

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

EXTENSION OF TWO-NUCLEON TRANSFER THEORY TO INCLUDE INELASTIC PROCESSES

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/03m973km>

Authors

Ascutto, R.J.
Glendenning, Norman K.

Publication Date

1969-04-01

c.2

EXTENSION OF TWO-NUCLEON TRANSFER THEORY
TO INCLUDE INELASTIC PROCESSES

R. J. Ascutto and Norman K. Glendenning

April 1969

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

UCRL-18874
c.2

RECEIVED
RADIATION LABORATORY

MAR 9 1970

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

EXTENSION OF TWO-NUCLEON TRANSFER THEORY TO INCLUDE INELASTIC PROCESSES*

R. J. Ascuitto[†] and Norman K. Glendenning

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

April 1969

ABSTRACT


The theory of two-nucleon transfer reactions is extended to include higher order transitions going through intermediate nuclear states produced by inelastic scattering of the ingoing or outgoing particle. The reaction, as is usual, is treated to first order, but the inelastic processes, to all orders among the retained channels. The theory is formulated so that it is very easily applied to any microscopic structure calculation since the relevant content of such calculations appears in the theory only through the values of the matrix elements of the pair creation $[d^+d^+]_J$ and scattering $[d^+\tilde{d}]_J$ operators.

* This work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

† Now at Physics Department, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut.

1. INTRODUCTION

The existent treatments of the two-nucleon transfer reaction all neglect the effect of inelastic processes. There are two circumstances where this neglect is not justified. The first concerns a question of parentage. If in the reactions



the configuration of the group of (A) nucleons is the same in the residual state of interest as in the target ground state, then the usual treatment may be valid. However, if the state of motion of any of the core nucleons is different, then a description of the inelastic processes that produced this difference becomes essential, if in fact the state is excited in the reaction.

The second circumstance when inelastic processes are crucial for a correct description, arises when some inelastic transitions in either the target or residual system, or both, are so strong as to produce significant deexcitation back into the elastic channel. In this circumstance the usual one channel optical potential will not provide a good description of the relative motion in the vicinity of the nucleus, just where it is important for the description of the transfer reaction.

In this paper we present the formalism by which inelastic processes can be incorporated into the theory of two-nucleon transfer reactions. The method that we use was described and justified in an earlier publication,¹ and may be referred to briefly as the source term method. It is in a source term, which appears as an inhomogeneity in the coupled differential equations describing inelastic scattering, that the nature of the transfer reaction is

specified. We treat the reaction under the usual assumptions made in two-nucleon transfer theory.² The theory is formulated in such a way that the particular structure of the nuclear states enters the description of the reaction only through certain generalized two-particle coefficients of fractional parentage. As an example these are calculated for a particular nuclear model, namely one in which the ground state is the BCS vacuum, and which has excited two quasi-particle states as well as a "two-phonon" triplet which is built from the operator which describes the collective 2_1^+ state.

For definiteness our notation refers to the (p,t) reaction, but the results are general, and we indicate at appropriate places how to interpret the formalism for other two-nucleon transfer reactions.

2. THE COUPLED EQUATIONS

Our method has been discussed in detail for (d,p) reactions in an earlier publication and the notation which we use here for the (p,t) reaction will be analogous.¹ The method consists of writing down the coupled equations which describe the inelastic scattering of the projectile by the target, and a second system of coupled equations which would describe the scattering in the residual partition, in this case the triton and final nucleus, except that the second system is coupled to the first by a source term which describes the transfer process. Thus the inelastic processes are carried to all orders among the retained channels, but the asymmetric way that the source appears means that the reaction itself is treated as a weak process only in first order. This corresponds to the fact that (p,t) cross sections are typically an order of magnitude smaller than the strongest inelastic ones.

We will describe the scattering in the initial partition of the system consisting of proton plus nucleus (A+2) by a system of N equations which includes the strongly coupled channels and any others of interest: For each parity π and total angular momentum I of the system, they are,

$$(T_{p'} + U_p(r) - E_{p'}) u_{p'}^{p\pi I}(r) + \sum_{p'' \neq p'} V_{p'p''}^{\pi I}(r) u_{p''}^{p\pi I}(r) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

The rationale for use of such a system for a microscopic description of inelastic scattering has been discussed in detail elsewhere.³ Here U stands for a complex optical potential, which is a parameterization of the diagonal matrix elements of the effective interaction which enters the problem because we intend to solve it in a highly truncated space of nuclear states, and V is the direct interaction between the nuclear nucleons and the exterior particle. Matrix elements are taken with respect to the channel wave functions

$$\phi_{p\pi I}^M(\hat{r}, A+2) = \left[\mathcal{Y}_{\ell_p s_p j_p}(\hat{r}, \sigma) \phi_{\alpha J_p}^{(A+2)} \right]_I^M \quad (2.2)$$

where ϕ is a nuclear wave function, \mathcal{Y} is a spin-orbit function for the scattered proton,¹ and the square bracket denotes vector coupling. We use p to denote the whole collection of quantum numbers defining a proton channel

$$p \equiv \ell_p s_p j_p \alpha J_p \quad (2.3)$$

When both p and p' appear in an equation, p is understood to refer to an entrance channel, and p' to any channel. The superscript p on u in Eq. (2.1) signifies that the system is subject to the boundary condition that only channels p, in which the nucleus is in its ground state, have incoming waves.

$$u_{p'}^{p\pi I}(r) \rightarrow \delta_{pp'} I_{\ell_p}(k_p r) - (v_p/v_{p'})^{1/2} S_{p',p}^{\pi I} O_{\ell_p}^I(k_p r) \quad (2.4)$$

Here O and I are outgoing and incoming spherical waves¹ and k and v are wave number and velocity respectively.

The equations describing the final partition of the system are

$$(T_t + U_t(R) - E_t) w_{t'}^{p\pi I}(R) + \sum_{t'' \neq t'} v_{t',t''}^{\pi I}(R) w_{t''}^{p\pi I}(R) = \sum_{p'} \rho_{p',t'}^{p\pi I}(R) \quad (2.5)$$

which are to be solved subject to the condition that there are only outgoing waves in triton channels.

$$w_t^{p\pi I}(R) \rightarrow - \left(\frac{v_p}{v_t} \right)^{1/2} S_{t,p}^{\pi I} O_{\ell_t}^I(k_t R) \quad (2.6)$$

These equations differ in structure from the preceding ones only in the addition of the source term ρ which represents the appearance of tritons in the channel t' due to the transfer process in the various channels p' of the initial partition. The rest of the paper is essentially devoted to the description of how this source is constructed, given the detailed microscopic structure of the states in the target and residual nuclei.

As we remarked, the asymmetric way in which the source appears in our equations means that the reaction is treated in first order only. One could consider introducing a source for the inverse reaction into (2.1) but if in fact the two-nucleon transfer reaction is not strong, this would be imprudent, as the additional numerical work involved in solving the system of equations with the additional coupling is enormous.

3. THE SOURCE TERM

The source for tritons in the channel t' is equal to the sum of matrix elements describing the transfer processes leading to it from the various channels p' ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{t'}^{p\pi I}(R) &= \sum_{p'} \rho_{p',t'}^{p\pi I}(R) \\ &\equiv R \sum_{p'} \left\langle \phi_{t',\pi I}^M(\hat{R}, \underline{A}) \phi_0 | v | \phi_{p',\pi I}^M(\hat{r}, \underline{A}+2) \frac{u_{p'}^{p\pi I}(r)}{r} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

All coordinates in the matrix element are integrated except R . Here v represents the stripping interaction. In Ref. 1 we showed that this form for the source leads precisely to the usual DWBA in the event that in our equations, the off-diagonal matrix elements of V describing the inelastic processes are dropped, and it leads to the result of Penny and Satchler⁴ when they are kept.

We will evaluate the matrix elements appearing in the source term under the assumptions usually made in two-nucleon transfer theory.² Thus the interaction v is taken to be a δ -function in the coordinate $\underline{\rho}$ describing the displacement of the proton from the center of mass of the two neutrons

$$v = v_{p,n_1} + v_{p,n_2} \approx g \delta(\underline{\rho}) \quad (3.2)$$

We assume that the relative motion between pairs in the triton is S-state and that the radial part is Gaussian in form. Then the triton radial function, ϕ_0 , separates in the coordinates $\underline{\rho}$ and the relative coordinate \underline{r} between the neutrons, so that²

$$\phi_0 = \phi_{10}(3\eta^2 r^2) \cdot \phi_{10}(4\eta^2 \rho^2) \quad (3.3)$$

Here ϕ_{10} is the oscillator function and η is the triton size parameter defined in Ref. 2.

Employing the assumptions leading to (3.2) and (3.3), the evaluation of (3.1) proceeds along the lines used in Ref. 1. The result can be written in the form

$$\rho_{p,t}^{\pi I}(R) = \frac{A+2}{A} D_0 \sum_{LSJ} A_{LSJ}(p,t) \tilde{u}_{LSJ}^{\alpha_p \alpha_t}(R) u_p^{\pi I} \left(\frac{A}{A+2} R \right) \quad (3.4)$$

This is written more generally than required for the (p,t) reaction (where only $S = 0$ transfer is allowed) so that we can cover other two-nucleon transfer reactions. Here L, S, J denote the orbital, intrinsic, and total angular momentum carried by the transferred pair. The quantity A_{LSJ} is a geometrical factor defined by

$$A_{LSJ}(p,t) = (-)^{\ell_t + j_p + J_p + I} \left(\frac{\hat{j}_t \hat{\ell}_p \hat{j}_p \hat{L}}{4\pi} \right)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_p & L & \ell_t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} j_p & J & j_t \\ J_t & I & J_p \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \ell_p & s_p & j_p \\ L & S & J \\ \ell_t & s_t & j_t \end{bmatrix} \Lambda_S(p,t)$$

where $\hat{\ell} = 2\ell + 1$. This factor is general for any particle transfer reaction when p is interpreted to refer to the lighter and t to the heavier of the light nucleides.⁶ D_0 is a constant which collects together two normalization factors,

$$D_0 = g \left[\phi_{10}(4\eta^2 \rho^2) \right]_{\rho=0} = g \left(\frac{4\eta^2}{\pi} \right)^{3/4} \quad (3.6)$$

Λ_S is the overlap matrix element for spins

$$\Lambda_S = \left\langle \chi_{s_t}^{m_t}(\sigma_p \sigma_1 \sigma_2) \left| \left[\chi_{s_p}(\sigma_p) \chi_S(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \right]_{s_t}^{m_t} \right. \right\rangle \quad (3.7)$$

Here χ_{s_t} and χ_{s_p} are the spin functions of triton and proton and

$$\chi_S(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = [\chi_{1/2}(\sigma_1) \chi_{1/2}(\sigma_2)]_S \quad (3.8)$$

is the singlet or triplet spin function of the two transferred particles.

For other reactions, see the appendix. (For the reaction (d, α) an additional overlap occurs, Ω_d , defined in the appendix of Ref. 2. An analogous overlap in the internal motion of the light nucleides should be inserted whenever neither the incoming, outgoing, or transferred object is a single nucleon.)

The function $\tilde{u}_{LSJ}^{\alpha_p \alpha_t}(R)$ in (3.4) is a projected wave function which defines the radial motion of the center of mass of the transferred pair in the state α_p of the nucleus (A+2) when their correlation corresponds to that in the triton, given that the remaining nucleons are in the state α_t of nucleus (A).

To see how it can be evaluated in terms of known quantities, consider first an example where (A) is in its ground state having zero spin and (A+2) is in a state having a pure parentage based on (A) with the two neutrons in a pure shell model state $\psi_{(a,b)J}$ (where $a \equiv n_a \ell_a j_a$).

According to its meaning, the projected wave function is defined by the equation

$$\sum_L \tilde{u}_{LSJ}^{ab}(R) Y_L^0(\hat{R}) = \left\langle \phi_{10}(3n^2 r^2) \chi_S^0(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \mid \psi_{abJ}^0(r_1, r_2) \right\rangle \quad (3.9)$$

If we use oscillator radial functions for the shell model states in ψ_{abJ} , the right side can be evaluated as in Ref. 2 to yield

$$\tilde{u}_{LSJ}^{ab}(R) = \sum_N G_{NLSJ}^{ab} u_{NL}(2\sqrt{R}^2) \quad (3.10)$$

where u_{NL} is an oscillator radial function as defined in the appendix of Ref. 2. (The incorrect asymptotic behavior of these functions may be corrected in one of several ways suggested in that appendix.) The structure amplitudes G are defined in terms of known quantities² and have been tabulated.⁷

In the general case where the spin of (A) is not zero and the parentage of (A+2) is not pure, the particles may be transferred in various ways consistent with the structure of the states. Nevertheless we can write the general result in terms of (3.10) as

$$\tilde{u}_{LSJ}^{\alpha_p \alpha_t}(R) = \sum_{a \ll b} \beta_{ab}(\alpha_p, \alpha_t) \tilde{u}_{LSJ}^{ab}(R) \quad (3.11)$$

where $\beta(\alpha_p, \alpha_t)$ are generalized two-particle parentage factors.²

In this way of formulating the problem, the structure of the nuclei (A) and (A+2) enters the description of the reaction only through the values of the parentage coefficients $\beta(\alpha_p, \alpha_t)$. For a complicated structure calculation, these would depend upon intimate details of the calculation. However for a simple model they can be exhibited explicitly as we do below.

We note as a matter of computational convenience, that if the bound state single-particle wave functions are expressed on a basis of harmonic

oscillator functions ($\sim e^{-1/2\nu r^2}$), then the source term connecting any two channels can be written in the form

$$\rho_{p,t}^{\pi I}(R) = e^{-\nu R^2} P_{p,t}^{\pi I}(R^2) u_p^{\pi I} \left(\frac{A}{A+2} R \right) \quad (3.12)$$

where P is a finite polynomial in R^2 . Therefore it can be stored in a computer according to the coefficients of the polynomial rather than as a table in R .

The amplitude for outgoing tritons can be written in terms of the S -matrix elements obtained by imposing the boundary conditions (2.6) on the solutions to (2.5). We must, as in Ref. 1, take account of the normalization (2.4) used for the proton radial functions in the source term. The result can be written

$$f(J_p M_p \mu_p \rightarrow J_t M_t \mu_t) = \frac{1}{2ik_p} \sum_{\ell_p j_p \ell_t j_t I} \sqrt{4\pi(2\ell_p+1)} i^{\ell_p-\ell_t} e^{i(\sigma_p+\sigma_t)} \sum_{m_t, m} C_{\ell_p \mu_p}^{j_p} C_{\ell_t \mu_t}^{j_t} C_{m_t, m}^{j_t} C_{m_t, m}^{j_t} S_{t,p}^{\pi I} Y_{\ell_t}^{m_t}(\hat{k}_t) \quad (3.13)$$

The cross section for the p,t reaction is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2(2J_p+1)} \sum_{M_p \mu_p M_t \mu_t} |f|^2 \quad (3.14)$$

4. THE INVERSE REACTION

The (t,p) reaction can be treated in an analogous way. In this case the homogeneous system corresponding to (2.5) is solved for the triton scattering. These solutions are used to construct the proton sources which now are inserted as an inhomogeneity into (2.1). The source term is otherwise constructed from the same ingredients as the triton source,

$$\rho_{t,p}^{\pi I}(r) = \frac{A}{A+2} D_0 \sum_{LSJ} A_{LSJ}(p,t) \tilde{u}_{LSJ}^{\alpha_p \alpha_t} \left(\frac{A+2}{A} r \right) u_t^{\pi I} \left(\frac{A+2}{A} r \right) \quad (4.1)$$

where A_{LSJ} and \tilde{u} are as defined previously for the (p,t) reaction. The amplitude for outgoing protons can be obtained from (3.13) by interchanging p and t.

5. TWO-NUCLEON PARENTAGE COEFFICIENTS

The parentage coefficient given in Ref. 2 (there in L-S coupling) may be expressed in second quantization notation, for equivalent particles, as

$$\beta_{abJ}(\alpha_p, \alpha_t) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{J}_p (1+\delta_{ab})}} \left\langle \phi_{\alpha_p J_p}^{(A+2)} \parallel [d_a^+ d_b^+]_J \parallel \phi_{\alpha_t J_t}^{(A)} \right\rangle \quad (5.1)$$

Here $d_{am_a}^+$ creates a particle in the state $n_a \ell_a j_a m_a$. The definition adopted for reduced matrix elements is that of Racah.⁸ However for transfer of a neutron and proton pair, when the isospin formalism is not used, the δ_{ab} factor should be omitted and d_a^+ and d_b^+ commuted.

If the structure of the nuclear states is defined in terms of quasi-particles α^+ related to particles through⁹

$$d_{am}^+ = U_a \alpha_{am}^+ + V_a \tilde{\alpha}_{am} \tag{5.2}$$

$$|\tilde{\alpha}_{am} = (-)^{j_a - m} \alpha_{a, -m}$$

where U and V are coefficients of the Bogolybov-Valatin transformation, then

$$[d_{a,b}^+ d_{a,b}^+]_J^M = -U_a U_b A_{JM}^+(a,b) + (-)^{J+M} V_a V_b A_{J-M}(a,b) - U_a V_b N_{JM}^+(a,b) \tag{5.3}$$

$$- (-)^{J+M} V_a U_b N_{J-M}(a,b) + V_a U_b \sqrt{j_a} \delta_{ab} \delta_{J0}$$

where

$$A_{JM}^+(a,b) = - [\alpha_a^+ \alpha_b^+]_J^M \quad (5.4)$$

$$N_{JM}^+(a,b) = (-)^{j_a - j_b + M} N_{J-M}(b,a) = - [\alpha_a^+ \tilde{\alpha}_b^+]_J^M$$

5.1. Two Quasi-particle States.

As an example of the calculation of the parentage coefficients we consider a simple model. If the ground state is described as the BCS vacuum and excited states as two quasi-particle states, then four types of parentage coefficients enter the problem, illustrated by Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Four types of parentage coefficients occur in a nucleus with vacuum ground state and two quasi-particle excited states.

We write the two quasi-particle wave functions for nucleus (A) as

$$|\alpha(A)JM\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} \eta_{ab}^{\alpha J(A)} A_{JM}^+(a,b) |\omega_A\rangle \quad (5.5)$$

Where the sum on a,b is not ordered (i.e., both a,b and b,a occur).¹⁰

Here $|\omega_A\rangle$ the BCS vacuum, and similarly for nucleus (A+2). Then the

parentage coefficients for the four types of transitions numbered in Fig. 2

are¹¹

$$\beta_{abJ}(1) = \left(\frac{\hat{j}_a}{2} \right)^{1/2} v_a(A+2) U_b(A) \delta_{ab} \delta_{J0} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\beta_{abJ}(2) = \left(\frac{\hat{J}}{1+\delta_{ab}} \right)^{1/2} \eta_{ab}^{\alpha_t J_t}(A) v_a(A+2) v_b(A+2) \delta_{JJ_t} \quad (5.7)$$

$$\beta_{abJ}(3) = - \left(\frac{1}{(1+\delta_{ab})} \right)^{1/2} \eta_{ab}^{\alpha_p J_p}(A+2) U_a(A) U_b(A) \delta_{JJ_p} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{abJ}(4) = & (\hat{j}_a/2)^{1/2} \Theta(\alpha_p, \alpha_t) v_a(A+2) U_b(A) \delta_{ab} \delta_{J0} \delta_{J_p J_t} \\ & + \left(\frac{\hat{J} J_t}{1+\delta_{ab}} \right)^{1/2} (-)^{J_t+J-J_p} \left[U_a(A) v_b(A+2) X_{ab}(\alpha_p, \alpha_t) \right. \\ & \left. + (-)^{j_a-j_b+J} U_b(A) v_a(A+2) X_{ba}(\alpha_p, \alpha_t) \right] \quad (5.9) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Theta(\alpha_p, \alpha_t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{cd} \eta_{cd}^{\alpha_p J_p}(A+2) \eta_{cd}^{\alpha_t J_t}(A) \quad (5.10)$$

$$X_{ab}(\alpha_p, \alpha_t) = \sum_d \eta_{da}^{\alpha_p J_p}(A+2) \eta_{bd}^{\alpha_t J_t}(A) \begin{Bmatrix} J_t & J & J_p \\ j_a & j_d & j_b \end{Bmatrix} \quad (5.11)$$

Note that Θ represents the overlap of a two quasi-particle state in (A) with one in (A+2). For the lowest collective 2_1^+ states in adjacent nuclei, this overlap will usually be close to unity.

5.2. Two-Phonon States

In analogy with the vibrational model, we may use the collective operator

$$Q_{\alpha 2M}^{(A)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} \eta_{ab}^{\alpha} (A) A_{2M}^{+(ab)} \quad (5.12)$$

corresponding to the lowest 2_1^+ state to generate a triplet of "two-phonon" states

$$|\alpha_p (A) J_p M_p \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[Q_2^+(A) Q_2^+(A) \right]_{J_p}^{M_p} |\omega_A \rangle \quad (5.13)$$

and similarly for the nucleus (A+2). The quasi-boson commutation relations are approximately (assuming identical BCS vacuum for (A) and (A+2)),

$$\left[Q_{\alpha_p J_p M_p}^{(A+2)}, Q_{\alpha_t J_t M_t}^+(A) \right] \approx \Theta(\alpha_p, \alpha_t) \delta_{J_p J_t} \delta_{M_p M_t} \quad (5.14)$$

The two-particle operator $[d^+ d^+]$ does not connect the vacuum ground state to the 2 phonon states. The additional parentage factors needed are illustrated in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2. Microscopic two-phonon states have parentage coefficients connecting them to other two quasi-particle states or to each other.

They are computed in the quasi-boson approximation to be,

$$\beta_{abJ}(5) = \frac{(2\hat{J}_t)^{1/2}}{\hat{J}} \Theta \beta_{col.}(2) \delta_{J_2} \delta_{J_p 2} \quad (5.15)$$

$$\beta_{abJ}(6) = \sqrt{2} \Theta \beta_{col.}(3) \delta_{J_2} \delta_{J_t 2} \quad (5.16)$$

$$\beta_{abJ}(7) = \Theta_{col.}^2 \beta(1) \delta_{J_p J_t} \quad (5.17)$$

where the subscripts "col." denote that these quantities involve the amplitudes η of the collective 2_1^+ states in (A) and (A+2). In (5.15) and (5.16), Θ is the overlap between the two-quasi-particle state and the collective 2_1^+ state from which the two-phonon states are built, whereas in (5.17) it is the overlap between the collective 2_1^+ states in the two nuclei. Therefore the coupling is weak except with another two-phonon state or with the collective 2_1^+ state, in which cases $\Theta \approx 1$.

7. COMMENT ON INELASTIC SCATTERING

Beside the source terms, the structure of the nuclear states enters the evaluation of the matrix elements of V in (2.1, 2.5). The point at which a knowledge of nuclear structure enters can be reduced finally, as was shown in Ref. 3, to the evaluation of a certain set of amplitudes which express the nuclear form factors in terms of those for single particle transitions. These amplitudes (see Section 3 of Ref. 3) can be written as

$$S_J^{ab}(\alpha_1\alpha_2) = -\frac{1}{(\hat{J})^{1/2}} \left\langle \alpha_1^{J_1} \parallel [d_a^+ \tilde{d}_b]_J \parallel \alpha_2^{J_2} \right\rangle$$


that is to say they are reduced matrix elements of the scattering operators $[d_a^+ \tilde{d}_b]_J$ for the various shell model states a, b that enter the nuclear description. The evaluation of these amplitudes for the same nuclear model described in section 5.1 appears in Ref. 3.

8. SUMMARY

A theory of two-nucleon transfer reactions which includes the effects of inelastic processes has been formulated. In addition to the direct production of the final state from the target ground state, these processes allow for its production through intermediate states produced by the inelastic scattering of the incoming or outgoing particle. The reaction is treated as the weak process it is, only in first order, but the inelastic processes are treated to all orders among the retained channels. The theory can be applied easily to microscopic calculations of nuclear structure, because the entire content of such descriptions can be inserted into our formulation very concisely through reduced matrix elements of two types of operators, namely the transfer operators $[d_a^+ d_b^+]_J$ and the scattering operators $[d_a^+ \tilde{d}_b]_J$, evaluated between the nuclear states for the various configurations a, b entering the structure calculation. This obviously makes all structure calculations readily accessible to use in calculations of transfer and scattering. However for many-particle shell-model calculations the job requires an intimate knowledge of the conventions employed and generally can be done best (or only) by the structure theorist himself.

APPENDIX

We consider the spin overlap Λ_S in a more general two-nucleon transfer reaction



where a contains n and p neutrons and protons and ν and π of them are transferred ($\nu + \pi = 2$).

Although our theory does not contain exchange processes implied by antisymmetrization, we do carry the statistical factors that weight the direct integrals considered.¹²

Thus we define Λ_S to contain those factors corresponding to the light nuclides [as β does for the nuclei (Section 5 and Ref. 2)].

$$\Lambda_S = \left[\binom{n}{\nu} \binom{p}{\pi} \right]^{1/2} \left\langle \chi_{S_1}^m(a) \left| \left[\chi_{S_2}(a - \nu - \pi) \chi_S(\nu + \pi) \right]_{S_1}^m \right. \right\rangle .$$

(Resolve any ambiguity in interpretation by comparisons with (3.7) and (3.8) for the (p,t) reaction.)

For various light particles

$$\chi_S(a) = \begin{cases} \chi_0(\sigma_{n_1} \sigma_{n_2}) \chi_0(\sigma_{p_1} \sigma_{p_2}) & , \text{ alpha} \\ \chi_{1/2}(\sigma_p) \chi_0(\sigma_{n_1} \sigma_{n_2}) & , \text{ triton} \\ \chi_1(\sigma_n \sigma_p) & , \text{ deuteron} \end{cases} .$$

Thus we find

$$\Lambda_S = \begin{cases} \delta_{S0} & (p,t) \text{ or } (n, \text{He}^3) \\ \sqrt{3} \delta_{S1} & (\alpha, d) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\delta_{S0} - \sqrt{3} \delta_{S1}) & (p, \text{He}^3) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\delta_{S0} + \sqrt{3} \delta_{S1}) & (n, t) \end{cases}$$

However there is some evidence¹³ that the strength of the stripping interaction is different for $S = 0$ and 1 . This may be taken into account for example for (p, He^3) by rewriting

$$\Lambda_S = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a_0 \delta_{S0} - \sqrt{3} a_1 \delta_{S1})$$

where a_1/a_0 gives the relative strengths.

REFERENCES

1. R. J. Ascutto and N. K. Glendenning, Phys. Rev. 181, 1396 (1969).
2. N. K. Glendenning, Phys. Rev. 137, B102 (1965).
3. N. K. Glendenning, in Proc. Int. School of Physics, "Enrico Fermi" Course XL, 1967, Ed. M. Jean (Academic Press, New York, 1969) p. 332 and Nucl. Phys. A117, 49 (1968).
4. S. K. Penny and G. R. Satchler, Nucl. Phys. 53, 145 (1964).
5. Since the coordinate \underline{r} in (2.1) and (3.1) defines the displacement of proton from nucleus (A+2), and \underline{R} the displacement of triton from (A), the effect of the δ -function in $\underline{\rho}$ sets $\underline{r} = \left(\frac{A}{A+2}\right) \underline{R}$.
6. The square bracket is a recoupling coefficient related to the 9-j symbol, cf. Eq. (3.4) of Ref. 2.
7. N. K. Glendenning, Tables of Structure Amplitudes for (p,t), (p,He³), and (α ,d) are available as Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Reports Nos. UCRL-18268, 18269, and 18270 respectively.
8. We use Racah's original definition, cf. A. R. Edmonds, Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1957) p. 75.
9. Condon-Shortley phases are used with a consequence that $UV(-)^{\ell} \geq 0$.
10. Usually wave functions are tabulated according to amplitudes C appearing in $|\alpha JM\rangle = \sum_{a \leq b} C_{ab}^{\alpha J} (1 + \delta_{ab})^{-1/2} A_{JM}^{+}(ab) |\omega\rangle$ with $\sum_{a \leq b} |C|^2 = 1$. However for formal manipulations the unrestricted form (5.5) is often more convenient. The connection between the amplitudes is

$$\eta_{ab}^{\alpha J} = (-)^{j_a - j_b + J} \eta_{ba}^{\alpha J} = C_{ab}^{\alpha J} (1 + \delta_{ab})^{1/2}.$$
11. The first three results were given, aside from the configuration amplitude, by S. Yoshida, Nucl. Phys. 33, 685 (1962).

12. cf. N. K. Glendenning, Annual Review of Nuclear Science 13, 191 (1963).
13. J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, Phys. Letters 25B, 98 (1967); D. G. Fleming, J. Cerny, and N. K. Glendenning, Phys. Rev. 165, 1153 (1968).

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or*
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.*

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720