UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Intracellular Ca2+, inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate and additional signalling in the stimulation by platelet-activating factor of prostaglandin E2 formation in P388D1 macrophage-like cells

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0649326n

Journal Biochemical Journal, 298(3)

ISSN 0264-6021

Authors

Asmis, R Randriamampita, C Tsien, RY <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 1994-03-15

DOI

10.1042/bj2980543

Peer reviewed

Intracellular Ca²⁺, inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate and additional signalling in the stimulation by platelet-activating factor of prostaglandin E_2 formation in P388D₁ macrophage-like cells

Reto ASMIS,*§ Clotilde RANDRIAMAMPITA,† || Roger Y. TSIEN† and Edward A. DENNIS*¶

*Department of Chemistry (0601), †Department of Pharmacology and ‡Howard Hughes Medical Institute (0647), University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, U.S.A.

In the P388D₁ macrophage-like cell line, phospholipase A_2 activity and prostaglandin production are stimulated by plateletactivating factor (PAF) and bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS). We have investigated the role of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ and Ca^{2+} in signal transduction of PAF-induced prostaglandin E, (PGE,) formation in these cells. The role of Ca²⁺ in the activation mechanism was studied by fluorescence imaging of intracellular Ca2+ in individual adherent cells and by determining the PGE₂ production in the same population of cells. This new approach enabled us to correlate directly events on the single-cell level with a physiologically relevant response of the cell population. Priming the cells with LPS was required for PAF to stimulate PGE, formation, yet LPS affected neither the intracellular free Ca2+ concentration ($[Ca^{2+}]_i$) nor the PAF-induced rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$. In addition, basal and PAF-stimulated $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ levels were not affected by LPS priming. However, the Ca2+ transient, the release of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ and the formation of PGE, induced by PAF were inhibited in cells pretreated with pertussis toxin. Buffering the

INTRODUCTION

Stimulation of macrophages by various factors such as plateletactivating factor (PAF) leads to the release of arachidonic acid and to the formation of eicosanoids, which play key roles in many aspects of acute and chronic inflammation [1]. The ratelimiting step in the biosynthesis of eicosanoids is thought to be the release of arachidonic acid from membrane phospholipids by phospholipase A₂ (PLA₂) [2]. In the macrophage-like cell line P388D₁, various PLA₂ activities have been identified and characterized [3-5]. Inhibitor studies on an isolated Ca²⁺-dependent membrane-associated PLA₂ in vitro and in intact cells have correlated PLA₂ activity, arachidonic acid release and the formation of prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) in P388D₁ cells [5-7]. PLA₂ activities have also been demonstrated in many tissues and cells, including macrophages and other monocytic cell lines. Recently, a new type of Ca²⁺-dependent cytosolic PLA₂ was described [8,9]; however, its role during physiological stimulation has yet to be demonstrated. The signalling pathways by which PLA₂ are activated or regulated and the function of these enzymes in intact cells remain to be elucidated.

[Ca²⁺], with intracellular BAPTA [bis-(o-aminophenoxy)ethane-NNN'N'-tetra-acetic acid] blocked the PAF-stimulated rise in [Ca²⁺], and PGE, formation. Removal of extracellular Ca²⁺ during PAF stimulation prevented the influx of Ca²⁺, but did not affect the initial [Ca²⁺]_i transient, nor did it inhibit PGE₂ formation. Under the same conditions, ionomycin stimulated an identical [Ca²⁺], transient, but, in contrast with PAF stimulation, no PGE₂ formation was observed. PGE₂ production could be rescued by prompt subsequent addition of PAF, which caused no further [Ca²⁺], change on its own. These results show that the transient initial rise in [Ca²⁺], produced either by PAF via the formation of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ or directly by ionomycin, is necessary, but not sufficient for the formation of PGE₂ in LPS-primed P388D, cells. Furthermore, we have demonstrated for the first time that PAF triggers a second signal that is not mediated by a change in [Ca²⁺]. However, both signals are required to induce PGE, formation.

The variety of PLA₂ activities in P388D₁ cells make this particular cell system a unique and attractive model in which to study these signalling events. We have demonstrated that bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPS) prime P388D, cells for an enhanced [3H]arachidonic acid release and subsequent PGE₂ formation upon stimulation with PAF [7]. Although LPS priming appears to involve transcriptional events, protein synthesis is required for primed PAF stimulation of PGE, secretion. Furthermore, PAF-stimulated PGE, formation is inhibited by genistein, an inhibitor of tyrosine-specific protein kinases (TPK), but it is insensitive to treatment with H-7, an inhibitor of protein kinase C. Also, P388D, cells do not release PGE, upon stimulation with oleoylacetylglycerol [7]. These results suggest a role for TPK in the PAF-induced activation of PLA₂, whereas protein kinase C appears not to be involved.

We have now focused our studies on the early events in PAFinduced cell stimulation leading to the activation of PLA₂. In the present study, we have investigated the release of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ and the role of intracellular Ca²⁺ in the PAF-induced activation of PLA₃.

Abbreviations used: LPS, bacterial lipopolysaccharide; PAF, platelet-activating factor (1-O-alkyl-2-acetyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine); PLA₂, phospholipase A₂; PLC, phospholipase C; BAPTA, bis-(o-aminophenoxy)ethane-NNN'N'-tetra-acetic acid; $[Ca^{2+}]_i$, intracellular free Ca²⁺ concentration; PGE₂, prostaglandin E₂; TPK, tyrosine-specific protein kinases; DPBS, Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline; HBS, Hanks' buffered saline;

[§] Present address: Institute for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Berne, CH-3012, Berne, Switzerland.

^{||} Present address: Laboratoire de Neurobiologie, Ecole Normale Superieure, 46 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France.

[¶] To whom correspondence should be addressed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

P388D, cells (TIB 63) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Bethesda, MD, U.S.A.). Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium (endotoxin < 0.05 ng/ml) was from Whittaker Bioproducts (Walkersville, MD, U.S.A.). Fetal bovine serum (lot no. 1111-1096) was from Hyclone Laboratories (Logan, UT, U.S.A.). Non-essential amino acids were from Irvine Scientific (Santa Ana, CA, U.S.A.). PAF (prepared from bovine heart lecithin), the Ca2+ ionophore ionomycin and gentamycin were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.). Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS), minimum essential medium, Hanks' buffered saline (HBS) and 2mercaptoethanol were from Gibco BRL (Grand Island, NY, U.S.A.). Tyrphostin 25 was from Biomol Research Laboratories (Plymouth Meeting, PA, U.S.A.). BAPTA/AM [bis-(oaminophenoxy)ethane-NNN'N'-tetra-acetic acid, acetoxymethyl ester] was from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA, U.S.A.). Trioctylamine and 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI, U.S.A.). LPS from Salmonella Re595 was kindly provided by Dr. R. J. Ulevitch, Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation (La Jolla, CA, U.S.A.). Fura-2/AM was from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR, U.S.A.). Pluronic was from BASF Corp. (Wyandotte, MI, U.S.A.).

Cell culture

P388D, cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of air/CO_{2} (9:1) in Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium with 10% fetal-bovine serum (heat-inactivated), glutamine (4 mM), gentamycin (50 μ g/ml) and supplemented with non-essential amino acids. Adherent cells were selected by passage of only adherent cells. Cells were maintained in 175 cm² flasks and passaged every 3-4 days (doubling time \sim 30 h) by scraping from the flask with a Nunc (Naperville, IL, U.S.A.) cell scraper. At least 10 passages were performed before the cells were plated for an experiment. Cells were not used after passage 30, after which their doubling time increases and their adherency decreases. For the standard experimental set-up, adherent cells were scraped and plated in six-well dishes (Falcon) at 1×10^6 cells/well. For Ca²⁺ determination, adherent cells were scraped and plated in 25 mm Petri dishes in which the centre portion of the bottom had been replaced by glass coverslips attached with vacuum grease. Cells were allowed to adhere overnight in Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium with 10% fetal-bovine serum, penicillin G/streptomycin (100 units/ml and 100 μ g/ml respectively) and supplemented with non-essential amino acids. All experiments were performed in serum-free Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium with penicillin G (100 units/ml)/ streptomycin (100 μ g/ml), glutamine (4 mM) and supplemented with non-essential amino acids (Buffer A).

Experimental protocol

For standard conditions, P388D₁ cells at 1×10^6 cells/well were placed in buffer A for 30 min (wash no. 1) before treatment with LPS (100 ng/ml) for 1 h (priming step). After LPS priming, cells were washed with buffer A for 30 min (wash no. 2) and then stimulated with PAF (20 nM), unless stated otherwise, for 20 min at 37 °C (stimulation step). The PGE₂ levels in the media or cellular Ins(1,4,5)P₃ levels were then determined as described below. Inhibitors were present during wash no. 2 and the stimulation step unless stated otherwise. For all experiments that required a 4 h preincubation with pertussis toxin, this preincubation step was introduced after wash no. 1 and before the priming step. The volumes of all washes and incubations were 2 ml/well, unless stated otherwise. In all cases, the inhibitors and pertussis toxin were added in buffer A.

For $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ determinations, LPS-primed cells were loaded in HBS containing Pluronic (0.01%) and 0.5 μ M fura-2/AM for 30 min at room temperature during wash no. 2. All experiments were performed in HBS, except for the Ca²⁺-free experiments (Figure 3), where Ca²⁺-free DPBS was used. Inhibitors were present during wash no. 2 and the stimulation step. Cells were stimulated with PAF (20 nM) or ionomycin (0.5 μ M) for 10 min at 34 °C. Fluorescence Ca²⁺ images were obtained and calibrated as previously described [10–13]. The images were taken every 5 s. [Ca²⁺]₁ traces are the means of 10–15 individual cells of the same dish. [Ca²⁺]₁ traces shown in the Figures are from a typical dish of an experiment. The [Ca²⁺]₁ increase reported is the difference between the basal level of [Ca²⁺]₁ and the level of the transient peak.

 PGE_2 levels in the media above these cells were determined immediately after the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ data were collected. Even though the levels of PGE_2 in the Ca^{2+} experiments were usually lower than those obtained with the standard PAF activation, the stimulus-response pattern for PGE_2 formation was identical (results not shown). The lower PGE_2 levels cannot be due to the shorter stimulation time (10 versus 20 min), since PGE_2 formation has ceased 3 min after stimulation (R. Asmis and E. A. Dennis, unpublished work). All PGE_2 determinations were therefore performed by using both the standard PAF activation conditions and the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ assay conditions to ensure comparability with our previous work [7], to allow for a detailed statistical analysis, and to allow a direct correlation between the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ signal and PGE_2 formation.

PGE₂ assay

After stimulation, the medium was removed, centrifuged to remove cells and assayed for PGE_2 content by a specific radioimmunoassay (Advanced Magnetics, Cambridge, MA, U.S.A.). PGE_2 levels are expressed as percentages of control, and the value corresponding to 100% is given in ng/10⁶ cells in the legends of the Figures for each experiment.

Assay for Ins(1,4,5)P₃

Cells were stimulated for 15 s in a final volume of 1 ml. LiCl (15 mM) was present during wash no. 2 and during the stimulation step. Stimulation was stopped and the cells were lysed by addition of 1 ml of cold trichloroacetic acid (40 %, w/v) for 60 min at 4 °C. A 1 ml portion of the supernatant was removed and extracted once with 2 vol. of 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoro-ethane/trioctylamine (3:1, v/v). The upper aqueous layer was removed, and the Ins(1,4,5) P_3 concentrations were determined with an Ins(1,4,5) P_3 radioreceptor assay kit (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA). Extraction yields were tested routinely and the results corrected accordingly.

Cytotoxicity assay

Cytotoxicity was measured after each standard experiment by fluorescein diacetate staining of cells as described previously [7]. Fluorescein diacetate (1 μ g/ml) was added to each well and incubated at 37 °C, for 15 min. Fluorescence was observed by



Figure 1 Effect of PAF and LPS on [Ca²⁺], and PGE, formation

 $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ was measured as described in the Experimental section after PAF (20 nM) stimulation of unprimed (a) or LPS-primed (100 ng/ml) (b) P388D₁ cells, or after LPS (1 μ g/ml) stimulation of cells for 1 h (c). (d) PGE₂ levels (\blacksquare) were determined immediately after each $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ measurement as described in the Experimental section and are presented as percentages of the unprimed unstimulated control (100% = 0.62 ng of PGE₂/10⁶ cells). The amplitude of the corresponding PAF-induced transient $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ peak (\square) is shown for comparison.

using an inverted Olympus IMT-2 fluorescence microscope, and toxicity was determined as the ratio of non-fluorescent cells (dead) to fluorescent cells (living). Viability observed was > 98 % under all conditions used in this paper.

Statistical analysis

For PGE₂, $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ and Ca^{2+} determinations, all data points are the means \pm S.E.M. of triplicate samples from a representative experiment, except in Figure 1(d), where four independent experiments were pooled. Statistical significance between treatment groups was determined by Duncan multiple-range tests (P < 0.01) unless stated otherwise. Standard-error bars are only shown when the S.E.M. is greater than the symbol size.

RESULTS

LPS priming does not affect [Ca²⁺], levels

P388D₁ cells show an enhanced formation of PGE₂ upon PAF stimulation only if they have been primed with LPS [7]. Because of the reported Ca²⁺-dependence of PLA₂ [9,14], we investigated whether LPS priming enhanced the rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ induced by PAF, thereby increasing PLA₂ activity and subsequently enhancing PGE₂ formation. PAF (20 nM) induced a large and rapid increase in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ (Figure 1a). As observed previously in peritoneal macrophages [15], this increase consists of an initial transient peak which appears to result from the release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores, followed by a plateau due to entry of extracellular Ca²⁺ (see below). As shown in Figures 1(a) and 1(b), LPS priming of P388D₁ cells affected neither the amplitude nor the shape of the PAF-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ response. To determine if LPS itself caused any changes in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$, cells were treated with LPS, and $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ was monitored for 1 h. As shown in

Figure 1(c), LPS at concentrations as high as $1 \mu g/ml$ did not induce any changes in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$. This result differs from those obtained in peritoneal macrophages, in which LPS has been shown to induce the release of Ca^{2+} from intracellular stores in 47% of the cells [16].

The correlation between the amplitude of the transient Ca^{2+} signal (white bars) and the formation of PGE₂ (black bars) determined in the same cell dishes under various conditions is shown in Figure 1(d). LPS priming slightly increased PGE₂ levels in unstimulated P388D₁ cells. PAF did not stimulate PGE₂ formation unless the cells were primed with LPS before stimulation. However, no LPS priming was required for PAF to trigger the full Ca²⁺ signal. Indeed, the amplitude of the [Ca²⁺]₁ increase induced by PAF in unprimed or LPS-primed cells is identical. These experiments clearly show that LPS priming is not due to [Ca²⁺]₁ changes. Furthermore, these results indicate that the PAF-induced increase in [Ca²⁺]₁ is not sufficient to trigger the formation of PGE₂ in P388D₁ cells.

[Ca²⁺], rise is necessary for PGE, formation

Since the PAF-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise was not sufficient to trigger PGE₂ production, we tested whether a $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise was actually required for the formation of PGE₂ in LPS-primed P388D₁ cells.

Long-term treatment of cells with Ca^{2+} -free medium containing 2 mM EGTA should lead to the depletion of Ca^{2+} stores. Indeed, under these conditions, the PAF-induced rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ was strongly decreased (Figure 2). Furthermore, no Ca^{2+} influx was observed, due to the lack of external Ca^{2+} . PGE₂ formation was inhibited to control levels in the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ -assay conditions.

inhibited to control levels in the $[Ca^{2+}]_{i}$ -assay conditions. Another way to prevent the rise of $[Ca^{2+}]_{i}$ is to load the cells with BAPTA/AM, the permeant ester of the Ca²⁺ chelator BAPTA [17]. Single-cell Ca²⁺ measurements showed that BAPTA/AM (30 μ M) completely blocked the initial Ca²⁺ peak



Figure 2 Effect of long-term EGTA preincubation on PAF-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise in P388D, cells



induced by PAF (Figure 3a). However, we observed a slight but delayed transient increase in $[Ca^{2+}]_1$. Subsequent PGE₂ measurements demonstrated that the PAF-induced PGE₂ formation is inhibited to basal levels, which were not affected by BAPTA. Under standard experimental conditions, BAPTA inhibited PGE₂ formation in a dose-dependent manner, completely abolishing PAF-stimulated PGE₂ production at 30 μ M (Figure 3b).

All these results demonstrate that a Ca^{2+} rise is required for the PAF-stimulated formation of PGE₂. Interestingly, basal PGE₂ levels were not abolished by the long-term treatment with EGTA, nor did they appear to be affected by BAPTA. Basal PGE₂ production therefore appears not to require a rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_{1}$.

Role of Ins(1,4,5)P₃ formation

Stimulation of P388D₁ cells with physiological concentrations of PAF (20 nM) in the presence of Li⁺ (15 mM) resulted in a 2–4-fold increase in Ins(1,4,5)P₃ levels, regardless of priming with LPS (Table 1). In the absence of Li⁺, no significant levels of Ins(1,4,5)P₃ were detected under any condition tested (results not shown). Despite the presence of Li⁺, PAF-induced formation of Ins(1,4,5)P₃ was very transient (Figure 4). An increase in Ins(1,4,5)P₃ levels was observed 5 s after stimulation. Ins(1,4,5)P₃ levels were maximal at 15 s, at which point its concentration was 2–4 times the basal value. It decreased thereafter and reached basal levels approx. 2 min after PAF stimulation.



Figure 3 Effect of BAPTA on the PAF-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise and PGE₂ formation in P388D, cells

LPS-primed P388D₁ cells were treated with BAPTA/AM (30 μ M) for 30 min during wash no. 2 and were then stimulated with 20 nM PAF (a). The dose-dependent effect of BAPTA/AM (concentrations indicated in μ M) on PAF-induced (20 nM) PGE₂ formation under standard experimental conditions is shown in (b). PGE₂ formation is given as percentage of the LPSprimed unstimulated control (100% = 0.90 ng of PGE₂/10⁶ cells).

The Ca²⁺ ionophore ionomycin is a potent activator of PGE₂ formation in P388D₁ cells, but caused a slight decrease in basal Ins(1,4,5)P₃ levels (Table 1). LPS priming had no significant effect on basal Ins(1,4,5)P₃ levels, nor on PAF-stimulated Ins(1,4,5)P₃ levels. LPS priming is therefore not mediated through the formation of Ins(1,4,5)P₃ nor the release of [Ca²⁺]_i, as shown above (Figure 1). Pertussis toxin (100 ng/ml) inhibited both PAF-induced Ins(1,4,5)P₃ and PGE₂ formation, but had no effect on the ionomycin-stimulated PGE₂ release (Table 1). Under the

Table 1 Effect of LPS priming and pertussis toxin on PAF-stimulated Ins(1,4,5)P, and PGE, formation in P388D, cells

P388D₁ cells were preincubated with pertussis toxin (PTX), primed with LPS and stimulated with PAF or ionomycin where indicated. lns(1,4,5)/3 and PGE₂ formation were determined under standard experimental conditions, and are given as percentages of unprimed and unstimulated control [100% = 0.48 ng of PGE₂/10⁶ cells or 5.5 pmol of lns(1,4,5)/3/10⁶ cells respectively].

PTX (100 ng/ml)	LPS (100 ng/ml)	PAF (20 nM)	lonomycin (0.5 µM)	Ins(1,4,5) <i>P</i> ₃ formation (% of control)	PGE ₂ formation (% of control)
_	_	_		100±16	100±12
_	+	_	_	123 ± 16	235 <u>+</u> 31
+	+	-	_	109 <u>+</u> 27	181 <u>+</u> 13
_	_	+	_	313 ± 11	135 <u>+</u> 19
_	+	+		293 <u>+</u> 21	510 ± 42
+	+	+	_	92 ± 20	250 ± 17
_	_	_	+	29 + 9	458 + 20
+	-	-	+	54 ± 6	432 ± 20



Figure 4 Time-dependence of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ formation in PAF-stimulated P388D, cells

 $lns(1,4,5)P_3^{2}$ levels were determined at various time points after PAF (20 nM) stimulation of unprimed P388D₁ cells as described in the Experimental section. LiCl (15 mM) was present during wash no. 2 and the stimulation step.



Figure 5 Role of Ca²⁺ influx in PAF-stimulated P388D₁ cells

LPS-primed P388D₁ cells were stimulated with PAF (20 nM) in the absence (a) or presence (b) of EGTA (2 mM).

conditions of the Ca²⁺-assay protocol, the PAF-stimulated Ca²⁺ transient was inhibited by about 50 % in cells preincubated with pertussis toxin (100 ng/ml). These results indicate that the PAF-induced release of Ins(1,4,5)P₃, rise in [Ca²⁺]_i and formation of PGE₂ may be mediated by a pertussis-toxin-sensitive G-protein.

Role of Ca²⁺ influx

In order to investigate the role of the Ca^{2+} influx and the necessity for a sustained $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise in PAF signalling, we separated the transient $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ spike, due to release of intracellular stores, from the sustained $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ elevation caused by the influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} . EGTA (2 mM) was added during the PAF



Figure 6 Role of Ca²⁺ influx in ionomycin-stimulated P388D, cells

LPS-primed P388D₁ cells were stimulated with ionomycin (0.5 μ M) in the absence (a) or presence (b) of EGTA (2 mM).

stimulation step only. This removed the extracellular Ca^{2+} , yet the assays were done before the intracellular stores were affected. The PAF-induced Ca^{2+} signal decayed more rapidly in the presence of EGTA, as shown in Figure 5, demonstrating that the plateau of the Ca^{2+} signal was due to Ca^{2+} influx. However, the amplitude of the transient $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ peak resulting from the release of Ca^{2+} from intracellular stores remained unchanged. Under these conditions, the PAF-induced Ca^{2+} signal is therefore solely the result of the release of Ca^{2+} from intracellular stores. PAFstimulated PGE₂ formation was not inhibited by EGTA under these short incubation conditions (results not shown); this shows that a sustained $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise provided by a Ca^{2+} influx is not required for PAF signalling.

We next investigated the role of the Ca²⁺ transient by activating the cells with the Ca²⁺ ionophore ionomycin in the presence of EGTA. In the presence of external Ca²⁺, ionomycin stimulation resulted in a fast biphasic [Ca²⁺], rise (Figure 6a) similar to that found with PAF (Figure 1a). However, the plateau of the ionomycin-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise was larger and sustained for a longer period than that induced by PAF (compare Figures 5a and 6a). In the presence of EGTA, the Ca²⁺ signal triggered by PAF and ionomycin appeared to be identical (compare Figures 5b and 6b); in contrast with the case when PAF was employed, the ionomycin-induced PGE, formation was decreased to control levels. Within the resolution of our imaging system, the $[Ca^{2+}]$, rise appeared uniform in the cells during PAF activation as well as during ionomycin stimulation. No regions of high local $[Ca^{2+}]_{i}$ were observed after PAF addition which could have explained the high PAF stimulation under these conditions. These results suggest that the transient rise in [Ca²⁺]₁ is necessary, but not sufficient, to trigger the formation of PGE_2 in P388D₁ cells.

Table 2 shows the effect of EGTA, present during the stimulation step, on PAF- and ionomycin-induced PGE₂ formation under the standard PAF-activation conditions. The presence of EGTA decreased both the basal and the PAF-stimulated PGE₂ formation by 10–50%, varying from experiment to ex-

Table 2 Role of Ca^{2+} influx determined by the standard PAF activation protocol in P388D, cells

LPS-primed P388D₁ cells were stimulated with buffer A, PAF (20 nM) or ionomycin (0.5 μ M) in the absence or presence of EGTA (2 mM). PGE₂ formation is given as percentage of LPS-primed unstimulated control (100% = 1.9 ng of PGE₂/10⁶ cells).

Stimulus	EGTA (2 mM)	PGE ₂ formatior (% of control)
Buffer A	_	100 <u>+</u> 16
	+	56 ± 16
PAF (20 nM)	_	212±4
	+	118 <u>+</u> 15
lonomycin (0.5 μ M)	_	216 ± 11
· · · ·	+	66 <u>+</u> 15



Figure 7 PAF triggers a second Ca²⁺-independent signal in P388D, cells

LPS-primed P388D₁ cells were stimulated with ionomycin (0.5 μ M) in the presence of EGTA (2 mM). PAF (20 nM) was added 2 min after stimulation. A typical $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ measurement is shown in (a). (b) shows the PGE₂ formation using the standard PAF-activation protocol. Cells were stimulated with buffer A (-), PAF (20 nM), or ionomycin (lono; 0.5 μ M). PAF (20 nM) was added to ionomycin-stimulated cells (lono/PAF) at the times indicated in min (0, 2, 6). PGE₂ formation was determined under standard experimental conditions, and is given as percentage of the LPS-primed unstimulated control (b: 100% = 1.06 ng of PGE₂/10⁶ cells).

periment. However, the potentiation of the PAF-stimulated PGE_2 formation compared with controls was not affected by EGTA in any experiment. It is unclear if this decrease in the PGE_2 signals is due to the lack of extracellular Ca^{2+} or is the result of a secondary effect of EGTA on the cells. The effect was not due to the loss of cells, as determined by protein assay (results not shown). In contrast with PAF, the ionomycin-

PAF triggers two signals

Since in the presence of EGTA, the Ca²⁺ signal induced by PAF and ionomycin were identical, but only PAF stimulated PGE₂ formation, we conclude that PAF must trigger a second signal in addition to the rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_1$. In order to test this hypothesis and to separate the two signals, we performed the following experiment: The cells were first stimulated with ionomycin in the presence of EGTA, thereby inducing the required Ca²⁺ signal (Figure 7a) without initiating PGE₂ production. Then, after 2 min we added PAF. No further Ca²⁺ signal was observed, because the refilling of the Ca²⁺ stores was prevented by the absence of extracellular Ca²⁺. However, PGE₂ formation was now stimulated (Figure 7b). These results confirm that PAF triggers a second signal independently of the rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_1$.

Next we investigated the time-dependence of the reconstituted PGE, signal under standard experimental conditions. In the presence of EGTA, we again observed PAF-induced PGE₂ formation, whereas the ionomycin-stimulated production of PGE₂ was decreased to nearly control levels, as shown in Figure 7(b). When PAF was added with ionomycin (0 min), the PGE_2 signal was not significantly increased compared with the PGE₂ formation induced by PAF alone. PGE₂ formation was decreased when PAF was added 2 min after ionomycin stimulation, but was significantly higher than in cells stimulated only with ionomycin. Addition of PAF 6 min after ionomycin stimulation showed no significant increase in PGE₂ formation compared with ionomycin alone. Since the increase in PGE₂ production induced by PAF in ionomycin-stimulated cells is not the result of an increase in [Ca²⁺], as we have demonstrated in the single-cell measurements, we conclude that PAF triggers two signals, one of which is the Ca²⁺ signal that PAF and ionomycin appear to have in common. The second response can be triggered independently of the Ca²⁺ signal. However, the second signal has to be triggered within a few minutes after the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise, suggesting that the activation induced by the Ca2+ transient is down-regulated rapidly.

Effect of inhibition of tyrosine-specific protein kinases (TPK) on $[Ca^{2+}]_{i}$, Ins(1,4,5) P_3 and PGE,

We have demonstrated that genistein, an inhibitor of TPK [18], is a potent inhibitor of PAF-stimulated PGE₂ formation [7]. For the present studies, we used the more specific TPK inhibitor tyrphostin 25 [19]. Under standard experimental conditions tyrphostin 25 inhibited PAF-induced PGE₂ formation in a dosedependent manner, with an apparent IC₅₀ of 8 μ M (results not shown), which is very similar to the value that we have determined previously for genistein (IC₅₀ = 7 μ M) [7]. At 30 μ M, tyrphostin 25 inhibited PAF-stimulated PGE₂ production by 98±0.3 %, and in the presence of EGTA it blocked by 97±6%. PAFinduced Ins(1,4,5)P₃ formation, however, was only inhibited by 29±3%. Although tyrosine phosphorylation is essential for PAF-induced PGE₂ formation, it is not required for Ins(1,4,5)P₃ release.

The phospholipase C (PLC) involved in the PAF-stimulated release of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ in P388D₁ cells appears not to be activated by TPK. When the Ca²⁺-assay protocol was used to activate

Table 3 Effect of tyrphostin 25 on $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and PGE₂ formation in P388D₁ cells

LPS-primed P388D₁ cells were stimulated with either PAF (20 nM) or ionomycin (0.5 μ M) for 10 min, and PGE₂ levels and [Ca²⁺]_i were determined. Tyrphostin 25 (30 μ M) was present during wash no. 2 and the stimulation step. PGE₂ formation is given as percentage of LPS-primed unstimulated control (100% = 0.40 ng of PGE₂/10⁶ cells).

Stimulus	Tyrphostin 25 (30 μM)	PGE ₂ formation (% of control)	[Ca ²⁺] _i increase (µM)
Buffer A	_	100 <u>+</u> 15	0
PAF (20 nM)	_	353 ± 38	0.41 + 0.03
	+	149 ± 10	$0.46 \pm 0.0^{\circ}$
Ionomycin (0.5 μ M)	-	376 <u>+</u> 62	0.29 <u>+</u> 0.04
	+	145 <u>+</u> 10	0.30 + 0.0

cells, preincubation with tyrphostin 25 (30 μ M) blocked PGE₂ formation stimulated by either PAF or ionomycin, but did not affect [Ca²⁺]₁ induced by either PAF or ionomycin (Table 3). Tyrphostin 25 (30 μ M) also inhibited ionomycin-stimulated PGE₂ production (92±3%) under standard experimental conditions. All these results suggest that tyrosine phosphorylation is not the second signal triggered by PAF. It is more likely an additional event common to both PAF and ionomycin stimulation. Since tyrphostin 25 was added 30 min before the stimulation step in the experiments sited above, inhibition of tyrosine phosphorylation could occur before PAF or ionomycin stimulation. An interesting possibility is that LPS priming involves tyrosine phosphorylation.

DISCUSSION

In P388D₁ cells, the formation of PGE₂ correlates very well with the release of [³H]arachidonic acid and with the response of the membrane-associated Ca²⁺-dependent PLA₂ activity to various inhibitors, but not with the cyclo-oxygenase activity [6]. Whereas LPS priming of P388D₁ cells increases [³H]arachidonic acid release and PGE₂ formation, cyclo-oxygenase activity remains unaffected [7]. The most likely candidate for the rate-limiting step in the formation of prostanoids in P388D₂ cells is therefore the PLA₂-mediated release of arachidonic acid. Although several different types of PLA₂ activities have been identified and characterized in P388D₁ cells [3–5], little is known about the regulation of PLA₂ activity in these cells.

PAF at micromolar concentrations stimulates the formation of PGE_2 in $P388D_1$ cells [6]. However, the cells require LPS priming before stimulation for physiological doses of PAF to trigger PGE_2 production. Although transcriptional events appear to be required for LPS priming, PAF stimulation involves protein synthesis [7]. LPS priming and PAF stimulation are clearly separate events in $P388D_1$ cells, which make these cells a unique model in which to study the different stages of activation in macrophages. These findings also suggest that LPS and PAF trigger different signalling pathways, resulting in the stimulation/ regulation of PLA₂ activity responsible for the formation of PGE₂ in P388D₁ cells. The results reported herein further support this hypothesis. In contrast with PAF, LPS does not trigger a rise in [Ca²⁺]₁ in P388D₁ cells, nor does it affect the PAF-induced Ca²⁺ signal. LPS priming is therefore not mediated by a rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_{l}$. These results are in good agreement with our observations on $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ formation in these cells. LPS does not induce $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ formation, nor does it affect PAF-stimulated $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ release. The role of LPS priming, however, remains unclear and is under further investigation.

At physiologically relevant concentrations, PAF triggers a biphasic rise of $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ in both unprimed and LPS-primed cells. The first phase, a Ca^{2+} spike, is due to the release of intracellular Ca^{2+} , whereas the second phase is a long, slower, influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} . However, LPS priming was required for PAF to induce PGE₂ formation, demonstrating that the PAF-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise alone is not sufficient to trigger PGE₂ production. Yet, the PAF-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise is required for PGE₂ formation, as we have demonstrated by either depleting the intracellular stores through long-term exposure to EGTA or by blocking the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise with the Ca^{2+} chelator BAPTA. Again, these findings correlate well with our results on $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ formation.

We have found that in LPS-primed P388D, cells stimulated with PAF (stimulatory conditions under which $[Ca^{2+}]$, is increased and both [3H]arachidonic acid release and PGE₂ formation are strongly enhanced [7]), no significant increase in $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ could be detected unless the cells were pretreated with Li⁺. Several explanations are possible for the Li⁺ requirement. One possibility is that the amount of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ actually required to trigger the necessary Ca²⁺ signal in P388D, cells is very small and is below the detection limit of the assay (1 $pmol/10^6$ cells). It has been suggested that the action of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ is highly cooperative, and that as few as three molecules may be sufficient to open a Ca²⁺ channel [20]. Another possibility is that the increase in $InsP_3$ is due to an increase in $Ins(1,3,4)P_3$ rather than $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$. It has been reported that Li⁺ treatment of pancreatic acinar cells resulted in 6-fold higher levels of $Ins(1,3,4)P_3$ compared with $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ [21]. However, the affinity of the Ins P_3 -binding protein used in the assay for Ins(1,4,5) P_3 is 3000 times that for $Ins(1,3,4)P_3$, making this possibility highly unlikely. Further investigations are necessary to elucidate just how Li⁺ affects $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ metabolism in P388D₁ cells.

Pretreatment of P388D₁ cells with pertussis toxin inhibited the PAF-stimulated Ins(1,4,5) P_3 formation, Ca²⁺ signalling and PGE₂ formation in these cells, suggesting that one of the earliest events in PAF stimulation of P388D₁ cells is the receptormediated activation of PLC via a pertussis-toxin-sensitive Gprotein. In normal bone-marrow-derived macrophages, the release of [³H]Ins P_3 is also pertussis-toxin-sensitive [22], whereas in the leukaemic U-937 cells both the release of [³H]Ins P_3 and the mobilization of intracellular Ca²⁺ were insensitive to pertussistoxin treatment [23].

All the above results suggest a cause-and-effect relationship between PAF-induced $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ formation, mobilization of intracellular Ca²⁺ and PGE₂ formation in P388D₁ cells. Even though the sensitivity to pertussis toxin or cholera toxin of the various G-proteins appears to vary in other macrophages and monocytic cell lines [24], the general scheme [PAF \rightarrow PAF receptor \rightarrow G-protein \rightarrow PLC \rightarrow Ins(1,4,5)P₃ \rightarrow Ca²⁺ signal] appears to be a common mechanism of PAF activation in cells.

Of the known forms of PLC, only the PLC- β_1 isotype has been shown to be responsive to G-proteins [25,26]. PLC- γ_1 and PLC- γ_2 require tyrosine phosphorylation for activity [27], whereas the mechanism for regulation of PLC- δ remains unknown. Our pertussis-toxin experiments suggest that the PAF-induced Ins(1,4,5) P_3 formation in P388D₁ cells is G-protein-mediated and that the PLC involved, therefore, is not of the PLC- γ subform. This finding is supported by the lack of effect of tyrphostin-25, a specific TPK inhibitor, on PAF-induced Ins(1,4,5) P_3 formation in these cells. On the other hand, the G-proteins which have been



Figure 8 Model for PLA, activation

A $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise induced by PAF or by ionomycin can convert the inactive form of PLA₂ into a labile, inactive, $[Ca^{2+}-PLA_2]$ form through Step 1. This step is reversible. Step 2, which converts PLA₂ into its active form, is slow and poorly reversible. This step can, however, be accelerated by a second signal provided by PAF.

identified to regulate PLC- β_1 are of the pertussis-toxin-insensitive G_q family (for review see [28]). However, recent evidence suggests the PLC- β_2 can be stimulated by $\beta\gamma$ -subunits of G-proteins [28]. Should such a stimulatory role be demonstrated for the $\beta\gamma$ -subunits of the pertussis-toxin-sensitive G-protein families G_o and G_i , then PLC- β_2 would become a likely candidate for the PLC subtype responsible for the release of Ins(1,4,5) P_3 in P388D₁ cells. Clearly, further investigations are necessary to demonstrate convincingly the G-protein(s) and the PLC subtypes involved in the stimulus-response coupling of PAF-activated PGE₂ formation in P388D₁ cells.

Although the formation of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ and the subsequent release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores are necessary for the PAF-stimulated formation of PGE_2 , a sustained $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise provided by Ca²⁺ influx is not required. Indeed, we have shown that, in the presence of EGTA, PAF stimulated PGE, formation 2–4-fold over controls, which is similar to the results observed in the presence of external Ca²⁺. These results are in contrast with observations made in PAF- or zymosan-stimulated peritoneal macrophages, where arachidonic acid release was strongly dependent on external Ca²⁺ [29]. Also, in C62B glioma cells, PLA₂ activation appears to require Ca²⁺ influx [30]. On the other hand, we have shown that EGTA inhibited ionomycin-induced PGE, formation, even though the sharp intracellular Ca²⁺ spike was identical with that triggered by PAF under these conditions. However, PGE, secretion can be restored by subsequent addition of PAF, even though no further $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ increase is observed. These results suggest that PAF triggers two signals: the rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and a second signal that is not Ca^{2+} -mediated.

Previous studies on PAF-activated neutrophils suggested that a rise in cytosolic Ca^{2+} is necessary, but not sufficient, to promote the activation of PLA₂ [31,32]. Our results with ionomycin and normal extracellular Ca^{2+} show that a large and sustained rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ fully activated PLA₂. With a more physiological stimulant, PAF, the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ elevation is accompanied by a second signal to activate PLA₂ fully. A simple model to explain our results is presented in Figure 8. This model postulates that PLA₂ reversibly binds with Ca^{2+} to form a labile complex $[Ca^{2+}-PLA_2]$, which is still unable to release arachidonic acid, but which can be further converted into the final, active, phospholipase. The latter step is slow unless accelerated by a second Ca^{2+} -independent signal provided by PAF. Once $[Ca^{2+}-PLA_2]$ is formed, it is no longer dependent on elevated Ca^{2+} . According to this model, PAF provides the transient rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ required to convert PLA₂ into the labile $[Ca^{2+}-PLA_2]$ complex, as well as the additional signal to accelerate the final activation step. If the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise is buffered or blunted (Figures 2a and 3a), activation is prevented (Figures 2b and 3b). If ionomycin is used without external Ca^{2+} to generate a matching brief $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ transient (Figures 6b and 7a) without the second signal, presumably the PLA₂ temporarily forms the $[Ca^{2+}-PLA_2]$ complex, but reverts to the initial state as $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ falls, so activation is prevented (Figure 6c). Ionomycin with external Ca^{2+} prolongs the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ increase (Figure 6a) and the formation of the labile $[Ca^{2+}-PLA_2]$ complex sufficiently so that slow conversion into the active state can gradually occur (Figure 6c).

Further evidence for this model is shown in Figure 7. These experiments temporally separate the two sequential steps and begin to characterize the kinetics of Step 1. A brief $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ transient induced by ionomycin in the absence of extracellular Ca^{2+} triggers Step 1. Subsequent addition of PAF does not affect $[Ca^{2+}]_i$, but gives rise to the second signal to promote Step 2. Enzyme activation requires this PAF-induced signal to be delivered within 6 min of the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ transient and suggests that the transiently formed $[Ca^{2+}-PLA_2]$ intermediate reverts to the starting form within that period unless trapped by the final activation step.

One attractive possibility is that the $[Ca^{2+}-PLA_2]$ form is bound at the membrane surface. Indeed, recently described intracellular forms of PLA_2 have been shown to possess Ca^{2+} sensitive translocation domains [8,33]. This step could be required for positioning the enzyme properly at the lipid-water interface. It is possible that the enzyme moves to the membrane as soon as it binds Ca^{2+} and that the labile $[Ca^{2+}-PLA_2]$ form in our model would be the translocated form of the enzyme. However, translocation could be a slow process, and an interesting possibility is that the role of the second signal is to accelerate this phenomenon. Then Step 2 would correspond to the translocation event. Of course, another possibility is that the second signal relates to secretion of an extracellular PLA₂ responsible for these events. These possibilities are under investigation.

We thank Raymond Deems and Suzanne E. Barbour for constructive comments on this manuscript. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Research Grants HD26171 (to E. A. D.) and NS27177 (to R. Y. T.). R. A. was supported in part by the Swiss National Fund and the American Heart Association, California Affiliate Postdoctoral Fellowships. C. R. was supported in part by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (France). E. A. D. is a Member, Center for Molecular Genetics.

REFERENCES

- Adams, D. O. and Hamilton, T. A. (1988) in Inflammation: Basic Principles and Clinical Correlates (Gallin, J. I., Goldstein, I. M. and Snyderman, R., eds.), pp. 471–492, Raven Press, New York
- 2 Dennis, E. A. (1987) Bio/Technology 5, 1294–1300
- 3 Ross, M. I., Deems, R. A., Jesaitis, A. J., Dennis, E. A. and Ulevitch, R. J. (1985) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 238, 247–258
- 4 Ulevitch, R. J., Sano, M., Watanabe, Y., Lister, M. D., Deems, R. A. and Dennis, E. A. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 3079–3085
- 5 Lister, M. D., Deems, R. A., Watanabe, Y., Ulevitch, R. J. and Dennis, E. A. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. **263**, 7506–7513
- 6 Lister, M. D., Glaser, K. B., Ulevitch, R. J. and Dennis, E. A. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 8520–8528
- 7 Glaser, K. B., Asmis, R. and Dennis, E. A. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 8658-8664
- Clark, J. D., Lin, L.-L., Kriz, R. W., Ramesha, L. C., Sultzman, L. A., Lin, A. Y., Milona, N. and Knopf, J. L. (1991) Cell **65**, 1043–1051
- 9 Kramer, R. M., Roberts, E. F., Maretta, G. and Putnam, J. E. (1991) J. Biol. Chem. 266, 5268–5272
- 10 Harootunian, A. T., Kao, J. P. Y. and Tsien, R. Y. (1988) Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 53, 945–953
- 11 Poenie, M., Alderton, J., Steinhardt, R. and Tsien, R. Y. (1986) Science 233, 886–889
- 12 Poenie, M., Tsien, R. Y. and Schmitt-Verhulst, A. M. (1987) EMBO J. 6, 2223-2232

- 13 Tsien, R. Y. and Harootunian, A. T. (1990) Cell Calcium 11, 93-109
- 14 Dennis, E. A. (1983) Enzymes 3rd Ed. 16, 307–353
- 15 Randriamampita, C. and Trautmann, A. (1989) FEBS Lett. 249, 199-206
- Letain, O., Nicosia, S., Chiavroli, C., Vacher, P. and Schlegel, W. (1991) J. Immunol. 147, 980–983
- 17 Tsien, R. Y. (1980) Biochemistry 19, 2396–2404
- Akiyama, T., Ishida, J., Nakagawa, S., Ogawara, H., Watanabe, S., Itoh, N., Shibuya, M. and Fukami, Y. (1987) J. Biol. Chem. **262**, 5592–5595
- 19 Levitzki, A. (1992) FASEB J. 6, 3275–3282
- 20 Meyer, T., Holowka, D. and Stryer, L. (1988) Science 240, 653-656
- Burgess, G. M., McKinney, J. S., Irvine, R. F. and Putney, J. W. (1985) Biochem. J. 232, 237–243
- 22 Huang, S. J., Monk, P. N., Downes, C. P. and Whetton, A. D. (1988) Biochem. J. 249, 839–845
- 23 Barzaghi, G., Sarau, H. M. and Mong, S. (1989) J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 248, 559–566
- 24 Rana, R. and Hokin, L. E. (1990) Physiol. Rev. 70, 115-164

Received 17 May 1993/27 September 1993; accepted 19 October 1993

- 25 Smrcka, A. V., Hepler, J. R., Brown, K. O. and Sternweis, P. C. (1991) Science 251, 804–807
- 26 Taylor, S. J., Chae, H. Z., Rhee, S. G. and Exton, J. H. (1991) Nature (London) 350, 516–518
- 27 Rhee, S. G. (1991) Trends Biochem. Sci. 16, 297-301
- 28 Sternweis, P. C. and Smrcka, A. V. (1992) Trends Biochem. Sci. 17, 502-506
- 29 Fernandez, B. and Balsinde, J. (1991) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 180, 1036–1040
- 30 Brooks, R. C., McCarthy, K. D., Lapetina, E. G. and Morell, P. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 20147–20153
- 31 Gomez-Cambronero, J., Durstin, M., Molski, T. F. P., Naccache, P. H. and Sha'afi, R. I. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. **264**, 21699–21704
- 32 Nakashima, S., Suganuma, A., Sato, M., Tohmatsu, T. and Nozawa, Y. (1989) Immunology 143, 1295–1302
- 33 Sharp, J. D., White, D. L., Chiou, X. G., Goodson, T., Gamboa, G. C., McClure, D., Burgett, S., Hoskins, J., Skatrud, P. L., Sportsman, J. R., Becker, G. W., Kang, L. H., Roberts, E. F. and Kramer, R. M. (1991) J. Biol. Chem. **266**, 14850–14853