UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Modern surgical management of incidental gliomas.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/04k9p1xh

Journal Journal of neuro-oncology, 159(1)

ISSN 0167-594X

Authors

Pradhan, Anjali Mozaffari, Khashayar Ghodrati, Farinaz <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2022-08-01

2022-00-01

DOI 10.1007/s11060-022-04045-0

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

TOPIC REVIEW



Modern surgical management of incidental gliomas

Anjali Pradhan^{1,7} · Khashayar Mozaffari¹ · Farinaz Ghodrati^{1,7} · Richard G. Everson^{1,4,5,6,7} · Isaac Yang^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}

Received: 21 January 2022 / Accepted: 23 May 2022 / Published online: 15 June 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Purpose Gliomas are the most common primary tumors of the central nervous system and are categorized by the World Health Organization into either low-grade (grades 1 and 2) or high-grade (grades 3 and 4) gliomas. A subset of patients with glioma may experience no tumor-related symptoms and be incidentally diagnosed. These incidental low-grade gliomas (iLGG) maintain controversial treatment course despite scientific advancements. Here we highlight the recent advancements in classification, neuroimaging, and surgical management of these tumors.

Methods A review of the literature was performed. The authors created five subtopics of focus: histological criteria, diagnostic imaging, surgical advancements, correlation of surgical resection and survival outcomes, and clinical implications. **Conclusions** Alternating studies suggest that these tumors may experience higher mutational rates than their counterparts. Significant progress in management of gliomas, regardless of the grade, has been made through modern neurosurgical treatment modalities, diagnostic neuroimaging, and a better understanding of the genetic composition of these tumors. An optimal treatment approach for patients with newly diagnosed iLGG remains ill-defined despite multiple studies arguing in favor of safe maximal resection. Our review emphasizes the not so benign nature of incidental low grade glioma and further supports the need for future studies to evaluate survival outcomes following surgical resection.

Keywords Glioma · Low-grade glioma · Incidental glioma · Surgical management

Anjali Pradhan and Khashayar Mozaffari have contributed equally to this work.

⊠ Isaac Yang iyang@mednet.ucla.edu

- ¹ Departments of Neurosurgery, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
- ² Radiation Oncology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
- ³ Head and Neck Surgery, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
- ⁴ Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
- ⁵ The Lundquist Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
- ⁶ Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
- ⁷ David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles (UCLA), Los Angeles, CA, USA

Introduction

Gliomas are the most prevalent primary central nervous system (CNS) tumors with an age-adjusted incidence of 6/100,000 [1]. These tumors arise from atypical growth of glial cells, most commonly astrocytic and oligodendroglial cell lineages [2, 3]. As histopathologically classified in 2016 by the World Health Organization (WHO), lowgrade gliomas (LGGs) consist of grades 1 and 2 gliomas, whereas more aggressive high-grade gliomas (HGGs) comprise grades 3 and 4 tumors [2, 4]. In 2021, WHO classified Gliomas into (1) Adult-type diffuse gliomas (the majority of primary brain tumors in neuro-oncology practice of adults); (2) Pediatric-type diffuse low-grade gliomas (associated with better prognoses); (3) Pediatric-type diffuse high-grade gliomas (expected to behave aggressively); and (4) Circumscribed astrocytic gliomas ("circumscribed" referring to their more solid growth pattern, as opposed to the inherently "diffuse" tumors in groups 1, 2, and 3) [5]. Gliomas classically present with symptoms such as seizures, headaches, or focal neurologic deficits [6]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the gold-standard neuroimaging modality used for diagnosis [7]. First-line treatment for gliomas includes surgical resection alone, adjuvant radiation and/or chemotherapy [8]. Although the prognosis for HGGs has improved due to advances in diagnosis and therapy, the overall outlook remains poor [9]. Conversely, patients with LGGs have favorable survival outcomes as these tumors are slower-growing compared to HGGs [3, 10].

LGGs account for nearly 17-22% of all primary brain tumors [11]. The natural history of LGGs is defined by slow, continuous growth, and a preference for migration along white matter pathways [10]. Despite their benign nature, LGGs are at a high risk for recurrence and may progress to HGGs [3, 8]. Although almost 80% of patients with LGGs present symptomatically, a subset of them may experience no tumor-related symptoms [12]. This unique subset of lesions termed incidental low-grade gliomas (iLGGs) are defined in this study as asymptomatic low grade glioma (WHO grade 1 and 2) discovered on brain imaging for reasons not related to neuron-oncology and are incidentally diagnosed when undergoing radiographic evaluation for unrelated reasons to the underlying tumor such as trauma, annual physical examination, headache, dizziness, otolaryngological symptoms, or volunteer studies [12–15]. Conversely, LGGs manifest with neurological symptoms and deficits associated with tumors [16]. iLGGs constitute approximately 10% of LGGs [12, 13, 17, 18].

iLGGs may undergo malignant transformation, a process in which the tumor converts to a biologically aggressive HGG [19]. The incidence of this conversion is highly variable, ranging from 17 to 73%, and the reported median interval is between 2 and 10 years [7]. The literature suggests that malignant transformation occurs earlier in larger tumors, likely because the larger size is a consequence of a higher proliferative rate [20]. Additionally, malignant conversion does not always lead to a symptomatic presentation, as there has been a case report of malignant transformation to glioblastoma following 6 years of conservative management with annual MRI, in which the patient had no symptoms at the time of surgical resection [21]. Nonetheless, this process dramatically affects prognosis and has significant clinical implications.

While there has been significant improvement in understanding the pathogenesis of iLGG prognosis due to scientific advancements, the surgical management of these tumors remains a controversial topic. Recent literature highlights a shift in neurosurgeons' opinions surrounding treatment for iLGGs from a conservative "wait and see" approach to implicating early preventative resection [22]. Early gross total resection (GTR) of iLGGs offers several benefits, however, the decision to resect iLGGs before the onset of clinical symptoms is complicated by concerns for preserving quality of life and eloquent brain structures [7, 23]. Here we highlight the current viewpoints surrounding the pathology, diagnostic imaging, and management of these tumors (Table 1).

Methods

A review of the literature was performed using Boolean operators and a combination of search terms including "surgical management" AND "incidental gliomas", "surgical management of incidental gliomas", "incidental" AND "low grade gliomas", and "incidental glioma management". Independent reviewers screened titles, abstracts, and fulltext manuscripts for pertinent studies. Abstract-only texts, book chapters, animal studies, articles in languages other than English, and studies without any primary focus on incidental gliomas were excluded from this review. The authors created five subtopics of focus: histological criteria, diagnostic imaging, surgical advancements, correlation of surgical resection and survival outcomes, and clinical implications.

Histological criteria

The natural history of glioma depicted in Fig. 1 is represented by four stages: (1) the occult stage—where the glioma elicits no symptoms and is undetectable with brain MRI, (2) the clinically silent stage-this phase encompasses asymptomatic individuals with iLGGs where the glioma is discernible on neuroimaging, but patients show no clinical symptoms, (3) the symptomatic stage-where patients commonly experience seizures, and lastly (4) the malignant transformation stage-where the LGG undergoes conversion to HGG [7, 8, 18, 19]. In 2021, WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System significantly updated the histopathological classification of brain tumors to incorporate molecular and genetic parameters [2, 5]. This redefined grading system acknowledges several recent advances in molecular markers and genotypic features of gliomas, which are current targets for therapy [4]. There are four grades and the classification is characterized by the presence of some or all of the essential histological criteria including cytological atypia, anaplasia, mitotic figures, microvascular proliferation, and necrosis [4]. Like most LGGs, iLGGs lack many of these key histological features and mainly consist of WHO grades 1 and 2 oligodendroglioma, astrocytoma, oligoastrocytoma, and ganglioglioma [2, 16, 27]. While grade 1 lesions have no discernible histological features, grade 2 lesions are notable for cytological atypia [4]. Studies have elucidated that iLGGs have histomolecular profiles analogous to that of early-stage symptomatic LGGs, with

	•					•	•)))		
Author and Publication Year	Total patients, n	Total patients with iLGG, n	Male with iLGG, n	Age (mean±SD), years	Common reasons for discovery of iLGG UPON initial MRI (%)	Preoperative tumor vol- ume (cm ³) or tumor diam- eter (cm)	Post-oper- ative tumor volume (cm ³)	Average tumor growth rate (mm/year)	Tumor resec- tion (% of supratotal, total, or subtotal)	Median volumetric EOR (%)	Follow-up time, mo	Outcomes
Ng et al. (2020) [24]	74	74	31	34.7±9.7	Headache (36.5); Dizziness (14.9); Head trauma (8.1%)	Tumor vol- ume = 28.1 (mean)	1.3 (mean)	3.7±4.5	Supratotal resection (28.4); Total resection (29.7); Subtotal resection (41.9)	95.7 (mean)	67	97.1% of patients resumed employment post-operatively. Mean time before RTW was 6.8 mo. All patients recovered from transient post-operative deficits at 3 mo. Postoperative seizure was associ- ated with a delayed RTW. 5.4% of patients died during follow-up period. The 5-year sur- vival rate was 100%
Wang et al. (2020) [3]	1594	8	43	41.0 median	Radiological Screen- ing (48); Trauma (24); Dizzi- ness (19)	NR	NR	NR	Total resection (59) and Subtotal resection (41)	R	34.8 median	WHO Grade II patients and those with 1p/19q co-deletion tumors were more likely to be diagnosed with iLGGs. Mitochondrial aerobic respiration process was increased in iLGGs. OS and PFS were signifi- cant improved in iLGG patients. Cox regres- sion analysis indicated iLGGs serve as an independent prognostic factor

Outcomes	iLGGs were significant smaller than sympto- matic lesions (22.5 vs 57.5 cm ³) and iLGGs group showed greater EOR compared to sLGG. No differ- ence in diagnosis was found between ILGG and sLGG patients regarding molecular and pathological data. OS was significantly longer for iLGG tumors. 4.4% rate of neurologi- cal deficits was seen at 6 months	Increasing tumor volume is sufficient predictor of LGG diagnosis in incidental MRI exam. Insular topography, mean initial volume greater than 4.5 cm ³ at discovery, and adjacent sulcal effacement were predictive of further radiological progression
Follow-up time, mo	06	35.6
Median volumetric EOR (%)	100	NR
Tumor resec- tion (% of supratotal, total, or subtotal)	Total resection (57.1)	NR
Average tumor growth rate (mm/year)	3.9 (n = 43)	3.2±4.4
Post-oper- ative tumor volume (cm ³)	2.9 (mean)	22.9 (mean)
Preoperative tumor volume (cm^3) or tumor diameter (cm)	Tumor vol- ume = 22.5 (mean)	Tumor vol- ume = 16.4
Common reasons for discovery of iLGG UPON initial MRI (%)	Headache (34.5) and Trauma (16.8)	Headache (33.0) and Otolaryn- gological symptoms (21.6)
Age (mean±SD), years	39.4	35.7±11.1
Male with iLGG, n	50	39
Total patients with iLGG, n	113	101
Total patients, n	657	101
Author and Publication Year	Gogos et al. (2020) [25]	Boetto et al. (2021) [10]

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

Table 1 (continued)

Table 1 (cont	inued)											
Author and Publication Year	Total patients, n	Total patients with iLGG, n	Male with iLGG, n	Age (mean±SD), years	Common reasons for discovery of iLGG UPON initial MRI (%)	Preoperative tumor vol- ume (cm ³) or tumor diam- eter (cm)	Post-oper- ative tumor volume (cm ³)	Average tumor growth rate (mm/year)	Tumor resection (% of supratotal, or total, or subtotal)	Median volumetric EOR (%)	Follow-up time, mo	Outcomes
Zeng et al. (2021) [18]	75	52	33	38 median	Physical examina- tion (46.7); Head trauma (18.7); Dizziness (13.3)	Tumor diam- eter = 2.5 (mean)	NR	2.9±0.9	Total resection (83.7) and Subtotal resection (12.2)	NR	NR	Significantly more tumors were initially located adjacent to a functional area in sLGG compared to aLGG. No significant difference between sLGG and aLGG groups for total resec- tion rate. Postoperative complication incidence was higher in sLGG group. Total resection significantly improved PFS, OS, and MPFS, but surgical timing has no effect

Outcomes	The OS rate was 92.41%. The 5- and 10-year estimated OS rates were 98.09% and 93.2%, respectively. OS was significantly longer for preoperative tumor vol- ume and higher EOR, regardless of the WHO- defined molecular class. OS was influenced only by the preoperative tumor volume, while TR by early surgery. A negative association was found between preoperative tumor vol- umes and EOR. Second surgery was performed in 26.22%. The median time between surger- ies was 5.5 years. Histological evolution to high-grade glioma was detected in 22.85% of cases (16/70). Perma- nent mild deficits were observed in 3.08% of cases
Follow-up time, mo	88 (median)
Median volumetric EOR (%)	95%
Tumor resec- tion (% of supratotal, total, or subtotal)	Total or supratotal resection (61.62%)
Average tumor growth rate (mm/year)	Ж
Post-oper- ative tumor volume (cm ³)	NR
Preoperative tumor vol- ume (cm ³) or tumor diam- eter (cm)	Tumor vol- ume = 15 (median)
Common reasons for discovery of iLGG UPON initial MRI (%)	Headache (33.33), Head trauma (13.10); Otolar- yngology disorders (18.35), MRI research studies (3.37), MRI follow-up (4.87), Other medical reasons (25.84%)
Age (mean±SD), years	39.19 median
Male with iLGG, n	112
Total patients with iLGG, n	267
Total patients, n	267
Author and Publication Year	Ius et al. (2021)[26]

n number of patients, mo months, iLGG incidental low-grade glioma, NR not reported, MRI magnetic resonance imaging, EOR extent of resection, TR tumor resectionm, RTW return to work, OS overall survival, PFS progression-free survival, MPFS malignant progression-free survival, sLGG symptomatic low-grade glioma, aLGG asymptomatic low-grade glioma

Table 1 (continued)



Fig. 1 Graphic illustration of the natural history of gliomas

IDH-mutant gliomas and 1p/19q co-deletion being predominantly associated with iLGGs [15, 18, 28].

A comprehensive understanding of the molecular and genetic features of gliomas is fundamental to guide clinical management. Several genetic markers of gliomas have been identified including: (1) mutations of isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) and isocitrate dehydrogenase 2 (IDH2), (2) codeletion of chromosome arms 1p and 19q, (3) alpha thalassemia retardation syndrome X-linked (ATRX) gene loss, (4) tumor suppressor protein p53 mutation, (5) promoter methylation of O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), and (6) telomerase reverse transcriptase gene (TERT) promoter mutations [29]. IDH mutations are associated with prolonged overall survival compared to nonmutated gliomas [30]. It is hypothesized that the reason why mutations in IDH1 and IDH2, which are crucial enzymes involved in the Kreb's cycle, are strongly correlated with improved prognosis is because of decreased NADPH production in the cell which makes tumors like LGGs more susceptible to damage from reactive oxygen species [31]. The codeletion of chromosome arms 1p and 19q are also considered to result in better prognosis and is commonly associated with IDH1/IDH2 mutations [31]. Almost all tumors with 1p/19q codeletions have mutations in TERT promoter and IDH; these tumors, known as triple-positive gliomas, may show increased benefits from treatment therapies [31]. Tumors classified with either TERT and IDH mutations or triple-positive glioma status are associated with an improved prognosis compared to lesions with only TERT mutation [32]. Further molecular classification within IDH-mutant gliomas has also shown prognostic utility as homozygous deletion of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) was associated with poor survival in a series of 911 highgrade IDH-mutant gliomas [25]. These alterations in genetic background of LGGs compared to HGGs are important findings that have transformed our understanding of glioma progression and helped clinicians develop potential therapies for treatment. iLGGs, unlike symptomatic gliomas, utilize mitochondrial aerobic respiration, which is a process that slows the growth of tumors and may be the reason why these patients are asymptomatic and have a better prognosis [3]. In a physiological state, mitochondrial respiration consists of the preparatory reaction, the citric acid cycle, and the electron transport chain. During glycolysis which takes place in the cytoplasm outside of the mitochondria, enzymes break down glucose into two molecules of pyruvate where 2 ATPs are produced and NADH is released. These pyruvates are then converted to Acetyl CoA in the mitochondria and in the process producing more NADH. Then, in the citric acid cycle, the remaining glucose are oxidized producing 2 ATPs along with NADH and FADH2. However, most of the ATP production occurs in the electron transport chain stage of the respiration process where NADH and FADH2 give up electrons to the chain. Energy is then released and captured as the electrons move from a higher energy state to a lower energy state using a series of proteins embedded in the membranes of the mitochondria where this energy is later used to produce 32 to 34 ATPs per glucose [3, 33]. However, cancer cells exhibit mitochondrial respiration malfunction and increased glycolysis for ATP production due to aerobic glycolysis, also known as the "Warburg effect" which entails the conversion of glucose into lactic acid in an aerobic environment resulting in less sufficient ATP [3, 33, 34]. Studies have stated that glioma glycolysis or aerobic respiration is not dependent of IDH mutation status given mitochondrial aerobic respiration is not disturbed in iLGGs despite the number of IDH mutations present [3, 34]. Of note, recent investigation assessing genetic features of iLGGs compared to LGGs revealed that iLGGs comprise of a high proportion of IDH1/IDH2 mutations and 1p/19q codeletions, which suggests that iLGGs are not of an entirely different molecular and genetic subset compared to LGGs [28]. While data regarding the molecular and genetic profile of iLGGs remains sparse, recent histopathological findings support the 2016 and 2021 WHO restructuring of glioma classification and facilitate progress in understanding glioma genesis [2, 4, 5, 7, 29].

Not only do iLGGs share a similar genetic composition to LGGs, but it is also approximated that iLGGs have a radiological tumor growth rate of 4 mm/year [12]. In a series of 143 gliomas, Pallud et al. noted an inverse correlation between radiographic growth rates and survival, advocating for this parameter's incorporation into treatment planning [35]. Additionally, iLGGs demonstrate a median Ki67 proliferative index of 5.0% [7, 15], which is comparable to that of symptomatic LGGs, indicating that early surgical resection may be beneficial to improve prognosis and survival outcomes [7, 15, 36]. Gogos et al. reported a key finding that 13% of iLGGs were observed to have IDH1/IDH2 wild-type genetic features which are associated with a worse prognosis, however, this information would not have been revealed if treatment had been delayed [36]. This finding favors early therapeutic intervention for asymptomatic iLGGs. Furthermore, the 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System proposed a combined histological and molecular grading rather than the traditional exclusively histological tumor grading [5]. As a result, molecular parameters have now been added (which include +7/-10 copy number changes in IDH-wildtype diffuse astrocytomas) as biomarkers of grading and for further estimating prognosis within multiple tumor types. This allows a glioblastoma, IDH-wildtype CNS WHO grade 4 designations even in cases that otherwise appear histologically lower grade potentially altering the definition of LGG [5].

Diagnostic imaging

Neuroimaging plays an important role in the diagnosis of iLGGs and may provide insight to their molecular profiles [31]. In recent years, increasing access and application of MRI has led to a rise in the discovery of iLGGs [15]. Most LGGs present as isointense or hypointense on T1-weighted, and hyperintense on T2-weighted MRIs [7]. Also, LGGs, most of which are IDH-mutant, generally do not demonstrate contrast enhancement and instead have more non-enhancing solid components [31]. A notable radiographic feature among LGGs that is highly specific for IDH-mutant 1p/19q non-codeleted gliomas is the T2-FLAIR mismatch sign [37]. Genetic features not only can be seen with IDH, but also 1p/19q codeletion tumors are characterized to have indistinct margins and frequently contain calcifications [31]. While conventional MRI is the standard tool for identifying,

characterizing, and measuring response to treatment for iLGGs, its ability to accurately discern radiological features can be a barrier to providing reliable information [38]. Metabolic and physiological imaging modalities are increasingly being incorporated for diagnosing, targeting, and evaluating treatment progress of LGGs. These include magnetic resonance (MR) techniques such as perfusion-weighted imaging (PWI), sodium imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), and proton MR spectroscopy [39]. These functional techniques are capable of potentially differentiating between IDH-mutant and IDH wild-type gliomas [39]. The most promising recent advancement in proton MR spectroscopy involves 2-hydroxyglutarate (2HG) detection which is a metabolite that is characterized as a highly specific marker for IDH-mutant gliomas [10, 39]. Interestingly, there's evidence suggesting the epileptogenic nature of 2HG as higher tissue concentrations of it have been associated with preoperative seizures in glioma patients [40]. Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging provides valuable insight about tumor metabolism and PET can be combined with radiolabeled particles such as O-(2-18F-fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine (18F-FET), and 6-fluoro-L-DOPA(FDOPA) to guide glioma detection during biopsy or resection [41, 42]. LGGs are typically hypometabolic on PET with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose compared to HGGs; however, PET with amino acid uptake of 18F-FET is noted to be increased in two-thirds of LGGs and is therefore utilized to distinguish LGGs from HGGs [7]. The metabolic information obtained with PET can be combined with the morphological characteristics acquired from MRI to improve histological grading and accurately perform targeted biopsies [41].

Recent imaging advancements not only serve in diagnosis of gliomas, but also can be employed during neurosurgical procedures to increase maximal extent of resection (EOR) and improve survival outcomes. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) uses similar principles to DWI except it is more sensitive to the diffusion of protons along white matter tracts [31]. DTI can serve as a useful aid when used in conjunction with structural MRI to plan the ideal surgical approach for maximal safe resection [7, 31]. While preoperative planning with conventional neuroimaging modalities such as MRI or PET is important, brain shift is a phenomenon that must be accounted for during surgery due to edema, gravity, or fluid changes, which results in unreliable preoperative imaging sequences and limits safe maximal EOR [24, 43]. To overcome these inherent limitations of neuronavigation, innovations including intraoperative MRI (iMRI) and intraoperative fluorescence microscopy with 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA) were developed to visualize tumor tissue during resection [43]. iMRI is a frequently used technology that facilitates safe maximal EOR to preserve function in eloquent brain regions, improve prognosis, and retain quality of life [43]. Several studies have shown iMRI's superiority

to conventional MRI with respect to clinical outcomes [43]. 5-ALA provides enhanced intraoperative visualization of LGG tissue which also allows for greater EOR; however, this modality does not offer similar findings seen in HGGs which show increased levels of florescence [24]. Overall, 5-ALA fluorescence in LGGs is associated with higher grade histology and studies show that 5-ALA serves as a valuable and reliable intraoperative marker for identification of intratumoral anaplastic foci and is not vulnerable to brain shift [24]. A summary of diagnostic or biopsy/resection neuroimaging techniques for iLGGs and other grades of gliomas is provided in Table 2.

Surgical Advancements

Surgical resection remains the primary first-line treatment for LGGs and HGGs; however, the decision to resect iLGGs is a well-disputed debate entwined in ethical and medical concerns [16]. iLGGs are typically smaller in volume and occur in younger populations [12, 18]. The nature of iLGGs complicates the decision to either watchfully wait or surgically treat these tumors, potentially risking a decrease in quality of life due to long-term functional impact or postoperative complications [12, 18]. However, recent studies have highlighted that iLGGs are progressive tumors that share a similar fate to LGGs, and can evolve towards a higher grade of malignancy [10]. Additionally, several studies show that maximal EOR is not only associated with better overall survival, but also is safe due to the smaller size of iLGGs, which makes them less likely to be located in eloquent regions compared to symptomatic gliomas [7, 8], 12, 14–16, 36]. Several advancements have been introduced to optimize resection including enhancement of mapping of functional pathways and advanced intraoperative brain tumor visualization techniques [7, 36]. Awake intraoperative cortical stimulation mapping is an innovative modality that uses functional boundaries to achieve greater EOR in iLGG patients without inflicting treatment-related neurological deficits; this modality is also associated with decreased rates of post-operative seizures and improved neuropsychological outcomes [44]. These advancements may allow for avoidance of not only motor, language, or cognitive disabilities, but also social and professional disabilities in patients' diagnosis [45]. While epilepsy is a serious complication from early prophylactic surgery in iLGG patients, it is observed in less than 10% of patients during long-term follow-up, and should not prevent patients from undergoing early resection [46]. Studies that combine DTI and conventional MRI to delineate glioma's adjacent cortical tracts are associated with lower likelihood of neurological deficits or damage to motor pathways [7, 47]. Lastly, fluorescence-guided 5-ALA resections for LGGs are being optimized to improve fluorescence visualization through use of intraoperative confocal microscopy and other advances to improve EOR and determine tumor histopathology [24, 43]. These advancements may facilitate the neurosurgery community's inclination to resect these tumors earlier, instead of watchful waiting.

Correlation of surgical resection and survival outcomes

Although optimal management of iLGGs is still a dilemma, there has been a growing consensus amongst neurosurgeons that these tumors are not as indolent as originally characterized to be, which has sparked new evaluation of survival outcomes in iLGG patients who undergo early surgical resection [48]. Even small volume iLGGs are not benign lesions, but instead are tumors that carry a risk of progression to a higher grade and possible anaplastic transformation resulting in death [49]. Therefore, iLGG's risk of malignant progression should encourage clinicians to treat these tumors in a manner similar to symptomatic LGGs [36, 49]. Studies have shown that early maximal EOR improves overall survival (OS) in symptomatic LGGs through delaying risk of malignant transformation [7, 36, 44, 48]. It is also possible for malignant transformation to occur even if the patient remains asymptomatic [21]. Numerous studies have reported that an early prophylactic surgery approach results in a greater EOR and prolonged survival for iLGGs compared to symptomatic LGGs [10, 14, 16, 36, 48]. iLGGs may be more amenable to GTR because they are less likely to be located in eloquent brain regions and therefore are associated with improved OS [7]. While certain genetic parameters are associated with a better prognosis of iLGGs, early preventative surgery is also a crucial component [8, 46]. One study reported a 20 cm^3 volume increase over an average of 28 months which supports early resection when iLGG tumor volumes tend to be lower and accordingly allow for greater rates of EOR [16]. Zeng et al. reported an intriguing comparison of surgical timing between two cohorts of iLGG patients: (1) those who underwent surgical resection prior to symptom onset and (2) those who delayed surgical treatment until symptoms arose [17]. This study found that surgical timing was not significantly associated with OS, progression-free survival (PFS), and malignant PFS (MPFS) rates [17]. However, total resection was a significant factor that showed positive correlation to OS, PFS, and MPFS; therefore, surgical timing should be utilized to assist neurosurgeons with achieving maximal EOR [17]. Additionally, in a series of patients, Jakola et al. and Ius et al. noted better overall survival in patients with LGG and iLGG undergoing early resection compared to those managed conservatively through biopsy and "wait and see" approach [23, 26]. The aforementioned studies provide compelling data advocating for early surgical resection of iLGGs [14, 29, 36, 48]. Similarly, the new 2021 WHO classification of molecular subtypes among the

Table 2A summary of common and r	novel diagnostic neuroimaging techni	ques used for diagnosis and managemer	nt of incidental gliomas (iLGGs)	
Neuroimaging modality	Purpose of neuroimaging modality	Mechanism and role of neuroimag- ing modality	Highlights and outcomes obtained with neuroimaging modality	Additional notes
Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)- 1.5 T or 3 T [7, 10, 17, 30, 35–37]	Diagnostic imaging for iLGGs	MRI is the most routine neuroimag- ing modality applied for diagnosis of iLGGs. MRI provides valuable anatomical and functional informa- tion about the tumor that facilitates diagnosis, resection, and monitor- ing of iLGGs	T-1 weighted MRI shows isoin- tense or hypointense LGGs. T-2 weighted MRI shows hyperintense LGGs that do not typically enhance with contrast. MRI can also be used to identify prognostic features such as cyst formation or ring-like enhancement in LGGs that can help to predict survival outcomes [Deng et al.]	While 3 T offers enhanced image resolution, 1.5 T is still the most frequently used to identify and diag- nose gliomas. Also, T-2-weighted Fluid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) mismatch sign is a hyper- intense signal on T-2 weighted MRI sequences and hypointense signal on FLAIR sequences with a hyperin- tense peripheral rim. This mismatch sign is a newly characterized feature that may help identify patients with IDH-mutant gliomas
Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) [7, 10, 30, 37]	Diagnostic Imaging for iLGGs & Biopsy or Resection of iLGGs	DTI is a diffusion-weighted MRI technique that provides important information about white matter tracts in the brain through analyz- ing the directionality and motion of water molecules	DTI has been shown to offer useful information for surgical resection of gliomas. It serves as a com- plementary imaging modality to structural MRI by providing not only anatomical information, but also allowing for greater outline of functional tracts in the brain	An imaging tool for preoperative sur- gical resection approach planning to help neurosurgeons avoid eloquent brain regions such as motor or lan- guage areas during surgery; however, this technique is still susceptible to brain shift
Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging with amino acid <i>O</i> -(2- ¹⁸ F- fluoroethyl)-1-tyrosine (¹⁸ F-FET) [7, 10, 37, 39]	Diagnostic imaging for iLGGs	PET is a form of metabolic imag- ing that can be used to aid in the diagnosis of LGGs by quantify- ing tumor metabolism. PET with radiotracers is capable of differ- entiation tumor tissue and normal brain tissue	PET imaging with use of 18F-fluoro- deoxyglucose reveals that LGGs are hypometabolic in comparison to HGGs. PET imaging with 18F- FET has shown to help differenti- ate gliomas from other tumors. In LGGs with increased uptake of 18F-FET, studies have shown it may be suggestive of increased diagnosis accuracy for malignant progression compared to MRI	This technique could not only improve diagnosis of potential LGGs are a higher risk for malignant transfor- mation, but also enhance histologic diagnosis obtained during biopsy or resection
Proton magnetic resonance (MR) spectroscopy (¹ H-MRSI) [7, 10, 37]	Diagnostic imaging for iLGGs	Proton MR spectroscopy is another physiologic and metabolic imaging modality that offers more informa- tion about tumor activity than conventional MRI by assessing the distribution of cellular metabolite levels	Several studies have reported ¹ H-MRSI can serve as an aid in detecting LGG tumor progression by evaluating for cellular metabo- lite levels that are characteristically found in LGGs, but not HGGs, such as choline (CHO) peak due to increased membrane synthesis or low N-acetylaspartate (NAA), and no presence of lactate or lipids	Specifically, studies have noted that a decreased NAA-CHO ratio poten- tially may be used as a technique to identify early LGG dedifferentiation and transformation to higher malignancy tumors

Neuroimaging modality	Purpose of neuroimaging modality	Mechanism and role of neuroimag- ing modality	Highlights and outcomes obtained with neuroimaging modality	Additional notes
Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging (iMRI) [7, 40]	Biopsy or resection of iLGGs	iMRI is a continuous imaging tech- nique that allows for intraoperative imaging to evaluate progress of tumor resection	iMRI is well-documented in the literature as a useful technology in LGG surgery that can help safely achieve maximal EOR in an effi- cient and cost-effective manner	Studies have demonstrated this modal- ity has improved EOR, decreased damage to functional brain regions, and better survival outcomes in glioma surgery
Intraoperative fluorescence-guided microscopy with 5-amino-levulinic acid (5-ALA) [7, 38, 40, 41]	Biopsy or resection of iLGGs	This novel imaging method offers enhanced intraoperative visualiza- tion of LGG tissue with the aid of 5-ALA induced fluorescence compared to traditional white-light microscopy	While 5-ALA tool has less visible fluorescence in LGGs compared to HGGs, it is still a valuable approach that has potential to be combined with newer techniques such as quantitative fluorescence, intraoperative confocal microscopy, and FLIM of PpIX to improve patient outcomes	While this technique is now routinely incorporated to visualize HGGs during resection, recent studies highlight this modality's potential to overcome brain shift and effectively identify intratumoral regions of anaplastic foci in LGGs
<i>iLGG</i> incidental low-grade glioma, <i>H</i> fluid-attenuated inversion recovery, <i>D</i> MR spectroscopy, <i>NAA-CHO</i> N-acety	GG high-grade glioma, LGG low-gra 77 diffusion tensor imaging, RTW ret /laspartate-choline, PET positron emis	de glioma, <i>MRI</i> magnetic resonance im turn to work, <i>IDH</i> isocitrate dehydroge ssion tomography, ¹⁸ F-FET O-(2- ¹⁸ F-ft.	aging, <i>MR</i> magnetic resonance spectro snase, 5- <i>ALA</i> 5-amino-levulinic acid, <i>Ei</i> uoroethyl)-L-tyrosine, <i>FLIM of PpIX</i> flu	scopy, <i>iMRI</i> intraoperative MRI, <i>FLAIR</i> <i>OR</i> extent of resection, ¹ <i>H-MRSI</i> proton lorescence lifetime imaging of <i>protopor</i> -

Table 2 (continued)

Springer

EOR classes, and the proposed combined histological and molecular grading alters the definition of LGGs, therefore impacting the management strategy (surgical intervention versus surveillance) and survival outcomes [5, 26].

Although some groups have shared concern about sacrificing cognitive function in young patients with iLGGs for early resection, recent data shows neuropsychological results were not impacted by awake surgery [44]. A novel study assessed the return to work rate in iLGG patients who underwent awake resection with intraoperative mapping and demonstrated that while postoperative seizures were associated to a delayed return to work, 97.1% of patients were still able to resume their professional activities, suggesting that early surgery to prevent malignant progression is capable of producing favorable outcomes [45]. Additionally, Zeng et al. noted a higher rate of postoperative complications and postoperative seizures in patients with symptomatic LGGs compared to asymptomatic ones [17]. This data further supports early surgical resection as delaying surgery until the onset of symptoms may increase the risk of complications. In combination with the likely shared natural history of iLGGs with symptomatic LGGs, these survival outcome findings collectively support early preventative surgical resection of iLGGs.

Regarding individualizing treatment options for patients diagnosed with iLGG, there is a lack of consensus. Some studies oppose early resection and favor surveillance with serial clinical examination and MR imaging because iLGGs harbor IDH1 mutation leading to a delayed disease progression and malignant transformation [12, 18, 50]. Whereas, other studies recommend early surgical intervention as a primary consideration given iLGGs are a precursor to symptomatic LGGs and iLGGs' non-quiescent nature even in asymptomatic patients [8, 10, 12, 14–17, 23, 36, 44, 45]. However, despite serval studies reporting in favor of early resection over the past decades, surgical resection followed by radiation therapy has been the mainstay of treatment for high-risk LGG with subtotal resection, early radiation being associated with longer progress-free survival [51–53]. Furthermore, a large phase III trial has reported an overall survival of 7.8 years in patients treated with radiation alone, compared with 13.3 years in patients treated with both radiation and chemotherapy [54]. However, surgical treatment for concurrent lesions or treatment of early radiation at diagnosis versus at the time of recurrence is yet to be elucidated.

Clinical implications

ohyrin IX

An optimal treatment approach for patients with newly diagnosed iLGG remains ill-defined. In iLGG patients, the decision to resect early is a medical, ethical, and socioeconomic challenge which must be carefully weighed to assess the immediate and delayed consequences of choosing a conservative versus surgical approach [50]. Unnecessary intervention may cause a disruption in quality of life and foster pre- and post-operative anxiety; however, active surveillance may also be seen as an ethical dilemma [50]. Future studies should comprehensively evaluate additional parameters such as employment abilities, as well as social, legal, and cultural issues that are inherent to this decision [45]. Some authors have proposed implementing a radiological screening policy for healthy individuals ages 20–40 [10]. Ultimately, a screening policy would also require a personalized treatment paradigm to ensure reliable patient-centered care that avoids ensuing lifelong disabilities in young patients who prior to diagnosis, were largely unaffected [50].

Treatment of iLGG is controversial due to their asymptomatic nature and lack of associated histologic confirmation leaving the physician to counsel a patient based solely on MRI [55]. Shah et al. suggest a conservative protocol of active surveillance which includes repeated physical examinations and surveillance MRI every 4 months [55]. Other studies have shown that the continuous growth of iLGGs (> 2 mm/year) can provide sufficient justification on MRI; therefore, physicians could utilize this growth, the onset clinical symptoms or a positive 18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography scan to administer treatments [15, 55, 56]. Furthermore, several studies have implied the safe and practical aspect of a conservative protocol of active surveillance prior to actively treating these lesions [15, 55–63].

Conclusions

Significant progress in management of gliomas, regardless of the grade, has been made through modern neurosurgical treatment modalities, diagnostic neuroimaging, and a better understanding of the genetic composition of these tumors. However, the clinical protocol for surgical management of iLGGs remains controversial. Although more is known about the natural history of iLGGs, additional information is necessary to thoroughly assess the impact of early surgical resection on prognosis. Our review emphasizes the not benign nature of iLGGs and further supports the need for future studies to evaluate survival outcomes following surgical resection.

Acknowledgements None.

Author contributions All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by AP and KM. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Anjali Pradhan and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Funding Khashayar Mozaffari is supported by the Gurtin Skull-base fellowship. Dr. Isaac Yang reports being supported by the UCLA Visionary Ball Fund Grant, Eli and Edythe Broad Center of Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research UCLA Scholars in Translational Medicine Program Award, Jason Dessel Memorial Seed Grant, UCLA Honberger Endowment Brain Tumor Research Seed Grant, and Stop Cancer (US) Development Award. The sponsors had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

Data availability Not applicable.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval Not applicable.

Informed consent Not applicable.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Truitt G et al (2018) CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2011–2015. Neuro Oncol 20:iv1–iv86. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noy131
- Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G et al (2016) The 2016 World Health Organization classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 131:803–820. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-016-1545-1
- Wang Q-W, Wang Y-W, Wang Z-L et al (2020) Clinical and molecular characterization of incidentally discovered lower-grade gliomas with enrichment of aerobic respiration. OncoTargets Ther 13:9533–9542. https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S248623
- Gupta A, Dwivedi T (2017) A simplified overview of World Health Organization Classification update of central nervous system tumors 2016. J Neurosci Rural Pract 8:629–641. https://doi. org/10.4103/jnrp.jnrp_168_17
- Louis DN, Perry A, Wesseling P et al (2021) The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Neuro Oncol 23:1231–1251. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noab106
- 6. Opoku-Darko M, Eagles ME, Cadieux M et al (2019) Natural history and growth patterns of incidentally discovered diffusely

- Noorani I, Sanai N (2017) Surgical management of incidental gliomas. Neurosurg Clin N Am 28:397–406. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.nec.2017.02.008
- de Oliveira Lima GL, Zanello M, Mandonnet E et al (2016) Incidental diffuse low-grade gliomas: from early detection to preventive neuro-oncological surgery. Neurosurg Rev 39:377–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10143-015-0675-6
- Mladenovsk M, Valkov I, Ovcharov M et al (2021) High grade glioma surgery—clinical aspects and prognosis. Folia Med (Plovdiv) 63:35–41. https://doi.org/10.3897/folmed.63.e55255
- Boetto J, Ng S, Duffau H (2021) Predictive evolution factors of incidentally discovered suspected low-grade gliomas: results from a consecutive series of 101 patients. Neurosurgery 88:797–803. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuros/nyaa532
- Sanai N, Chang S, Berger MS (2011) Low-grade gliomas in adults. J Neurosurg 115:948–965. https://doi.org/10.3171/2011.7. JNS101238
- Potts MB, Smith JS, Molinaro AM, Berger MS (2012) Natural history and surgical management of incidentally discovered lowgrade gliomas. J Neurosurg 116:365–372. https://doi.org/10.3171/ 2011.9.JNS111068
- Eskandary H, Sabba M, Khajehpour F, Eskandari M (2005) Incidental findings in brain computed tomography scans of 3000 head trauma patients. Surg Neurol 63:550–553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surneu.2004.07.049 (discussion 553)
- Ius T, Cesselli D, Isola M et al (2020) Incidental low-grade gliomas: single-institution management based on clinical, surgical, and molecular data. Neurosurgery 86:391–399. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/neuros/nyz114
- Pallud J, Fontaine D, Duffau H et al (2010) Natural history of incidental World Health Organization grade II gliomas. Ann Neurol 68:727–733. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.22106
- Opoku-Darko M, Lang ST, Artindale J et al (2018) Surgical management of incidentally discovered diffusely infiltrating low-grade glioma. J Neurosurg 129:19–26. https://doi.org/10.3171/2017.3. JNS17159
- 17. Zeng L, Mei Q, Li H et al (2021) A survival analysis of surgically treated incidental low-grade glioma patients. Sci Rep 11:8522. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88023-y
- Pallud J, Capelle L, Taillandier L et al (2013) The silent phase of diffuse low-grade gliomas. Is it when we missed the action? Acta Neurochir (Wien) 155:2237–2242. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00701-013-1886-7
- Mandonnet E, de Witt HP, Pallud J et al (2014) Silent diffuse lowgrade glioma: toward screening and preventive treatment? Cancer 120:1758–1762. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.28610
- Smith JS, Chang EF, Lamborn KR et al (2008) Role of extent of resection in the long-term outcome of low-grade hemispheric gliomas. J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol 26:1338–1345. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.13.9337
- Cochereau J, Herbet G, Rigau V, Duffau H (2016) Acute progression of untreated incidental WHO Grade II glioma to glioblastoma in an asymptomatic patient. J Neurosurg 124:141–145. https://doi.org/10.3171/2014.12.JNS141851
- 22. Aghi MK, Nahed BV, Sloan AE et al (2015) The role of surgery in the management of patients with diffuse low grade glioma: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Neurooncol 125:503–530. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11060-015-1867-1
- Jakola AS, Myrmel KS, Kloster R et al (2012) Comparison of a strategy favoring early surgical resection vs a strategy favoring watchful waiting in low-grade gliomas. JAMA 308:1881–1888. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.12807

- Kiesel B, Freund J, Reichert D et al (2021) 5-ALA in suspected low-grade gliomas: current role, limitations, and new approaches. Front Oncol 11:3062. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.699301
- Appay R, Dehais C, Maurage C-A et al (2019) CDKN2A homozygous deletion is a strong adverse prognosis factor in diffuse malignant IDH-mutant gliomas. Neuro Oncol 21:1519–1528. https:// doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noz124
- Ius T, Ng S, Young J et al (2022) The benefit of early surgery on overall survival in incidental low-grade glioma patients: a multicenter study. Neuro Oncology 24(4):624–638. https://doi.org/10. 1093/neuonc/noab210
- Brown TJ, Bota DA, van Den Bent MJ et al (2019) Management of low-grade glioma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuro-Oncol Pract 6:249–258. https://doi.org/10.1093/nop/npy034
- Zhang Z-Y, Chan AK-Y, Ng H-K et al (2014) Surgically treated incidentally discovered low-grade gliomas are mostly IDH mutated and 1p19q co-deleted with favorable prognosis. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 7:8627–8636
- Patel NV, Langer DJ, Boockvar JA (2020) Commentary: incidental low-grade gliomas: single-institution management based on clinical, surgical, and molecular data. Neurosurgery 86:E258– E259. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuros/nyz210
- Claus EB, Walsh KM, Wiencke JK et al (2015) Survival and low-grade glioma: the emergence of genetic information. Neurosurg Focus 38:E6. https://doi.org/10.3171/2014.10.FOCUS 12367
- Bai J, Varghese J, Jain R (2020) Adult glioma WHO classification update, genomics, and imaging: what the radiologists need to know. Top Magn Reson Imaging 29:71
- Eckel-Passow JE, Lachance DH, Molinaro AM et al (2015) Glioma Groups Based on 1p/19q, IDH, and TERT promoter mutations in tumors. N Engl J Med 372:2499–2508. https://doi.org/10. 1056/NEJMoa1407279
- Porporato PE, Filigheddu N, Pedro JMB-S et al (2018) Mitochondrial metabolism and cancer. Cell Res 28:265–280. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/cr.2017.155
- Pelicano H, Xu R-H, Du M et al (2006) Mitochondrial respiration defects in cancer cells cause activation of Akt survival pathway through a redox-mediated mechanism. J Cell Biol 175:913–923. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200512100
- Pallud J, Mandonnet E, Duffau H et al (2006) Prognostic value of initial magnetic resonance imaging growth rates for World Health Organization grade II gliomas. Ann Neurol 60:380–383. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ana.20946
- Gogos AJ, Young JS, Pereira MP et al (2020) Surgical management of incidentally discovered low-grade gliomas. J Neurosurg. https://doi.org/10.3171/2020.6.JNS201296
- Juratli TA, Tummala SS, Riedl A et al (2019) Radiographic assessment of contrast enhancement and T2/FLAIR mismatch sign in lower grade gliomas: correlation with molecular groups. J Neurooncol 141:327–335. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11060-018-03034-6
- Kan LK, Drummond K, Hunn M et al (2020) Potential biomarkers and challenges in glioma diagnosis, therapy and prognosis. BMJ Neurol Open 2:e000069. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjno-2020-000069
- Patel SH, Poisson LM, Brat DJ et al (2017) T2–FLAIR mismatch, an imaging biomarker for IDH and 1p/19q status in lower-grade gliomas: a TCGA/TCIA project. Clin Cancer Res 23:6078. https:// doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-0560
- Ohno M, Hayashi Y, Aikawa H et al (2021) Tissue 2-hydroxyglutarate and preoperative seizures in patients with diffuse gliomas. Neurology 97:e2114–e2123. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000 00000012893
- 41. Trikalinos NA, Nihashi T, Evangelou E, Terasawa T (2018) Positron emission tomography (PET) for prediction of glioma

histology: protocol for an individual-level data meta-analysis of test performance. BMJ Open 8:e020187. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2017-020187

- 42. Becherer A, Karanikas G, Szabó M et al (2003) Brain tumour imaging with PET: a comparison between [18F]fluorodopa and [11C]methionine. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 30:1561–1567. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-003-1259-1
- Golub D, Hyde J, Dogra S et al (2020) Intraoperative MRI versus 5-ALA in high-grade glioma resection: a network meta-analysis. J Neurosurg. https://doi.org/10.3171/2019.12.JNS191203
- 44. Ng S, Herbet G, Lemaitre A-L et al (2020) Neuropsychological assessments before and after awake surgery for incidental lowgrade gliomas. J Neurosurg. https://doi.org/10.3171/2020.7.JNS20 1507
- 45. Ng S, Herbet G, Moritz-Gasser S, Duffau H (2020) Return to work following surgery for incidental diffuse low-grade glioma: a prospective series with 74 patients. Neurosurgery 87:720–729. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuros/nyz513
- 46. de Oliveira-Lima GL, Duffau H (2015) Is there a risk of seizures in "preventive" awake surgery for incidental diffuse low-grade gliomas? J Neurosurg 122:1397–1405. https://doi.org/10.3171/ 2014.9.JNS141396
- 47. Wu J-S, Zhou L-F, Tang W-J et al (2007) Clinical evaluation and follow-up outcome of diffusion tensor imaging-based functional neuronavigation: a prospective, controlled study in patients with gliomas involving pyramidal tracts. Neurosurgery 61:935–948. https://doi.org/10.1227/01.neu.0000303189.80049.ab (discussion 948-949)
- Ius T, Ng S, Young JS et al (2021) The benefit of early surgery on overall survival in incidental low grade glioma patients: a multicenter study. Neuro Oncol. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noab2 10
- Duffau H (2013) The rationale to perform early resection in incidental diffuse low-grade glioma: toward a "preventive surgical neurooncology." World Neurosurg 80:e115-117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2012.06.036
- 50. Shah AH, Madhavan K, Heros D et al (2011) The management of incidental low-grade gliomas using magnetic resonance imaging: systematic review and optimal treatment paradigm. Neurosurg Focus 31:E12. https://doi.org/10.3171/2011.9.FOCUS11219
- 51. Karim AB, Maat B, Hatlevoll R et al (1996) A randomized trial on dose-response in radiation therapy of low-grade cerebral glioma: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Study 22844. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 36:549–556. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0360-3016(96)00352-5
- 52. Shaw E, Arusell R, Scheithauer B et al (2002) Prospective randomized trial of low- versus high-dose radiation therapy in adults with supratentorial low-grade glioma: initial report of a North Central Cancer Treatment Group/Radiation Therapy Oncology Group/Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol

Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:2267–2276. https://doi.org/10.1200/ JCO.2002.09.126

- 53. van den Bent MJ, Afra D, de Witte O et al (2005) Long-term efficacy of early versus delayed radiotherapy for low-grade astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma in adults: the EORTC 22845 randomised trial. Lancet Lond Engl 366:985–990. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0140-6736(05)67070-5
- 54. Shaw EG, Wang M, Coons SW et al (2012) Randomized trial of radiation therapy plus procarbazine, lomustine, and vincristine chemotherapy for supratentorial adult low-grade glioma: initial results of RTOG 9802. J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol 30:3065–3070. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2011.35.8598
- Shah AH, Madhavan K, Sastry A, Komotar RJ (2013) Managing intracranial incidental findings suggestive of low-grade glioma: learning from experience. World Neurosurg 80:e75-77. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2012.06.021
- 56. Floeth FW, Sabel M, Stoffels G et al (2008) Prognostic value of 18F-fluoroethyl-L-tyrosine PET and MRI in small nonspecific incidental brain lesions. J Nucl Med Off Publ Soc Nucl Med 49:730–737. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.107.050005
- Duffau H, Pallud J, Mandonnet E (2011) Evidence for the genesis of WHO grade II glioma in an asymptomatic young adult using repeated MRIs. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 153:473–477. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00701-010-0917-x
- Katzman GL, Dagher AP, Patronas NJ (1999) Incidental findings on brain magnetic resonance imaging from 1000 asymptomatic volunteers. JAMA 282:36–39. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.282.1. 36
- Onizuka M, Suyama K, Shibayama A et al (2001) Asymptomatic brain tumor detected at brain check-up. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 41:431–434. https://doi.org/10.2176/nmc.41.431 (discussion 435)
- Shah S, Schelper RL, Krishnamurthy S, Chang HT (2007) An 11-year-old boy with an incidental mass in the left lateral cerebellum. Neuropathol Off J Jpn Soc Neuropathol 27:95–97. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1789.2006.00765.x
- Stark AM, Fritsch MJ, Claviez A et al (2005) Management of tectal glioma in childhood. Pediatr Neurol 33:33–38. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2004.12.014
- Suslu HT, Bozbuga M (2011) Primary brain tumors associated with cerebral aneurysm: report of three cases. Turk Neurosurg 21:216–221. https://doi.org/10.5137/1019-5149.JTN.2487-09.1
- Vernooij MW, Ikram MA, Tanghe HL et al (2007) Incidental findings on brain MRI in the general population. N Engl J Med 357:1821–1828. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa070972

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.