally zero-charged sulfur atom, as in thiosulfate, polysulfides, or polythionates. Davis (1964, 1968) has systematically studied and described several such reactions. A useful concept is the "scale of S-nucleophilicity" or "thiophilicity":

$$S = > CN - > SO_3 = >S_n = -S_n > O_3 = >S_2O_3 = >OH - > -SCN$$

The position of an anion in this scale is a function of its basicity, and its potential for oxidative dimerization; thiophilicity increases with both, but oxidation potential is more important.

"Thiophilic" reactions which cause a stronger thiophile to be replaced by a weaker one are favored. Many reactions fall into this category; for example:

$$S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + CN^{-} \rightarrow SO_{3}^{=} + -SCN$$
 (2.1a)

$$S_8 + CN^- \rightarrow -S_8CN^- \tag{2.1b}$$

$$-S_n CN^- + CN^- \rightarrow -S_{(n-1)}CN^- + -SCN$$
(2.1c)

$$S_{4}O_{6}^{=} + 3CN^{-} + H_{2}O \rightarrow S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + -SCN + SO_{4}^{=} + 2HCN$$
 (2.1d)

$$S_8 + SO_3 \xrightarrow{=} \rightarrow -S_9O_3 \xrightarrow{-} (2.1e)$$

$$-S_n O_3^- + SO_3^- \to -S_{(n-1)}O_3^- + S_2O_3^-$$
(2.1f)

$$S_{4}O_{6}^{-} + SO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow S_{3}O_{6}^{-} + S_{2}O_{3}^{-}$$
 (2.1g)

$$HS^{-} + S_{8} + OH^{-} \rightarrow S_{9}^{-} + H_{2}O$$
 (2.1h)

Reaction (2.1a), which is catalyzed by cupric ion, is used in the colorimetric determination of thiosulfate (Kelly *et al.*, 1969; Blasius, *et al.*, 1968). The thiocyanate produced in this way reacts with ferric iron, producing a brightly colored complex. Reactions (2.1b and c) are used in an analagous procedure for colloidal sulfur (Bartlett and Skoog, 1954). Reaction (2.1d) proceeds without a catalyst, and is used for the determination of tetrathionate in the presence of thiosulfate (Kelly *et al.*, 1969).

Most molecules that contain more than one nominally zero-valent sulfur atom will react with sulfite to produce thiosulfate. For example, reactions (2.1e and f) destroy colloidal sulfur and polysulfidomonosulfonates (Section 2.2.5). Reaction (2.1g) converts tetra- and higher polythionates to trithionate.

Reactions like (2.1h) are used in the commercial production of polysulfides.

The "thiophilic order" may be violated under some circumstances, as when a reaction product is removed by further reaction. The acid decomposition of thiosulfate is a case in point; the sulfite produced is immediately protonated to bisulfite.

2.2.4. Polythionates

Polythionates, also called "polysulfidodisulfonates", consist of a chain of sulfur atoms with a sulfonate group at either end; e.g, tetrathionate:

-0 3SSSS0 3-

The corresponding "sulfanedisulfonic acids" may be prepared by reaction of sulfanes with sulfur trioxide in ether solution at low temperature (Schmidt, 1957b); e.g.,

HSSH + 2 SO
$$_3 \rightarrow HO_3$$
SSSSO $_3H$

Polythionates are present in "Wackenroders's solution", prepared by mixing H_2S and SO_2 in water. This solution contains substantial concentrations of tetra- and pentathionates, with smaller amounts of tri- and hexathionate also present (Janickis, 1969).

Polythionates also are formed when concentrated sodium thiosulfate solution is added to cold, concentrated, hydrochloric acid. From such solutions, pure salts of up to octathionate may be isolated (Lyons and Nickless, 1968; Yanitskii *et al.*, 1971).

The oxidation of polythionates probably begins with their decomposition to sulfate, colloidal sulfur, and either thiosulfate or sulfite. The stability of polythionates tends to decrease with increasing number of sulfur atoms. The qualitative chemistry of the polythionates and other sulfur oxoacids was reviewed by Haff (1970).

In alkaline solutions, penta- and higher thionates decompose rapidly with precipitation of colloidal sulfur. In acid solution they decompose more slowly.

Tetrathionate is produced by reaction of thiosulfate with mild oxidizing agents, e.g. iodine (Ibid.). Concentrated tetrathionic acid decomposes to sulfuric acid, SO_2 and colloidal sulfur, but the dilute acid can survive boiling. Heating alkaline tetrathionate solutions will cause decomposition to trithionate and sulfur. In acid solution, tetrathionate is more stable than trithionate.

Trithionate is generally the most stable polythionate, and often is found among the products of oxidation of H_2S or thiosulfate. In acid media, trithionate slowly hydrolyzes to sulfuric acid, colloidal sulfur, and SO_2 . In alkaline media it hydrolyzes to sulfate and thiosulfate.

Trithionate is produced by reaction of thiosulfate with oxygen, catalyzed by copper complexes (Section 2.7). Trithionate also is formed by reaction of thiosulfate with bisulfite at pH about 4:

$$4 HSO_3^- + S_2O_3^- + 2 H^+ \rightarrow 2 S_3O_6^- + 3 H_2O$$

This reaction is followed by the slow hydrolysis of trithionate:

$$S_{3}O_{6}^{=} + H_{2}O \rightarrow SO_{4}^{=} + S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + 2H^{+}$$

The net reaction is, then, the disproportionation of HSO_3^- , catalyzed by thiosulfate:

$$4 HSO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 2 SO_{4}^{-} + S_{2}O_{3}^{-} + 2 H^{+} + H_{2}O_{3}$$

This slow disproportionation reaction proceeds in concentrates from which photographic fixers are prepared, and limits their storage life. When all the bisulfite initially present has been consumed, the thiosulfate rapidly decomposes, creating a precipitate of colloidal sulfur and spoiling the concentrate. The precipitation of colloidal sulfur happens suddenly, after an extended period in which the bisulfite decomposes, but the solution remains clear (Levenson, 1960; Levenson and Rumens, 1965).

The reaction of thiosulfate and bisulfite was studied by Battaglia and Miller (1968). During the initial part of the reaction, the rate of disappearance of bisulfite is first order in bisulfite, third order in thiosulfate, and third order in hydrogen ion. After about 10% reaction progress, the reaction becomes autocatalytic, and proceeds at a faster rate with a different rate law. A detailed mechanism, consistent with the initial period rate law, was proposed:

$$HSO_{3}^{-} + S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + H^{+} \leftrightarrow SO_{2}S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + H_{2}O$$

$$SO_{2}S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + H^{+} \leftrightarrow SO(OH)S_{2}O_{3}^{-}$$

$$SO(OH)S_{2}O_{3}^{-} + S_{2}O_{3}^{=} \leftrightarrow SO(OH)(S_{2}O_{3})_{2}^{-3}$$

$$SO(OH)(S_{2}O_{3})_{2}^{-3} + H^{+} \leftrightarrow S(OH)_{2}(S_{2}O_{3})_{2}^{=}$$

"J. Janickis" is the original, Lithuanian spelling of the name. It also appears in the form "I.V. Yanitskii", which results from the dual transliteration Lithuanian \rightarrow Russian \rightarrow English, or "J. Janitzki" in German transliteration.

$$S (OH)_{2}(S_{2}O_{3})_{2}^{=} + S_{2}O_{3}^{=} \rightarrow S_{5}O_{6}^{=} + 2OH^{-} + S_{2}O_{3}$$

$$S_{2}O_{3} + S_{2}O_{3}^{=} \rightarrow S_{4}O_{6}^{=}$$

$$S_{5}O_{6}^{=} + HSO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow S_{4}O_{6}^{=} + S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + H^{+}$$

$$S_{4}O_{6}^{=} + HSO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow S_{3}O_{6}^{=} + S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + H^{+}$$

The fifth reaction is rate-determining during the initial period. After about 70% reaction progress, hydrolysis of trithionate to sulfate and thiosulfate becomes significant.

2.2.5. Sulfanemonosulfonates

The "sulfanemonosulfonates", $HS_{n > 2}O_3^{-}$, and "polysulfidomonosulfonates", $-S_{n > 2}O_3^{-}$, are very reactive, but probably are not particularly unstable in a thermodynamic sense. They are hard to isolate and characterize, but probably are intermediates in many reactions.

When a concentrated solution of a thiosulfate salt is added to cold, concentrated hydrochloric acid, sulfanemonosulfonates, polythionates, SO_2 , and colloidal sulfur are formed. Yanitskii *et al.* (1971) isolated sulfanemonosulfonate salts containing 2 to 9 sulfur atoms from such mixtures. In each case, the cation was cobalt complexed by bulky organic ligands. Polysulfidomonosulfonates are intermediates in the reaction of sulfur with sulfite (2.1e and f).

The corresponding "sulfanemonosulfonic acids" may be prepared in a pure form by reaction of sulfanes with sulfur trioxide in ether solution at low temperature (Schmidt, 1957a); e.g.:

$$HSSSH + SO_3 \rightarrow HSSSSO_3H$$

These acids may be kept for a short time at room temperature in concentrated HCl or glacial acetic acid. In less acidic media, they rapidly decompose to thiosulfate, colloidal sulfur, and SO_2 . They are intermediates in the acid decomposition of thiosulfate. Chemically, the sulfanemonosulfonic acids behave like a combination of thiosulfuric acid and sulfane. For example, in concentrated HCl:

$$HS_{n \ge 2}O_{3}H + (n - 2)(H_{2}O + SO_{2}) \rightarrow (n - 1)HS_{2}O_{3}H$$

$$HS_{n \ge 2}O_{3}H + (n - 2) HCN \rightarrow H_{2}S_{2}O_{3} + (n - 2) HCNS$$

Weak oxidizing agents, like iodine in acid media, dimerize the sulfanemonosulfonic acids, as with thiosulfate:

$$2 HS_n O_3H + I_2 \rightarrow 2 HI + HO_3S_{2n} O_3H$$

Schmidt (1957c) summarized the chemical relationship between the sulfanemonosulfonic acids, the polythionic acids, and thiosulfuric acid.

2.2.6. Dithionate

The chemical properties of dithionate are very different from those of the polythionates. The reaction of SO_2 with certain mild oxidizing agents produces dithionate together with sulfate:

$$2 MnO_2 + 3 SO_2 \rightarrow 2 Mn^{+2} + SO_4 = + S_2O_6$$

It is possible that dithionate also is formed by oxidation of disulfite (Schmidt, 1972); adding one oxygen atom to disulfite would convert it to dithionate. The mechanism of this reaction probably resembles that of sulfite oxidation.

Dithionate is relatively unreactive. However, in a boiling, concentrated solution, dithionic acid (which is fully dissociated) will decompose:

- 11 -

(slow)

 $S_2O_6 \rightarrow SO_2 + SO_4$

The oxidation of dithionate may begin with this reaction. In practice, very powerful oxidizing agents like dichromate in sulfuric acid are needed rapidly to oxidize dithionate.

Because dithionate is readily produced by partial oxidation of sulfites or SO_2 , it probably is commonly associated with them. This association is not generally appreciated, because analytic procedures for dithionate are difficult and rarely effected. Traditional methods call for differential oxidation; for example, hydrogen peroxide in alkaline solution will convert all forms of inorganic sulfur except dithionate to sulfate, while dichromate will convert dithionate as well (Blasius *et al.*, 1969, p. 227). Gravimetric or titrimetric methods are used to determine the sulfate produced in either case, and the difference is taken as the concentration of dithionate. Direct gravimetric determination of dithionate is not possible because even the barium salt is soluble.

Raman spectrometry is by far the best method for determining dithionate and other oxo-anions of sulfur in mixtures (Meyer *et al.*, 1980). The detection limit for dithionate is claimed to be 1 mM. The method is least sensitive to SO_2 , for which the detection limit is 30 mM.

2.2.7. Sulfate

If free oxygen is present, oxidation reactions will ultimately convert all the sulfur compounds discussed above to sulfate.

Many plants and microorganisms utilize sulfate as a source of sulfur for biosynthesis, and some microorganisms use it as an electron acceptor in their respiration, reducing it to elemental sulfur or H_2S (Postgate, 1968). These organisms "close the sulfur cycle" within the biosphere, by reducing sulfate produced by oxidation, both inorganic and organic.

Reduction of sulfate by inorganic reaction in aqueous solution has not been demonstrated in the laboratory or documented in nature. If inorganic reduction does occur, it probably is a very slow reaction, even under the conditions that exist in a geothermal reservoir.

2.3. Oxygen and hydrogen peroxide

The element oxygen exists in three allotropic forms. Ozone, O_3 , is the least stable form. The most stable form is O_2 in the electronic ground state ${}^{3}\Sigma$. Sometimes called *triplet oxygen*, this is the common form of the element oxygen in nature. In the ${}^{3}\Sigma$ configuration, there are two electrons in $2p\sigma$ bonding orbitals, four electrons in $2p\pi$ bonding orbitals, and two electrons in $2p\pi^{*}$ antibonding orbitals. The total bond order is two. In triplet oxygen, each $2p\pi^{*}$ antibonding orbital contains one electron, and the spins of these two electrons are parallel. Thus, the oxygen molecule has two unpaired electrons, which impart the characteristics of a free radical; for example, oxygen is paramagnetic. A simplified representation of the ${}^{3}\Sigma$ electronic structure is:

 $\cdot O = O \cdot$

Triplet oxygen readily attaches itself to other radicals:

 $R \cdot + O_2 \rightarrow ROO \cdot$

This type of reaction plays an important role in combustion processes.

In the presence of sensitizers (certain dyes), ultraviolet light excites triplet oxygen to "singlet oxygen", $O_2(^1\Delta)$. The energy of singlet oxygen is 23.4 kcal/mole above that of triplet oxygen (Valentine, 1973). In singlet oxygen, the two anti-bonding electrons are paired in one of the $2p\pi^*$ anti-bonding orbital (Fig. 2.1). Thus, singlet oxygen is not a free radical. A simplified representation of its electronic structure is:

 $-:0 = 0^+$

Singlet oxygen is a much stronger oxidizing agent than triplet oxygen. It is more energetic, and its dipolar electronic structure makes it able to react as both a nucleophile and an electrophile. A typical reaction of singlet oxygen is shown in Fig. 2.2.



£

Ņ

Fig. 2.1. Electronic structure of oxygen.



XBL 8210 - 1205

Fig. 2.2. Addition of singlet oxygen to 1,3-cyclohexadiene.

REDUCTION POTENTIALS AT pH 7



Fig. 2.3. Reduction potentials in the oxygen system at pH 7 and 25°C. Calculated from values tabulated by Latimer (1952).

The reduction of oxygen. Table 2.3 presents the free energies for several possible combinations of two oxygen atoms with four hydrogen atoms. Three points stand out:

- (1) Reduction of triplet oxygen by one electron to produce $HOO \cdot$ (or its conjugate base $O_2 \cdot -$) increases the free energy of the system. Therefore, one electron reduction is disfavored.
- (2) The free energy decrease associated with reduction of triplet oxygen by two electrons (to H_2O_2) is relatively small.
- (3) The free energy decrease associated with reduction of either hydroxyl radical or hydrogen peroxide to water is large.

The reduction potentials of oxygen in aqueous solution at 25^oC and pH 7 are summarized in Figure 2.3.

The potential for two electron reduction of triplet oxygen to H_2O_2 is small, and the potential for one electron reduction to hydrogen superoxide (HOO) is negative. Therefore, HOO is actually a reducing agent which may give up its odd electron and revert to triplet oxygen. In fact, oxidation of hydrogen superoxide to triplet oxygen is more likely than further reduction to hydrogen peroxide, despite the substantial potential favoring the latter reaction (Wilshire and Sawyer, 1979). Often, hydrogen superoxide will disproportionate to oxygen and hydrogen peroxide:

Table 2.3

 $2 HOO \cdot \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O_2$

Free energies of the system $O_2 + 2H_2$						
State	Free Energy (kcal/mole)	Formal Valence of oxygen				
$2/3 O_3 + 2H_2$	26.2	0				
$O_{2}(^{1}\Delta) + 2 H_{2}$	23.4	0				
$HOO \cdot + 3/2 H_2$	3.	-1/2				
$O_{2}(^{3}\Sigma) + 2 H_{2}$	0.0	0				
$2 HO \cdot + H_2$	-10.	-1				
$H_{2}O_{2}(aq) + H_{2}$	-31.5	-1				
2 H ₂ O (liq)	-113.4	-2				

Energy of $O_2(^{1}\Delta)$ is quoted from Valentine (1973). All other values in this Table and Fig. 2.3 quoted or calculated from tabulation by Latimer (1952), Appendix I.

- 14 -

The unfavorable energetics of reduction are compounded by kinetic factors. In particular, the complete absence of polar character in triplet oxygen precludes the electrophilic reactions favored by singlet oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. Therefore, low temperature reduction of triplet oxygen is impossible without suitable catalysts. Such catalysts may initiate free radical chain reactions, as in combustion, or they may simultaneously complex oxygen and the oxidation substrate, catalyzing their reaction (Section 2.4).

Ozone is readily reduced to water and either triplet or singlet oxygen. Singlet oxygen is reduced to hydrogen peroxide much more readily than is triplet oxygen, and even one-electron reduction of singlet oxygen has a positive potential.

Hydrogen peroxide, itself a powerful oxidizing agent, can oxidize inorganic substrates in at least four ways:

(1) Many oxidation substrates are nucleophilic. With these, hydrogen peroxide can react as an electrophile. For example, in the reaction of H_2O_2 with HS⁻, Hoffman (1977) inferred the rate determining step to be:

 $H_{2}O_{2} + HS^{-} \rightarrow HSOH^{+} + OH^{-}$

This step involves nucleophilic attack by HS^- upon one oxygen atom within the hydrogen peroxide molecule, resulting in the expulsion of OH^- . (See Section 2.5.3.)

- (2) The hydroperoxide ion HOO^{-} may displace an OH^{-} from the substrate. This substitution is followed by rearrangement to the ultimate oxidation product. The reaction of H_2O_2 with HSO_3^{-} falls in this category (see Section 2.6.3).
- (3) A one electron reducing agent can convert H_2O_2 to hydroxide and hydroxyl, as in Fenton's Reaction:

$$Fe^{+2} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow FeOH^{+2} + HO$$

Hydroxyl radical, the most powerful oxidizing agent in the oxygen system, then reacts with other compounds. This reaction may be used to initiate free radical chain reactions; for example, between oxygen and H_2S .

(4) Hydrogen peroxide may partake in free radical chains. For example, iron complexes are known to catalyze the reaction of H_2O_2 with H_2S . This reaction has not been studied in detail, but may involve the chain propagation steps:

 $HS \cdot + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HSOH + HO \cdot$

 $HO \cdot + HS \rightarrow OH - + HS \cdot$

2.4. The transition metals

Transition metal ions catalyze the oxidation of hydrogen sulfide and other sulfur compounds.

Some complexed transition metal ions possess two oxidation states of comparable stability. Reduction of such a complex by one electron often is possible, and will convert the oxidation substrate to a free radical. This reaction can initiate a chain reaction involving triplet oxygen. Complexes of iron, cobalt, and copper are believed to catalyze the oxidation of sulfur compounds in this way. Nickel has only one oxidation state of practical importance, and probably cannot catalyze reactions in this way.

The rate of a free radical chain reaction initiated by a catalyst commonly is proportional to the square root of catalyst concentration. However, the relationship between concentration and rate may be complicated by hydrolysis or precipitation of the catalyst. If the oxidation substrate is involved in the initiation step, its apparent kinetic order in the overall rate of oxidation may be one-half or three-halves.

To serve as a catalyst, a transition metal ion must usually be complexed by something other than water. In water, the more oxidized form commonly hydrolyzes, and may precipitate if not otherwise complexed. This is particularly true of iron(III). Uncomplexed iron is an effective catalyst for H_2S oxidation, but its use results in the production of a noxious and corrosive ferric hydroxide sludge. Complexed with HEDTA or citrate, iron is a powerful catalyst for H_2S oxidation at low concentration and does not precipitate (Section 4.5).

Copper is a very potent catalyst for the oxidation of sulfite, but its effective concentration in solution is limited by the small solubility of cupric hydroxide at pH > 7 (Section 2.6.1). Complexing agents may increase the catalytic potency of copper for this reaction, but this has not been tested. Complexation by ammonia is known to increase the catalytic potency of copper in the oxidation of thiosulfate (Section 2.7).

Complexation changes the half-cell potential between the two valence states. The higher oxidation state is stabilized by complexation more than the lower. Therefore, complexation makes in easier to oxidize the ion and harder to reduce it. Cobalt(III) presents the extreme example of this. The reduction potential of the hydrated ion is ± 1.842 volts, high enough to oxidize water. However, many complexes of cobalt(III) are stable, particularly the octahedral ammonia complex (reduction potential ± 0.1). The oxidation of iron(II) to iron(III) also requires complexation. For example, the reduction potential of hydrated iron(III) is ± 0.771 , while that of hexacyanoiron(III) is only ± 0.36 . Hydrolysis, which involves complexation by hydroxide, also favors oxidation. For example, iron(II) is oxidized by air only in neutral or basic media, where the iron (III) produced will precipitate as ferric hydroxide.

Uncomplexed copper(I) disproportionates to copper(II) and copper metal. Therefore, copper(I) is unstable unless stabilized by ligands. Also, copper can be displaced from solution by reaction with steel surfaces, if present. These are additional reasons for preferring suitably complexed copper as an oxidation catalyst.

Many sulfur compounds are good ligands; for example, sulfite, HS^{-} , and thiosulfate. Therefore, the reaction substrate or product may serve as the ligand needed for catalysis by a transition metal ion. Complexation of cobalt by sulfite must be postulated to explain the catalysis of sulfite oxidation by cobalt (Section 2.6.2).

Some complexing agents may inactivate a catalytic ion by sequestering it, thereby preventing the formation of catalytically active complexes. For example, EDTA blocks catalysis of H_2S oxidation by nickel (our own observation).

Many transition metal complexes involving oxygen as a ligand are known (Valentine, 1973; Martell, 1982). If an oxidation substrate is also present as a ligand, catalyzed transfer of electrons to the oxygen molecule may occur. This form of catalysis can initiate chain reactions, or directly induce oxidation. The metal ion expedites the reaction by 1) bringing the reactants together, 2) stabilizing the peroxide or superoxide radical that is produced, or 3) providing its low-lying empty 3d or 4s orbitals as conduits for electron transfer. In the second and third cases, the catalyst is directly involved in the oxidation of each molecule of the substrate.

Among the first series transition metals, cobalt complexes with oxygen particularly well (Martell, 1982). Most cobalt-oxygen complexes are binuclear. Their properties suggest that they contain a peroxide ion, which is complexed by cobalt(III) at either end. The formation of such a complex is believed to be the first step in the oxidation of complexes of cobalt(II) by oxygen (Cotton and Wilkinson, 1966, pp. 867-8). A few 1:1 cobalt-oxygen complexes also are known. In these, one end of the oxygen molecule is attached to the single cobalt ion; the electronic structure may be that of superoxide stabilized by complexing cobalt(III). The best known example is the oxygen complex of vitamin $B_{12}r$. The iron complexes oxyhemoglobin and oxymyoglobin also belong to this category (Valentine, 1973). If an oxidation substrate is included in the coordination sphere, its one electron oxidation may be favored by stabilization of the superoxide ion produced. This may be the mechanism by which certain complexes initiate chain reactions.

In most 1:1 oxygen complexes, the two oxygen atoms are equidistant from the metal ion. Valentine cites many examples in which the metal ion is ruthenium, osmium, cobalt, rhodium, iridium, nickel, palladium or platinum. If a ligand capable of two electron oxidation also is present, these two electrons may be transferred to the oxygen molecule, converting it to a peroxide ion. In fact, some of these complexes give off hydrogen peroxide when acidified. If two oxidizable ligands are present, each of them may lose a single electron to oxygen, producing two free radicals and a peroxide ion.

Some oxygen-containing complexes will react with an oxidation substrate by transferring oxygen atoms to it. Valentine cites examples in which an oxygen complex of platinum, palladium, iridium, rhenium, or ruthenium oxidizes SO_2 in this way (Section 2.6.3).

In all well characterized transition metal complexes of oxygen, ligands other than oxygen also are present. The nature of these other ligands has a large effect on the stability of the complex and its reactivity.

2.5. Oxidation of hydrogen sulfide

Most literature references related to the oxidation of H_2S that we found are cited here. Patents were also found, but these are not cited or discussed. A mechanism for the reaction of H_2S with oxygen is presented in Section 3.6, in connection with our own experimental results.

2.5.1. Reaction of hydrogen sulfide with oxygen

The reaction between H_2S and oxygen is strongly promoted by catalysts that generate free radicals and initiate a chain reaction. Because radical chain reactions are typically of one-half kinetic order in the initiator, and nickel and cobalt are powerful catalysts at 1 ppm concentration, the presence of a few ppb of either would suffice to make the reaction proceed on a time scale of hours.

The "uncatalyzed" reaction. There have been numerous studies of the nominally uncatalyzed reaction between oxygen and H_2S . In fact, the reactions reported may have been catalyzed by traces of transition metal ions.

Avrahami and Golding (1968) studied the nominally uncatalyzed reaction of H_2S with dissolved oxygen in the pH range 11 to 14. Typically, the initial sulfide concentration was about 100 μ M. Experiments were executed at various temperatures between 22 and 55 °C. The reaction was followed by monitoring optical density 230 nm, where HS — absorbs light most strongly (Ellis and Golding, 1959). At the beginning of an experiment, optical density would decrease with the concentration of HS — Later in the experiment, absorption at 230 nm was due mostly to thiosulfate, allowing the concentration of thiosulfate to be monitored.

Initially, both thiosulfate and sulfate were produced by the reaction. When HS^{-} no longer was present, the initially formed thiosulfate continued slowly to oxidize to sulfate. The oxidation of thiosulfate was about five-fold slower than the oxidation of HS^{-} . Sometimes opalescence, probably due to colloidal sulfur, was noticed.

Avrahami and Golding supposed the first step to be the formation of sulfite, which then reacted either with oxygen to form sulfate, or with oxygen and HS to form thiosulfate. Because sulfite was not observed, its reactions were presumed to be much faster than the others.

The concentration of HS^{-} , and later that of thiosulfate, decreased exponentially (i.e., first order kinetics). Tripling the concentration of oxygen doubled the rate of disappearance of HS^{-} . Increasing the pH by one unit doubled the reaction rate. The effect of temperature suggested an energy of activation = 8 kcal/mole. No induction period was observed (defined below).

Chen and Morris (1972) studied the nominally uncatalyzed reaction of H_2S and oxygen over the pH range 6 to 13. The initial sulfide concentration ranged from 50 μ M to 20 mM. The reaction solutions were buffered. The concentrations of all sulfur species were determined by standard colorimetric methods. Usually, an induction period of up to one hour was observed at the start of the reaction; i.e., the concentration of sulfide would remain essentially constant for some time, and then begin to drop. Immediately after the induction period, the reaction rate was determined by calculating the slope of the curve of sulfide concentration vs. time. The apparent reaction orders in H_2S (including HS –) and oxygen were 1.34 and 0.56, respectively. There were two maxima in the reaction rate as a function of pH, one in the range pH 6.7-8.0, depending on initial sulfide concentration, and the other at about pH 11. The reaction did not proceed below pH 6. The peak in reaction rate at about pH 7 was correlated with the formation of polysulfides as reaction intermediates. It was proposed that the reaction is catalyzed by polysulfides; i.e., it is autocatalytic. An induction period is characteristic of autocatalytic reactions; an autocatalytic reaction proceeds very slowly until a significant concentration of the autocatalyst has accumulated. A free radical chain mechanism was proposed, consistent with the apparent fractional kinetic orders of the reactants.

The presence of polysulfides is readily detected by measuring absorbance at 285 nm. Only polysulfides and other chain molecules absorb at this wavelength. Typically, the optical density at 285 nm would peak after about three hours, and would be greatest at pH 7.0. At pH < 6, polysulfides are protonated to sulfanes which decompose to colloidal sulfur and H_2S ; therefore, the autocatalytic reaction cannot proceed. At pH > 8.5, the reaction proceeds, but the major reaction product is thiosulfate. At high pH polysulfides are not produced, and the relatively rapid autocatalytic pathway is not available. The maximum, transient conversion of H_2S to polysulfides was estimated to be about 15%, which was attained with initial sulfide = 1 mM and pH near 7. At higher initial concentrations, formation of colloidal sulfur was favored, while lower concentrations favored the formation of thiosulfate and sulfite. Chen and Gupta (1973) confirmed and extended the results and conclusions of Chen and Morris (1972).

O'Brien and Birkner (1977) reported experiments similar to those of Chen and Morris. Initial sulfide concentrations from 10 to 80 μ M were employed, and experiments were performed at pH 4, 7.55, and 10. The solutions were buffered. Total sulfide, sulfite, and thiosulfate were measured by colorimetric methods. The reaction rate was low at pH 4, but increased with pH. Most data were taken at pH 7.55. At this pH, the apparent kinetic orders in H_2S and O_2 were 1.0 and 0.8, respectively. Increasing ionic strength increased the reaction rate, suggesting reaction between like-charged ions. The formation of colloidal sulfur was not observed, and there was no induction period. The major reaction products were sulfite, thiosulfate, and sulfate. Sulfite was inferred slowly to oxidize to additional sulfate. An empirical rate law was derived, which describes the kinetics of formation of sulfite, thiosulfate and sulfate.

Oxidation of H_2S in sea water. No catalyst was intentionally added to the water in these studies, but the reaction probably was catalyzed by metal ions naturally present.

Ostlund and Alexander (1963) used filtered, deoxygenated samples of water from a mangrove swamp in the Everglades. The oxygen concentration in the water was adjusted by bubbling a suitable gas mixture through it, and a known amount of Na_2S was added. The sulfide concentration was measured directly with an Ag_2S electrode; that is, the reaction was not quenched with sulfide antioxidant buffer before measuring sulfide concentration. The half-life of sulfide was about 20 minutes and the reaction was first order in sulfide concentration.

Cline and Richards (1969) used water from Lake Nitinat, an anoxic fjord on the coast of Vancouver Island. Typically, about 60μ M sodium sulfide was added. Sulfide, sulfite, and thiosulfate were determined by colorimetric methods. No turbidity, which would have indicated production of colloidal sulfur, was observed. The results were consistent with a rate law that is first order in both sulfide and oxygen. The half-life of H_2S was about fifteen hours, and some experiments exhibited induction periods. The major oxidation products were sulfite, thiosulfate, and, presumably, sulfate, which was not actually measured. Usually, the product distribution was 30-35% thiosulfate, 10-15% sulfite, and the rest presumably sulfate. The concentration of sulfite tended to level off early, suggesting further reaction to sulfate was taking place. Adding 5μ M iron(II) to the water accelerated the reaction somewhat, and increased the fraction of thiosulfate among the products to 82%.

Almgren and Hagström (1974) added 1 to 200 μ M of sulfide to sea water. The half-life of sulfide was about an hour, and the reaction was first order in sulfide. The rate increased with pH between 8.0 and 8.5. They also tabulated estimates of sulfide half-life in sea water published by other authors. These vary from 24 to 10,000 minutes, because of wide variations in trace catalysis and experimental technique.

2.5.2. Catalysis and inhibition

Chen and Morris (1972a) studied the effects of metals and organic compounds upon the oxidation of H_2S . They worked with initial H_2S concentrations of 10 to 100 mM, and pH 4.25 to 13. The disappearance of oxygen was monitored during the experiment. The terminal oxygen concentration indicated the ratio of $O_2:H_2S$ consumed, and, presumably, the relative amounts of colloidal sulfur and thiosulfate produced. Nickel and cobalt were powerful catalysts down to 10μ M. Increasing nickel concentration increased the yield of colloidal sulfur. Manganese, copper, calcium, and magnesium had weak accelerating effects. Calcium and magnesium cannot directly catalyze redox reactions. These ions must exert their effect by complexing with the reactants, which suggests that reaction between anions is involved.

Chen and Morris found that many organic compounds accelerate the oxidation of H_2S . These compounds include hydroquinone (most effective), formaldehyde, phenol, pyrocatechol, and vanillin. These compounds all are easily oxidized through free radical chain reactions which induce the oxidation of H_2S as well. Formaldehyde greatly increased the yield of colloidal sulfur while pyrocatechol did not.

EDTA and several other chelating agents decreased the rate of oxidation. Likely, these compounds chelate and deactivate catalytic metal ions. Alcohols did not affect the rate of oxidation.

Snavely and Blount (1969) studied the removal of dissolved oxygen from water by reaction with H_2S , SO_2 or hydrazine. The initial H_2S concentration was 6 mM (200ppm). The range in pH was 2 to 10. Among the catalysts tested, the order of catalytic activity was:

$$Ni^{+2} > Co^{+2} \gg Mn^{+2} > Cu^{+2} > Fe^{+2}$$

With nickel and cobalt, the reaction rates were the same at pH 6 and 10. At pH 2 the rate of reaction was much smaller. The nominally uncatalyzed reaction proceeded at pH 10 only. An induction period frequently was observed. Its duration decreased with increasing catalyst concentration, often to zero with 5ppm of catalyst present. After the induction period, the rate of reaction was independent of O_2 concentration. Increasing the concentration of nickel from 0.005 to 0.5 ppm increased the rate of reaction about ten-fold. When 50 ppm of Na ₂SO ₃ was present with 200 ppm of H_2S , the rate of disappearance of O_2 was less than with H_2S alone.

Cobalt proved the best catalyst for the reaction of oxygen with SO_2 . Copper was the only metal tested that promoted the reaction of oxygen with hydrazine.

Snavely and Blount concluded that:

These results imply that the reaction of dissolved O_2 with H_2S is autocatalytic and requires build-up of an intermediate species which is unstable at low pH ... perhaps a polysulfide.

Abel (1956) discussed "the autooxidation" of H_2S and proposed speculative reactions that yield colloidal sulfur, sulfite, or thiosulfate. The reaction scheme leading to thiosulfate was most plausible:

 $HS - + O_2 \rightarrow -OOSH$

$$2 - OOSH \rightarrow S_2O_3 + H_2O$$

The intermediate compound $\neg OOSH$ is the conjugate base of "unsymmetrical sulfoxylic acid", HOOSH. There is no direct evidence for the existence of this acid or its anions, but they are sometimes inferred as intermediates in such reactions.

Hoffman and Lim (1979) studied the reaction between H_2S and O_2 catalyzed by tetrasulfophthalocyanine (TSP) complexes of divalent cobalt, nickel and copper over the pH range 5.5 to 12.1. These complexes resemble porphyrins (Figure 2.4). The decline of sulfide concentration was measured directly with an Ag_2S electrode. The dissolved oxygen concentration was measured with a dissolved oxygen probe.

The order of catalytic activity was:



COBALT 4,4',4"- TETRASULFOPHTHALOCYANINE



Co TSP > Ni TSP > Cu TSP

At pH 8.3, Co TSP was catalytically active at 0.5 nanomolar concentration. In most experiments, the major reaction products were sulfate and thiosulfate, with a minor amount of sulfite also produced. With Co TSP above 100nM, colloidal sulfur and thiosulfate were the major reaction products.

The reaction catalyzed by Co TSP was studied in detail. The kinetic orders are one in both sulfide and CoTSP. The apparent kinetic order in O_2 was determined as either zero or two-thirds, depending on the O_2/H_2S ratio. TSP complexes resemble certain enzymes and, in fact, the kinetics of this reaction may be fitted well using the bisubstrate Michealis-Menton Law used by biochemists. The initial steps of the reaction were inferred to be:

$$CoTSP^{-2} + O_2 \leftrightarrow CoTSP - O_2^{-2}$$

$$CoTSP - O_{2}^{-2} + HS - \leftrightarrow HS - CoTSP - O_{2}^{-3}$$

$$HS-CoTSP-O_2^{-3} \rightarrow CoTSP^{-2} + HSO_2^{-1}$$

The first two reactions are reversible pre-equilibria, and the third is rate determining. Subsequent reactions which produce sulfate and thiosulfate are rapid and do not affect the overall rate. Increasing ionic strength increased the rate of reaction, consistent with a mechanism that involves a reaction between two anions. Between pH 5 and 8 the rate of reaction increased with increasing dissociation of H_2S to HS^- . Between pH 10 and 12 it increased again, apparently with increasing deprotonation of the catalyst. Deprotonation is believed to stabilize the more active, monomeric form of the catalyst.

Theodorus et al. (1976) reported that:

Attachment of cobalt-[tetraamino]phthalocyanine to cross-linked polyacrylamide produces a stable

- 20 -

(slow)

oxidation catalyst with enhanced activity.

They hypothesized that attachment to a polymeric substrate enhanced activity by preventing pairing of the catalyst molecules (the bridge between them would be an O_2 molecule coordinated by a cobalt ion at either end).

Cobalt tetrasulfo- or tetraaminophthalocyanine bound to polymeric or solid substrates is believed to be used in certain proprietary processes for H_2S removal from liquids and gases.

2.5.3. Reaction with oxygen over solid catalysts

Steijns et al. (1976) studied the reaction of H_2S with oxygen on solid catalysts at high temperature. The catalysts investigated included two kinds of activated carbon, a carbon molecular sieve, alumina, and a zeolite molecular sieve. All gave qualitatively similar results, and activated carbon was chosen for detailed study.

Below 200°C, 90% of the H_2S was converted to elemental sulfur, which remained in the pores of the catalyst. With increasing temperature, an increasing amount of SO₂ was formed. Above 300° , SO ₂ became the dominant product. The reaction was autocatalytic; a maximum reaction rate was observed when a certain amount of sulfur was present in the catalyst. Above that amount, catalytic activity decreased again, probably because the pores in the catalyst became completely filled.

At low O_2 partial pressure, the empirical kinetic order in O_2 was one, and decreased to zero with increasing partial pressure. At low H_2S partial pressure, the apparent kinetic order in H_2S was one-half, and decreased to zero with increasing H_2S pressure. From this, two reaction steps were inferred, one involving H_2S , the other O_2 . At large partial pressure of H_2S , the catalyst was saturated with adsorbed H_2S , making O_2 the rate controlling reactant. The inverse effect was observed at large partial pressures of O_2 .

One-half kinetic order in H_2S suggests that reversible adsorption with dissociation precedes actual oxidation:

$CSSSSSSSSSC + H_2S \rightarrow CSSSSSH + HSSSSSC$

where CSSSSSSSSC represents a chain of sulfur atoms bonded onto the surface of the catalyst at either end. Energies of activation were determined for the reactions involving H_2S and O_2 . With activated carbon, these were 52 ± 5 kJ/mole and 37 ± 4 kJ/mole, respectively. The yield of SO₂ increased with increasing temperature because E_a for the oxidation of elemental sulfur is about 120 kJ/mole.

Steijns et al. (1976a) studied the oxidation of H_2S catalyzed by a zeolite molecular sieve using electron spin resonance spectroscopy, and constructed a reaction mechanism, based on these results. (Carbon could not be used, because its electrical conductivity is too high to allow ESR to be measured.)

The following sulfur-containing radicals were tentatively identified with distinct ESR signals:

(C)

CSSSSS ·

- - - - -

CSSSSSO 2	. _k	e	4		· .		••	•	(E)
CSSSSSO	•••		·.	· · · ·	· ·	. [.] .	•	· .	(F)
CSSSSSOO ·			· .			ст.		1	(G)

These signals could be interconverted by exposing the catalyst to H_2S , O_2 , and SO_2 :

(C) +
$$O_2 \rightarrow$$
 (G) \rightarrow (E) + (F)

(C) + SO $_2 \rightarrow$ (E)

(E) + (F) + $H_2S \rightarrow$ (C)

In the first reaction, addition of O_2 to the system first replaced (C) with (G), and then (G) slowly declined as (E) and (F) increased. These observations suggest this reaction mechanism:

 $CSSSSSSSSSSC + H_2S \rightarrow 2 CSSSSSH$

 $CSSSSSS \cdot + O_2 \rightarrow CSSSSSOO \cdot$

 $CSSSSSSOO + 2 CSSSSSH \rightarrow CSSSSSSO + CSSSSSSSSSSSSSC + H_2O$

 $CSSSSSO \cdot + 2 CSSSSSH \rightarrow CSSSSSS \cdot + CSSSSSSSSSSSSSC + H_{2}O$

At high temperature, a side reaction produces SO_2 :

 $CSSSSSOO \cdot \rightarrow CSSSSSO_2 \cdot \rightarrow CSSSS \cdot + SO_2$

2.5.4. Reaction with hydrogen peroxide

Hoffmann (1977) studied the uncatalyzed reaction between H_2S and H_2O_2 . Reaction with O_2 was prevented by keeping O_2 out of the system, and catalysis by transition metals was reduced by adding EDTA. However, there is no guarantee that catalysis did not occur, because some transition metal complexes are good catalysts. Sulfide concentration vs. time was measured directly with an Ag_2S electrode.

The rate data were consistent with this rate law:

$$-\frac{d [H_2S]}{dt} = k_1 [H_2S] [H_2O_2] + k_2 [HS^-] [H_2O_2]$$

which suggests two parallel mechanisms. The rate determining steps in the two mechanisms proposed are:

$$H_2S + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HSOH + H_2O$$

 $HS^- + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HSOH + OH^-$

The second reaction is faster than the first and probably involves nucleophilic attack by HS^- upon H_2O_2 with displacement of OH^- .

Below pH 6.7, the dominant reaction product was colloidal sulfur. Hoffman suggested that colloidal sulfur formed by a series of reactions like:

$$HS^- + HSOH \rightarrow HSS^- + H_2O$$

 $HS_n - + HSOH \rightarrow HS_n - + H_2O$

where n is between 2 and 8, followed by

$$HS_9^- \rightarrow HS^- + S_8$$

Each step involved thiophilic displacement, analogous to the acid decomposition of thiosulfate (Section 2.2.2). The colloidal sulfur produced was isolated and analyzed by X-ray diffraction, and proved to be microcrystalline, orthorhombic S_8 . Presence of polysulfides was indicated by the transient appearance of a yellow color after the reagents were mixed.

About 20% sulfate also was produced at pH 6.7. The yield of sulfate increased with increasing pH, and it was the sole product at pH 8.5. Hoffman suggested the following reactions to account for sulfate formation:

$$HS^- + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HSOH + OH^-$$

$$HSOH + H_{2}O_{2} \rightarrow S (OH)_{2} + H_{2}O$$
$$S (OH)_{2} + H_{2}O_{2} \rightarrow SO_{3}^{=} + 2H^{+} + H_{2}O$$
$$SO_{3}^{=} + H_{2}O_{2} \rightarrow SO_{4}^{=} + H_{2}O$$

It is well known from industrial practice that the reaction of H_2S and H_2O_2 is catalyzed by simple iron salts and various iron complexes. However, there is little on this subject in the scientific literature. Iron probably serves as a free radical chain initiator, because the reaction of Fe^{+2} with H_2O_2 produces an abundance of hydroxyl radicals (HO ·). Wassermann (1933) concluded that iron is a more potent catalyst for the reaction of H_2S with H_2O_2 than for the decomposition of H_2O_2 . If the opposite were true, iron would destroy the H_2O_2 instead of catalyzing its reaction with H_2S . Wasserman noted that quinone, hydroquinone, and pyrogallol inhibit the reaction between H_2S and H_2O_2 . They probably do so by scavenging free radicals and terminating the chains.

2.5.5. Summary

Hydrogen sulfide reacts with oxygen even when no catalyst has been added. Whether or not it can proceed in their absence, the reaction is affected by traces of catalytic metals present in the solutions. Stray catalysis limits the reproducibility and significance of the data obtained in many experiments, although valid qualitative observations have been made. When catalysts are added, stray catalysis becomes relatively unimportant, and does not limit the validity or utility of the data obtained.

There appear to be two different pathways for the reaction of H_2S with O_2 . The first dominates in experiments with low initial sulfide concentration ($<100\mu$ M). This reaction produces thiosulfate, sulfate, and sulfite, and appears to be first order in both H_2S and O_2 . The second pathway dominates in experiments with higher initial sulfide concentration ($>100\mu$ M). Colloidal sulfur is a major reaction product, and the reaction is autocatalytic: sulfur chain molecules are involved as catalysts or cocatalysts. Most authors refer to these unknown catalytic molecules as "polysulfides"; actually, they are more likely to be polysulfido- radical ions, similar to those inferred by Steijns *et al.* (1976a).

The autocatalytic reaction is catalyzed by transition metal ions, and may or may not occur in their absence. The dependence on nickel concentration reported by Snavely and Blount (1969) suggests one-half kinetic order in nickel, consistent with a free-radical chain reaction in which nickel participates in the chain initiation step. If so, the mechanism probably resembles that proposed by Steijns *et al.* (1976a), except that all species are in aqueous solution, and H_2S (or HS^{-}) is directly involved. Catalysis by certain organic reducing agents which easily form free radicals is consistent with this interpretation (Chen and Morris, 1972a). Snavely and Blount established that the reaction is zero order in O_2 , and that above pH 6 the rate is independent of pH.

The autocatalytic reaction produces sulfate and thiosulfate along with colloidal sulfur. Sulfite is not produced, because its presence is incompatible with that of colloidal sulfur. The yield of thiosulfate and sulfate increases with pH. Sulfate and thiosulfate may be produced by secondary oxidation of polysulfides or colloidal sulfur initially formed. The first, slower reaction pathway dominates only under conditions not conducive to the formation of sulfur chain molecules. Otherwise, the faster reaction catalyzed by sulfur chain molecules dominates.

In general, increasing the rate of reaction by adding a catalyst or increasing its concentration shifts the product distribution toward products of lower oxidation state:

sulfate \rightarrow thiosulfate

thiosulfate \rightarrow colloidal-S

2.6. Oxidation of sulfite

This review will be limited to fundamental studies of the kinetics and mechanism of SO_2 oxidation. Among others, Schmidt (1972) reviewed the chemical aspects of flue-gas scrubbing, and Pearce (1968) reviewed the chemistry of sulfuric acid manufacture.

2.6.1. Free radical chain reaction with oxygen

The reaction of sulfite with oxygen is catalyzed by light and certain transition metal ions. It is a fast reaction, with a time scale of seconds to minutes.

Early work on sulfite oxidation was reviewed by Bäckström (1934), who studied the reaction initiated by light from a mercury arc. By that time, it had been established that the reaction between oxygen and sulfite:

(1) Can be initiated by ultraviolet light,

- (2) Is catalyzed by metal ions, particularly copper,
- (3) Is inhibited by certain reducing agents, including ethanol, glycerine, and cyanide, and
- (4) Is most rapid near pH 7 in the presence of reaction inhibitors. In the absence of inhibitors, the maximum rate may fall at higher pH.

Bäckström proposed a free radical chain mechanism. In the reaction catalyzed by copper, the initiation step is:

$$Cu^{+2} + SO_3^{=} \rightarrow Cu^{+} + SO_3^{-}$$

The chain propogation steps are:

 $SO_3 - + O_2 \rightarrow SO_5 -$

$$SO_5 - HSO_3 - HSO_5 + SO_3 - SO_5$$

Sulfate is produced by the reaction:

 $HSO_5^- + SO_3^- \rightarrow 2SO_4^- + H^+$

The rate of the photochemical reaction varies as the square root of light intensity in the presence of ethanol.

Fuller and Crist (1941) studied the oxidation of sulfite catalyzed by copper. Adding 0.01μ M copper sulfate greatly accelerated the reaction. Increasing the concentration of copper further, up to 100μ M, had no further effect on the rate. This was explained in terms of hydrolysis: the concentration of cupric ion is limited by the small solubility of cupric hydroxide. After saturation with cupric hydroxide has been reached, adding more copper does not increase the concentration of copper in solution.

Koganovskii and Taran (1955) confirmed that the rate maximum for sulfite oxidation falls near pH 7, and found that thiosulfate, phenol, and aniline inhibit the reaction.

Recent authors have used methods better suited to fast reactions. Barron and O'Hern (1966) studied the reaction catalyzed by copper. They reported three-halves kinetic order in total sulfite and zero kinetic order in oxygen. Adding cupric ion up to 1μ M increased the rate of reaction, but adding more, up to 100μ M, had no further effect. Cuprous ion had a slight inhibiting effect.

Mishra and Srivastava (1975) and Bengtsson and Bjerle (1975) studied the homogeneous reaction of sulfite and oxygen catalyzed by cobalt. Both studies reported the rate as zero order in oxygen, three-halves order in total sulfite, and one-half order in cobalt. The values of activation energy reported by the two studies were 21.5 and 13.6 kcal/mole, respectively. Bengtsson and Bjerle reported that the rate increased with increasing pH up to 8.5.

Bengtsson and Bjerle also studied the reaction under heterogeneous conditions; oxygen was absorbed from the gas phase while the reaction proceeded. The heterogeneous reaction rate, calculated with proper correction for the finite rate of oxygen absorption, was about an order of magnitude lower than under homogeneous conditions. They attributed this difference to an "induction period", during which the concentration of free radicals increases. After oxygen is absorbed by a parcel of water, it takes a finite time for the reaction to "get going" in that parcel of water. The inferred reaction orders for cobalt and total sulfite were 0.5 and 1.5, as in the homogeneous case.

Hayon, Treinin, and Wilf (1972) studied the spectra and chemistry of the free radicals SO_2 . , SO_3 . , SO_4 . , and SO_5 . , which were produced by flash photolysis or pulse radiolysis of solutions containing $SO_3^{=}$, $HSO_5^{=}$, $S_2O_4^{=}$, $S_2O_6^{=}$, or $S_2O_8^{=}$. They also studied the oxidation of sulfite, induced by flash photolysis of air-containing solutions of Na_2SO_3 . The flash caused a strong, transient absorption typical of hydrated free electrons to appear. They suggested the following initiation step for the light induced reaction:

$$SO_3^- + h \nu \rightarrow SO_3^- + e_{aq}^-$$

The radicals SO_3 — and SO_5 — were not efficiently removed from solution by alcohols, while SO_4 — was removed. They concluded that SO_4 — probably is involved in the oxidation of sulfite, as this reaction is inhibited by alcohols.

They proposed that in the reaction cycle that oxidizes sulfite, SO_4 — is produced by the reaction:

$$SO_5 - + SO_3 = \rightarrow SO_4 - + SO_4 =$$

The SO $_4$ can react with either SO $_3$ or HSO $_3$:			
$SO_4 - + SO_3^- \rightarrow SO_4^- + SO_3^-$		• •	(2.2)
SO_4 + HSO_3 - SO_4 + SO_3 + H^+	·		(2.3)

Reaction (2.3) is faster because the electrostatic repulsion between the reacting ions is smaller. Therefore, the rate of reaction decreases with increasing pH above 7, but it does not go to zero. At high pH, reaction (2.2) is replaced by the slower reaction (2.3). At pH 12, SO_4 reacts with OH^- :

$$SO_{4} - + 2OH - + SO_{4} = +O - + H_{2}O$$

and O — becomes a chain propagating radical. This radical and its conjugate acid HO react with inhibitors (e.g. alcohols) much more rapidly than does SO_4 —, which accounts for the greater effect of inhibitors at high pH.

Formaldehyde protects bisulfite from oxidation by forming a stable, unreactive complex with it (Kurtenacker, 1924):

$$CH_{2}O + HSO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow CH_{2}(OH)SO_{3}^{-}$$
 K=8x10⁶M¹

Formaldehyde is added to prevent interference by sulfite in the iodometric determination of thiosulfate.

2.6.2. Proposed reaction mechanism

To summarize the extensive work on sulfite oxidation catalyzed by light, cobalt, or copper, we propose the general mechanism in Table 2.4. It is an extension of the mechanism proposed by Hayon, Treinin, and Wilf.

In equations (1a and b) Co (II) X^{-n} and Co (III) $X^{-(n-1)}$ represent unknown complexes of Co⁺² and Co⁺³.

Reaction (1a) is fast relative to (1b), and reaction (2) is fast relative to the other chain propagation reactions; otherwise, the concentration of O_2 would affect the rate. We assume that:

Table 2.4

- 26 -

Proposed mechanism for the reaction of sulfite with oxygen

4

Initiation by cobalt:

 $\frac{k_{3b}}{k_{3a}}$

 $\frac{k_{4b}}{k_{4a}}$

$2 Co (II) X^{-n} + O_2 + 2 H^+ \rightarrow 2 Co (III) X^{-(n-1)} + H_2 O_2$	(la)
Co (III) $X^{-(n-1)} + SO_3^- \rightarrow Co (II) X^{-n} + SO_3^-$	(1b)
Initiation by copper: $Cu^{+2} + SO_3^= \rightarrow Cu^+ + SO_3^-$	(1c)
Initiation by light:	
$SO_3^- + h \nu \rightarrow SO_3^- + e_{aq}^-$	(1d)
Chain propagation at $pH < 6$:	, <i>F</i> ₁
$SO_3 - + O_2 \rightarrow SO_5 -$	(2)
$SO_5 - + HSO_3 - \rightarrow SO_4 - + SO_4 + H^+$ $SO_4 - + HSO_3 - \rightarrow SO_3 - + SO_4 + H^+$	(3a) (4a)
At $8 < pH < 11$, equations (3a) and (4a) are replaced by:	, ^{, , ,} ,
$SO_{5} - + SO_{3} \rightarrow SO_{4} - + SO_{4}$	(3b)
$SO_4 - + SO_3 = \rightarrow SO_3 - + SO_4 =$	(4b)
If $pH > 11$, reaction (4b) is replaced by:	
$SO_4 - + 2OH - + SO_4 = + O - + H_2O$	(4c)
$O \cdot - + SO_3 = + H_2O \rightarrow SO_3 \cdot - + 2OH -$	(4c')
Chain termination:	
$R_{1} + R_{2} \rightarrow R_{1}R_{2}$	(5)
where R_1 and R_2 are any two free radicals.	· • • •

calculated with proper correction for the finite rate of oxygen absorption, was about an order of magnitude lower than under homogeneous conditions. They attributed this difference to an "induction period", during which the concentration of free radicals increases. After oxygen is absorbed by a parcel of water, it takes a finite time for the reaction to "get going" in that parcel of water. The inferred reaction orders for cobalt and total sulfite were 0.5 and 1.5, as in the homogeneous case.

Hayon, Treinin, and Wilf (1972) studied the spectra and chemistry of the free radicals SO_2 ., SO_3 ., SO_4 ., and SO_5 ., which were produced by flash photolysis or pulse radiolysis of solutions containing SO_3^- , HSO_5^- , $S_2O_4^-$, $S_2O_6^-$, or $S_2O_8^-$. They also studied the oxidation of sulfite, induced by flash photolysis of air-containing solutions of Na_2SO_3 . The flash caused a strong, transient absorption typical of hydrated free electrons to appear. They suggested the following initiation step for the light induced reaction:

$$SO_3^- + h \nu \rightarrow SO_3^- + e_{aq}^-$$

The radicals SO_3 and SO_5 were not efficiently removed from solution by alcohols, while SO_4 was removed. They concluded that SO_4 probably is involved in the oxidation of sulfite, as this reaction is inhibited by alcohols.

They proposed that in the reaction cycle that oxidizes sulfite, SO_4 — is produced by the reaction:

$$SO_{3} - + SO_{3} \rightarrow SO_{4} - + SO_{4}$$

The SO_{4} can react with either SO_{3} or HSO_{3} :
 $SO_{4} - + SO_{3} \rightarrow SO_{4} + SO_{3}$. (2.2)

$$SO_4 - + HSO_3 - \rightarrow SO_4 - + SO_3 - + H^+$$
 (2.3)

Reaction (2.3) is faster because the electrostatic repulsion between the reacting ions is smaller. Therefore, the rate of reaction decreases with increasing pH above 7, but it does not go to zero. At high pH, reaction (2.2) is replaced by the slower reaction (2.3). At pH 12, SO_4 reacts with OH^- :

$$SO_4 - + 2OH - + SO_4 = + O - + H_2O$$

and O - becomes a chain propagating radical. This radical and its conjugate acid HO react with inhibitors (e.g. alcohols) much more rapidly than does SO_4 ., which accounts for the greater effect of inhibitors at high pH.

Formaldehyde protects bisulfite from oxidation by forming a stable, unreactive complex with it (Kurtenacker, 1924):

 $K = 8 \times 10^{6} M^{-1}$

$$CH_2O + HSO_3^- \rightarrow CH_2(OH)SO_3^-$$

Formaldehyde is added to prevent interference by sulfite in the iodometric determination of thiosulfate.

2.6.2. Proposed reaction mechanism

To summarize the extensive work on sulfite oxidation catalyzed by light, cobalt, or copper, we propose the general mechanism in Table 2.4. It is an extension of the mechanism proposed by Hayon, Treinin, and Wilf.

In equations (1a and b) Co (II) X^{-n} and Co (III) $X^{-(n-1)}$ represent unknown complexes of Co⁺² and Co⁺³.

Reaction (1a) is fast relative to (1b), and reaction (2) is fast relative to the other chain propagation reactions; otherwise, the concentration of O_2 would affect the rate. We assume that:

Table 2.4	
Proposed mechanism for the reaction of sulfite with oxygen	· .
Initiation by cobalt:	
2 Co (II) $X^{-n} + O_2 + 2H^+ \rightarrow 2$ Co (III) $X^{-(n-1)} + H_2O_2$	(1a)
Co (III) $X^{-(n-1)} + SO_3^{=} \rightarrow Co$ (II) $X^{-n} + SO_3^{-1}$	(1b)
Initiation by copper: $Cu^{+2} + SO_3^{-} \rightarrow Cu^{+} + SO_3^{-}$	(1c)
Initiation by light: $SO_3^= + h \nu \rightarrow SO_3^- + e_{aq}^-$	(1d)
Chain propagation at pH < 6: SO $_{3}$ ·-+ O $_{2}$ → SO $_{5}$ ·-	(2)
$SO_5 - + HSO_3 \rightarrow SO_4 - + SO_4 + H^+$ $SO_4 - + HSO_3 \rightarrow SO_3 - + SO_4 + H^+$	(3a) (4a)
At 8 < pH < 11, equations (3a) and (4a) are replaced by: $SO_5 - + SO_3 = \rightarrow SO_4 - + SO_4 =$	(3b)
$SO_4 = +SO_3 = \rightarrow SO_3 = +SO_4$ If pH > 11, reaction (4b) is replaced by:	(4b)
$SO_4 = + 2 OH = \rightarrow SO_4 = + O = + H_2O$	(4c)
Chain termination: $R_{11} + R_{21} \rightarrow R_{1}R_{2}$	(40)
where R_1 and R_2 are any two free radicals.	

 $\frac{k_{3b}}{k_{3a}} = \frac{k_{4b}}{k_{4a}} = r$

- 26 -
accelerated the reaction by several-fold at concentrations of 1 to 25μ M. With each metal, a rate maximum was observed at a certain pH; pH 3.3 for Ti(IV), 1.7 for Zr(IV), and 3.0 for Nb(V).

Litvinenko (1969a) suggested that the reaction involves a complex of the catalytic ion with hydrogen peroxide, e.g.:

NbO
$$(OH)_2^+ + H_2O_2 \rightarrow NbO (OH)_2(O_2H) + H^+$$

This explains the drop-off in reaction rate with decreasing pH. In the case of zirconium, increasing ionic strength decreases the rate of reaction. This may also contribute to the fall-off below pH 1.7 where the acid contributes a substantial fraction of the total ionic strength. The effect of ionic strength suggests that reaction between oppositely charged ions is involved.

Above the pH value that corresponds to the maximum reaction rate, the decrease in reaction rate with increasing pH may be due to increasing hydrolysis and polymerization of the catalytic ions. It is likely that the reaction of thiosulfate with H_2O_2 produces trithionate and tetrathionate as well as sulfate. However, Litvinenko's experimental method allowed him to monitor the formation of sulfate only.

Weak oxidizing agents, like iodine or triiodide ion in acid media, oxidize thiosulfate to tetrathionate:

$$2 S_{2} O_{3}^{-} + I_{2} \rightarrow S_{4} O_{6}^{-} + 2 I^{-}$$

The classic "iodometric determination of thiosulfate" exploits this reaction. Awtrey and Connick (1951) studied the kinetics of this reaction at low pH, and proposed the following mechanism:

$$S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + I_{3}^{-} \leftrightarrow S_{2}O_{3}I^{-} + 2I^{-}$$

$$S_{2}O_{3}I^{-} + S_{2}O_{3}^{=} \rightarrow S_{4}O_{6}^{=} + I^{-}$$
 (slow)

The first reaction is rapid, and its equilibrium lies far to the right. The second reaction is rate determining. When pH is low (3 or 4) and iodide ion is initially present, tetrathionate is the only product. At higher pH, or in the absence of iodide, some sulfate also forms.

Baldea and Niac (1970) studied the reaction of thiosulfate with chromate at low pH. The major reaction product was tetrathionate. They inferred the reaction mechanism:

$$HCrO_{4}^{-} + HS_{2}O_{3}^{-} \leftrightarrow H_{2}O_{4} + CrS_{2}O_{6}^{-}$$
 K=12,400

$$CrS_{2}O_{6}^{=} + HS_{2}O_{3}^{-} \rightarrow Cr(IV) + S_{4}O_{6}^{=}$$
 (slow)
 $Cr(IV) + S_{2}O_{3}^{=} \rightarrow S_{2}O_{3}^{-} + Cr(III)$

$$2 S_2 O_3 \rightarrow S_4 O_6^=$$

where Cr(III) and Cr(IV) represent unknown complex ions. The second reaction is rate determining.

CHAPTER 3 THE REACTION CATALYZED BY NICKEL

3.1. Forward

Among the studies of air oxidation of hydrogen sulfide reviewed in Section 2.5.1, the results of Snavely and Blount (1969) were most detailed and informative. In particular, they noted that:

- (1) Nickel and cobalt are the most potent catalysts for oxidation of H_2S ,
- (2) The reaction is autocatalytic, and the cocatalyst produced by the reaction probably is a polysulfide,
- (3) The rate of reaction is independent of oxygen concentration,
- (4) Increasing the concentration of nickel hundred-fold increases the rate of reaction ten-fold, and
- (5) The reaction is inhibited by low pH, but the rate is independent of pH at intermediate values.

Other authors have noted the autocatalytic nature of the reaction, and that good "reactivity" can be correlated with a yellow color and strong UV absorption (Chen and Morris, 1972; Chen and Gupta, 1973). Their observations are reviewed in detail in Section 2.5.

A reaction product catalyzes the reaction, in this case as a cocatalyst acting together with nickel. This causes the chemical properties of the reaction medium to depend on its previous history. This introduces a large, additional variance into the kinetic data.

Initially we were told to assume that 15 seconds would be available for reaction, in transit from condensers to cooling tower. Accordingly, most kinetic data was gathered with 15 seconds reaction time. Much later, we learned that about 90 seconds would be available. Our rate data, mostly obtained with 15 seconds reaction time, can safely be extrapolated to 90 seconds, but the assumption of 15 seconds did affect our choice of experimental method.

In a contact condenser equipped geothermal Unit at The Geysers, the concentration of H_2S in the cooling water leaving the condenser typically is about 150 μ M (5ppm). In a surface condenser equipped Unit, this typically is about 70 μ M. For reasons of cost and safety, the concentration of nickel should be 100 μ M (6ppm) or less. Accordingly, most rate data were generated with 20 or 100 μ M nickel, and 70 to 150 μ M initial sulfide.

The combination of unstable reactants in low concentration, short reaction time, catalysis, and autocatalysis greatly increased experimental difficulties. In particular, autocatalysis made the use of a straightforward "once-through" kinetic apparatus (like that described in Chapter 4) inappropriate. Ultimately, we decided to use a simple experimental technique which would allow many data points to be generated, and allow experimental scatter to be overwhelmed by averaging. Each day a batch of "synthetic cooling water" (SCW) containing nickel was set up, and air and H_2S were added to it continuously. After some time (typically 1 or 2 hours) the SCW would reach chemical steady state. Then aliquots would be withdrawn from it and used for individual kinetic or other experiments.

Repeatability among experiments using different aliquots removed from the flask during a given run usually was good. Repeatability between different runs was poorer, especially in regard to reactivity. This placed obvious constraints on the experiments that could be performed. Reproducibility improved with experience.

3.2. Experimental methods

3.2.1. Synthetic cooling water with recharge

Most experimental work on the Ni/SO $_2$ system employed 1 liter of "synthetic cooling water" to which several reagent streams were continuously added, and from which aliquots were removed for analysis and other tests.

The SCW was formulated to resemble the cooling water of a typical Unit at The Geysers, when the Unit is operated with secondary abatement. However, in most experiments, sulfate only was included in the recipe instead of the mixture of thiosulfate, sulfate, and trithionate to be expected in the field. The SCW was prepared for each run by a twenty fold dilution of a concentrated stock solution which contained 0.004M $(NH_4)_2CO_3$, 0.073M $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, and 0.287M B $(OH)_3$. The resulting SCW contained 7.70mM NH₃ plus NH₄⁺, 0.40mM HCO₃⁻, 14.36mM B $(OH)_3$ plus $H_2BO_3^-$, and 3.65mM SO₄⁻. Essentially, it was a dilute solution of ammonium sulfate and boric acid. The pH was adjusted to that desired with 1.0M NaOH. Nickel sulfate stock solution was added to give the desired concentration of nickel, usually 20 or 100 μ M.

Most of the experiments were performed at pH 7.8. Because the SCW is naturally well buffered by NH_4^+ and B (OH)₃ above pH 7.5, additional buffering compounds usually were not needed. Experiments with SCW that contained ammonium chloride or boric acid only demonstrated that the only role of the major ions in the SCW was to buffer it; therefore, the particular recipe used had no effect on the results obtained.

The reaction vessel was a 1-liter Erlenmeyer flask. It was kept in a water bath thermostatted at 45° C, and was allowed to attain thermal equilibrium before the start of the experiment. To maintain a constant concentration of oxygen in the SCW, air from the house supply was bubbled through it at a rate of 0.25 1/minute using a porous diffuser stone. Occassional measurements indicated that the concentration of oxygen in the SCW was typically about 6 ppm. Because the oxidation rate proved to be independent of oxygen concentration (Fig. 3.9), it usually was not monitored. The SCW was stirred using an air driven, immersible magnetic stirring pad.

Three liquid reagent solutions were added to the SCW using a peristaltic pump (Manostat, with capacity for ten pump cassettes; used with 1/16'' I.D. thin-walled Tygon tubing). Each solution was added at about 0.45 ml/min. One stream contained 0.0355 M sodium sulfide. The second contained about 0.022 M sulfuric acid, plus nickel sulfate at three times the concentration of nickel in the SCW. This amounted to adding H_2S at 16μ M/min., while keeping the concentration of nickel in the SCW constant. Twenty minutes after the start of the reaction, a third reagant stream of sodium sulfite solution was added. The concentration of the Na $_2SO_3$ was adjusted to give the sulfite:sulfide ratio desired in a given experiment.

The rate at which SCW was removed for analysis roughly equaled the influx of reagent solutions. Therefore, the volume of SCW remained roughly constant throughout, and so did the chemical feed rates per unit volume. The major ions in the SCW typically were diluted by about 30% over the course of the experiment, but this had no apparent effect. Whenever necessary, pH was manually adjusted with NaOH or H_2SO_4 .

The output of the peristaltic pump cassettes varied from day to day, probably due to minute variations in the positions of the tubes in the cassettes. The cassettes were calibrated daily by running the output of each into a graduated cylinder for ten minutes. Using this calibration data, the pump speed and concentrations of the reagent solutions were adjusted to give the chemical feed rates desired.

It takes some time for the concentration of the cocatalyst (produced by the reaction) and the "reactivity" (defined in Section 3.2.3) to attain steady state values. Chemical steady state was reached after about two hours reaction time, and then the gathering of kinetic data could begin.

Sulfite ion can hinder the formation of the cocatalyst (Section 3.3.1). This is why the Na_2SO_3 reagent feed was initiated twenty minutes after the start of the reaction. Otherwise, the initial presence of SO_3^- would have prevented the formation of the cocatalyst and the development of reactivity. Once formed, the cocatalyst is not affected by the addition of sulfite in moderate amounts.

Preparation of Wackenroder's solution. Wackenroder's solution was prepared by mixing equimolar amounts of Na_2S and Na_2SO_3 to give 0.2M total sulfur in the ultimate solution. The

^{*} Experience showed that a 1.0:1.6 ratio of added H_2SO_4 to Na_2S suffices to neutralize the Na_2S and maintain a roughly constant pH. Some H_2SO_4 is produced in solution by oxidation of H_2S , and this reduces the amount of H_2SO_4 that needs to be added.

pH was lowered to 7.3 with sulfuric acid, and the solution made up to volume with deionized water. When first neutralized, this solution was opaque yellow, but became clear yellow after about 30 minutes.

3.2.2. Work at lower pH

Experiments below pH 7.8 required additional buffering compounds. A combination of 10mM each of phosphate and maleate was used. A concentrated buffer stock solution was prepared from maleic acid and monosodium orthophosphate, and its pH adjusted to that desired with *NaOH*. With this buffer added, the SCW was well buffered from pH 2 to 10.

This buffer (like others) slowed the development of reactivity if present from the start of the reaction. Therefore, the reaction was always initiated at about pH 7.8 without the buffer, and the buffer added at t = 60 min. or later. The buffer was added as a concentrated solution, the pH of which had been adjusted to a value slightly lower than that desired in the resulting buffered SCW. Once established, reactivity is unaffected by the addition of the buffer (Section 3.3.4).

3.2.3. Determination of reactivity

"Reactivity" was used as an empirical measure of the solution's ability to destroy H_2S . To determine the reactivity, a 25 ml aliquot of SCW was removed from the flask and aerated by blowing air through it for a few seconds. This also reduced the amount of H_2S and sulfite in the SCW before measuring the reactivity. A magnetic stirring bar was added to the beaker containing the aliquot, and it was set upon a magnetic stirrer. Then a small amount of Na_2S solution was added to the beaker using an adjustable micropipette. (Gilson Pipetteman, Model P20 or P200, depending on volume.) Usually, enough Na_2S was added to introduce a total sulfide concentration of 70 μ M. Because the concentration of the sulfide stock solution was about 0.2 M, about 9 μ l would typically be added. After fifteen seconds, 25 ml of sulfide antioxidant buffer (SAOB) was added to the beaker with rapid stirring, and this quenched the reaction. Then electrodes were introduced to measure the residual sulfide concentration.

Immediately after the electrodes were put into the mixture of test aliquot and SAOB, the voltage reading dropped rapidly for several seconds, indicating increasing apparent sulfide concentration. After about 20 seconds the voltage reached a minimum and began to increase slowly. The minimum voltage observed was recorded and used to calculate the concentration of sulfide.

Finally, the measured sulfide concentration was divided by that initially introduced to give the fraction remaining after 15 seconds. The numerical value of this fraction is the quantitative expression of what we call "reactivity": if the fraction of H_2S remaining is small, reactivity is said to be good, and vice-versa.

The preparation and handling of the sulfide stock, SAOB, and other solutions is described in Appendix 3.1.

An Orion Model 701A potentiometer, Model 951 printer, and Model 605 electrode switch were used for all measurements of sulfide concentration and pH. The sulfide electrode used was the Orion Model 94-16, which is of the Ag_2S membrane type. The reference electrode used was the Orion Model 90-02, with Orion 900002 filling solution in the inner compartment and 10% KNO₃ in the outer. Each day before use, the electrodes were standardized with freshly prepared standards in 50/50 SAOB/D.I. water, which corresponded to sample concentrations of 7 and 70 μ M. Typically, a sulfide electrode would last several months in daily service before failing by leakage through the Ag_2S membrane.

In their studies of H_2S oxidation, Ostlund and Alexander (1963), Algren and Hagström (1974), Hoffmann (1977), and Hoffmann and Lim (1979) measured the concentration of HS^- directly with an Ag_2S electrode. In all cases, the time scale of the reaction was minutes to hours (Section 2.5). The response time of the Ag_2S electrode is about 10 seconds. In our work, an Ag_2S electrode could not be used to measure HS^- directly, because the time scale of the reaction was comparable to the electrode response time.

We also tried to measure the concentration of HS — directly by UV absorption (Avrahami and Golding, 1968). This failed because "reactive" SCW is practically opaque to ultraviolet light. This work is summarized in Appendix 3.2.

There were several sources of random perturbation in the procedure used to determine reactivity. The temperature of the test aliquot probably varied. A small amount of sodium sulfide solution was transferred by micropipette. There was some error in measurement, and probably also some accidental oxidation during the transfer. Finally, the test aliquot probably lost some H_2S to the atmosphere during the 15 second reaction time. Degasing removes H_2S in competition with oxidation, and interferes most at low nickel concentrations, at which oxidation is slowest. In fact, the data collected with 20 μ M Ni show the most scatter; the 100 μ M Ni data were highly reproducible (Fig. 3.4). Nonetheless, most of the data were collected with 20 μ M Ni, because this is the concentration of greatest practical interest.

The effect of varying nickel concentration was studied by a dilution method: a batch of SCW was initially prepared with 100 μ M Ni. Test aliquots were removed and diluted with different amounts of preheated, nickel-free SCW to give the concentration of Ni desired in the actual test.

In a routine reactivity test, aeration of the test aliquot lowered its temperature from 45° C to about 35° C; therefore, most of the kinetic data actually were gathered at 35° . In the experiments used to determine the effect of temperature, the temperature of the aerated test aliquot was adjusted with either an ice bath or a boiling water bath before the sodium sulfide was added.

Ordinarily, the reactivity test aliquot was aerated with air blown from the mouth. This typically resulted in an oxygen concentration of 3.5 to 4.0 ppm. To measure reactivity at other concentrations of oxygen, the aliquots were "aerated" with 100% N_2 , air, 42/58 O_2/N_2 , or 100% O_2 . The O_2 concentration was then measured with a dissolved oxygen meter and probe before adding Na 2S (Yellow Springs Instruments Dissolved Oxygen Meter Model 54A and Model 5739 Probe).

In tests with varying pH, the pH of the aerated aliquot was adjusted by adding varying amounts of sulfuric acid or NaOH. The pH was then measured before adding the Na_2S .

3.2.4. Measurement of turbidity

Turbidity was measured using an industrial process control turbidimeter (HF Industries, Model DRT 200). This instrument would be well suited for routine use at The Geysers.

Throughout, turbidity is reported in Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU). Turbidity is apparent to the naked eye at 20 NTU, and a colloidal sulfur sol of 150 NTU looks like diluted skimmed milk.

Initially, turbidity increases at about 30 NTU per ppm of elemental sulfur produced (compare Figures 3.1 and 3.10). Thus, less than 1 ppm of colloidal sulfur is apparent to the naked eye, and may be measured semiquantitatively with the turbidimeter.

3.2.5. Determination of reaction products

The SCW used in experiments to study reaction product distribution differed from that described above in that acetate was used in the place of sulfate. Also, acetic acid was used to neutralize the Na_2S . This change allowed the amount of sulfate produced by the reaction to be determined. "Acetate based SCW" was not used in the other experiments because there was evidence that acetate decreased the rate of oxidation somewhat. In a few experiments "perchlorate based SCW" was used.

In the stoichiometric experiments aliquots were periodically withdrawn for the determination of colloidal sulfur, thiosulfate and trithionate. Sulfate was determined only at the end of the experiment. Colloidal sulfur was determined only if the solution was visibly turbid, and sulfite was determined rarely.

^{*}The acetate based SCW contained only half as much ammonium acetate as it should have; i.e., 3.65mM rather than 7.30mM. The same error was made in compounding the perchlorate based SCW. This probably had no effect on the results obtained.

Colloidal sulfur. The technique of Bartlett and Skoog (1954) was used in modified form. A 50 ml aliquot of SCW was filtered through a membrane filter under pressure with nitrogen (Millipore type VC, 0.1μ m pore size, 47mm diameter). The filter was removed from the filter housing and dissolved in 10 ml of 95% acetone-water. This was mixed with 15 ml of the sodium cyanide reagent specified by Bartlett and Skoog. After a few minutes, a precipitate of filter material formed. The mixture was filtered through fine filter paper to remove this precipitate. The rest of the procedure proceeded as described by Bartlett and Skoog.

Thiosulfate and trithionate. The method of Kelly, et al. (1969) was used for the simultaneous determination of thiosulfate, trithionate, and tetrathionate. Tetrathionate was never detected in more than trace amounts, and these values are not reported here.

Sulfate. A variant of the standard gravimetric procedure was used. A 200 ml aliquot of SCW was membrane filtered to remove colloidal sulfur, if present. The pH of the aliquot was adjusted to about 2.5 with 0.1 N HCl. To remove thiosulfate, one percent tincture of iodine was slowly added with stirring until a persistent, faint yellow color formed. Twenty ml of 0.1 N BaCl₂ was added, and the solution was covered and set aside at room temperature until the next day. Boiling was avoided to reduce interference by trithionate. Finally, the precipitate was filtered, ashed, and weighed the usual way.

Sulfite. The technique of West and Gaeke (1956) was used in modified form. The sodium tetrachloromercurate(II) reagent was eliminated from the procedure. Instead, a 10 ml aliquot of SCW was directly mixed with the p-rosaniline and formaldehyde reagents.

Dithionate is the only likely reaction product that these procedures would not have detected. Determination of dithionate is here, as in most contexts, an unsolved problem.

3.2.6. Safety considerations

Work with H_2S , solid sodium sulfide, and concentrated solutions of Na_2S can be hazardous. Most of the work of preparing Na_2S stock solutions was done under a fume hood, and these solutions were handled outside of the hood in small containers only. Spills of solid sodium sulfide and Na_2S stock were cleaned up promptly. Concentrated Na_2S solutions were never poured down the drain. They, along with waste solid sodium sulfide, were disposed of by reaction with an excess of ferric chloride solution. The resulting precipitate of iron oxysulfide was allowed to age, filtered out of solution, and then allowed to age again. Ultimately, it was disposed of as solid waste, and the residual ferric chloride solution was poured down the drain. The flask of SCW was set up and used in a water bath inside a fume hood. These precautions ensured safety, and largely eliminated complaints from others working in the same room.

3.3. Development of reactivity and turbidity

In these experiments the reaction was allowed to proceed while turbidity and reactivity were measured periodically. Usually the experiment was started with addition of Na_2S , acid, and air only, and the addition of Na_2SO_3 commenced 20 minutes later.

Typical data are presented in Figures 3.1 and 3.2. Before the addition of sodium sulfite commenced at t = 20 min. turbidity increased rapidly due to formation of colloidal sulfur.

3.3.1. Reactivity and autocatalysis

In all cases, reactivity was poor at first (i.e., the residual fraction was large), but improved rapidly, reaching a steady state value about an hour after the start of the experiment. This is characteristic of an autocatalytic reaction.

Reactivity develops slowly or not at all if the addition of sodium sulfite is commenced at the very start of the reaction (not shown). This suggests that the unknown cocatalyst contains chains of zero valent sulfur atoms; sulfite destroys such molecules by converting the zero valent sulfur in them to thiosulfate. Most likely, "the cocatalyst" is a mixture of polysulfide ions $(-S_n -)$, polysulfidomonosulfonate ions $(-S_n SO_3 -)$, and their corresponding radical ions. The yellow color of the SCW (when reactive but not turbid) suggests the presence of such molecules, and they are



Fig. 3.1. Development of turbidity and reactivity. Sodium sulfite added from 20 minutes on; sulfite:sulfide = 0.50. Open triangles: Wackenroder's solution added at start, amounting to 400μ M total sulfur; no sulfite added in this case. 70μ M sulfide added in reactivity determinations. "Fraction H₂S remaining after 15s" is the fraction of that 70μ M which remains after 15 seconds reaction time.



Fig. 3.2. Development of turbidity and reactivity; sulfite: sulfide = 0.75.

- 35 -





likely products of the partial oxidation of H_2S . Probably, polythionates ($-O_3S_n O_3^-$) also are present, but are not catalytically active, being less prone to form radical ions.

However, reactivity would develop with sulfite added from the very start, if the sulfide and sulfite feeds were combined to form one reagent stream before being added to the SCW. Lowering the pH of this mixture by mixing it with the SCW essentially would produce Wackenroder's Solution, which contains polysulfides, polythionates, etc. In these experiments the SCW became yellow, but never turbid.

The effect of adding Wackenroder's solution as such to the SCW was tested. At the very start of the experiment, enough was added to introduce a total concentration of sulfur of 400 μ M. This accelerated the development of reactivity (Fig. 3.1).

Formally, the SCW was supersaturated with nickel sulfide by several orders of magnitude. Despite this, there was usually no sign of its precipitation. When there was, it was limited to the appearance of a bronze color in the otherwise blue and yellow SCW. This suggests that the nickel is complexed by polysulfides, and thereby kept from precipitating. The formation of this complex may be an aspect of autocatalysis.

Buffering compounds probably retard the development of reactivity (Section 3.2.2) by chelating nickel, and rendering it inactive. That buffers do not affect reactivity after it has been established suggests that the nickel is tightly chelated by polysulfides.

^{*}The colloidal sulfur in the SCW scatters blue light, producing a blue haze; the color of light transmitted by the SCW is yellow. Both colors are visible.

3.3.2. The effect of sodium sulfite

When the addition of sodium sulfite commences, the formation of colloidal sulfur is slowed. Under the proper conditions, the colloidal sulfur formed earlier is destroyed. The destruction of colloidal sulfur increases with increasing sulfite:sulfide ratio (compare Figs. 3.1 and 3.2).

This data suggests that at pH 7.8 a sulfite:sulfide mole ratio somewhere between 0.5 and 0.75 is needed to ensure good clarification. This is discussed further in Chapter 5.

3.3.3. The effect of thiosulfate

Five mM sodium this sulfate was added at the beginning of some experiments. At sulfate: sulfate = 0.5, this sulfate inhibited the "clarification reaction". This may be a case of inhibition by the reaction product. The parasitic reaction of sulfate with this sulfate to give trithinate may also play a role:

 $S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + 4SO_{3}^{=} + 6H^{+} \rightarrow 2S_{3}O_{6}^{=} + H_{2}O_{6}$

At sulfite:sulfide = 0.75 (Fig. 3.2), this sulfate has the opposite effect: it enhances the clarification reaction. Perhaps, being a reducing agent in its own right, this sulfate is able partially to protect sulfite from oxidation, thereby making more of it available for reaction with colloidal sulfur. In any case, there must be two opposing effects, either of which may predominate under different conditions.

The initial presence of thiosulfate and the sulfite:sulfide mole ratio have no effect upon the development of reactivity.

3.3.4. The effect of pH

The pH value 7.8 was originally chosen because it is approximately the "natural pH" of cooling water at The Geysers. It is also near the lowest pH at which the SCW has adequate buffering capacity. In the field, the cooling water pH would probably be maintained somewhere between 6.2 and 7.0 in order to reduce the amount of NaOH required. Therefore, some experiments were also made at pH values in this range. In these experiments, the SCW was buffered with phosphate and maleate (Section 3.2.2).

Fig. 3.3 depicts one such experiment. At the initial pH of 7.8, a mole ratio of 0.75 sufficed to prevent the formation of colloidal sulfur, but turbidity increased again after pH was lowered to 7.0. Increasing the sulfite:sulfide ratio to 1.0 caused to turbidity to decrease again. This behavior probably is due to accelerated oxidation of sulfite at the lower pH (Section 3.6). Neither pH nor sulfite:sulfide ratio affects reactivity.

3.4. Reaction kinetics

Reactivity was determined under various conditions. These data are presented in Figures 3.4 to 3.9. The solid lines in Figures 3.4 to 9 were calculated using formula (3.1), with the parameters given in Table 3.1. The computer program used for this purpose is listed and documented in Appendix 3.3. The variance of the data is discussed in Appendix 3.4.

There was no correlation of reactivity with either pH or sulfite:sulfide ratio. Accordingly, data generated at various values of pH and sulfite:sulfide ratio were plotted and analyzed together in Fig. 3.4 and the following Figures.

The effect of temperature on residual H_2S suggests a small, positive energy of activation (Fig. 3.7). With 95% confidence (Student's t test), the energy of activation is between 0.9 and 2.9 kcal; 2.4 kcal is our best estimate.

There is no correlation between pH and reactivity between pH 5.5 and 8.5 (Fig. 3.8). With 95% confidence, $-0.023 < \Delta(\text{Res.Frac.})/\Delta(\text{pH}) < 0.011$. An apparent kinetic order in hydrogen ion as large as ± 0.5 is excluded with confidence > 99.9%. Lowering pH to below 5 destroys the reactivity (not shown). Probably, at low pH the cocatalyst decomposes to colloidal sulfur as do thiosulfate and the polysulfides.



Fig. 3.4. Fraction sulfide remaining after 15 seconds; 35^oC and various concentrations of nickel. Vertical scale displaced between curves. In Figures 3.4 to 3.9 different symbols represent data from different experiments. "Initial sulfide" is the amount added in reactivity determinations.



Fig. 3.5. Effect of varying nickel concentration. SCW containing 100μ M nickel diluted with varying amounts of nickel-free SCW.



Fig. 3.6. Effect of varying reaction time on residual sulfide.



Fig. 3.7. Reactivity vs. temperature. Twenty μ M nickel, pH 7.9, sulfite:sulfide = 0.75. 70 μ M sulfide added in reactivity determinations.



Fig. 3.8. Reactivity vs. pH. Twenty μ M nickel, 62 μ M sulfide added in reactivity determinations.



Fig. 3.9. Reactivity vs. oxygen concentration.

- 40 -

There is no correlation between reactivity and O_2 concentration (Fig. 3.9). With 95% confidence, $-0.005 < \Delta(\text{Res.Frac.})/\Delta(O_2) < 0.007$. An apparent kinetic order in oxygen as large as 0.5 is excluded with confidence > 99.9%. This indicates that monitoring and controlling oxygen concentration in the test alignots used in reactivity determinations was not necessary.

Reaction with sulfite may have contributed to removal of H_2S from the SCW (Wackenroder's reaction; Section 4.4), but we doubt this was significant. First, there was no correlation between sulfite:sulfide ratio and reactivity. Second, the steady state concentration of sulfite in the SCW typically was only 10 μ M. Third, Wackenroder's reaction alone seems incapable of reducing the concentration of H_2S to below 5-12ppm (Payette, *et al.*, 1974, p. 43); typically, the concentration of sulfide added in the reactivity test was 2.2ppm.

3.4.1. The rate expression

The rate expression ultimately derived (3.1) is presented in Table 3.1. Here (H_2S) represents the total sulfide concentration as measured with an Ag_2S electrode, and (Ni) represents the total concentration of nickel in the solution, regardless of its form. That the concentrations of H_2S and HS^- do not appear separately is an expression of the observed independence of reactivity and pH.

The qualitative form of the data in Figures 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6 could best be fitted with these assumptions, which are embodied in (3.1):

(1) The kinetic order in nickel is one-half.

(2) The kinetic order in sulfide decreases from two to one with increasing sulfide concentration. The only significantly different, but plausible alternative to (3.1) is:

Table 3.1

The Empirical Rate Expression

$$\frac{d (H_2S)}{dt} = -\frac{k_2(H_2S)^2(Ni)^{0.5}}{1 + \frac{k_2}{k_1}(H_2S)}$$
(3.1)

where t is in seconds, and (H_2S) , (Ni) are in moles per liter.

Rate is independent of (O_2) and pH.

At $35^{\circ}C = 308$ K:

$$k_1 = 25 \ (moles \ /l \)^{-0.5} s^{-1}$$

 $k_2 = 3 \times 10^6 (moles /l^{-1.5} s^{-1})$

The energy of activation is:

 $E_a = 2.4 \ kcal \ /mole$

$$\frac{d (H_2S)}{dt} = -k_1(H_2S)(Ni)^{0.5}$$

This expression was rejected, because it gave a visibly poorer fit. In particular, the fitted curves in Figure 3.4 were horizontal, straight lines, and the drop-off in the fitted curves in Figures 3.5 and 3.6 was much too rapid. Likewise, assuming that kinetic order in nickel = 1 is inconsistent with Figures 3.4 and 3.5: it causes the predicted residual fraction to drop off much too rapidly with increasing nickel concentration.

Minor variations from the form (3.1) are not excluded by the data; for example, the details of the change from second to first kinetic order may be different, or the kinetic order at low concentration might be three.

For purposes of fitting, expression (3.1) was converted to integral form. The values of k_1 and k_2 at 35°C were simultaneously estimated by a bivariant least-squares fitting procedure, using all data points in Figures 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6. The value of the activation energy E_a was estimated from the data in Fig. 3.7 only with the assumption that k_1/k_2 does not change with temperature. The data in Figures 3.8 and 3.9 were not fitted at all.

The statistical uncertainty in the calculated value of k_1 is about 10%; we accept this as the uncertainty of the reaction rate at 35°C, predicted using (3.1).

3.5. Distribution of reaction products

Normally, 75-90% of the sulfur put into the SCW was recovered. The sulfur recovery improved with increasing concentration of nickel, but deteriorated with decreasing pH. This suggests that the main source of the closure error was the loss of H_2S to the atmosphere. Incomplete recovery of sulfate and nondetermination of dithionate also may have contributed to it.

Fig. 3.10 illustrates the reaction product distribution with no sulfite added. The major reaction products are thiosulfate and colloidal sulfur, in that order, and there was a small amount of sulfate present at the end of the experiment. Only a trace of trithionate formed, if any. The curve of colloidal S vs. time is concave. The accumulation of colloidal S is limited by its further oxidation to thiosulfate and sulfate.

Fig. 3.11 illustrates reaction product distribution at sulfite:sulfide = 0.75 and two different values of pH. At pH 7.9 thiosulfate is the major product, and smaller amounts of sulfate and trithionate also are produced. No colloidal S is produced at this pH. At pH 6.5, thiosulfate is still the major reaction product, but more sulfate is produced than at pH 7.9. There is some colloidal S at pH 6.5, but little or no trithionate.

Figure 3.12 illustrates reaction product distribution with 100μ M Ni. Sulfur recovery is noticably better than with 20μ M Ni, but the distribution of products is otherwise unchanged (compare to Figure 3.11).

Fig. 3.13 illustrates the effect of varying sulfite:sulfide ratio at constant pH. Thiosulfate is the major reaction product in all cases, but the yield of sulfate and trithionate increases rapidly with added sulfite. This suggests that sulfate and tritionate are produced mostly by reactions involving sulfite. Colloidal S is significant only when no sulfite is added.

Figures 3.14 and 3.15 illustrate the effect of varying pH at sulfite:sulfide = 0 and 0.75, respectively. In both cases, decreasing pH definitely favors the formation of sulfate at the expense of thiosulfate. With no added sulfite (Fig. 3.14), the amount of colloidal sulfur formed is not affected by pH. With sulfite added (Fig. 3.15), colloidal S is present only at the lowest pH.

3.6. The reaction mechanism

A proposed mechanism that is consistent with the data is presented in Table 3.2. The reactions are approximate and may be changed somewhat without materially affecting the conclusions. Which species are protonated is not known with certainty, and polysulfidomonosulfonates may take the place of polysulfides.

45°C,20μM Ni, pH 7.9 No No2 SO3 Concentration as S (mM) ∆ S(o) Input H₂S 0 1/2 S203⁼ □ 1/3 S₃O₆= 2 ◊ SO4⁼ oΣS \diamond 05 100 200 Time (min.)



XBL 825-653



Fig. 3.11. Distribution of reaction products; $20\mu M$ nickel, sulfite:sulfide = 0.75. Open symbols: pH = 7.9. Solid symbols: pH = 6.5.

XBL 825-654

REACTION PRODUCT STOICHIOMETRY

REACTION PRODUCT STOICHIOMETRY



Fig. 3.12. Distribution of reaction products; 100μ M nickel, sulfite:sulfide = 0.75, pH = 7.8.



Fig. 3.13. Distribution of reaction products vs. sulfite:sulfide ratio; pH = 7.9, after 180 minutes reaction.



REACTION PRODUCTS VS. pH





REACTION PRODUCTS VS. pH

Fig. 3.15. Distribution of reaction products vs. pH; sulfite:sulfide = 0.75. Sloping dashed lines depict the yield of thiosulfate and sulfate at the given pH, corrected for the contribution of the first hour of reaction at pH 7.8.

Proposed reaction mechanism						
	· · · ·					
Initiation:						
$NiS_m + O_2 \rightarrow NiS_m O_2$	•					
$NiS_m O_2 + 2 H_2 S \iff (H_2 S)_2 NiS_m O_2$						
$(H_2S)_2NiS_m O_2 \rightarrow NiS_m + 2 HS + H_2O_2$						
$HS \cdot + S_n \stackrel{=}{\to} HS \stackrel{-}{\to} + S_n \cdot \stackrel{-}{\to}$						
Formation of $S^{(0)}$:						
$S_n = + O_2 \rightarrow S_n O_2 =$						
$S_n O_2 \cdot - H_2 S \rightarrow S_{n+1} O \cdot - H_2 O$	••					
$S_{n+1}O = +H_2S \rightarrow S_{n+2} + H_2O$	· .					
Termination:						
$R_{1} + R_{2} \rightarrow R_{1}R_{2}$						
where R_{1} and R_{2} are any two radicals or radical ions.						
Multiplication of polysulfide chains:						
$S_n = H_{m-1} + H_2 S \rightarrow S_n = S_m = S_m + 2H^+$						
Formation of thiosulfate:		·				
$S_{n+1}O \cdot - + O_2 \rightarrow S_{n+1}O_3 \cdot -$	·	· .				

$$S_{n+1}O_3 - + OH^- \rightarrow S_{n-1}O^{-} + S_2O_3 = + H^+$$
 (5b)

Also:

Ø

⁽ (6) $S_{n+2} - + SO_3 = S_{n+1} - + S_2O_3 =$

Formation of sulfate:

$$SO_{3} - + O_{2} \rightarrow SO_{5} - \tag{7a}$$

$$SO_5 - + HSO_3 - \rightarrow SO_4 - + SO_4 + H^+$$
 (7b)

$$SO_4 - + HSO_3 - SO_3 - + SO_4 + H^+$$
 (7c)

Formation of trithionate:

$$S_{2}O_{3}^{=} + 4 HSO_{3}^{-} + 2 H^{+} \rightarrow 2 S_{3}O_{6}^{=} + 3 H_{2}O$$
 (8)

(la)

(1b)

(1c)

(1d)

.

(2a)

(2b)

(2c)

(3)

(4)

(5a)

- 47 -

Formation of colloidal S:

$$S_n + S \rightarrow S_n - S_n = S_n$$

Reactions (1a to c) are a cycle that adds free radicals to the system. Reactions (1a) and (1c) are irreversible, and (1c) determines the overall rate of radical generation. Reaction (1b) is a rapid, reversible equilibrium with equilibrium constant K_{1b} . Reaction (1d) rapidly converts all HS produced to relatively stable polysulfido- radicals, and does not affect the overall rate of reaction. With these assumptions, the rate of generation of free radicals is:

$$I = \frac{2k_{1c} K_{1b} (Ni)(H_2S)^2}{1 + K_{1b} (H_2S)^2}$$
(3.3)

All of the nickel is complexed which prevents the precipitation of nickel sulfide.

The total concentration of radicals varies slowly. The approximate balance between reactions (1) and (3) determines its steady state value:

$$(R)_{tot} = (I/2k_3)^{0.5}$$
(3.4)

Reactions (2a, b, and c) are the chain propagation steps. Reactions (2b) and (2c) are rate determining. The corresponding rate law is:

$$\frac{d(H_2S)}{dt} = -\frac{2(R)_{tot}(H_2S)}{\frac{1}{k_{2b}} + \frac{1}{k_{2c}}}$$
(3.5)

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.5) gives the explicit rate law.

When

$$1 \ll K_{1b} (H_2 S)^2$$

this rate law becomes

$$\frac{d(H_2S)}{dt} = -k_1(Ni)^{0.5}(H_2S)$$
(3.6a)

where

$$k_{1} = \frac{2(k_{1c} / k_{3})^{0.5}}{\frac{1}{k_{2b}} + \frac{1}{k_{2c}}}$$

When

$$1 \gg K_{1h} (H_2 S_1)^2$$

this rate law becomes

$$\frac{d (H_2S)}{dt} = -k_2(Ni)^{0.5}(H_2S)^2$$

where

(3.6b)

(3.7a)

1 4 **1** 4

 $k_2 = k_1 (K_{1b})^{0.5}$

From the values of k_1 and k_2 given in Table 3.1, we estimate

 $K_{1b} = 1.44x \ 10^{10} M^{-2}$

The limiting forms (3.6a and b) are also those of the empirical rate law (3.1). However, the rate law derived here is more complicated than (3.1). It can be integrated, but the integral form is clumsy and ill-suited for convenient analysis of kinetic data. This is why the similar but simpler form of (3.1) was used instead.

Neither (1a) nor (2a) is rate determining; therefore, the rate of reaction is independent of oxygen concentration.

This mechanism does not explain why the rate is independent of pH. Perhaps, reactions (2b and c) can utilize H_2S and HS — at the same rate, or else changing pH has different effects that cancel out. No fully satisfactory explanation has been found.

Reactions (5a and b), which convert zero valent sulfur to thiosulfate, are side reactions which do not affect the rate of disappearance of H_2S .

A mechanism analogous to reactions (2a, b and c) and (5a) was postulated by Steijns, *et al.* (1976) to explain the oxidation of H_2S over solid catalysts at high temperature (Section 2.5.3).

The stoichiometric data suggest that the oxidation of sulfite is the major source of sulfate.

In particular:

Very little sulfate is produced without addition of sulfite.

The amount of sulfate produced increases with the amount of sulfite added.

The amount of sulfate produced at pH 7.8 is less than at pH 6.5 or 7.0.

The oxidation of sulfite was reviewed in Section 2.6, and reactions (7a, b and c) are taken form Table 2.4.

The amount of trithionate formed also increases with the amount of sulfite added. This is consistent with reaction (8) which is discussed in Section 2.2.4.

3.7. Discussion

All earlier studies utilized a "one-shot" experimental design: a solution of known sulfide concentration, nickel concentration, etc., was prepared, and the decline of sulfide or oxygen concentration was monitored as a function of time. Experiments like this yield an empirical reaction rate that largely reflects the increasing concentration and effect of the cocatalyst. We used solutions which already had attained steady state cocatalyst concentration. Thus, the effect of varying cocatalyst concentration was eliminated.

Consequently, only qualitative comparison with the results of previous studies is possible. Overall, our conclusions agree with those of Snavely and Blount (1969) (Sections 3.1 and 2.5).

The exact nature of the cocatalyst has not been determined, but the molecule certainly contains a chain of zero-valent sulfur atoms. Most probably, "the cocatalyst" consists of a complex mixture of polysulfides, polysulfidomonosulfonates, and the corresponding radical ions, which interconvert and change chain length in the course of the reaction.

Contact condensers steam strip oxygen from the cooling water, rendering it anoxic between condenser and cooling tower. This is where the H_2S must be oxidized, if emission to the atmosphere is to be prevented. The oxidation reaction is able to proceed in the absence of oxygen, because the H_2S is removed by reaction with oxygen-containing polysulfido- molecules, rather than by reaction with oxygen itself (reactions 2b and 2c in Table 3.2).

The rate law presented in Table 3.1 fits the rate data to within the scatter of those data. Although it is incomplete in some respects, the reaction mechanism presented in Table 3.2 satisfactorily explains most of the data, both kinetic and stoichiometric. Why the rate of reaction is independent of pH over a fairly wide range (5.5 to 8.5) is the only major question that remains unanswered.

The mistaken decision to concentrate on 15 seconds reaction time seriously affected the choice of experimental technique, practically forcing us to use "aliquot tests", and quench the reaction with sulfide antioxidant buffer before measuring residual sulfide concentration. If we were now to repeat this work, we would use a different technique. "Synthetic cooling water" would be prepared as in this work, but kinetic measurements would be made using a "flowing" apparatus like that described in Section 4.2 and Appendix 4.1. A two channel, precision syringe sump would be used. One channel would pump sodium sulfide solution, and the other, properly "preaged" SCW. Reaction times of 30 to 150 seconds would be provided by varying pumping rate and/or the length of the delay coil, and the residual sulfide in the solution would be determined directly, by flowing it past an Ag_2S electrode. Reaction temperature would be controlled by immersing the delay coil and other tubing in a thermostatted water bath. Most work would be performed using nickel concentration no greater than 20μ M. Such is the wisdom of hindsight.

Appendix 3.1. Preparation and storage of solutions

Sulfide antioxidant buffer (SAOB) and solutions of sodium sulfide and soldium sulfite are susceptible to oxidation by air, and means to control this were developed.

Preparation of anoxic solutions. A simple apparatus was developed for preparing and transferring anoxic solutions (Figure 3.16). A large graduated cylinder or a large flask was used as the deoxygenation vessel. This vessel was placed upon a magnetic stirrer. Frequently, a flexible plastic bag of the kind used to store intravaneous pharmaceutical solutions was used to store anoxic solutions (see below).

A magnetic stir bar and the solution (or water) to be deoxygenated are put in the deoxygenation vessel and the stopper with tubes is put in place. The T-valves are initially set to allow gas flowing out of the deoxygenation vessel to go by way of the exhaust tube, and to leave the system by way of the gas exhaust line. The valve on the nitrogen cylinder is opened to allow moderate bubbling; no bubbles should reach the exhaust tube. The solution is stirred. After five minutes, the nitrogen flow is interrupted, the stopper removed, and chemicals are added (e.g., solid sodium sulfide). The stopper is replaced and nitrogen flow resumed briefly to purge any oxygen that may have been introduced.

At this time a clean IV bag is attached to the delivery tube, and the exhaust line is connected to the house vacuum. T-valve #1 is turned to evacuate the bag using house vacuum. (The vacuum flattens the bag.) Then this valve is turned to connect the bag to the deoxygenator and the nitrogen valve opened to fill the bag with nitrogen. The bag is again evacuated. This process is repeated once more to purge the bag of traces of oxygen. Finally, T-valve #2 is turned and the nitrogen valve opened again to drive the solution from the deoxygenator through the solution withdrawal tube into the collapsed bag. When no further solution can be driven out, the nitrogen is shut off, the bag removed from the tube, squeezed to eliminate the remaining nitrogen, and stoppered.

Storage of anoxic solutions. One liter IV bags proved ideal for the storage of anoxic solutions. Because they are collapsible, they allow small amounts of solution to be withdrawn without exposing the rest to air. The bags used were distributed by Travenol Laboratories, and their material of construction was stated to be "PL146 TM" plastic. They were obtained from a hospital and cold sterilized with 10% hydrogen peroxide before use. These bags proved very durable and showed no signs of deterioration after many cycles of filling and rinsing. Dilute Na_2S solutions (<0.04 M) and solutions of ferrous salts were always stored in such bags.

Storage in an IV bag was frequently unnecessary. Because the diffusion of oxygen into an anoxic solution is very slow unless the solution is stirred or aerated, relatively concentrated solutions could be stored and used in open containers for up to several hours with little degradation. For example, the Na_2S stock solution used to determine reactivity was generally kept in an open bottle while work was in progress.



Fig. 3.16. Apparatus for preparing anoxic solutions. a. nitrogen from cylinder. b. nitrogen intake tube and diffuser. c. gas outlet tube. d. liquid outlet tube. e. line to house vacuum tap. f. intravaneous solution bag. g. stirring pellet. h. magnetic stirrer.

Preparation of sulfide antioxidant buffer (SAOB). Sulfide antioxidant buffer is used to quench oxidation reactions and convert all sulfide species present to S^- , which is what the sulfide electrode actually measures. To measure the concentration of sulfide in a solution, an aliquot of it is first mixed with an equal volume of SAOB. The SAOB recipe used by us was that given by Orion Research in their manual for the sulfide electrode, modified by replacing tetrasodium EDTA with acid EDTA and an equivalent amount of NaOH.

To prepare one liter of SAOB use:

95.94 g NaOH 58.24 g EDTA 35.00 g ascorbic acid

First dissolve the NaOH in about 0.25 l of deionized water. After cooling this solution, slowly add the EDTA to it while stirring, taking care that lumps do not form. After this has dissolved completely, add and dissolve the ascorbic acid, and add deionized water to give a final volume of 1 liter.

Preparation of sodium sulfide stock solution. BEFORE ATTEMPTING THE FOLLOWING PROCEDURE, REVIEW THE SAFETY PRECAUTIONS IN SECTION 3.2.6. The stock solution of Na_2S contained about 0.2 M sulfide. It was prepared from reagent grade $Na_2S \cdot 9H_2O$ (Mallinckrodt). This material came out of the bottle in large lumps which were first broken with a hammer to pieces that would fit into a volumetric flask. These pieces were rinsed with deionized water to remove oxidation products and other superficial contaminants. (There was usually a white material on the surface of the lumps - probably elemental sulfur.)

A 2-liter volumetric flask was used as the deoxygenation vessel. Somewhat less than 2 1 of deionized water was deoxygenated, about 100 grams of the washed lumps of Na_2S were added to

it, and the total volume was made up to 2 l with additional deionized water. Nitrogen flow was restarted to finish deoxygenating the solution, and continued with stirring until the crystals dissolved completely. Finally, the resulting solution was transferred by nitrogen pressure to prepared 62-ml polypropylene bottles with screw caps. The bottles were squeezed and carefully closed so that no air would remain in them. These bottles were rinsed of spilled sulfide solution, dried and the tops wrapped with Parafilm. Unopened bottles of Na_2S stock solutions could be stored indefinitely with no measurable decline in sulfide concentration.

Once or twice a week a fresh bottle of this stock solution was opened. The exact concentration of sulfide in the stock solution was determined by titration with lead nitrate, using a sulfide electrode to determine the end point. After the bottle had been unsealed, the screw cap only was used to reclose it, and the sulfide in it slowly oxidized to polysulfides. Spot checks indicated that the rate of decline in sulfide concentration after the bottle had been unsealed was about 1% per day. Na $_2S$ solutions would be discarded when they turned yellow or after a week had elapsed since unsealing the bottle.

Preparation and storage of sodium sulfite stock solutions. Ordinarily, the sodium sulfite reagent solution of concentration equal to that of the Na_2S stock solution was prepared each day from a 1.0 M Na_2SO_3 stock solution which was made up each Monday. In experiments that might have been sensitive to the exact concentration of sulfite, the sulfite solution was prepared each morning from crystalline reagent grade sodium sulfite. These solutions were prepared using water that had not been deoxygenated, and kept in an open container while in use. In retrospect, they probably should have been treated as were the sodium sulfide solutions. However, our data did not appear to have been affected by oxidation of the sodium sulfite solutions.

Appendix 3.2. UV absorption spectra

Bisulfide ion has a pronounced absorption maximum at about 230nm in the near UV (Figure 3.17). Ellis and Golding (1959) measured the absorption of H_2S solutions at 230nm as a function of pH, and extracted values of the first acid dissociation constant from this data.

At 230nm, absorption by thiosulfate is severalfold weaker, while sulfate, sulfate and hydrogen peroxide hardly absorb at all. This suggests that UV absorption spectrophotometry may be an adequate analytic method when no other sulfur species are present. For example, this may be the case in the condensate line of a surface condenser-type Unit, before it joins the cooling water line.

When hydrogen peroxide is added to the condensate line for secondary abatement, H_2S abatement efficiency could be monitored by measuring the concentration of HS^- in the condensate just before it mixes with the cooling water. The emission rate determined this way would be somewhat high, because it would not allow for possible further oxidation in the cooling water line, etc. There would be some interference by thiosulfate. This could be corrected for by also measuring absorbance at 215nm where thiosulfate has maximum absorbance, and numerically correcting the measured concentration of HS^- .

The concentration of polysulfides, etc., in the condensate is unknown. If they are present, they may interfere strongly, making the technique impractical. This would have to be determined either experimentally or in the field.

Bisulfide probably could not be measured in the cooling water in this way, because there its concentration would be thirty-fold lower, and that of thiosulfate five-fold higher.

Once reactivity has been established, the SCW is practically opaque below 250nm (Fig. 3.18). This is why UV absorption spectrophotometry is practically useless for measurement of bisulfide when nickel is being used. The gradual increase of optical density with decreasing wavelength in the visible causes the SCW to appear yellow by transmitted light. The two peaks at about 340nm and 390nm are always present, but their intensity relative to each other and the background is highly variable. There appears to be some correlation between their size and the reactivity of the SCW; perhaps they are associated with the cocatalytic species.

The absorption spectrum of the SCW is associated with polysulfides and similar compounds. Polysulfides absorb strongly in the violet and ultraviolet (Giggenbach, 1972), and Wackenroder's











solution is bright yellow. The yellow color and strong UV absorption have been correlated with the rate of H_2S oxidation by others (Chen and Morris, 1972; Chen and Gupta, 1973; Hoffman, 1977).

Appendix 3.3. Computer code OXTAB

Computer code OXTAB calculates the rate and extent of H_2S oxidation, and outputs these results in tabular form. A listing of OXTAB and a sample input deck are presented in Table 3.3. It is written in CDC FORTRAN.

The subroutine KINOX performs the actual calculation, and may be used separately from the main program OXTAB. KINOX's input arguments TC, RT, CNI, and CSI are, respectively, the temperature in ${}^{\circ}C$, the reaction time, the total concentration of nickel in solution, and the total initial concentration of H_2S , including HS. Throughout, concentrations are molar, and time is in seconds. From these, KINOX calculates CSF, FRAC, RI, and RF. Respectively, these are: the sulfide concentration at time = RT, CSF/CSI, $d(H_2S + HS^{-})/dt$ at t = 0, and $d(H_2S + HS^{-})/dt$ at t = RT. Equation (3.1) and its integral form are used in these calculations.

OXTAB calls KINOX and generates tables of the input and output variables. Several tables may be generated per run. Within each table, one of the input variables TC, RT, CNI or CSI varies, while the other three are fixed.

The structure of OXTAB's input deck is:

Card 1:

NCARD (I5)

Card 2:

VI(1 through 7) (7F10.2)

Card 1 specifies the number of tables to be generated during this job, and each subsequent card specifies a particular table. Card 2 is repeated NCARD times. The first four elements of VI contain the values of TC, RT, CNI, and CSI, respectively, to be used in generating the given table, except that one of these fields is left blank or contains a zero. The blank field or zero corresponds to the input variable which is to be varied in generating the table. The initial and maximum values of this input variable are specified in VI(5) and VI(6), respectively. The value by which it is to be incremented between lines of output is specified by VI(7).

The sample input deck at the end of Table 3.3 will specify one table, for 35° C, 100μ M Ni, and 70μ M initial sulfide, with time varying from 10 to 60 seconds, in increments of 10 seconds.

If TC, RT, CNI and CSI are all specified, a single line of output calculated using these values will be generated. The remaining elements of VI need not be specified in this case. If several cards that specify single lines of output are grouped together, the resulting output will be combined in a single table. Mixing input cards that specify single lines with input cards that specify tables is not recommended.

The output from OXTAB is self explanatory.

Appendix 3.4. Analysis of variance in rate data

The different symbols in each of Figures 3.4 to 9 represent data from different experiments. Most of the point scatter is associated with variation among experiments, rather than among points from any given experiment. This indicates that variations in development of reactivity are responsible for most of the point scatter, rather than variations in the actual reactivity measurements; autocatalysis seems responsible for most of the point scatter. Variation in electrode properties or experimental procedure from day-to-day would have affected the data similarly, but close examination of laboratory records lent no support to this hypothesis.

The scatter and deviation (from the fitted curves) of data from a given experiment increases with increasing complexity of that experiment. For example, each reactivity test in Figure 3.7 was preceded by adjusting and measuring the temperature of the test aliquot. Each reactivity test in

Table 3.3

Listing of Program OXTAB

PROGRAM OXTAB(INPUT, OUTPUT) **DIMENSION VI(8)** READ 1, NCARD DO 2 N=1,NCARD READ 3. VI IV=0DO 4 KV = 1.4IF(IV.GT.0.AND.VI(KV).LE.0) GO TO 2 IF(VI(KV).LE.0.) IV = KV**4 CONTINUE** IF(N.EQ.1.OR.IV.GT.0) PRINT 5 IF(N.NE.1.AND.IV.EQ.0) PRINT 6 IF(IV.GT.0) GO TO 7 CALL KINOX(VI(1), VI(2), VI(3), VI(4), VI(5), VI(6), VI(7), VI(8)) PRINT 8, VI GO TO 2 **7 CONTINUE** DEL=VI(7)PR = VI(5) - DELPRF = VI(6)DO 9 K=1,60 IF(PR.GT.PRF) GO TO 2 PR = PR + DELVI(IV) = PRCALL KINOX(VI(1), VI(2), VI(3), VI(4), VI(5), VI(6), VI(7), VI(8)) PRINT 8, VI 9 CONTINUE 2 CONTINUE STOP 1 FORMAT(1615) 3 FORMAT(8F10.2) 5 FORMAT(1H1,10X,*TC*,7X,*RTIME*,9X,*CNI*,9X,*CSI*,9X,*CSF*,8X, 1 *FRAC*,6X,*I RATE*,6X,*F RATE*/) 6 FORMAT(1X/)8 FORMAT(1X,2F12.2,1P6E12.3) END SUBROUTINE KINOX(TC,RT,CNI,CSI,CSF,FRAC,RI,RF) COMMON /KIN/ CK1,CK2,AK1,AK2 F(C) = 1./(AK2*C) - ALOG(C)/AK1DF(C) = -1./(AK2*C*C)-1./(AK1*C)RATE(C) = -AK2*C*C/(1. +AK2/AK1*C)*SRNIDATA R,CK1,CK2,H/1.98726,24.55,2.944E6,2436./ DATA CP, TCP, CNP, SRNI, DEL /1E-5, -300., 1E-4, 1E-2, 5E-4/ IF(CNI.NE.CNP) SRNI=SQRT(CNI)

CNP = CNIIF(TC.EQ.TCP) GO TO 4 TCP=TC TK=TC+273.15 $TCF = -H/R^{*}(1./TK - 1./308.15)$ TCF = EXP(TCF)AK1=CK1*TCF AK2=CK2*TCF **4 CONTINUE** RI=RATE(CSI) IF(RT) 1,2,3 1 RETURN 2 CSF = CSIRF = RIFRAC=1. RETURN 3 CONTINUE CSF = CPA = F(CSI) + SRNI RTDO 5 K=1,50 RAT = (F(CSF)-A)/DF(CSF)CN=CSF-RAT IF(CN.LE.0.) CN=0.5*CSF CSF = CNIF(ABS(RAT)/CSI.LT.DEL) GO TO 6 **5 CONTINUE 6 CONTINUE** CP=CSF FRAC=CSF/CSI RF = RATE(CSF)RETURN **END**

Sample input deck:

0.

0.0001

1 35.

0.00007 10. 60. 10.

Figure 3.9 was preceded by changing the oxygen concentration in the test aliquot (by bubbling gas through it), and then measuring it. These drastic manipulations caused the point scatter in these Figures to be relatively large. Increasing nickel concentration greatly reduced point scatter (Figure 3.4) by making the competing effects of degasing and catalysis by stray impurities relatively less important.

To allow comparison of expressions (3.1) and (3.2), the data were reanalyzed by setting $k_2/k_1 = 3x \ 10^6/25 = 1.2x \ 10^5$ in Eqn. (3.1), and calculating a new value of k_1 for each data point. The average of these k_1 values was 25.4, and the standard deviation 3.7 (STD/mean = 0.22).

Fitting the data using (3.2) gave an estimate of mean $k_1 = 17.7$ and STD = 3.0 (STD/mean = 0.28). Each data point yields an estimate of k_1 in this case, and these are averaged. Thus, using (3.1) improves quality of the fit as measured by the value of STD/mean only slightly over that obtained with (3.2). The choice of (3.1) was ultimately adhered to because it gives a better fit in a qualitative sense (Section 3.4.1).

The 158 data points presented in Figures 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 are an internally consistent subset of the 230 data points collected in the corresponding series of experiments. Data from four of the fifteen experiments in this series were grossly inconsistent with data from the other eleven, and among themselves. The apparently bad data from these four experiments was neither fitted nor included in the Figures. Fourteen of the data points from the "good" experiments were perturbed in an obvious way by spoilage (accidental oxidation) of the sodium sulfide solution used in reactivity measurements. These points also were deleted.

Values of mean k_1 and STD were calculated for each of the fifteen data sets with k_2/k_1 fixed as described above. Among the eleven data sets included in the final analysis, STD/mean ranged from 0.11 to 0.21. Among the four data sets dropped from the analysis, STD/mean ranged from 0.33 to 0.41. Three of the four mean k_1 values calculated for these data sets deviate by a large amount from the other eleven. Also, when plotted, these four data sets looked "funny". All this confirmed the decision to drop these four data sets from the analysis of kinetic data.

CHAPTER 4

CATALYSIS BY IRON, COBALT, AND OTHER TRANSITION METALS

4.1. Forward

Unchelated nickel was chosen as the most promising catalyst after various transition metal complexes had been screened. This screening work, described here, was completed before the intensive study of the nickel catalyzed reaction began (Chapter 3).

Because of autocatalysis, a "once through", flowing kinetic apparatus is unsuited for studying the nickel catalyzed reaction. Before the role of autocatalysis was appreciated, such an apparatus had been developed and applied to studying the oxidation reaction catalyzed by iron compounds. It is adequate for this purpose, because autocatalysis is much less pronounced with iron.

Cobalt also is a potent catalyst. Although cobalt is not a serious practical contender for geothermal applications because of cost, the kinetics of the cobalt catalyzed reaction were studied briefly, using the same methods as with nickel.

4.2. Experimental methods

In "continuous recharge experiments" reactivity, turbidity and reaction product distribution were determined as with nickel.

4.2.1. Method for screening catalysts

The experimental method used in the early screening work was the precursor of the continuous recharge method described in Section 3.2.2.

Tenth-molar catalyst stock solutions were prepared. The sodium sulfide stock solution was about 0.2 M, and was always standardized before use. One-quarter liter of synthetic cooling water was prepared in an Erlenmeyer flask and preheated to 45° C in a thermostatted water bath. In this work, the SCW contained 1.70 mM NH₃ plus NH₄⁺, 0.786 mM HCO₃⁻, 14.813 mM B (OH)₃ plus H₂BO₃⁻, 1.70 mM Cl⁻, and 1.572 mM Na⁺. Its pH was between 7.8 and 8.0.

At the beginning of the experiment there was no catalyst in the SCW. With stirring, Na_2S stock solution was added to the SCW to give 62μ M total sulfide (typically, about 80μ l of stock solution). A 25-ml aliquot of SCW was withdrawn by pipette and mixed with 25 ml of SAOB, and the concentration of sulfide was measured with a sulfide electrode. This procedure verified proper sulfide stock concentration and electrode calibration.

To the remaining 225-ml of SCW, catalyst stock solution was added to give the catalyst concentration desired, typically between 10 and 100 μ M. The SCW was aerated for about 10 sec, and allowed to stand for about 3 min. With stirring, sulfide stock solution was again added to give 62 μ M sulfide, allowing for the now smaller volume of SCW. A 25 ml aliquot was withdrawn by pipette. One minute after addition of sulfide, 25 ml of SAOB was added to this aliquot of SCW, and the sulfide content measured. The pH of the remaining SCW was measured. This cycle was repeated six times, with decreasing amounts of Na ₂S stock solution to compensate for the decreasing volume of SCW.

In some experiments, aliquots of Na_2SO_3 solution were added to the SCW in alternation with aliquots of Na_2S . These experiments demonstrated that a nickel bearing solution could be "clarified", and that good reactivity without accumulation of colloidal sulfur could be achieved only within a certain range of Na_2SO_3 to Na_2S mole ratio. At that point, the method described in Section 3.2.2 for substituted for the one described here.

4.2.2. Continuous flow kinetic system

This system is diagrammed in Figure 4.1. Solutions of Na_2S and ferrous compounds are prepared and kept anoxic. They are kept in intraveneous solution bags which exclude oxygen and collapse without resistance as fluid is withdrawn. This keeps air from being drawn into them and

prevents significant changes in pump inlet pressure. The four reagent streams are driven by separate cassettes of a multi-channel peristaltic pump (Manostat). Their relative flow rates are determined by the diameters of the corresponding pump tubes. The relative flow rates of SCW:SAOB: Na_2S : catalyst typically were about 1:1:0.08:0.025.



Fig. 4.1. Continuous flow kinetic system. a. peristaltic pump. b. stock solution of catalyst (anoxic). c. aerated "synthetic cooling water" without catalyst. d. stock solution of sodium sulfide. e. sulfide anti-oxidant buffer. f. preheating coil for SCW. g. "stirring blocks" (Fig. 4.10). h. delay coil for catalyst oxidation. i. delay coil for sulfide oxidation. j. three-way valve. k. reference electrode. l. silver sulfide electrode. m. potentiometer. n. printer. o. waste receptacle. p. pH electrode.

The flowing solutions are mixed in plastic "mixing blocks" (Figure 4.10). Each block contains a miniature magnetic stirring pellet, and rests upon a submersible, air driven magnetic stirring pad. (Unstirred "T-blocks" were found to give inadequate mixing due to laminar flow through them.) The SCW stream is preheated in a coil of thin-walled, 1mm I.D. Teflon tubing. All mixing blocks and coils are immersed in the water bath.

The catalyst solution is added to the aerated SCW in the first mixing block. The first delay coil (1mm I.D. Teflon) provides time for reaction of the catalyst with dissolved oxygen. With chelated iron(II), this allows the effect of "catalyst oxidation time" to be studied. It was found that changing this time interval had no effect, and that the initial oxidation state of complexed iron did not influence its catalytic potency. After this was realized, the catalyst was put into the SCW, and the first mixing block and delay coil were eliminated.

Sodium sulfide stock solution was added to the SCW in the second mixing block, typically introducing 62μ M sulfide. The length of the second delay coil determined the time available for the oxidation reaction. Either reaction time could be varied by substituting a delay coil of different length. The oxidation reaction was quenched in the third mixing block by adding an equal volume of SAOB to the SCW. Then the mixture flowed past the reference and Ag_2S electrodes, which were mounted in suitable "electrode blocks". The pH was measured by temporarily switching the flow of SCW to a pH electrode.

The peristaltic pump is the major source of trouble in this system. The tubes gradually distort or even collapse, causing the output of the pump channels to vary. This forced tedious daily calibration and frequent tube replacement. Numerous experimental runs were ruined by a sudden change in pumping rate in one or another channel. This problem could be eliminated by substituting a precision multichannel syringe pump for the peristaltic pump. Aside from this problem, the system is basically a good one. The intrinsic modularity of the various blocks and coils allows them easily to be rearranged to modify the experiment. The time resolution of the system could be increased by using miniature electrodes instead of standard sized (1-cm diameter).

Details of construction, solution formulation, and calibration are reviewed in Appendix 4.1.

4.3. Screening of potential catalysts

Using the method described in Section 4.2.1, most of the first row transition metals were screened for catalytic activity. The results of these tests are summarized in Table 4.1. Catalytic

μM Catalyst	Calib. check	Residual H_2S after given cycle (μM)				pН		
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
50 Ni +2	62	25	2	1	1	1 -	1	
20 Ni ⁺²	53	52	3	3	4			
10 Ni +2	62	.24	5	6	6	6	6	
20 <i>Co</i> ⁺²	68	65	24	16	20			7.93
124 Fe Cit.	50	16	36	34	35			7.81
100 Cr +3	31	27	38	40	45			7.93
100 Cu ⁺²	64	2	19	40	49			7.93
10 Ni EDTA	51	47	48	66				7.90
100 Mn ⁺²	59	49	67	77				7.92
100 Cr Cit.	52	33	64	81				7.98
10 Ni Cit.	60	56	92	101				7.94
100 <i>VO</i> 3	55	52	84	106				,
$100 MoO_{4}^{=}$	54	48	96	129				`
$100 \ Zn^{+2}$	53	48	104	135				

Table 4.1. Screening of potential catalysts.

activity is indicated if the residual sulfide concentration stabilizes after a few cycles. The lower this limiting concentration value, the stronger the catalyst. Lack of catalytic activity is indicated by a continuing increase in residual sulfide concentration, which indicates the accumulation of unreacted H_2S from cycle to cycle. Even in the worst case (zinc), the increase from cycle to cycle is much less than 62μ M, because H_2S is lost to the atmosphere. Precipitation of metal sulfides may also reduce the sulfide concentration.

The inferred order of catalytic activity is:

$$Ni^{+2} > Co^{+2} > FeCitrate > Cr^{+3}, Cu^{+2}$$

The other species tested are weakly catalytic or not at all. The nickel data clearly show autocatalysis, and autocatalysis is suggested with cobalt as well. In tests with nickel the SCW was yellow after the first cycle, and became turbid with further cycles.

Hydroquinone at a concentration of 2mM is also a potent catalyst, inducing an autocatalytic reaction (not shown). The solution turns very dark as reactivity develops. Hydroquinone is known readily to form relatively stable free radicals. Probably, these react with oxygen and H_2S as does the cocatalytic species inferred in the case of nickel, and polysulfido- chains grow out from them. As these radicals accumulate, they give the solution its dark color.

4.4. Wackenroder's reaction

Hydrogen sulfide, in which sulfur has the valence -2, and sulfur dioxide, in which the valence is +4, react to produce a mixture of compounds of intermediate valence state. For example, if the ratio of SO_2 to H_2S is 2, the main product will be thiosulfate, in which the formal valence of sulfur is +2. At lower ratios of SO_2 to H_2S , there will also be formed polysulfides, colloidal sulfur, and higher polythionates (above tetra-), in all of which the average formal valence is < 2. This reaction is called Wackenroder's reaction, its product is called Wackenroder's solution, and it is used industrially in the Claus process.





Wackenroder's reaction itself destroys H_2S in competition with oxidation. When working with weak catalysts (e.g. iron compounds), it is conceivable that Wackenroder's reaction will make a significant contribution to the overall rate of disappearance of H_2S , thereby confusing interpretation of the effect of the catalyst.

To test this hypothesis an experiment was run with no catalyst at all. The concentrations of reactants and products vs. time are presented in Figure 4.2. The solution was clear yellow, indicating the presence of polysulfides and/or polythionates, and the absence of colloidal sulfur. Under these conditions the extent of reaction is limited by the amount of sulfite introduced. Most of the sulfite is converted to thiosulfate by reaction with part of the H_2S , part of the unreacted H_2S accumulates in solution, while the rest is lost to the atmosphere. The trithionate probably was formed by reaction of sulfite with thiosulfate. Some sulfate probably was formed by oxidation of SO_3^- , but it was not determined.

Clearly, this reaction's ability to destroy H_2S under these conditions (SO₂: $H_2S < 1$) is too small to mimic rapid oxidation, and too small for it to serve as a means of H_2S emission abatement by itself.

4.5. Catalysis by iron compounds

Several iron complexes were tested for catalytic activity using various experimental methods. The three most active complexes were found to be:

Fe Citrate, Fe HEDTA * > Fe EDTA

Uncomplexed iron(II), iron hydroxyacetate, iron oxalate, and iron DTPA^{*} showed weak catalytic activity. These four species were not studied further, because iron hydroxide precipitated from SCW that contained them. This may be why they were weaker catalysts than the other three; the better chelating agents keep the iron complexed and in solution.

Figure 4.3 compares the rates of reaction catalyzed by iron citrate and iron EDTA. Iron citrate is the stronger catalyst, and the initial oxidation state of iron makes no difference.

The catalytic action of iron complexes may include cycling between the two oxidation states; for example, oxygen reacts with iron(II) to give iron(III), and then iron(III) reacts with HS^- to give HS^- and iron(II). If so, the rate of the reaction of iron(II) with oxygen may affect the overall rate of H_2S^- oxidation. This was tested by varying the amount of time allowed for reaction of the catalyst, initially in the ferrous state, with oxygen before adding Na_2S^- (Figure 4.4). Varying the "catalyst oxidation time" has no effect, and this argues against such a cycle.

Precipitation of iron(III) hydroxide was more commonly observed with complexes of iron(II) than with iron(III). Consequently, work with iron(II) complexes was terminated once it was established that initial oxidation state makes no difference. Apparently, the iron (II) complexes are weak enough for part of the iron(II) to be unchelated. This unchelated iron(II) oxidizes to unchelated iron(III), which precipitates as iron(III) hydroxide before it can react with the free chelating agent. Apparently, iron(III) complexes are stable enough to prevent the precipitation of iron(III) hydroxide.

The data in Figure 4.5 were obtained using the methods described in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.3. There is little evidence for autocatalysis, and the catalytic activities of iron citrate and iron HEDTA appear equal. The reactivity data in Figure 4.5 is consistent with the citrate data in Figure 4.3. This supports the validity of the two experimental methods, and argues against a significant role for autocatalysis, since there is no opportunity for it to develop in the continuous flow experiments. The difference in turbidity may have been caused by the precipitation of iron hydroxide from the SCW containing Fe HEDTA

^{*}HEDTA = N-hydroxyethylenediaminetriacetic acid, EDTA = ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, DTPA = diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid ("Siamese twins" of EDTA).

[&]quot;This was accidental. In this experiment, the catalyst recharge was in the sulfuric acid solution, as had been the practice with unchelated nickel. In the acid solution, the iron(III) was not chelated, and thus available for precipitation when it entered the SCW. In the experiment with citrate, the catalyst was added as a neutral solution of it alone, through a separate pump channel.



Fig. 4.3. Reaction catalyzed by iron complexes; comparison of catalysts, effect of catalyst's oxidation state. Data generated with continuous flow system.



Fig. 4.4. Reaction catalyzed by iron complexes; effect of catalyst oxidation time.





REACTION PRODUCTS



Fig. 4.6. Reaction product distribution; 100μ M iron(III) citrate, no sulfite, pH = 7.9.

In general, the repeatibility of reactivity and turbidity data from experiments with iron complexes was less than with nickel. Probably, this was because the loss of H_2S to the atmosphere was relatively more significant with the more weakly catalytic iron complexes. Good kinetic data could be obtained with the continuous flow kinetic system, because it is closed and does not lose H_2S to the atmosphere, and because autocatalysis is not a significant factor with iron.

Figure 4.6 illustrates the distribution of reaction products with iron citrate and no sulfite added. Thiosulfate is the major reaction product, and little colloidal sulfur is produced (although the SCW is turbid).

These limited data do not allow a detailed reaction mechanism to be inferred.





4.6. Catalysis by cobalt

Uncomplexed cobalt is nearly as potent a catalyst for H_2S oxidation as uncomplexed nickel, while cobalt citrate is more potent still (Figure 4.7; compare with Figure 3.4). However, the variation of residual fraction with initial H_2S concentration is different. That the apparent kinetic order in H_2S is < 1 suggests that the catalytic effect of cobalt can be saturated.

The reaction catalyzed by cobalt is very strongly autocatalytic (Figure 4.8), and the SCW has a very dark, reddish-black color. Because the SCW is nearly opaque, turbidity cannot meaningfully be measured, and the color of the SCW interferes with colorimetric determination of colloidal sulfur, thiosulfate, and trithionate. Thiosulfate is the main reaction product (not shown).

Adding a modest amount of magnesium chloride to the SCW causes a dark precipitate to form, leaving a clear yellow supernatant. This destroys the reactivity of the solution. Thus, both the color and reactivity of the SCW are associated with colloidal particles suspended in it. These probably consist of amorphous cobalt oxysulfide, and the oxidation reaction probably is catalyzed by their surface. This would explain catalyst saturation phenomena. The beneficial effect of citrate ion has not been explained.






Fig. 4.9. Reaction catalyzed by cobalt; effect of oxygen concentration.

As with nickel, the concentration of dissolved oxygen does not affect reactivity (Figure 4.9), nor does the pH (not shown). Overall, this data suggests that with cobalt the radical initiation step takes place on the surface of colloidal particles, while the rest of the reaction mechanism is similar to that with nickel.

Appendix 4.1. Continuous flow kinetic system (cont.)

Typical solution compositions used with the flowing kinetic system are presented in Table 4.2.

Small changes in tube configuration in the cassette, combined with the gradual fatigue of the plastic caused the pump ratios to vary from day to day. Because of this, the system needed to be recalibrated daily. A solution of methyl orange with an optical density of 1.200 at 460 nm was fed successively into each pump channel, while the others pumped water. The actual pumping ratios were determined by measuring the optical densities of the resulting mixtures. To reduce pump tube deformation (flattening), the cassettes were unclamped at the end of each day and re-clamped the following morning.

The mixing and electrode blocks were machined from Lucite, and the machined surfaces polished to make them transparent. The inlet and outlet ports of the blocks were plastic screws fitted into threaded holes in the Lucite. The screws had holes drilled through them, into which thinwalled 1mm I.D. Teflon tubing fit snugly.

Figure 4.10 is a scale drawing of a mixing block. The magnetic stirring pellet was designed for use inside spectrophotometric cuvettes. It was a slotted, Teflon coated cylinder 9mm diameter x 6mm tall. The cavity in the mixing block was closed off above the pellet with a machined Teflon plug.

The electrode block used with the Ag_2S and reference electrodes is diagrammed in Figure 4.11. The pH electrode block was similar, except that its cavity had a conical bottom to provide room for the electrode's glass bulb (not shown). The anulus around the electrode barrel was tightly sealed with two O-rings. A similar but larger block was made for the dissolved oxygen probe (not shown).

Table 4.2. Solutions used with flowing kinetic system .						
Stream	Frac.	B (OH) ₃	<i>CO</i> ₂	NH ₃	H_2S	Catal.
SCW	.909	16.29	0.86	1.88	•	
Catal.	.0224					2.23
Na ₂ S	.0686	ж. ^с			0.904	
Reaction	1.000	14.81	0.79	1.71	0.062	0.05

^{*}All concentrations in mM. Concentration given for CO_2 includes HCO_3^- and CO_3^- , and likewise for other acids and bases.

The electrodes were calibrated each day after the pumping ratios had been determined. A special solution of SAOB with a small, known concentration of Na_2S was prepared. Deaerated water was pumped through the other three channels. Knowing the pumping ratios, it was possible to relate the concentration of sulfide in the SAOB to a virtual concentration of sulfide in the reaction stream (i.e., SCW+catalyst+ Na_2S), which would give the same concentration in the electrode chamber and, thereby, the same electrode response. In practice, the amount of sulfide added was calculated so that the virtual sulfide concentration in the reaction stream would be $10^{1/2} \times 62 \ \mu M$ or $196 \ \mu M$. A second calibration standard was prepared by diluting part of this solution ten-fold with additional SAOB. Flowing these two standards through the SAOB channel and recording the corresponding electrode responses allowed the electrode calibration equation to be determined.

Using the measured pumping ratios, the Na $_2S$ and catalyst solutions were prepared to give the concentrations of sulfide and catalyst desired in the reaction stream.

Anoxic solutions of Na_2S , iron(II) compounds, and SAOB were prepared as described in Appendix 3.1. The oxygen content of solutions prepared in this way was sometimes measured using a dissolved oxygen probe fitted with a suitable, flow-through electrode block. Dissolved oxygen concentrations less than 0.2 ppm (the sensitivity of the probe) could routinely be achieved. Tests with deaerated water in all channels showed about 1ppm oxygen in the water leaving the system, probably due to diffusion of oxygen through the thin walls of the Teflon tubes that were used as connectors and delay coils.

Solutions of iron(II) complexes were prepared from Mohr's salt $((NH_4)_2SO_4 FeSO_4 6H_2O)$, which is a good source of iron(II), uncontaminated with iron(III). The other catalyst solutions did not require oxygen free preparation.

MIXING BLOCK



Fig. 4.10. "Mixing block" in continuous flow system; machined from Lucite and polished. a,a'. solutions to be mixed enter block. b. mixture exits block. c. solution tubes are 1mm I.D. thin-walled Teflon. d. drilled plastic screw. e. miniature Teflon-coated magnetic stirring pellet. f. plug machined from Teflon.



Fig. 4.11. "Electrode blocks" in continuous flow system; machined from Lucite and polished. a. mixed SCW and SAOB enter. b. solution exits block. c. 1mm I.D. thin-walled Teflon tube. d. drilled plastic screw. e. electrode. f. O-rings. Blocks for reference and silver sulfide electrodes are as shown. Cavity of pH electrode block has conical bottom to accomodate electrode bulb.

· ·

CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The rate of the nickel catalyzed reaction of H_2S with oxygen has been determined over a range of conditions, and an empirical rate expression that fits the data well has been derived. This rate expression allows meaningful extrapolation to other conditions, including those expected in the field. The reaction products also have been determined and explained well enough to allow estimates to be made for other conditions.

Because iron complexes are much weaker catalysts than nickel or cobalt, the iron catalyzed reaction could not properly be studied using our methods. However, we found little evidence that the iron catalyzed reaction is fundamentally different from that catalyzed by nickel. Aside from much lower catalytic activity, the major difference observed was that less colloidal sulfur formed with iron (compare Figures 4.5 and 6 to Figures 3.1 and 3.10). In the absence of sulfite, formation of thiosulfate and sulfate probably involves oxidation of colloidal sulfur initially formed. The accumulation of colloidal sulfur will be determined by the balance between the reactions that produce and remove it. Because the oxidation of H_2S (which produces colloidal sulfur) is much slower with iron than with nickel, less accumulation of colloidal sulfur is to be expected.

In the field, the oxidation reaction takes place in the anoxic part of the cooling water loop, and formation of colloidal sulfur probably is favored with iron, too. In fact, operation of Geysers Unit 11 with iron HEDTA but without SO_2 caused extensive deposition of sulfur scale in the water distribution trays, as had happened with nickel in Unit 2 (PG&E-DER, private communication). Overall, we believe that there is little practical difference between iron HEDTA and nickel in this application, and that what is learned about one system can, in large measure, be applied to the other.

In contact condenser equipped Units at The Geysers, the H_2S in the condenser vent-gas will be converted to SO_2 by a burner-scrubber or equivalent device, and this SO_2 added to the cooling water. Enough caustic soda will be added to the cooling water to maintain pH at about 7.0. At this pH value, about half the H_2S will go with the vent-gas and be converted to SO_2 , while the other half dissolves in the cooling water. This portion will need to be eliminated by catalyzed air oxidation in the cooling water.

Field conditions differ from our experiments in several respects:

- (1) Typically, about 90 seconds is available for reaction between condenser and cooling tower, not the 15 seconds used in our work.
- (2) The cooling water temperature between condenser and cooling tower typically is 48°C, rather than the 35°C used in our reactivity determinations.
- (3) With contact condensers, the cooling water is anoxic between condenser and cooling tower.

Using OXTAB, we estimate that in 90 seconds at 48° C, 10μ M nickel in the cooling water (0.6 ppm) will eliminate 98% of the H_2S dissolved in it. This amounts to about 99% abatement overall. That the cooling water is anoxic between condenser and cooling tower should not affect this conclusion, as the rate of reaction is independent of oxygen concentration (Section 3.4).

That the cooling water is anoxic between condenser and cooling tower will affect the distribution of reaction products. Most of the sulfate produced in our experiments comes from oxidation of sulfite (Section 3.6). This parasitic oxidation reaction is favored, because the SCW is fully aerated at all times. By consuming sulfite, it increases the sulfite:sulfide ratio needed to prevent accumulation of colloidal sulfur. In the field, the SO₂ probably will be added to the cooling water in the anoxic part of the system, where it is needed to prevent the formation of colloidal sulfur. There it will have the opportunity to react with the sulfur produced by oxidation of H_2S before being oxidized to sulfate itself.

The reaction products formed determine the amount of alkali that must be added (if any) to maintain an acceptable cooling water pH. The less sulfate produced, the less alkali needed. Reaction of bisulfite with thiosulfate to produce trithionate also will help decrease the production of

sulfate, and the need for alkali.

Test work at Geysers Unit 1, using iron HEDTA, has shown that acceptable cooling water pH can sometimes be maintained without addition of caustic soda (Yancey, 1981). This suggests that thiosulfate and trithionate are, in fact, the major reaction products, with little or no sulfate produced.

If 0.6 ppm Ni is indeed sufficient and little caustic soda need be added, the chemical cost of nickel/SO $_2$ process will be negligible. That the process using iron HEDTA works very well suggests that the process utilizing nickel also will work, probably with much lower chemical costs.

As far as could be determined, there is no difference at all between iron HEDTA and iron citrate. Because iron citrate would be cheaper to use than iron HEDTA, iron citrate is to be pre-ferred.

The reaction catalyzed by cobalt appears to involve reaction on the surface of colloidal particles, probably composed of cobalt oxysulfide. For this reason as well as cost, cobalt is unsuited for use in power plant cooling water. However, the catalytic particles are easily removed by flocculation, and this suggests use of cobalt in cases where the catalyst can and must be recovered from the treated water.

REFERENCES

Abel, E., 1956. Autooxidation in the sulfur group. Monatsh. Chem., 87:4, 498-502. (In German.)

- Allen, G.W., and Semprini, L., 1975. Measurement of hydrogen sulfide emissions abatement at Unit 11, The Geysers, using iron catalyst, December 3, 1975. Report 7485.29-75, Pacific Gas and Electric Co., Dept. of Engineering Research. San Ramon, CA, December 23, 1975.
- Almgren, T., and Hagström, I., 1974. The oxidation rate of sulphide in sea water. Water Research, 8, 395-400.
- Avrahami, M., and Golding, R.M., 1968. The oxidation of the sulphide ion at very low concentrations in aqueous solution. J. Chem. Soc. (A), 647-651.
- Awtrey, A.D., and Connick, R.E., 1951. The rate law and mechanism of the reaction of iodine with thiosulfate ion: the formation of the intermediate S 20 31⁻⁻. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 73, 1341-1348.
- Bäckström, H.L.J., 1934. The chain mechanism of autooxydation of sodium sulfite solutions. Z. Phys. Chem., B25:1, 122-138.
- Baldea, I., and Niac, G., 1970. The reaction between chromate and thiosulfate. II. The kinetics of tetrathionate formation. Inorg. Chem., 9:1, 110-114.
- Barron, C.H., and O'Hern, H.A., 1966. Reaction kinetics of sodium sulfite oxidation by the rapidmixing method. Chem. Engin. Sci., 21, 397-404.
- Bartlett, J.K., and Skoog, D.A., 1954. Colorimetric determination of elemental sulfur in hydrocarbons. Anal. Chem., 26:6, 1008-1011.
- Battaglia, C.J., and Miller, W.J., 1968. The kinetics and mechanism of the reaction of thiosulfate with bisulfite in aqueous solution. Phot. Sci. Eng., 12:1, 46-52.
- Bengtsson, S., and Bjerle, I., 1975. Catalytic oxidation of sulphite in diluted aqueous solutions. Chem. Engin. Sci., 30, 1429-1435.
- Benson, S.W., 1978. Thermochemistry and kinetics of sulfur-containing molecules and radicals. Chem. Rev., 78.1, 23-35.
- Blasius, E., Horn, G., Knöchel, A., Münch, J., and Wagner, H., 1968. Analytical chemistry of sulphur compounds. Chapter 6 in Nickless (1968), pp. 199-240.
- Boulegue, J., 1976. Equilibria in the system H_2S -colloidal S_8 - H_2O . Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris (D), 283, 591-594. (In French.)
- Boulegue, J., 1978. Electrochemistry of reduced sulfur species in natural waters I. The H_2S H_2O system. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 42, 1439-1445.
- Boulegue, J., and Michard, G., 1979. Sulfur speciation and redox processes in reducing environments. Pp. 25-50 in E.A. Jenne, Ed., Chemical modeling in aqueous systems, speciation, sorption, solubility, and kinetics. ACS Symposium Series 93. Amer. Chem. Soc.

PG&E reports are internal documents, generally not distributed outside the Company. They are cited here for the convenience of PG&E staff only.

(Washington, D.C.).

Burton, K.W.C., and Machmer, P., 1968. Sulphanes. Chapter 10 in Nickless (1968), pp. 335-366.

- Byerley, J.J., Fouda, S.A., and Rempel, G.L., 1973. The oxidation of thiosulfate in aqueous ammonia by copper(II) oxygen complexes. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 9, 879-883.
- Byerley, J.J., Fouda, S.A., and Rempel, G.L., 1973a. Kinetics and mechanism of the oxidation of thiosulphate ions by copper(II) ions in aqueous ammonia solution. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, 889-893.
- Byerley, J.J., Fouda, S.A., and Rempel, G.L., 1975. Activation of copper(II) ammine complexes by molecular oxygen for the oxidation of thiosulphate ions. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, 1329-1338.
- Chanda, M., O'Driscoll, K.F., and Rempel, G.L., 1979. Polymer supported metal complexes as catalysts for oxidation of thiosalts by molecular oxygen II. Copper(II) complex of polystyrene-bound 2,2'-bipyridine. Preprint, Can. Symp. Catal., 6, 44-51.
- Chen, K.Y., and Gupta, S.K., 1973. Formation of polysulfides in aqueous solution. Envir. Lett., 4:3, 187-200.
- Chen, K.Y., and Morris, J.C., 1972. Kinetics of oxidation of aqueous sulfide by O₂. Envir. Sci. Tech., 6:6, 529-537.
- Chen, K.Y., and Morris, J.C., 1972a. Oxidation of sulfide by O₂: catalysis and inhibition. J. Sanit. Eng. Div., Proc. Am. Soc. Civil. Engin., pp. 215-227.
- Cline, J.D., and Richards, F.A., 1969. Oxygenation of hydrogen sulfide in seawater at constant salinity, temperature, and pH. Envir. Sci. Tech., 3:9, 838-843.
- Cotton, F.A., and Wilkinson, G., 1966. Advanced inorganic chemistry: a comprehensive text. 2nd ed. Wiley-Interscience (New York).
- Davis, R.E., 1958. Displacement reactions at the sulfur atom. I. An interpretation of the decomposition of acidified thiosulfate. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 80, 3565-3569.
- Davis, R.E., 1964. Nucleophilic displacement reactions at the sulfur-sulfur bond. Survey of progress in chemistry, A.F. Scott, ed., Vol. 2, pp. 189-238. Academic Press (New York).
- Davis, R.E., 1968. Mechanisms of sulfur reactions. Chapter 4 in Nickless (1968), pp. 85-136.
- Ellis, A.J., and Golding, R.M., 1959. Spectrophotometric determination of the acid dissociation constants of hydrogen sulphide. J. Chem. Soc., 25, 127-130.
- Fatora, D.A., 1976. Wash water recycling by catalytic oxidation of thiosulfates and sulfites. J. Appl. Photogr. Engin., 2:4, 227-228.
- Freiberg, J., 1975. The mechanism of iron catalyzed oxidation of SO₂ in oxygenated solutions. Atmos. Envir., 9, 661-672.
- Fuller, E.C., and Crist, R.H., 1941. The rate of oxidation of sulfite ions by oxygen. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 63, 1644-1650.

Giggenbach, W., 1972. Optical spectra and equilibrium distribution of polysulfide ions in aqueous

solution at 20^oC. Inorg. Chem., 11:6, 1201-1207.

- Haff, L.V., 1970. Oxygen-containing inorganic sulfur compounds. Chapter 4 in Karchmer (1970), pp. 183-199.
- Hayon, E., Treinin, A., and Wilf, J., 1972. Electronic spectra, photochemistry, and autooxidation mechanism in the sulfite-bisulfite-pyrosulfite systems. The SO₂⁻, SO₃⁻, SO₄⁻, and SO₅⁻ radicals. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94:1, 47-57.
- Henderson, J.M., Ku, W.P.C., and Thoma, A.L., 1980. Material balance: Unit 15, The Geysers Power Plant. Report 411-80.12. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Department of Engineering Research, San Ramon, CA. May 15, 1980.
- Henderson, J.M., and Dorighi, G.P., 1981. The low solids H₂S abatement technology Fe-HEDTA long-term test at The Geysers Power Plant Unit 9. Report 420-81.243. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Department of Engineering Research, San Ramon, CA. October 1, 1981.
- Hoffmann, M.R., 1977. Kinetics and mechanism of oxidation of hydrogen sulfide by hydrogen peroxide in acidic solution. Envir. Sci. Tech., 11:1, 61-66.
- Hoffman, M.R., and Edwards, J.O., 1975. Kinetics of oxidation of sulfite by hydrogen peroxide in acidic solution. J. Phys. Chem., 79:20, 2096-2098.
- Hoffmann, M.R., and Lim, B.C., 1979. Kinetics and mechanism of oxidation of sulfide by oxygen: catalysis by homogeneous metal-phthalocyanine complexes. Envir. Sci. Tech., 13:11, 1406-1414.
- Janickis, J., 1969. Some aspects of the chemistry of polythionates and selenopolythionates. Acc. Chem. Res., 2:10, 316-320.
- Karchmer, J.H., (ed.), 1970. The analytical chemistry of sulfur and its compounds. Part I. Vol. 29 in Chemical analysis: A series of monographs on analytical chemistry and its applications. Wiley-Interscience (New York).
- Kelly, D.P., Chambers, L.A., and Trudinger, P.A., 1969. Cyanolysis and spectrophotometric estimation of trithionate in mixture with thiosulfate and tetrathionate. Anal. Chem., 41:7, 898-901.
- Koganovskii, A.M., and Taran, P.N., 1955. The oxidation of aqueous sulfite solutions by aeration. Ukr. Khim. Zhur., 21:4, 472-479. (In Russian.)
- Kurtenacker, A., 1924. Application of the aldehyde-bisulfite reaction in quantitative analysis. Z. Anal. Chem., 64, 56-61.
- LaMer, V.K., and Kenyon, A.S., 1947. Kinetics of the formation of monodispersed sulfur sols from thiosulfate and acid. J. Colloid Sci., 2, 257-264.

چ ۲

- Latimer, W.M., 1952. The oxidation states of the elements and their potentials in aqueous solutions. Prentice-Hall (New York).
- Levenson, G.I.P., 1960. The stability of concentrated ammonium thiosulfate solutions at high temperature. Photog. Sci. Engin., 4:2, 110-112.

Levenson, G.I.P., and Rumens, M.G., 1965. The stability of concentrated thiosulphate solutions at

high temperature. Part II. The loss of the sulphite. J. Photog. Sci., 13, 79-81.

- Litvinenko, V.A., 1966. Catalytic effect of titanium(IV) upon the oxidation of thiosulfate by hydrogen peroxide. Ukr. Khim. Zhur., 32:12, 1338-1342. (In Russian.)
- Litvinenko, V.A., 1969. Catalytic effect of zirconium(IV) upon the oxidation of thiosulfate by hydrogen peroxide. Ukr. Khim. Zhur., 35:3, 311-315. (In Russian.)
- Litvinenko, V.A., 1969a. Catalytic effect of niobium(V) upon the oxidation of thiosulfate by hydrogen peroxide. Ukr. Khim. Zhur., 35:7, 763-765. (In Russian.)
- Lyons, D., and Nickless, G., 1968. The lower oxy-acids of sulphur. Chapter 14 in Nickless (1968), pp. 509-534.
- Maas, T.A.M.M., Kuijer, M., and Zwart, J., 1976. Activation of cobalt-phthalocyanine catalyst by polymer attachment. J.C.S. Chem. Comm., pp. 86-88.
- Mader, P.M., 1958. Kinetics of hydrogen peroxide sulfite reaction in alkaline solution. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 80, 2634-2639.
- Martell, A.E., 1982. Formation and stabilities of cobalt dioxygen complexes in aqueous solution. Acc. Chem. Res., 15, 155-162.
- Meyer, B., 1976. Elemental sulfur. Chem. Reviews, 76:3, 367-388.
- Meyer, B., Ospina, M., and Peter, L.B., 1980. Raman spectrometric determination of oxysulfur anions in aqueous systems. Anal. Chim. Acta, 117, 301-311.
- Mishra, G.C., and Srivastava, R.D., 1975. Kinetics of oxidation of ammonium sulfite by rapidmixing method. Chem. Engin. Sci., 30, 1387-1390.
- Nickless, G. (ed.), 1968. Inorganic sulphur chemistry. Elsevier (Amsterdam).
- Nriagu, J.O., (ed.), 1978. Sulfur in the environment (two volumes). Part I: The atmospheric cycle. Part II: Ecological impacts. John Wiley & Sons (New York).
- Nriagu, J.O., and Hem, J.D., 1978a. Chemistry of pollutant sulfur in natural waters. Chapter 6 in Part II of Nriagu (1978), pp. 211-270.
- O'Brien, D.J., and Birkner, F.B., 1977. Kinetics of oxygenation of reduced sulfur species in aqueous solution. Envir. Sci. Tech., 11:12, 1114-1120.
- Östlund, H.G., and Alexander, J., 1963. Oxidation rate of sulfide in sea water, a preliminary study. J. Geophys. Res., 68:13, 3995-3997.
- Payette, B., Long, M.E., and Payette, R., 1974. An engineering case study: hydrogen sulfide abatement at Pacific Gas and Electric's geothermal plant. College of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley. Written under the supervision of Prof. R.F. Steidel, Jr. No report number.
- Pearce, T.J.P., 1968. Sulphuric acid: physico-chemical aspects of manufacture. Chapter 15 in Nickless (1968), pp. 535-561.

Postgate, J.R., 1968. The sulphur cycle. Chapter 8 in Nickless (1968), pp. 259-279.

- 74 -

- Rossini, F.D., Wagman, D.D., Evans, W.H., Levine, S., and Jaffe, I., 1952. Selected values of chemical thermodynamic properties: Circular of the National Bureau of Standards 500. U.S. Government Printing Office (Washington).
- Satterfield, C.N., Reid, R.C., and Briggs, D.R., 1954. Rate of oxidation of hydrogen sulfide by hydrogen peroxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 3922-3.
- Sawicki, J.E., and Barron, C.H., 1973. On the kinetics of sulfite oxidation in heterogeneous systems. Chem. Engin. J., 5, 153-159.
- Schmidt, M., 1957. The acids of sulfur. I. Anhydrous thiosulfuric acid. Z. anorg. u. allgem. Chem., 289, 141-157. (In German.)
- Schmidt, M., 1957a. The acids of sulfur. II. A new class of sulfur acids. Z. anorg. u. allgem. Chem., 289, 158-174. (In German.)
- Schmidt, M., 1957b. The acids of sulfur. III. The anhydrous polythionic acids (sulfanedisulfonic acids) H₂S₃O₆, H₂S₄O₆, H₂S₅O₆, H₂S₆O₆, and H₂S₇O₆; preparation of solutions and salts of octathionic acid, decathionic acid, and dodecathionic acid. Z. anorg. u. allgem. Chem., 289, 175-192. (In German.)
- Schmidt, M., 1957c. The acids of sulfur. IV. The relationships between the chemical series H_2S_x , H_2S_x O_3 , and H_2S_x O_6 . Z. anorg. u. allgem. Chem., 289, 193-202. (In German.)
- Schmidt, M., 1972. Fundamental chemistry of sulfur dioxide removal and subsequent recovery via aqueous scrubbing. Int. J. Sulfur Chem. (B), 7:1, 11-19.
- Schwarzenbach, G., and Fischer, A., 1960. The acidity of the sulfanes and the constitution of aqueous polysulfide solutions. Helv. Chim. Acta, 43:5, 1365-1390. (In German.)
- Snavely, E.S., and Blount, F.E., 1969. Rates of reaction of dissolved oxygen with scavengers in sweet and sour brines. Corrosion-N.A.C.E., 25:10, 397-404.

Sprung, J.L., 1977. Tropospheric oxidation of H_2S . Adv. Envir. Sci. Tech., 7, 263-278.

- Steijns, M., Derks, F., Verloop, A., and Mars, P., 1976. The mechanism of the catalytic oxidation of hydrogen sulfide: II. Kinetics and mechanism of hydrogen sulfide oxidation catalyzed by sulfur. J. Catal., 42, 87-95.
- Steijns, M., Koopman, P., Nieuwenhuijse, B., and Mars, P., 1976a. The mechanism of the catalytic oxidation of hydrogen sulfide: III. An electron spin resonance study of the sulfur catalyzed oxidation of hydrogen sulfide. J. Catal., 42, 96-106.
- Teder, A., 1971. The equilibrium between elementary sulfur and aqueous polysulfide solutions. Acta Chem. Scand., 25, 1722-1728.
- Valentine, J.S., 1973. The dioxygen ligand in mononuclear group VIII transition metal complexes. Chem. Reviews, 73:3, 235-245.
- Urone, P., and Schroeder, W.H., 1978. Atmospheric chemistry of sulfur-containing pollutants. Chapter 8 in Nriagu (1978), Part I, pp. 297-324.
- Wagman, D.D., Evans, W.H., Parker, V.B., Halow, I., Bailey, S.M., and Schumm, R.H., 1968. NBS Technical Note 270-3: Selected values of chemical thermodynamic properties:

tables for the first thirty-four elements in the standard order of arrangement. U.S. Government Printing Office (Washington).

- Wassermann, A., 1933. Kinetics and inhibition of the iron-catalyzed hydrogen peroxide hydrogen sulfide reaction. Ann. Chem. Liebigs, 503:2/3, 249-268. (In German.)
- Weres, O., 1980. An evaluation of the present and potential environmental impacts of the development of various types of geothermal resources. Pp. 73-94 in Study of nuclear and alternative energy systems: Supporting paper 8: Energy and the fate of ecosystems. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- Weres, O., 1983. The partitioning of hydrogen sulfide in the condenser of Geysers Unit 15. Geothermics (in press).
- Weres, O., 1983a. Evaluation of surface condensers for geothermal powerplants by numerical modeling. (In preparation.)
- Weres, O., 1983b. Evaluation of contact and contact/surface hybrid condensers for geothermal powerplants. (In preparation.)
- Weres, O., 1983c. Documentation for program CNDSR. Document LBID-622. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA. (In preparation.)
- Weres, O., 1983d. Environmental protection and the chemistry of geothermal fluids. A chapter in: Geothermal energy technology, J. Bresee and P.A. Witherspoon, eds. To be published by Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
- Weres, O., Tsao, K., and Wood, B., 1977. Resource, technology and environment at The Geysers. Report LBL-5231. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA. About 350 pp. Available from the National Technical Information Service.
- Weres, O., and Tsao, L., 1983. Nickel catalyzed reaction of hydrogen sulfide with oxygen in the presence of sulfite. (In preparation.)
- West, P.W., and Gaeke, G.C., 1956. Fixation of sulfur dioxide as disulfitomercurate(II) and subsequent colorimetric estimation. Anal. Chem., 28:12, 1816-1819.
- Wilshire, J., and Sawyer, D.T., 1979. Redox chemistry of dioxygen species. Acc. Chem. Res., 12, 105-110.
- Yagi, S., and Inoue, H., 1962. The absorption of oxygen into sodium sulphite solution. Chem. Engin. Sci., 17, 411-421.
- Yancey, M.A., 1981. Test of the Fe-HEDTA/vent gas incinerator H₂S abatement process: September 29, 1981 October 5, 1981, Unit 1, The Geysers Power Plant. Report 420-81.293. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Department of Engineering Research, San Ramon, CA. October 23, 1981.
- Yanitskii, I.V., and Valachunas, I.N., 1954. Studies of polythionic acids. IV. Sulfuration of hexathionic acid. Zh. Obshch. Khim., 24, 790-795. (In Russian.)
- Yanitskii, I.V., Zelionkaite, V.I., and Yanitskis, V.I., 1971. Isolation of intermediate products of the acid decomposition of thiosulfate. Zh. Neorg. Khim., 16:3, 617-621.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720