Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

THE SCALAR MJCLEON FORM FACTOR fn1 + fp1

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/02f1d4sm

Authors

Glendenning, Norman K. Kramer, Gustav.

Publication Date

1961-10-02

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

THE SCALAR NUCLEON FORM FACTOR $\mathbf{F_1}^n + \mathbf{F_1}^p$

Norman K. Glendenning and Gustav Kramer

October 20, 1961

THE SCALAR NUCLEON FORM FACTOR $F_1^n + F_1^p *$ Norman K. Glendenning and Gustav Kramer*

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California
October 20, 1961

All meson theoretic derivations of nucleon-nucleon potentials agree that the outer region should behave like the one-pion exchange potential (OPEP). The inner region cannot be calculated unambiguously, however, and is therefore usually treated phenomenologically.

The modification of the inner region in such a way as to fit the low-energy n-p data is the subject of a forthcoming work. There we discovered that the integrals that enter the deuteron electromagnetic form factor G^2 are very insensitive to the inner region of the potential for $q \leq 3 f^{-1}$, and are therefore determined by the well-established OPEP tail. This fact can be used to extract the sum of the neutron and proton charge form factors $F_1^p + F_1^n$ with practically no uncertainty arising from our imperfect knowledge of the n-p force. The sum $F_1^p + F_1^n$ is obtained from the expression $F_1^p + F_1^n$

$$G_{\text{exp}}^2 = (F_1^p + F_1^n)^2 (G_0^2 + G_2^2) + (2 \tan^2(\theta/2) + 1) G_{\text{mag}}^2,$$
 (1)

where G_0 , G_2 , G_{mag} are respectively the contributions from the spherical and quadrupole charge distributions and the magnetic moment. In addition to F_1^p and F_1^n , G_{mag} contains also the magnetic parts of the nucleon form factors F_2^p and F_2^n . Since G_{mag}^n is almost everywhere at least two orders of magnitude

less than $G_0^2 + G_2^2$, its value will effect at most the third figure of $F_1^p + F_1^n$ (except at large angles, which we avoid). Since the already published neutron form factors of Hofstadter et al. 4 should allow us to compute G_{mag} to at least one significant figure, we shall use the published values of the nucleon form factors in G_{mag} .

We have used the experimental cross section for elastic electron-deuteron scattering of Friedman, Kendall, and Gram⁵ to find $G_{\rm exp}^2 = ({\rm d}\sigma/{\rm d}\Omega)_{\rm exp}/({\rm d}\sigma/{\rm d}\Omega)_{\rm O}$ where $({\rm d}\sigma/{\rm d}\Omega)_{\rm O}$ is the cross section for electron scattering from a spinless point charge.

Drawing a smooth curve through a recent experimental measurement 6 of the proton charge form factor $F_1^{\ p}$, we obtained values for this quantity which we subtracted from our value of $F_1^{\ p} + F_1^{\ n}$ to get the neutron charge form factor. Our results are tabulated in the Table. The upper and lower limit on $F_1^{\ n} + F_1^{\ p}$ come both from the experimental uncertainty in $G_{\exp}^{\ 2}$ and the slight uncertainty in the integrals appearing on the right side of Eq. (1) arising from our imperfect knowledge of the nucleon force at small distances.

As can be seen from the table, it is consistent with the existent data to say that the neutron charge form factor \mathbf{F}_1^n is zero, at least up to a momentum transfer $\mathbf{q} = 3\mathbf{f}^{-1}$. However, most of the data suggest a very small negative value of the form factor.

Our results do not agree with those of the Stanford and Cornell groups who analyzed the inelastic (deuteron breakup) process. However no analysis of the inelastic process to date has accounted for the presence of the D states in the deuteron, nor all of the final-state interactions, except in a rough manner. 2,8

There is some uncertainty introduced into our results by unknown relativistic and meson-current effects. Nevertheless we feel that these effects will be small in the region of low momentum transfer considered here. 9

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

- Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.
- On leave from the University of Heidelberg, Germany.
- 1. N. K. Glendenning and G. Kramer, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report

 UCRL-9904, to be published. The potentials were required to yield the

 deuteron binding energy and quadrupole moment and give a scattering

 phase shift at zero energy consistent with the known scattering length.

 In addition, the phase shifts at higher energies were calculated and they

 agree roughly with the analysis of the experimental data at 95 Mev by

 M. H. MacGregor, Phys. Rev. 123, 2154 (1961), and two of the solutions

 in the energy range up to 300 Mev of M. H. Hull, K. E. Lassila, H. M.

 Ruppel, F. A. McDonald, and G. Breit, Phys. Rev. 122, 1606 (1961).
- 2. V. Z. Jankus, Phys. Rev. <u>102</u>, 1586 (1956).
- 3. R. Hofstadter, "Nuclear and Nucleon Scattering of High-Energy Electrons", in Annual Review of Nuclear Science (Stanford University Press, Stanford, California, 1957), Vol. VII.
- 4. R. Hofstadter, C. de Vries and R. Herman, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, No. 6, 290 (1961); R. Hofstadter and R. Herman, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, No. 6, 293 (1961). We used Eqs. (9) through (12) in the second of these references.
- 5. J. I. Friedman, H. W. Kendall, and P.A.M. Gram, Phys. Rev. 120, 992 (1960).
- 6. F. Bumiller, H. Croissiaux, E. Dally, and R. Hofstadter, Phys. Rev. (to be published).
- 77. D. N. Olson, H. F. Schopper and R. R. Wilson, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, No. 6, 286 (1961); R. M. Littauer, H. F. Schopper and R. R. Wilson, ibid. 6, No. 7, 141 (1961); ibid 6, No. 7, 144 (1961).

- 8. L. Durand III, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>6</u>, No. 11, 631 (1961); Phys. Rev. <u>123</u>, 1393 (1961).
- 9. R. Blankenbeeler (Thesis, Stanford University, 1958) has studied relativistic corrections, using a simplified model of the deuteron (two bosons, one of which is charged, bound by a separable potential). In this model the corrections can give rise to a 25 to 30% reduction in the cross section at $q = 3f^{-1}$ which would mean that the scalar charge form factor would be larger by as much as 15%. Whether the corrections would be as large, in a realistic model is not clear. However, suppose that this is the correction that obtains at $q = 3f^{-1}$. Then if we applied a correction that is 15% at $q = 3f^{-1}$ and goes linearly to zero as $q \to 0$, the limits we place on F_1^n would lie one above and one below the zero value for all values of q listed in our table except at $q = 2.2f^{-1}$, where both limits are positive.

Table I. The scalar nucleon form factor $F_1^n + F_1^p$ and the neutron form factor F_1^n deduced from the experimental proton and deuteron form factors F_1^p and G are shown. We obtain F_1^p by drawing a smooth curve through the experimental points of Ref. 6%.

(r ⁻¹)	θ (deg)	g ² exp	F ₁ ⁿ + F ₁ ^p		$\mathbf{F_1}^{\mathbf{p}}$	$\mathbf{F_1}^{\mathbf{n}}$	
			Lower	Upper	exp	Lower	Upper
0.99	60.0	0.266±.025	0.781	0.877	0.901	-0.120	-0.024
1.07	70.0	0.241±.023	0.789	0.890	0.889	-0.100	0.001
1.36	90.0	0.151±.015	0.779	0.897	0.839	-0.060	0.058
1.51	105.0	0.101±4009	0.716	0.824	0.809	-0.093	0.015
1.79	43.0	0.0496±.0048	0.638	0.751	0.748	-0.110	0.003
1.99	48.5	0.0290±.0027	0.574	0.685	0.706	-0.132	-0.021
2.22	55.0	0.0225±.0022	0.610	0.744	0.658	-0.048	0.086
2.41	61.0	0.0107±.0009	0.489	0.600	0.619	-0.130	-0.019
2.61	67.5	0.00733±.00077	0.467	0.598	0.578	-0.111	0.020
2.82	75.0	0.00422±.00042	0.413	0.537	0.538	-0.125	-0.001

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.