UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

Leveraging off higher plant phylogenetic insights for antiplasmodial drug discovery.

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0080h493>

Journal Natural Products and Bioprospecting, 13(1)

2192-2195

ISSN

Authors

Moyo, Phanankosi Invernizzi, Luke Mianda, Sephora [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0080h493#author)

Publication Date

2023-10-05

DOI

10.1007/s13659-023-00396-x

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

ORIGINAL ARTICLE Open Access

Leveraging off higher plant phylogenetic insights for antiplasmodial drug discovery

Phanankosi Moyo¹ [,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7692-3256) Luke Invernizzi^{[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7388-7966)} , Sephora M. Mianda¹ , Wiehan Rudolph¹ , Warren A. Andayi^{[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6331-7553)} , Mingxun Wang^{[3](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7647-6097)} D, Neil R. Crouch^{4,5} D and Vinesh J. Maharaj^{1*} D

Abstract

The antimalarial drug-resistance conundrum which threatens to reverse the great strides taken to curb the malaria scourge warrants an urgent need to find novel chemical scaffolds to serve as templates for the development of new antimalarial drugs. Plants represent a viable alternative source for the discovery of unique potential antiplasmodial chemical scafolds. To expedite the discovery of new antiplasmodial compounds from plants, the aim of this study was to use phylogenetic analysis to identify higher plant orders and families that can be rationally prioritised for antimalarial drug discovery. We queried the PubMed database for publications documenting antiplasmodial properties of natural compounds isolated from higher plants. Thereafter, we manually collated compounds reported along with plant species of origin and relevant pharmacological data. We systematically assigned antiplasmodial-associated plant species into recognised families and orders, and then computed the resistance index, selectivity index and physicochemical properties of the compounds from each taxonomic group. Correlating the generated phylogenetic trees and the biological data of each clade allowed for the identifcation of 3 'hot' plant orders and families. The top 3 ranked plant orders were the (i) Caryophyllales, (ii) Buxales, and (iii) Chloranthales. The top 3 ranked plant families were the (i) Ancistrocladaceae, (ii) Simaroubaceae, and (iii) Buxaceae. The highly active natural compounds $(IC_{50} \leq 1 \mu M)$ isolated from these plant orders and families are structurally unique to the 'legacy' antimalarial drugs. Our study was able to identify the most prolifc taxa at order and family rank that we propose be prioritised in the search for potent, safe and drug-like antimalarial molecules.

Keywords Natural products, Plants, Phylogenetics, Malaria, Drug-resistance, 'Hot' plants

*Correspondence:

Vinesh J. Maharaj

⁵ School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4041, South Africa

1 Introduction

Malaria is a vector-borne tropical disease caused by unicellular protozoan parasites of the genus *Plasmodium* [\[1](#page-18-0)]. Despite conspicuous progress in controlling and managing malaria, the disease remains a serious public health challenge. Malaria is currently endemic in 84 countries, with the World Health Organisation (WHO) reporting the African Region as the most afflicted by this disease [\[2](#page-18-1)]. In 2021 there was a total of 247 million clinical malaria cases and 619,000 malaria-induced fatalities globally, with the African Region accounting for 95% of the reported cases and fatalities. Current antimalarial drugs are becoming less efective due to the emergence and spread of drug-refractory *Plasmodium* parasite strains $[3]$ $[3]$. These strains arise as a product of mutations,

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

vinesh.maharaj@up.ac.za

¹ Biodiscovery Center, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Natural

and Agricultural Sciences, University of Pretoria, Private Bag X 20, Hatfeld, Pretoria 0028, South Africa

² Department of Physical and Biological Sciences, Murang'a University of Technology, Murang'a, Kenya

³ Computer Science and Engineering, University of California Riverside, 900 University Ave, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

⁴ Biodiversity Research and Monitoring Directorate, South African National Biodiversity Institute, Berea Road, P.O. Box 52099, Durban 4007, South Africa

most notably due to either DNA replication errors or damage induced by reactive oxygen species $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$. These mutations give rise to phenotypes with changes in, for example, either drug targets or transporters which confer *Plasmodium* parasites with resistance to antimalarial drugs. This resistance phenomenon has been observed for all previously used antimalarials [\[6](#page-18-5)], including the current WHO-recommended frst-line treatment drugs for malaria, namely artemisinin-based combination ther-apy (ACT) [[6\]](#page-18-5). This highlights the need to discover and develop new and alternative malaria treatment regimens.

One promising strategy for discovering new curative antimalarial compounds is the identifcation of plants that produce compounds with antiplasmodial activity. Plants have evolved to produce a diverse array of chemical compounds to defend themselves against pathogens and parasites, many of which have potential medicinal properties. This has made them a reliable source for the discovery of privileged chemical scafolds, which have served as a foundation for developing a plethora of pharmaceutical agents [[7\]](#page-18-6). Some of the linchpin malaria chemotherapeutics were likewise discovered from plants. From a *Cinchona* species (Rubiaceae), the alkaloid quinine was isolated. This compound served as a template from which derivatives, including chloroquine, were synthesised. Lapachol, a naphthoquinone frst isolated in 1882 from the bark of *Tabebuia avellanedae* (Bignoniaceae), served as a scafold which inspired the development of the antimalarial drug atovaquone. Similarly, from the Chinese herb *Artemisia annua* (Asteraceae), the sesquiterpene lactone artemisinin was isolated and semi-synthesised to form prolifc fast-acting derivatives, namely artemether, dihydroartemisinin and artesunate which are the core constituents of the ACT regimen [\[8](#page-18-7)]. Over the last century, these plant-derived antimalarials have saved millions of lives $[9-11]$ $[9-11]$. In view of this, there is merit in the continued investigation of plants in search of novel antimalarial agents to redress the drug-resistance scourge.

Given the diversity and expansiveness of the plant kingdom (*ca*. 370,000 fowering plant species [\[12](#page-18-10)]) and limited research resources, there is a need for a rational strategy to streamline and focus drug screening projects on selected plant species. Adoption of such strategies is envisaged to expedite antimalarial drug discovery by simplifying the plant screening process. Moreover, this is anticipated to come with the added advantage of an increased likelihood of discovering promising leads (hits) as research resources will be focused on the 'hot' taxonomic groups (i.e., taxa with an overrepresentation of active compounds) [\[13](#page-18-11), [14\]](#page-18-12). One logical approach adopted in some drug discovery projects that prioritise plant subjects for evaluation, is phylogenetic analysis [[15–](#page-18-13)[17\]](#page-18-14). Such phylogenetic analyses allow for identifcation of 'hot' plant genera, families or orders that have been demonstrated to produce bioactive compounds against specific therapeutic targets $[18]$. This concept emanates from the premise that phylogeny and biosynthetic pathways are correlated; therefore, the production of specifc bioactive natural products with peculiar biological properties will likely be common to closely related plant species at the level of genus, family, or families within an order [[14](#page-18-12)]. In line with this principle various members of the flamentous bacterial genus *Streptomyces* have yielded an array of secondary metabolites (including tetracyclines, aminoglycosides and macrolides) with commercially useful antimicrobial activity [[19](#page-18-16), [20](#page-18-17)]. Similarly, amongst higher plants, the Amaryllidaceae plant family is well established to exclusively produce specifc alkaloids, including the lycorine-type alkaloids, which exhibit a distinct pharmacological profile $[21]$. The correlation of phylogenetic analysis and pharmacological data allows us to efectively identify 'hot' plant taxonomic groups of specifc interest to man based on what is experimentally known about compounds isolated from plant species in those plant orders and families. From these taxonomic groups, closely related, untapped species can be rationally prioritised for pharmacological evaluation [[18\]](#page-18-15).

Over recent decades, numerous natural compounds isolated from diferent plant species have been evaluated for their in vitro antiplasmodial activity. This study aimed to use phylogenetic analysis to identify 'hot' plant orders, and families that: (i) produce active antiplasmodial compounds ($IC_{50} \leq 10 \mu M$) with (ii) acceptable resistance index (RI \leq 10), (iii) a selectivity index (SI \geq 10), and (iv) drug-like properties. We collated data on active and inactive antiplasmodial plant-derived compounds from literature published between 1964 and 2021. We determined, including through resolution of synonymy, the identity of plant species yielding antiplasmodial isolates and used the information to construct phylogenetic trees, which were correlated to quantifed antiplasmodial and cytotoxicity data. From the generated trees, we were able to establish the distribution patterns of plant species in diferent 'hot' plant families and orders. We believe this analysis will facilitate the selection of taxa warranting further evaluation in antimalarial drug discovery programs, to optimize project outcomes.

2 Results, discussion and conclusion

2.1 Descriptive analysis: articles evaluated, plant taxonomy, and antiplasmodial screening

A PubMed database query using the key phrase "*Plasmodium falciparum* and natural product", limiting the search to the abstract, yielded a total of 3863 articles (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)).

Fig. 1 Number of articles published per year (1964 to 2021) on"*Plasmodium falciparum* and natural product". The annual number of publications on the topic of"*Plasmodium falciparum* and natural product" has evidently increased over the years within the last decade, being the most productive in that regard. These search results were realised following the query made on PubMed and limited to abstracts

These articles were published within a 58-year period ranging from 1964 to 2021 (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). From this pool of articles, duplicates and publications reporting on non-higher plant-derived natural products were excluded. Furthermore, studies where natural compounds were neither isolated nor screened in vitro against *P. falciparum*, were excluded. Following the application of these exclusion criteria, we fltered to a total subset of 455 articles.

From the relevant 455 articles, 2426 natural compounds, reportedly either active or inactive in vitro against *P. falciparum* parasites were manually compiled. These compounds were collected along with components of plant species from which they were initially isolated, and their respective antiplasmodial activities were determined. These molecules were isolated from 439 plant species belonging to 99 vascular plant families referred to 37 plant orders (Table 1). This total number of plant species only represents a small fraction (*ca.* 0.1%) of all known higher plant species worldwide. The *ca.* 2400 plant-derived compounds which our study was limited to is substantially less than that analysed in a similar study by Zhu et al. [[15](#page-18-13)] where *ca.* 31,000 compounds were considered. In their study, Zhu and colleagues examined the species-distribution of 939 clinically approved natural product-derived drugs, 369 clinical candidates, and 119 preclinical candidates. Furthermore, 13,548 marine derived natural products and 19,721 bioactive secondary metabolites were included in their study $[15]$ $[15]$ $[15]$. The natural product derived drugs and bioactive compounds considered were from several sources including plants, microorganisms, and marine organisms [[15\]](#page-18-13). Furthermore, these were drugs and bioactive compounds for several therapeutic areas [\[15](#page-18-13)]. Disease-area focused investigations have previously analysed the number of compounds consistent with our study, although not for antiplasmodial activity. For example, in their investigation to examine the phylogenetic distribution of anticancer drugs, Li et al*.* [\[22](#page-18-19)] analysed 207 natural product derived drugs. From a malaria drug discovery standpoint our study is, to the best of our knowledge, the frst to extensively examine the relationship between phylogeny and antiplasmodial activity of natural product compounds. Earlier approaches have focused on compound classes in relation to antiplasmodial activity, exampled by the study of Egieyeh et al. [\[23](#page-18-20)] which used cheminformatics profling to prioritise natural products for antimalarial drug discovery. In their study they managed to analyse 1040 natural product compounds isolated from plants, microorganisms, and marine sources [[23\]](#page-18-20).

Of the 2400 compounds analysed in our study, the Asterids and Rosid lineages were the most overrepresented clades with 6 and 10 plant orders, respectively (see Table [3](#page-9-0)). The plant order with the greatest number of different accepted plant species investigated was the Sapindales ($n=65$), closely followed by Asterales ($n=48$) and Gentianales ($n=55$). The Chloranthales (4%), Cupressales (1.8%), Canellales (1.75%), Buxales (1.54%), and Sapindales (0.95%) were the most investigated relative to the total number of accepted plant species known to occur in those orders. In contrast, the Asparagales (0.02%), and Alismatales (0.02%) were evidently the orders least investigated, considering their species richness (Table [1\)](#page-4-0).

Compounds isolated from plant species in the diferent taxonomic groups were primarily assessed for activity against the 3D7 ($n=426$), D6 ($n=254$), and NF54 (n=182) intra-erythrocytic asexual *P. falciparum* parasite drug-sensitive (D-S) strains. In vitro evaluation of potency against the intra-erythrocytic asexual *P. falciparum* parasite drug-resistant (D-R) parasites was predominantly carried out on the K1 ($n=689$), W2 ($n=399$) and Dd2 ($n=317$) strains (Table [2\)](#page-5-0).

2.2 Phylogenetic analyses correlated to biological data

Following identifcation to species rank of taxa yielding compounds tested for antiplasmodial activity phylogenetic trees (cladograms) of higher plant orders and plant families were constructed using the NCBI Taxonomy database [\[24](#page-18-21)] and graphically displayed using an online tool, viz., the 'interactive Tree of Life' (iTOL) (Figs. [2](#page-6-0) and [3\)](#page-7-0) [[25\]](#page-18-22). The constructed trees are consistent with the taxonomic classifcation and nomenclature refected in 'The World Flora Online' $[26]$ $[26]$. The relationship between

Table 1 Taxonomic representation of plant species yielding compounds subsequently investigated for antiplasmodial activity, arranged by Order

^a The No. of accepted plant species and families per plant order are as reported in the World Flora Online Plant List database ([https://wfoplantlist.org/plant-list/\)](https://wfoplantlist.org/plant-list/), which was also sourced to resolve issues of synonymy and nomenclature at all taxonomic ranks

^b The total no. of investigated plant species and families per plant order are from data collated in this study

c The % investigated for each order was calculated by dividing the No. of investigated species and families by the No. of accepted species and families, respectively

antiplasmodial activity and phylogenetic relationships at order and associated family levels was then determined and expressed as ''hit rates (HR) %'' for taxonomic groups with \geq 10 compounds isolated from them. The HR were calculated by dividing the number of compounds with an $IC_{50} \le 10 \mu M$ by the total number of compounds isolated and experimentally evaluated for activity against either the D-S or D-R plasmodia. Plant taxa were correlated to the calculated HR of their compounds. Given the extensive diversity of higher plants globally, we consider

Table 2 Top 5 D-S and D-R intra-erythrocytic asexual *P. falciparum* parasite strains most targeted for in vitro antiplasmodial screening of plant-derived compounds in reports considered

P. falciparum strain (D-S)	No. of compounds screened	P. falciparum strain (D-R)	No. of compounds screened
3D7	403	Κ1	672
D ₆	257	W2	372
NF54	183	Dd2	295
D10	98	FC _{B1}	189
FCA	81	FCM29	54

it prudent to strategically focus discovery phase research on either 'hot' plant orders, or 'hot' families which yield compounds with high HR. This is assumed to increase the likelihood of successfully identifying active antiplasmodial compounds within a short time frame.

Generally, compounds from most plant orders showed high HR of 35% and 43% against asexual D-S and D-R *P. falciparum* parasites, respectively (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)). Compounds isolated from the Buxales had the highest HR (96%, n (number of compounds) = 25). This was closely followed by the Caryophyllales ($HR = 79\%$, $n = 53$) and Laurales $(HR=67\%, n=12)$ $(HR=67\%, n=12)$ (Fig. 2). The lowest HR were noted for the Dioscoreales (HR=0%, n=14), Ericales (HR=13%, $n=23$), and Magnoliales (HR=16%, n=91). Against D-R strains, the Celastrales ($HR = 92\%$, $n = 12$) and Buxales $(HR=91\%$, n=11) were found to have the highest HR, whereas the Dioscoreales (HR=0%, $n=14$), and Brassicales (HR=10%, $n=10$) presented the lowest HR against this *Plasmodium* form. Noteworthy plant orders with markedly diferent HR in relation to D-S and D-R parasites were the Celastrales (51% diference), Brassicales (30% diference) and Piperales (22% diference).

Compounds isolated from the Simaroubaceae (in Sapindales) demonstrated the highest HR $(100\% , n=19)$ against the D-S parasites (Fig. 3). This plant family was closely followed by the Buxaceae (in Buxales) ($HR = 96\%$, n=25), Ancistrocladaceae (in Caryophyllales) (HR=76%, $n=42$), and Lauraceae (in Laurales) (HR=70%, $n=10$) (Fig. 3). The lowest HR were noted for the Dioscoreaceae (in Dioscoreales) (HR=0%, n=14), Malvaceae (in Malvales) ($HR = 0\%$, $n = 10$), and Bignoniaceae (in Lamiales) $(HR=7\%, n=14)$. Against D-R strains, the Ancistrocladaceae (HR=96%, $n=45$) had the highest HR, marginally more than that for the Celastraceae (in Celastrales) $(HR=92\%, n=12)$, Buxaceae $(HR=91\%, n=11)$ and Simaroubaceae (HR=91%, $n=55$). The Dioscoreaceae $(HR=0\%, n=14)$, Scrophulariaceae (in Lamiales) $(HR=8\%, n=25)$, Rubiaceae (in Gentianales) (HR = 15%, $n=53$), Phyllanthaceae (in Malpighiales) (HR=16%, $n=18$), and Malvaceae (HR = 16%, $n=19$) demonstrated the lowest HR against the D-R parasites (Fig. 3). Plant families with notably diferent HR against the D-S and D-R parasites were Celastraceae (51% diference), and Loganiaceae (in Gentianales) (29% diference).

Overall, from this preliminary analysis, the Buxales and Caryophyllales have consistently emerged as the 'hottest' plant orders. The Simaroubaceae, Buxaceae, and Ancistrocladaceae have emerged as the 'hottest' plant families, routinely displaying high HR against both the D-S and D-R *Plasmodium* parasites (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)).

2.3 Antiplasmodial activity and cytotoxicity of compounds isolated from diferent plant orders

To further assess the productivity of the diferent plant orders and families, we expanded our analysis by determining the % of compounds, in each plant order and family, classified as either highly active (HA) ($IC_{50} \le 1 \mu M$), moderately active (MA) (10 μ M \geq IC₅₀ > 1 μ M) or poorly active (PA) (IC₅₀>10 μ M) (Table [3\)](#page-9-0). We anticipate that research that prioritises plant orders or families that produce mainly HA compounds, will yield more rewarding leads. Furthermore, considering the need to address the resistance phenomenon, we examined the resistance index (RI) of compounds as an indicator of their efficacy against the D-R strains relative to D-S *Plasmodium* parasite strains. Additionally, as a proxy indicator for the preference of compounds to compromise *Plasmodium* parasite proliferation ahead of that of mammalian cell lines, we assessed the selectivity index (SI) of the compounds investigated per plant order and family. We consider that plant orders and families producing compounds with an RI≤10 and an SI≥10 should be preferentially prioritised for investigation (as per guidelines provided by the Medicines for Malaria Venture (MMV) [https://www.mmv.org/\)](https://www.mmv.org/).

This analysis showed that many of the compounds isolated from plant species in diferent plant orders were found to be PA. Exceptions to this were the plant orders Caryophyllales ($n=53$), with 55% of its compounds found to be HA against *P. falciparum* D-S strains (Table [3](#page-9-0)). Similarly, against D-R strains, many compounds (45%) from the Caryophyllales $(n=62)$ were classified as HA. Likewise, most of compounds (46 to 75%) from the orders Chloranthales ($n=44$), Buxales ($n=11$) and Celastrales $(n=12)$ were classified as being HA against the D-R strains. Despite receiving considerable attention, the majority (ranging from 61 to 80%) of the compounds isolated from orders Asterales $(n=151)$, Gentianales $(n=192)$, Lamiales $(n=56)$, Sapindales $(n=168)$, Malpighiales $(n=86)$, Fabales $(n=138)$, and Magnoliales (n=91) were classifed as PA against D-S *P. falciparum*

Fig. 2 Phylogenetic tree of plant orders investigated in vitro for their activity against intra-erythrocytic asexual *P. falciparum* parasites. The tree was generated using NCBI Taxonomy and processed using iTOL. *ND* not determined: This applies for plant orders with<10 compounds isolated from them and subsequently evaluated for their antiplasmodial activity. The hit rate (HR) is the % of compounds with an IC₅₀ ≤ 10 µM for each plant order. D-S—drug-sensitive. D-R—drug-resistant

strains. This pattern was noted for the same orders, namely Asterales ($n=145$), Gentianales ($n=190$), Lamiales (n=98), Sapindales (n=287), Malpighiales (n=186), Fabales (177), and Magnoliales (n=117), against D-R strains with most of the compounds (ranging from 49 to 78%) being classifed as PA. Generally, most of the compounds in all plant orders demonstrated an acceptable RI, i.e., ≤ 10 . In addition to having most of their compounds classifed as either HA and MA, remarkably,

many molecules $($ >70%) from the Buxales (n=31), Chloranthales ($n=13$), and Caryophyllales ($n=31$) showed a good SI, i.e., \geq 10 (Table [3](#page-9-0)).

Consistent with observations for the plant orders, most compounds isolated from most plant families were classified as PA (Table 4). In variance with this generalisation were the Ancistrocladaceae $(n=42)$ and Simaroubaceae $(n=19)$, from which most compounds (52) and 74%, respectively) were classifed as HA against the $\overline{}$

Plant Family		Hit Rate (%)
	D-S	D-R
Selaginellaceae - - -	ND	64
Cupressaceae-	17	27
Chloranthaceae - - -	ND	70
Buxaceae -	96	91
Menispermaceae	ND	67
Papaveraceae	40	40
Lauraceae ·	70	63
Piperaceae ·	12	ND
Annonaceae	18	24
Amaryllidaceae - - -	26	33
Dioscoreaceae ---	0	0
Cyperaceae	ND	57
Zingiberaceae $-$ - - -	36	22
Ancistrocladaceae--	76	96
Asteraceae -----	39	39
Ebenaceae - - - - -	20	ND
Apocynaceae ----	49	47
Rubiaceae ------	13	16
Loganiaceae ----	37	66
Gelsemiaceae - - - -	ND	20
Lamiaceae · - - - -	21	38
Scrophulariaceae -	ND	8
Bignoniaceae - - - -	$\overline{7}$	24
Stilbaceae ·	ND	17
Orobanchaceae---	ND	9
Rhamnaceae ----	ND	67
Fabaceae $---$	38	31
Celastraceae ----	41	92
Salicaceae - - - - -	ND	86
Euphorbiaceae ---	22	34
Clusiaceae	35	67
Phyllanthaceae - - -	ND	17
Hypericaceae ---	ND	53
Calophyllaceae $- - -$	ND	56
Malvaceae $- - - - -$	0	16
Thymelaeaceae $- - -$	ND	25
Lythraceae ------	20	20
Myrtaceae $- - - - - 1$	58	48
Combretaceae $--$ -	18	29
Anacardiaceae ----	45	50
Burseraceae -----	26	46
Rutaceae	36	18
Simaroubaceae - - - \vdash	100	91
Meliaceae -------	36	22

Fig. 3 Phylogenetic tree of plant families investigated in vitro for their activity against the intra-erythrocytic asexual *P. falciparum* parasites. The tree was generated using NCBI Taxonomy and processed using iTOL. *ND* not determined: This applies to plant families with<10 compounds isolated from them and subsequently evaluated for their antiplasmodial activity. The hit rate (HR) is the % of compounds with an IC₅₀ ≤ 10 µM for each plant family

PC-1 (18%)

Fig. 4 Launched drug chemical space of the 'legacy' antimalarials and natural product compounds isolated from the 'hot' plant orders and families. The online Python library for chemical space visualization ChemPlot [[33\]](#page-18-24), was used to launch the chemical space of the natural compounds and'legacy' antimalarials

D-S *P. falciparum* strains. The productivity of the Ancistrocladaceae $(n=45)$ and Simaroubaceae $(n=55)$ was retained against D-R strains with 49 and 62% of compounds from each respective plant family being classifed as HA. Further plant families with most compounds (ranging from 45 to 75%) classifed as HA against D-R were the Buxaceae $(n=11)$, Chloranthaceae (in Chloranthales) (n=44), Celastraceae (in Celastrales) (n=12), and Loganiaceae (in Gentianales) (41). Intriguingly, most compounds $(63%)$ from the Loganiaceae $(n=84)$, were classifed as PA against the D-S parasites. Despite receiving considerable research interest (as observed by the number of compounds isolated from them and screened for their antiplasmodial activity), many compounds isolated from the families Fabaceae (in Fabales), Rutaceae (in Sapindales), Rubiaceae (in Gentianales), Annonaceae (in Magnoliales) and Asteraceae (in Asterales) were classifed as PA against both D-S and D-R *P. falciparum* parasites (Table [4\)](#page-10-0).

The resistance index for all plant families is good since > 90% of compounds have an $RI < 10$. Complementing the good activity profle of most compounds in the Ancistrocladaceae ($n=43$), Buxaceae ($n=31$), and Chloranthaceae ($n=17$) was a good SI for most of them (>60%) (Table [4\)](#page-10-0).

From this expanded analysis, plants of the Buxales and Caryophyllales along with those in the families Simaroubaceae, Buxaceae, and Ancistrocladaceae have

Table 3 Antiplasmodial activity and cytotoxicity of compounds isolated from plants of diferent orders

n = number of compounds. HA, MA and PA values are expressed in % of total compounds evaluated. RI shows % of compounds with RI ≤10. SI shows % of compounds with SI ≥ 10. For RI and SI we have only presented data where ≥5 compounds have been evaluated and reported. The heat map (HM) ranges from green (lowest value, 0%) to yellow (mid-range value, 50%) to red (highest value, 100%), visually illustrating the proportion of compounds classifed as either HA, MA or PA

retained their 'hot' status. In addition to these, plants of the orders Chloranthales and Celastrales and the families Chloranthaceae and Celastraceae emerge as 'hot' taxonomic plant groups, particularly in producing potent compounds against the D-R *Plasmodium* parasites. It is quite striking to note that despite being most represented, the asterids and rosids (two major eudicot groups) only have one 'hot' plant order (the Celastrales) and two 'hot' families (the Simaroubaceae and Celastraceae) emerging from these lineages. It is noted though that generally, the HR for most compounds isolated from taxa in these clades is still relatively high, and noteworthy in relation to antimalaria drug discovery.

2.4 Drug-likeness assessment of compounds produced by diferent higher plant orders and families

Potent antiplasmodial compounds should have good drug-like properties for ease of development into orally available preclinical and clinical candidates with reduced attrition rates in clinical trials. Drug-like properties include physicochemical descriptors including for example molecular weight (MW), consensus LogP (cLogP), hydrogen bond donors (HBD) and acceptors (HBA). Preference for drug discovery should be given to plant orders and families that produce compounds with good drug-like properties. To assess the druglikeness of compounds isolated from the diferent plant orders and families, in silico calculated molecular and

Table 4 Activity and cytotoxicity of compounds isolated from plant species in respective plant families

n=number of compounds. HA, MA and PA values are expressed in % of total compounds evaluated. RI shows % of compounds with RI≤10. SI shows % of compounds with SI≥10. For RI and SI we have only presented data where≥5 compounds have been evaluated and reported. *Myrt* Myrtales, *Malv* Myrtales, *Gent* Gentianales, *Ranu* Ranunculales. The heat map (HM) ranges from green (lowest value, 0%) to yellow (mid-range value, 50%) to red (highest value, 100%), visually illustrating the proportion of compounds classifed as either HA, MA or PA

physicochemical descriptors of compounds were evaluated using diferent sets of criteria and by utilising data of clinically available antimalarial drugs (Tables [6](#page-12-0) and [7\)](#page-13-0). The analysis showed that a significant portion of these compound descriptors agreed with those of the criteria outlined by the Medicines for Malaria Venture, Lipinski's Rule of 5 [\[27](#page-18-25)], Veber's rules [\[28\]](#page-18-26) and Ghose flters [\[29](#page-18-27)] indicating good characteristics of druglikeness. However, some compounds isolated from the Buxales, Chloranthales, and Caryophyllales did not wholly fulfil the set criteria (Table [5\)](#page-11-0). These discrepancies were noted for the respective families, which included the Buxaceae, Chloranthaceae and Ancistrocladaceae (Table 6). Out of the seven obtained physicochemical descriptors for compounds in these plant orders and families, some molecules did not fall within the specifed set criteria for MW, the HBA, molar refractivity (MR), and cLogP.

Further evaluation showed average descriptor values of many of the compounds from most plant families and orders compared well with those of approved antimalarial drugs. Similarly, not all antimalarial drug descriptors fell within the criteria for drug-likeness. For example, lumefantrine has a MW of 528.9, a MR of 152.6, cLogP

Table 5 Calculated mean physicochemical descriptors for compounds isolated from different plant orders*

Plant Order	Physicochemical descriptors							PAINS	SA
	MW	RB	HBA	HBD	MR	TPSA	cLogP		
Lycopodiales	547.4	3.9	10.0	5.1	147.5	169.3	3.8	0.0	4.5
Cupressales	317.3	2.4	2.8	1.6	94.1	50.2	4.1	0.1	4.3
Ranunculales	426.2	2.0	5.7	0.5	124.0	56.2	3.7	0.1	4.6
Chloranthales	599.9	5.9	10.2	2.5	151.2	154.1	2.5	0.0	7.3
Buxales	503.0	5.3	4.0	2.0	149.7	60.2	5.6	0.0	6.4
Magnoliales	334.5	4.9	4.6	1.5	93.9	68.8	3.1	0.1	3.9
Laurales	345.7	4.1	4.7	0.9	98.8	55.0	3.1	0.0	4.2
Piperales	353.2	6.2	4.2	2.2	102.8	72.6	3.9	0.3	3.6
Dioscoreales	340.8	2.6	6.8	4.2	88.2	121.8	1.4	0.6	4.1
Asparagales	409.3	3.6	6.9	2.2	109.4	97.1	2.1	0.2	4.7
Zingiberales	307.6	3.9	3.5	1.2	87.2	55.2	3.2	0.0	5.1
Poales	271.3	2.1	3.6	1.8	77.2	57.7	2.9	0.0	3.7
Caryophyllales	497.5	4.2	7.0	3.2	147.2	95.8	4.2	0.3	5.0
Asterids									
Cornales	433.6	3.7	3.5	1.5	130.2	59.8	5.2	0.0	5.5
Ericales	517.1	4.3	6.6	3.6	143.2	109.4	4.3	0.1	5.7
Apiales	524.7	6.7	7.1	4.2	143.3	115.8	3.7	0.0	6.7
Asterales	392.9	5.6	6.2	2.1	104.9	96.5	2.8	0.1	5.1
Gentianales	393.0	2.9	4.4	1.7	115.5	67.6	2.8	0.3	4.8
Lamiales	374.0	4.6	6.3	3.1	98.8	101.9	2.0	0.3	$4.8\,$
Rosids									
Brassicales	430.0	6.4	7.1	3.6	118.6	112.4	2.7	0.1	4.9
Sapindales	410.2	4.4	6.1	1.8	111.2	91.4	2.9	0.1	5.1
Malvales	417.2	4.2	7.6	3.4	110.1	117.2	2.6	0.1	4.1
Myrtales	448.8	3.1	7.3	3.9	120.7	122.7	2.9	0.3	5.2
Fagales	548.9	7.8	10.2	4.9	140.7	166.1	2.3	0.4	6.1
Malpighiales	461.3	5.4	6.4	2.8	130.3	106.5	4.3	0.3	5.1
Rosales	520.8	6.1	6.0	2.6	148.1	102.6	4.8	0.1	5.7
Oxalidales	519.8	11.4	12.2	7.1	127.1	205.8	1.2	0.5	5.1
Fabales	361.1	4.1	5.3	1.8	101.0	77.9	3.2	0.1	4.2
Celastrales	512.9	8.3	4.6	2.6	151.3	79.2	5.9	0.0	6.4

MW molecular weight, *RB* rotatable bonds, *HBA* hydrogen bond acceptors, *HBD* hydrogen bond donors, *MR* molar refractivity, *TPSA* total polar surface area, *cLogP* consensus LogP, *PAINS* pan-assay interference compounds, *SA* synthesis accessibility

*Italic fgures are those which don't meet the set criteria

Table 6 (continued)

MW molecular weight, *RB* rotatable bonds, *HBA* hydrogen bond acceptors, *HBD* hydrogen bond donors, *MR* molar refractivity, *TPSA* total polar surface area, *cLogP* consensus LogP, *Myrt* Myrtales, *Malv* Myrtales, *Gent* Gentianales, *Ranu* Ranunculales, *PAINS* pan-assay interference compounds, *SA* synthesis accessibility *Italics fgures are those which do not meet the set criteria. *Myrt* Myrtales, *Malv* Myrtales, *Gent* Gentianales, *Ranu* Ranunculales

HR Hit rate. Ranking score heat map (RSHM) ranges from red 'hot' (Best ranking, lowest points) to green (Lowest ranking, most points)

of 7.9 and doxycycline and tetracycline both have 6 HBD and a TPSA of 181.6 A^2 .

Encouragingly, compounds from most of the plant orders and families were shown to be devoid of the pan-assay interference compounds (PAINS) substructures. The synthesis accessibility (SA) of many compounds from most plant orders and families was consistent with much of the currently available antimalarials.

Notable exceptions were the compounds in the orders Buxales, Chloranthales, and Caryophyllales and the families Buxaceae, Chloranthaceae and Ancistrocladaceae. Their SA (ranging from 5 to 7.3) was in the same range as those of the artemisinin derivatives (6.5 to 6.7) (Tables [5](#page-11-0) and [6](#page-12-0)). Most appealing will be plant orders and families which produce compounds which with a low SA value are easy to synthesise, exampled by the quinolines.

2.5 Overall ranking to identify 'hot' higher plant orders and families for prioritisation in drug discovery projects

We formulated a rational ranking system which we used to identify the 'hottest' plant orders and families. We awarded points to diferent plant orders and families depending on how they performed in three attributes: the hit rate, HA for both D-S and D-R parasites, and the SI. We decided against including RI and drug-likeness in the ranking system as most of the compounds from most plant orders and families largely complied with set criteria for these indicators, so markedly reducing the value of these characteristics in improving selection resolution. Points were sequentially awarded based on the position of the taxonomic group in performance relative to other groups. For example, 1 point was given, per performance indicator, to the order or family with the highest hit rate, HA, and SI. The second, and third-positioned taxonomic groups were given 2 and 3 points, respectively. This scoring was repeated in that sequence until points were assigned to all plant families and orders. Thereafter, the total number of points was calculated for each family and order. We fnally rationalised the number of points based on the number of indicators scored per taxonomic group resulting in the normalised points. This was done to 'level' the system, as not all taxonomic groups were scored for all indicators. We then ranked the taxonomic groups based on the number of points with the family or plant order, with the lowest number of normalised points ranking 1st and that with the greatest number of points ranking last (Tables [7](#page-13-0) and [8](#page-15-0) for orders and families respectively).

Having adopted this ranking system, the following results were obtained and found to be consistent with earlier observations: the top 3 ranked orders were the (i) Caryophyllales, (ii) Buxales, and (iii) Chloranthales. The top 3 ranked plant families were the (i) Ancistrocladaceae (in Ancistrocladaceae), (ii) Simaroubaceae (in Sapindales), and (iii) Buxaceae (in Buxaceae) (Tables [7](#page-13-0) and [8\)](#page-15-0). The most prominent natural product classes found to be active ($IC_{50} \leq 10 \mu M$) per each plant order and family were isoquinoline alkaloids and naphthoquinones (Caryophyllales and Ancistrocladaceae), steroid alkaloids and lupane triterpenoids (Buxales and Buxaceae), quassinoids

(Simaroubaceae) and cycloeudesmane sesquiterpenoids (Chloranthales) (Table [9\)](#page-16-0). We point out that majority of compounds classifed by NPClassifer are manually classifed as napthylisoquinoline (NIQs) alkaloids in their respective research publications, most of which emanate from the research group of Professor G. Bringmann [\[30](#page-18-28)]. Encouragingly, the HA compounds from these top plant taxa are structurally diferent to the 'legacy' antimalarial drugs [\[31](#page-18-29)].

The pressing need to discover and develop new antimalarials to mitigate drug resistance led us to consider the use of phylogenetic analysis coupled with bioactivity correlation to establish 'hot' plant orders and families worthy of prioritisation for antimalarial drug discovery projects. This endeavour has culminated in the identification of 3 'hot' plant orders and families. One of the most intriguing fndings of the current study was that the most promising plant orders and families are those from which either no antimalarial drug has previously been isolated or they are generally less studied (judging by either number of compounds isolated from them or number of publications reporting their investigation). In contrast, the families Asteraceae and Rubiaceae have received significant interest in their antiplasmodial evaluation. This interest we believe is driven by two factors, (i) previous discovery of antimalaria drugs from these families and (ii) their extensive use traditionally for malaria treatment as documented by ethnobotanical studies (e.g., [[34,](#page-18-30) [35](#page-18-31)], and [[36\]](#page-18-32)). However, with a combined total of *ca.* 400 compounds isolated from the Asteraceae and Rubiaceae plant families, it is striking to note that only 16 of them (*ca*. 4%) have demonstrated IC₅₀ values \leq 1 µM either against D-S or D-R *Plasmodium* parasites. In contrast, of the less investigated plant families Simaroubaceae, Ancistrocladaceae, and Buxaceae, with a combined total of only 86 compounds isolated and screened for activity against the D-S *Plasmodium* strains, 45 of these compounds (53%) were reported to show IC₅₀ values of \leq 1 µM. Furthermore, the compounds isolated from these 3 families and those from other 'hot' taxonomic groups show good selectivity, outperforming the Asteraceae and Rubiaceae families (see Table [4\)](#page-10-0).

From a medicinal chemistry perspective, emphasis is placed on the discovery of new compounds that occupy a chemical space that is diferent from that of the current clinically available antimalarial drugs. This chemical diversity brings with it a high likelihood of targeting novel biological space [\[37\]](#page-18-33). Having a unique target, compared to current antimalarials, increases the chances of the new scafolds being potent against clinically drugresistant *Plasmodium* strains. Our analysis shows that the HA compounds isolated from the 'hot' plant orders and families identifed from the study occupy a diferent

Table 8 (continued)

HR Hit rate. Ranking score heat map (RSHM) ranges from red 'hot' (Best ranking, lowest points) to green (Lowest ranking, most points). *Myrt* Myrtales, *Malv* Myrtales, *Gent* Gentianales, *Ranu* Ranunculales

Natural product class (NPC)	Plant Order (% NPC composition)			Plant Family (% NPC composition)			
	Caryophyllales	Buxales	Chloranthales	Ancistrocladaceae	Simaroubaceae	Buxaceae	
Unclassified*	17.1			21.9			
Unclassified [#]		4.2				4.2	
Flavonols	2.4						
Isoquinoline alkaloids	43.9			40.6			
Naphthalenes and derivatives	2.4			37.5			
Naphthoquinones	31.7						
Tetraketide meroterpenoids	2.4						
Steroidal alkaloids		75.0				75.0	
Lupane triterpenoids		12.5				12.5	
Pregnane steroids		8.3				8.3	
Cycloeudesmane ^Ψ			100.0				
Quassinoids					100.0		

Table 9 Natural compound classifcation of active compounds in 'hot' plant orders and families#

*,# Compounds could only be assigned to Pathway and Super Class using the online tool NPClassifier [\[32](#page-18-42)] and not the natural product class. ^ΨCycloeudesmane – cycloeudesmane sesquiterpenoids

chemical space to current antimalarials providing further impetus to explore these taxonomic groups. For example, the most prolifc compounds from the Simaroubaceae are classed as quassinoids, which the parasite has clinically not been exposed to [\[38](#page-18-34)]. Similarly, from the Buxaceae family, the most prolifc compounds are steroidal alkaloids, which are chemically distinct from any of the clinically available curative malaria drugs [[39\]](#page-18-35).

The quassinoids class of natural products, which the Simarobuceae is well-established to produce, has also demonstrated in vivo antiplasmodial activity albeit with some level of toxicity noted. For example, the quassinoid bruceine B was shown to have an ED_{90} of 2.82 mg/ kg/day. At a concentration threefold the ED_{90} , bruceine B was observed to be 100% lethal against the mice used in the study [[38\]](#page-18-34). Nonetheless, this compound was shown to be less toxic than other quassinoids, so raising hope that through medicinal chemistry less toxic but highly potent scafolds from this natural product class could be synthesised. Notably, the synthesis of potent yet less in vivo toxic quassinoid analogues has been successfully undertaken for cancer studies [\[40](#page-18-36)]. NIQs have similarly shown exceptional in vivo activity. The NIQ dioncophylline C cured *P. bhergei*-infected mice following a single oral dose (50 mg/kg/day) with no observed toxicity [\[41](#page-18-37)]. While NIQs are structurally highly complex, approaches to their synthesis have been developed and comprehensively outlined [[42](#page-18-38)] by the group of Professor G. Bringmann [\[30](#page-18-28)]. Moreover, simplifed analogues of this class

of compounds have been synthesised and proved to be potent against intra-erythrocytic asexual *Plasmodium* parasites [[43\]](#page-18-39). Their clinical efficacy remains to be demonstrated.

It is interesting to note the generally high HR of most natural products isolated from many plant orders and families discussed in this study. These HR were substantially higher than those observed for synthetic compounds which have been described to be as low as 0.3% and 0.05% in some studies [\[44](#page-18-40), [45\]](#page-18-41). However, caution needs to be exercised when considering these high hit rates for natural products. Firstly, the bioassay-guided assay approach is a popular approach used to isolate compounds from plants, where guidance is based on the observed bioactivity resulting in the isolation of bioactive molecules, albeit with varying potency. Secondly, the cutoff point ($IC_{50} \leq 10 \mu M$) for the HR outlined in this paper is noted to be more tolerant than that used elsewhere, e.g., < 1.25 μ M and \leq 2 μ M adopted by Plouffe et al. [[45](#page-18-41)] and Dechering et al*.* [[44](#page-18-40)], respectively. Nevertheless, the high HR is still encouraging, motivating for the continued investigation of plant-derived compounds to treat malaria.

In conclusion, given the need to accelerate antimalarial drug discovery, plants are a promising oasis deserving of continued investigation in this endeavor. Our study has shown that understudied plant orders and families are more deserving of intensifed investigation in search of novel antimalarial drugs. We anticipate these fndings

will help direct researchers to focus and streamline their investigations on the few plant orders and families most likely to result in the discovery of highly active antiplasmodial compounds that can be channeled into medicinal chemistry programs.

3 Material and methods

3.1 Literature search

To identify published manuscripts for exploration in our study, we queried the PubMed database [\(https://pubmed.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/\)](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) [[46](#page-18-43)] searching for publications documenting antiplasmodial properties of compounds isolated from plants. The key phrase used was "*Plasmodium falciparum* and natural product" limiting the "Text Availability' option to 'Abstract". The search was restricted to manuscripts published between 1964 and 2021. We then manually systematically screened the publications applying the following exclusion criteria.

- i) Manuscripts documenting only antiplasmodial activity of compounds isolated from other natural sources, e.g., microorganisms, marine organisms, other than vascular (i.e., higher) plants were discarded.
- ii) Manuscripts in which no compounds were isolated and screened were disregarded.
- iii)Manuscripts in which only in vivo studies were carried out were excluded.
- iv) Duplicate articles were excluded.

Articles subsequently remaining following the above process, were selected for the study.

3.2 Taxonomic terminology

From the selected manuscripts, we manually collated compounds reported along with relevant pharmacological data, and species-of-origin saving this information on a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. The captured data was verifed 3 times to ensure all details collected were accurate and consistent with current nomenclature and taxonomy. Given disparities in taxon circumscriptions and related nomenclature inherent at all taxon ranks, we harmonised our systematic approach through aligning with the World Flora Online (WFO) database [\(http://www.](http://www.worldfloraonline.org/) [worldforaonline.org/](http://www.worldfloraonline.org/)) as of 7 November 2022, including in the assignment of species authors. In instances where the species authors for taxa had not been provided in the source pharmacological publications it was occasionally necessary, when more than one identical basionym exists, to resolve the identity of the research subject through consideration of the reported plant collection locality relative to data provided in the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) [\(https://www.gbif.org/](https://www.gbif.org/)).

3.3 Phylogenetic tree generation and data analysis

The phylogenetic trees were constructed as follows. Firstly, Text (.txt) fles with the names of plant orders and families (as per WFO) were added onto the NCBI Taxo-nomic Browser 'Common Tree' [\[24](#page-18-21)]. The resulting tree was saved as a 'Phyllip fle' (.phy) which was graphically displayed and manipulated using the iTOL online tool (v5) $[25]$. Here, default settings were used with only the following modifcations made; Branch lengths—'Ignore' and Scaling factors—'0.5×horiz.'.

The SMILES of the compounds collated were either collected from databases including PubChem [[47\]](#page-18-44) and ChemSpider [[48](#page-18-45)] or were generated from 2D structures drawn on ChemDraw Ultra (v8) [[49\]](#page-18-46). Using the generated SMILES, compounds were classifed into specifc classes using the NPClassifer tool [\[32\]](#page-18-42). To evaluate drug-likeness we computed average physicochemical properties using the SwissADME online suite software [[50](#page-18-47)]. Analysis, including calculation of mean values for RI, SI etc., was carried out using Microsoft Excel. Chemical space analysis was carried out on ChemPlot using structural similarity, PCA algorithm and scatter plot type options [[33\]](#page-18-24).

Acknowledgements

This research work was supported by a grant from the Department of Science and Innovation (DSI) of South Africa awarded to Vinesh Maharaj. The UP ISMC acknowledges the South African Medical Research Council as Collaborating Centre for Malaria Research. Phanankosi Moyo was supported by a grant from the University of Pretoria Postgraduate Research Support Bursary for his Postdoctoral Fellowship. Sephora Mianda Mutombo was supported by funds from the University of Pretoria Postgraduate Research Support Bursary, South Africa and the L'Oréal-UNESCO for Woman in Science grant. Luke Invernizzi was supported by funds from the doctoral funds from National Research Foundation of South Africa.

Author Contribution

Conceptualisation of study, PM and VJM.; Collecting data sets, PM, LI, SMM, WR, and AWA.; Formal analysis, PM, AWA, WR, MW and NRC.; Writing—original draft, PM.; Writing—review and editing, PM, VJM, LI, SMM, AWA, MW, and NRC. Supervision and funds acquisition VJM.; All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Foundation L'Oréal (4500453975).

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the fndings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests

MW is a co-founder of Ometa Labs LLC.

Received: 3 August 2023 Accepted: 3 September 2023Published online: 05 October 2023

References

- 1. Cox FE. History of the discovery of the malaria parasites and their vectors. Parasit Vectors. 2010;3(1):1–9.
- 2. WHO, World malaria report 2022. 2022: World Health Organization.
- 3. Ippolito MM, et al. Antimalarial drug resistance and implications for the WHO global technical strategy. Curr Epidemiol Rep. 2021;8:46–62.
- 4. Matthews H, Dufy CW, Merrick CJ. Checks and balances? DNA replication and the cell cycle in Plasmodium. Parasit Vectors. 2018;11(1):216.
- 5. Gupta DK, et al. DNA damage regulation and its role in drug-related phenotypes in the malaria parasites. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):23603.
- 6. Blasco B, Leroy D, Fidock DA. Antimalarial drug resistance: linking Plasmodium falciparum parasite biology to the clinic. Nat Med. 2017;23(8):917–28.
- 7. Newman DJ, Cragg GM. Natural products as sources of new drugs over the nearly four decades from 01/1981 to 09/2019. J Nat Prod. 2020;83(3):770–803.
- 8. Wells TNC. Natural products as starting points for future anti-malarial therapies: going back to our roots? Malar J. 2011;10(1):S3.
- 9. Yang J, et al. Advances in the research on the targets of anti-malaria actions of artemisinin. Pharmacol Ther. 2020;216: 107697.
- 10. Institute of Medicine Committee on the Economics of Antimalarial D. In Arrow KJ, Panosian C, Gelband H, editors. Saving lives, buying time: economics of malaria drugs in an age of resistance. National Academies Press (US); 2004. Copyright 2004 by the National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.: Washington (DC).
- 11. Milliken W, et al. Plants used traditionally as antimalarials in Latin America: mining the tree of life for potential new medicines. J Ethnopharmacol. 2021;279: 114221.
- 12. Christenhusz MJ, Byng JW. The number of known plants species in the world and its annual increase. Phytotaxa. 2016;261(3):201–17.
- 13. Douwes E, et al. Regression analyses of southern African ethnomedicinal plants: informing the targeted selection of bioprospecting and pharmacological screening subjects. J Ethnopharmacol. 2008;119(3):356–64.
- 14. Holzmeyer L, et al. Evaluation of plant sources for antiinfective lead compound discovery by correlating phylogenetic, spatial, and bioactivity data. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020;117(22):12444–51.
- 15. Zhu F, et al. Clustered patterns of species origins of nature-derived drugs and clues for future bioprospecting. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2011;108(31):12943–8.
- 16. Rønsted N, et al. Phylogenetic selection of Narcissus species for drug discovery. Biochem Syst Ecol. 2008;36(5–6):417–22.
- 17. Mawalagedera SM, et al. Combining evolutionary inference and metabolomics to identify plants with medicinal potential. Front Ecol Evol. 2019;7:267.
- 18. Prasad MA, Zolnik CP, Molina J. Leveraging phytochemicals: the plant phylogeny predicts sources of novel antibacterial compounds. Fut Sci OA. 2019;5(7):FSO407.
- 19. Mahajan GB, Balachandran L. Antibacterial agents from actinomycetes a review. Front Biosci-Elite. 2012;4(1):240–53.
- 20. Aminov R. History of antimicrobial drug discovery: major classes and health impact. Biochem Pharmacol. 2017;133:4–19.
- 21. Berkov S, et al. Chemodiversity, chemotaxonomy and chemoecology of Amaryllidaceae alkaloids. Alkaloids Chem Biol. 2020;83:113–85.
- 22. Li X, et al. What makes species productive of anti-cancer drugs? Clues from drugs' species origin, druglikeness, target and pathway. Anti-Cancer Agents Med Chem (Formerly Current Medicinal Chemistry-Anti-Cancer Agents). 2019;19(2):194–203.
- 23. Egieyeh SA, et al. Prioritization of anti-malarial hits from nature: chemoinformatic profling of natural products with in vitro antiplasmodial activities and currently registered anti-malarial drugs. Malar J. 2016;15:1–23.
- 24. Schoch CL, et al. NCBI Taxonomy: a comprehensive update on curation, resources and tools. Database. 2020. 2020.
- 25. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(W1):W293–6.
- 26. Borsch T, et al. World Flora Online: placing taxonomists at the heart of a defnitive and comprehensive global resource on the world's plants. Taxon. 2020;69(6):1311–41.
- 27. Lipinski CA, et al. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2001;46:3–26.
- 28. Veber DF, et al. Molecular properties that infuence the oral bioavailability of drug candidates. J Med Chem. 2002;45(12):2615–23.
- 29. Ghose AK, Viswanadhan VN, Wendoloski JJ. A knowledge-based approach in designing combinatorial or medicinal chemistry libraries for drug discovery. 1. A qualitative and quantitative characterization of known drug databases. J Comb Chem. 1999;1(1):55–68.
- 30. Trauner D, Roßmann K. A conversation with Gerhard Bringmann. ACS Publications; 2020. p. 1248–1252.
- 31. Charman SA, et al. An in vitro toolbox to accelerate anti-malarial drug discovery and development. Malar J. 2020;19(1):1–27.
- 32. Kim HW, et al. NPClassifer: a deep neural network-based structural classifcation tool for natural products. J Nat Prod. 2021;84(11):2795–807.
- 33. Cihan Sorkun M, et al. ChemPlot, a Python library for chemical space visualization. Chemistry-Methods. 2022;2(7): e202200005.
- 34. Lianaa D, Rungsihirunrata K. Using phylogeny approach on ethnobotanical bioprospecting for leading antimalarial plant-based drug discovery.
- 35. Nondo RS, et al. Ethnobotanical survey and in vitro antiplasmodial activity of medicinal plants used to treat malaria in Kagera and Lindi regions, Tanzania. J Med Plants Res. 2015;9(6):179–92.
- 36. Yetein MH, et al. Ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants used for the treatment of malaria in plateau of Allada, Benin (West Africa). J Ethnopharmacol. 2013;146(1):154–63.
- 37. Hovlid ML, Winzeler EA. Phenotypic screens in antimalarial drug discovery. Trends Parasitol. 2016;32(9):697–707.
- 38. O'Neill MJ, et al. Plants as sources of antimalarial drugs, part 4: activity of Brucea javanica fruits against chloroquine-resistant Plasmodium falciparum in vitro and against Plasmodium berghei in vivo. J Nat Prod. 1987;50(1):41–8.
- 39. Szabó LU, et al. Antiprotozoal nor-triterpene alkaloids from Buxus sempervirens L. Antibiotics. 2021;10(6):696.
- 40. Pei Y, et al. Quassinoid analogs with enhanced efficacy for treatment of hematologic malignancies target the PI3Kγ isoform. Commun Biol. 2020;3(1):267.
- 41. Francois G, et al. Naphthylisoquinoline alkaloids against malaria: evaluation of the curative potentials of dioncophylline C and dioncopeltine A against Plasmodium berghei in vivo. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1997;41(11):2533–9.
- 42. Tajuddeen N, et al. The stereoselective total synthesis of axially chiral naphthylisoquinoline alkaloids. Acc Chem Res. 2022;55(17):2370–83.
- 43. Bringmann G, et al. Synthesis and antiprotozoal activities of simplifed analogs of naphthylisoquinoline alkaloids. Eur J Med Chem. 2008;43(1):32–42.
- 44. Dechering KJ, et al. Replenishing the malaria drug discovery pipeline: screening and hit evaluation of the MMV Hit Generation Library 1 (HGL1) against asexual blood stage Plasmodium falciparum, using a nano luciferase reporter read-out. SLAS Discov. 2022;27(6):337–48.
- 45. Plouffe D, et al. In silico activity profiling reveals the mechanism of action of antimalarials discovered in a high-throughput screen. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2008;105(26):9059–64.
- 46. White J. PubMed 2.0. Medical Reference Services Quarterly. 2020. 39**(**4): 382–387.
- 47. Kim S, et al. PubChem substance and compound databases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D1202–13.
- 48. Pence HE, Williams A. ChemSpider: an online chemical information resource. ACS Publications; 2010.
- 49. Mendelsohn LD. ChemDraw 8 ultra, windows and macintosh versions. J Chem Inf Comput Sci. 2004;44(6):2225–6.
- 50. Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V. SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):42717.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.